

Emergent Notice of Demand Re: International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) Faith-based Accommodation and complaint of restriction of freedoms

June 9 2021

To: The private man acting as Chief Magistrate, His Honour Judge Terry Gardiner
QEII Courts of Law Complex,
415 George Street,
Brisbane, QLD, 4000

From: **minister of Christ: Keidrych Oates Anton**

[REDACTED]

no code as of faith in Christ.

Tel: [REDACTED]

email: [REDACTED]

May peace be upon you, Terry Gardiner,

I greet you in the name of Yahushuwah(Jesus) the anointed, as his follower, minister Keidrych. I approach you as directed by Christ in Matthew 18:15-20 KJV to you gain agreement with you as a man privately. I wish to do this with you revealing myself as a man, as a peaceful non-combatant, unaligned or affiliated with any OPCA secular argument. I will be speaking plainly herein, as one private man to another not described as a person. I approach you as a man in a position of trust with obligations to deliver respect and save harmless the inherent freedoms of self-determined men and women.

I saw from your legal history that you started your career in 1987 at the Public Defender's Office before joining the Private Bar from 1988 until 2012. I genuinely applaud you for working both sides of the proverbial fence during this time as prosecutor and defender! In the many industries I have worked in, it is rare to find a man prepared to work both sides of the fence in order to gain a complete picture of industry dynamics. I also wish to thank you for taking decisive and direct action in March 2020 regarding Brisbane Magistrates Court Guideline 2020, allowing teleconference attendance and again in July 2020 with Magistrates Court Practice Direction 7 of 2020. Your action paved the way to enable the listing of all criminal matters electronically for efficiency and transparency.

In your reading of this letter, I trust that you will perceive that my grammatical intent of expressing and defending my faith is clear. My purpose is to communicate, without scripted pretentiousness or the use of flowery words, but as a demonstration of faith bound deep sincerity. I wish you as a man to be aware of a clear reflection of my heartfelt faith in Yahushuwah as to my motive in gaining this agreement with you! As I am not a Legal expert, I have included a Glossary at the end so there may be no confusion, and you may feel my sincerity and develop comfort in my reasons for writing you this letter.

While my preference would have been to compose individual letters on each of the major topics covered in this letter, recent actions taken by one of your Acting Magistrates necessitates combining my agreement into one.

This letter, our private agreement, contains significantly large factual ground and material to cover; as such, I extend a pre-thankyou for your patience and honour in taking it all in and aiding the direction of my accommodation.

**Emergent Notice of Demand Re: International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights
(ICCPR) Faith-based Accommodation and complaint of restriction of freedoms**

Of my faith and respecting persons

My grandfathered faith in Yahushuwah is integrated into and based on Her Majesty's authorised 1611 King James Version Bible (KJV). As Yahushuwah's minister, ordained by him through John 15:16 KJV, I have dedicated my life to "*study to shew thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth.*" (2 Timothy 2:15). I wish, as a fellow man in honour, reaching out to you as another that acts in an honourable profile position of public trust whom I am relying upon, that after receiving the knowledge of the factual reality of God's disrespect for persons, you will aid my demand for accommodation. I am very desirous that you may be with knowledge and awareness to understand the importance of my faith-based adherence to the scriptures, directing me not to be observed or perceived as respecting persons. I require your honourable accommodation to save my right of self-determination so as to exercise my faith harmless from any assault upon it by those unaware I am not nor can be a *person*. Any dereliction of that duty to save my faith harmless would breach articles 1,3,7 and 18 of the ICCPR as ratified law in Australia. This demand is an honourable originating motive to enlist you so as to aid me in defence of my faith. Ask, and ye shall receive!

This one word, "**person**", that I draw simple attention to is to aid our communications. I do this in true biblical definition and with the full intent of exposing the present secular purpose of the word. In direct alignment following Christ's advice, I intend to reach an agreement between us as private men, with witnesses confirming; what the scriptural writers intended in their use of the word *person*, a word originally written in Hebrew as *Nepesh*, then Greek as *persona*. It is essential in my duty to ensure we agree on what the word *person* means. It is indeed a further blessing to have you in agreement with the knowledge of meaning for the word *person* as this essential knowledge will help you. It will also aid others with whom you may share this demand. That will help you appreciate the rest of what I am about to tell you.

All quotes from scripture hereafter offered are from Her Majesty's 1611 King James Version Bible.

Deuteronomy 1:17, 10:17, 2nd Samuel 14:14, Matthew 22:16, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, and James 2:9 in the 1611 KJV for emphasis, are clear about God's disrespect for *persons*.

The word *person* is a crucially defining word, as it is that word all of AUSTRALIA's statute code and regulations are applicable upon and only applicable upon with that word so defined as a corporation. According to **Acts Interpretation Act 1901 (2C1)**, **Bankruptcy Act 1966 (IA5)**, and **Income Tax Assessment Act 1997 (960-E)**: a *person* is a corporation; an *individual* is a *natural person* and ultimately an *entity*. An *entity* as a dead legal fiction in accordance with its dictionary definition cannot be a *man*. You may wish to refer to **Staufen v The Attorney General of British Columbia 2001** (Common Law relevance),

http://www.pja.gov.pk/system/files/Staufen_v_British_Columbia_%28Case_Study%29.pdf

wherein the Court describes a legal fiction in detail. I offer this rendition of commercial law to demonstrate to you, so you and I can agree upon that word's meaning as intended scriptural and commercially. I do so as the watchman of Ezekiel 33:1-10 and not through any use of commercial code being the property of a person of law, saving myself harmless from the assumption of use in assumpsit social contract.

1. I offer for your knowledge, awareness, and agreement that the English word *person* comes from Latin/Greek *persona*, a noun, defined as a mask worn to perform an act or portray a character in a play, coalescing a part, or assuming the character or appearance of another to pass oneself off as another, especially with fraudulent intent or to impersonate!

Emergent Notice of Demand Re: International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) Faith-based Accommodation and complaint of restriction of freedoms

2. In such a situation, I, as of my faith bound imperative, cannot respect *persons* or claim to be a *person*. "*Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour*". (Exodus 20:16) strictly forbids me from exercising or co-joining with fraudulent intent, and this is offered as further illustration of the prior verses that I cannot respect *persons nor lawfully be compelled to do so*,

I carefully use AUSTRALIA in capitals to demonstrate that as of my sincere faith in Yahushuwah, I am in an illuminated state of awareness regarding the relationship I have unaware and in error engaged in regarding my uninformed non consented to affiliation with the dead corporate entity AUSTRALIA. This awareness includes all the associated dead legal fiction persons of law being the sub corporate entities registered with AUSTRALIA, the debtor corporation. This assumpsit social contract is now void as of my newborn awareness and this agreement with you. A frustrated contract cannot be forced upon the one in frustration. [Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem - SCC Cases \(lexum.com\)](#)

My ministry, aligning with Yahushuwah's offer in John 15: 16, follows Ezra 7:23-26 in the 1611 KJV to match with Romans 13 and Ezekiel 33:1-10 is my duty to perform. My ministry is actively empathetic emphatic, and deliberately scripturally inspired to break with the commonly referred assumption of law that I am a *person*. Again, my faith-based imperative and genuine intent is to remove any, and all assumptions you may wish to rely upon that could allow you to, in error, assume I am a person. I intend to ensure we agree that AUSTRALIA, the dead debtor corporation person of law, cannot supersede my faith or exercise jurisdiction over it. My wish is for you to accept that I am a man redeemed by the blood of Yahushuwah, washed clean of the sin of the world. Colossians 2:14-23. So there may be no latent misunderstanding, I offer my unique thumbprint not used towards any OPCA or malicious paper terrorism but merely as a following of marking myself a minister of Yahushuwah, and it is his blood that you see in my thumbprint. I am his minister officiating in the action of: "carrying out the full function of my calling while exercising my faith in the 1611 KJV". I am making sure of my election in Christ and God's new covenant as sealed by Yahushuwah's blood therein, as part of my gifted, granted and offered by his grace freedom. I also wish to point to the fact that should I be under duress, threat or intimidation to force me to offer respect to *persons*, then as per the scriptures provided above, I would be classified as a sinner in contravention of my faith in Yahushuwah. This contravention of faith is because I would break the requirement to walk only in his law (Leviticus 18:3-4, Numbers 15:15), and risk losing my salvation (Hebrews 10:16) in receiving rebuke from Christ Matthew at 15:9

I am demanding of you the trust you swore to provide upon taking your Queensland Court Oath/Affirmation of allegiance to *The Defender of the Faith* (Her Majesty) as grandfathered into the **Royal Style and Titles Act 1953**. I desire to be treated; equitably and respectfully for my faith in following the scripture of the 1611 KJV Bible and God's immutable law, as sworn to be defended by the Christian monarch from whom your authority stems. This authority is evidenced in the **Royal Style and Titles Act 1953**.

I also point to the **International Covenant On Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)** treaty as ratified & in force in Australia since January 28 1983. The ICCPR, for clarity in Queensland, was further ratified via **Human Rights Act 2019 (s48)**, with obligations of implementation further validated through correspondence with the Attorney-General. (#MC20-032878) "*responsibility for implementation of Australia's human rights obligations under the ICCPR is shared, and all levels of government have a role to play in fulfilling these obligations.*" I point to the aforementioned items so you may gain knowledge of my freedoms paid for by the blood of Yahushuwah as protected,

Emergent Notice of Demand Re: International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) Faith-based Accommodation and complaint of restriction of freedoms

through treaty, and internally within the Australian Legal Framework, while demonstrating compliance in my duty as Christ's ordained minister as the watchman of Ezekiel 33.

Of lodging a complaint about a contravention of the supreme law of the land

On May 5, 2021, I was sent an SMS that documents would be given to an altered version of my name unsupported by any law in Australia. The SMS was used arbitrarily by the sworn officers bound as service providers under honourable obligations of servicing the duty inherent in respect of the Australian lawfully ratified ICCPR. This inherent duty herein is to save my faith harmless.

On May 6, 2021, under the threat of duress, nuisance, intimidation and obstruction of my calling, I did attend the Toowoomba Police station. I was then promptly handed a legal fiction version of my name on a Temporary Protection Order attempting to restrict my inherent freedoms upon unsubstantiated and biased hearsay. That legal fiction order contravened private agreements between myself as a man and the woman filing the application for a Domestic Violence Order. I was given 3.5 business days to prepare for and attend Magistrates Court in Brisbane.

I requested that the Court call me on the scheduled hearing of May 13, 2021. The Court, claiming a clerical error, failed to call and made an erroneous ruling. The matter was rescheduled for May 17, 2021, where the Acting Magistrate PJ Byrne agreed to rescission of his previous ruling. I did not have knowledge at the time that it is outside of any Magistrate's authority to cancel or allow rescission of their decision to raise a Domestic Violence Order. Should I have known, I would have commenced drafting this letter to you at that time, taking an active step against the fraud and breach of the trust instituted. The ICCPR watches over this unlawful and discriminatory action perpetrated by that man. The defacto action and order using a non-legislated legal fiction is being non consensually perpetrated towards me. This unwanted and unlawful action of using my given name is causing actual harm and damage against me as Christ's minister. This action is bringing that harm by violating my faith, committing fraud, and deteriorating my livelihood.

On May 17, 2021, the Acting Magistrate PJ Byrne threatened me beyond his statutory powers and intimidated me as he made it very clear that I had three offers available to me, where all three offers would result in my being punished and my freedoms restricted. In his opinion, the only way I would avoid the restriction of my freedoms was to relocate to another country. Under duress and his unlawful intimidation, I reluctantly agreed under threat to an adjournment of the hearing until May 27, 2021

During the time granted for the adjournment, I sought legal advice to ensure that what I understood of my freedoms and protections under the ICCPR were correct. I tried my best to draft a letter to Acting Magistrate PJ Byrne so he may know and have full awareness of the ICCPR as one of the supreme laws of the land. I was highly desirous for us to agree and for him to understand the Court's obligations to the ICCPR in accordance with my faith. I confirmed to him that I, as of the scriptural directive of the 1611 KJV Bible, in being brought before the Court, am unable to answer any questions of any secular court or its agents. I am unable to do that lest I show respect to persons due to the fact the Court is indeed a person of law.

On May 27, 2021, Acting Magistrate PJ Byrne: acknowledged receipt and review of the letter I had sent him; claimed the letter was *gobbledegook rather than honourably seeking clarification* (which I have more recently gained knowledge is a legal term applied when grammatical errors are present in the text). He acting privately and in error, failed to obtain my name for the Court record; lost his temper; refused to answer any of my questions; made me feel extremely intimidated through

Emergent Notice of Demand Re: International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) Faith-based Accommodation and complaint of restriction of freedoms

shouting, threatening contempt and claiming you vs me argument; forced upon me the issue of a Domestic Violence Order as a raw show of impotent power. This show of force further intimidated me, as he knowingly breached a supreme law of the land. He stands in contravention of ICCPR Article 2,3,7,11,18; and has contravened some of the *widely accepted general attributes* of procedural fairness as per Australian Law Reform: 1) the independence of the Court; 2) the presumption of innocence; 3) that the defendant is informed of and understands the charge against him or her, and 4) the right to examine witnesses.

Immediately after issuing the Domestic Violence Order Acting Magistrate PJ Byrne hung up the phone, leaving me feeling intimidated and bewildered that a man of awareness in such a public position of trust would so blatantly threaten, harass, and intimidate me another man.

Finally, upon receiving the paperwork for the Domestic Violence Order in the mail, none of the names listed is legally correct. To my knowledge, it is a requirement that all court documents seeking to restrict a man's freedom utilise legal names, specifically when Police databases under court direction add such names to a watchlist for the potential of committing criminal activity.

Terry, I am demanding of you that rescission is issued to remove the Domestic Violence Order: File Number: MAG-00073436/21(4); LFR: BRIS-MAG-00012006/21; Order Number: O018337695; and appropriate disciplinary action be taken against Acting Magistrate PJ Byrne for his contravention of **Criminal Code Act 1899 (QLD) (S408C)** is perpetrating fraud. I am also demanding of you that a necessitated writ in the nature of Mandamus order is passed onto all the Magistrates, Acting and Appointed, and those under your direction to respect their Duty to Accommodate a demand for such religious accommodation. This accommodation that Mandamus order will reference provides for instant recognition of the International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), noting it has final authority and is an obligatory duty upon all service providers as one of the supreme laws of AUSTRALIA.

I offer a suggestion, out of Honorable necessity, that the man Acting as Magistrate PJ Byrne also attend the Safe & Together Institute training currently rolling out through Courts across AUSTRALIA. After all, he is obligated under the rules for member states of the ICCPR, and he has a duty to go to hardship in recognising the abuse of a false witness and vexatious complaints that cause damages to an innocent man. In the duty of accepting and meeting this lawfully delivered demand, such essential training must be completed to have justice to be seen and seen to be done. In reviewing all 37 of the false witness claims against me, it is clear that no evidence is provided, and these claims have been falsely raised and fabricated using a non consented to and altered version of my name, further demonstration of vexatious action by Claudia Carmen Anton.

Terry, this was an evident attempt to breach Vienna Convention Article 27 *pacta sunt servanda* obligations. The agreements were attached to the application for the Domestic Violence Order, where one such agreement made it clear that there is no relationship between Claudia Carmen Anton and minister Keidrych.

Emergent Notice of Demand Re: International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) Faith-based Accommodation and complaint of restriction of freedoms

Of Agreement

That between Terry Gardiner and minister Keidrych the following points are agreed upon with no dispute to the facts (in the likeness; nature; and form of Civil rules of court "Notice to Admit"):

- The International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) is in force and ratified in AUSTRALIA
- Service Providers within AUSTRALIA, including the Queensland Magistrate Court(s), are obliged to comply with the supreme covenant of the land
- The offer to be a person in Article 16 of the ICCPR has been, as of minister Kiedrych's faith, waived/declined and rejected by minister Keidrych
- Protections of Article 18 have final standing in respect to minister Keidrych's inability to show respect to persons as an article 3 man exercising the rite of self-determination (resurrection) lest he risks becoming a sinner and losing his salvation. This fact has been protected through his grandfathered faith in Yahushuwah's blood as integrated and based on Her Majesty's authorised 1611 King James Version Bible (KJV) and her Coronation Oath to defend the faith!.

I realise you are an extremely busy man, so should there be no dispute over these facts within the next 20 calendar days, I will gather we are in agreement through the law of tacit consent, and I will gather witnesses to establish such agreement.

Again, I wish to thank you for taking the time to bear with me to review all the material in this letter and extend a hand of friendship. I also wish to offer an open invitation that we may meet virtually or physically to discuss and read the scriptures mentioned herein in peaceful fellowship as brothers in Yahushuwah.

Sincerely,

minister Keidrych



Emergent Notice of Demand Re: International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) Faith-based Accommodation and complaint of restriction of freedoms

Glossary:

Australian Legal Framework: Body of legislation incorporating: Ratified International Treaties; Constitution of the Commonwealth; Grandfathered legislation from the United Kingdom; & Royal Law

Common law: a collection of court decisions developed over hundreds of years by Australian superior courts, English courts and the courts of other countries that have similar legal systems to those of Australia and England <https://queenslandlawhandbook.org.au/the-queensland-law-handbook/the-australian-legal-system/where-law-comes-from/common-law/>

entity: any corporation (including any non-profit corporation), general partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, joint venture, estate, trust, company (including any company limited by shares, limited liability company or joint-stock company), firm, society or another enterprise, association, organisation or entity. <https://www.lawinsider.com/dictionary/entity>

fraud: Fraud offences in Queensland are judged as anything deceptive, dishonest, corrupt or unethical. <https://queenslandlawhandbook.org.au/the-queensland-law-handbook/offenders-and-victims/dishonesty-offences/fraud/>

ICCPR: International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Ratified August 13 1980, In Force from January 28 1993

KJV: Her Majesty's authorised 1611 King James Version Bible

law: (10 commandments) Exodus 20:2-17, Deuteronomy 5:6-21, (11th commandment) Deuteronomy 4:2, Deuteronomy 12:32

legal entity: the union of the acting entity and all other entities that control are controlled by or are under common control with that entity. For the purposes of this definition, "control" means (i) the power, direct or indirect, to cause the direction or management of such entity, whether by contract or otherwise, or (ii) ownership of fifty per cent (50%) or more of the outstanding shares, or (iii) beneficial ownership of such entity. <https://www.lawinsider.com/dictionary/legal-entity>

man: Genesis 1:27, 2:7 created in Gods image with a soul

minister: Ezra 7:23-26 as required for the understanding of Romans 13

moral law: see 'Law.'

OPCA: Organized Pseudolegal Commercial Argument

<https://www.albertacourts.ab.ca/jdb/2003-/qb/Family/2012/2012abqb0571ed1.pdf>

Queensland Court Oath/Affirmation:

<https://www.courts.qld.gov.au/court-users/practitioners/admissions/oaths-and-affirmations>

Royal Law: see 'Law.'

rule of law: Gods Law according to the KJV. Queen Elizabeth Coronation Oath 1953: Queen Elizabeth in her capacity as Defender of the Faith accepted: On presentation of the KJV, "these are the lively oracles of God, the life and government of Christian princes, this is the Royal law & the rule of law."

Treaty: A treaty is declared to be the supreme law of the land and is therefore obligatory on courts 1 Cranch, R. 103; 1 Wash