Badr Missaoui

#### Outline

- Kernel and local polynomial regression.
- Penalized regression.

▶ We are given *n* pairs of observations  $(X_1, Y_1),...,(X_n, Y_n)$  where

$$Y_i = r(X_i) + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

and

$$r(x) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X=x).$$

- ▶ If  $X_i$  are deterministic, we assume that  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .
- ▶ If  $X_i$  are random variables, we assume that  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  are independent of  $X_i$ .
- ▶ In the absence of any hypothesis on the function *r*, we are in the nonparametric framework.

- The simplest nonparametric estimator is the regressogram.
- ▶ Suppose that  $X_i$  are in the interval [a, b] and denote the bins by  $B_1, ..., B_m$ . Let  $k_j$  be the number of observations in bin  $B_i$ .
- Define

$$\hat{r}_n(x) = \frac{1}{k_j} \sum_{i: X_i \in B_i} Y_i = \bar{Y}_j \text{ for } x \in B_j$$

We can rewrite the estimator as

$$\hat{r}_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(x) Y_i$$

where  $\ell_i(x) = 1/k_i$  if  $X_i \in B_i$ , and  $\ell_i(x) = 0$  otherwise.

▶ In other words, the estimate  $\hat{r}_n$  is a step function obtained by averaging the  $Y_i$  over each bin

▶ Recall from our discussion of model selection that

$$R(h) = E(Y - \hat{r}_n(X))^2 = \sigma^2 + E(r(X) - \hat{r}_n(X))^2 = \sigma^2 + MSE$$

We can write the MSE as

$$MSE = \int bias^2(x)p(x)dx + \int var(x)p(x)dx$$

where

$$bias(x) = E(\hat{r}_n(x) - r(x))$$

and

$$var(x) = Variance(\hat{r}_n(x))$$

- When the data are oversmoothed, the bias term is large and the variance is small.
- ▶ When the data are undersmoothed, the opposite is true.
- This is called the bias-variance tradeoff.
- Minimizing risk corresponds to balancing bias and variance.

- ▶ Ideally, we would like to choose h to minimize R(h).
- ▶ R(h) depends on the unknown function r(x). We use instead the average residual sums of squares

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Y_{i}-\hat{r}_{n}(X_{i}))^{2}$$

to estimate R(h).

 We will estimate the risk using the leave-one-out cross validation which defined by

$$CV = \hat{R}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{r}_{n(-i)}(X_i))^2$$

where  $\hat{r}_{n(-i)}$  is the estimator obtained by omitting the  $i^{th}$  pair  $(X_i, Y_i)$ 

- ► There is a shortcut formula for computing  $\widehat{R}$  just like in linear regression.
- Let  $\hat{r}_n$  be a linear smoother. Then the leave-one-out cross-validation  $\hat{R}(h)$  can be written as

$$\widehat{R}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{Y_i - \widehat{r}_{n(-i)}(X_i)}{1 - L_{ii}} \right)^2$$

where  $L_{ii} = \ell_i(X_i)$ 

An alternative is to use generalized cross validation

$$GCV(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{Y_i - \hat{r}_n(X_i)}{1 - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{ii}} \right)^2$$

#### Kernel regression

▶ **Kernel** refers to any smooth function K such that  $K(x) \ge 0$  and

$$\int K(x)dx = 1, \quad \int xK(x)dx = 0$$

and

$$\sigma_K^2 = \int x^2 K(x) dx > 0$$

- Some commonly used kernels :
- the boxcar kernel :  $K(x) = \frac{1}{2}I_{|x| \le 1}$
- the Gaussian kernel :  $K(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^{2/2}}$

Kernel regression

Let h > 0 be a positive number (bandwidth). The Nadaraya-Watson kernel estimator is defined by

$$\hat{r}_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(x) Y_i$$

where

$$\ell_i(x) = \frac{K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_j}{h}\right)}$$

► In R, we suggest using the loess command or the locfit library

```
out = loess(y x,span=.25,degree=0)
lines(x,fitted(out))
```

```
out = locfit(y x, deg=0, alpha=c(0,h))
```

#### Kernel regression

▶ To do the cross-validation, create a vector bandwidths

```
h = (h<sub>1</sub>,...,h<sub>k</sub>)

h = c( ... put your values here ...)
k = length(h)
zero = rep(0,k)
H = cbind(zero,h)
out = gcvplot(yx,deg=0,alpha=H)
plot(out$df,out$values)
```

#### Kernel regression

- ▶ The choice of the kernel *K* is not too important.
- ▶ What does matter much is the choice of the bandwidth which controls the amount of smoothing. In general the bandwidth depends on the sample size  $(h_n)$ .
- ▶ We assume that f is the density of  $x_1, ..., x_n$ .
- The risk of the Nadaraya-Watson kernel estimator is

$$R(h_n) = \frac{h_n^4}{4} \left( \int x^2 K(x) dx \right)^2 \int \left( r''(x) + 2r'(x) \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right)^2 dx + \frac{\sigma^2 \int K^2(x) dx}{nh_n} \int \frac{1}{f(x)} dx + o(n^{-1}h_n) + o(h_n^4)$$

as 
$$h_n \to 0$$
 and  $nh_n \to \infty$ 

### Kernel regression

What is especially notable is the presence of the term

$$2r'(x)\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}$$

- ► This means that the bias is sensitive to the position's of the X<sub>i</sub>s.
- If we differentiate R with respect to h<sub>n</sub> and set the result to 0, we find that the optimal h<sub>\*</sub> is

$$h_* = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/5} \left(\frac{\sigma^2 \int K^2(x) dx \int \frac{1}{f(x)} dx}{\left(\int x^2 K(x) dx\right)^2 \int \left(r''(x) + 2r'(x) \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)^2 dx}\right)^{1/5}$$

### Kernel regression

- ▶ Thus,  $h_* = n^{-1/5}$ . The risk  $R_{h_n}$  decreases at rate  $(n^{-4/5})$
- In practice we cannot not use the formula of h<sub>∗</sub> mentioned above since it depends on the unknown function r.
- Instead, we use leave-one-out cross-validation.

#### Local Polynomials

- Kernel estimators suffer from design bias.
- These problem can be alleviated by using a local polynomial regression.
- ► The idea is to approximate a smooth regression function r(u) in the target value x by the polynomial :

$$r(u) \sim P_x(u; a)$$

where

$$P_x(u;a) = a_0 + a_1(u-x) + \frac{a_2}{2!}(u-x)^2 + ... + \frac{a_p}{p!}(u-x)^p.$$

• We estimate  $a = (a_0, ..., a_p)^T$  by minimizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(x) (Y_i - P_x(u; a))^2$$

#### Local Polynomials

- ▶ To find  $\hat{a}(x)$ , it is helpful to re-express the problem in matrix notation.
- Let

$$X_{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1} - x & \cdots & \frac{(x_{1} - x)^{p}}{p!} \\ 1 & x_{2} - x & \cdots & \frac{(x_{2} - x)^{p}}{p!} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n} - x & \cdots & \frac{(x_{n} - x)^{p}}{p!} \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $W_X = diag\{w_i(x)\}_i$ 

we can write then the problem as

$$(Y - X_X a)^T W_X (Y - X_X a)$$

Minimizing this gives the weighted least squares estimator

$$a(x) = (X_x^T W_x X_x)^{-1} X_x^T W_x Y$$

#### Local Polynomials

The local polynomial regression estimate is

$$\hat{r}_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i(x) Y_i$$

where 
$$\ell(x)^T = (\ell_1(x), ..., \ell_n(x)),$$

$$\ell(x)^T = e_1^T (X_x^T W_x X_x)^{-1} X_x^T W_x$$

$$e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0)^T$$
.

► The R code is the same except we use deg=1 for local linear, deg=2 for quadratic

```
loess(y x,deg=1,span=h)
locfit(y x,deg = 1,alpha=c(0,h))
```

#### Local Polynomials

Let  $Y_i = r(X_i) + \sigma(X_i)\varepsilon_i$  for i = 1, ..., n and  $a < X_i < b$ . Assume that  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are a sample from a distribution with density f and that (i) f(x) > 0, (ii) f, r'' and  $\sigma^2$  are continuous in a neighborhood of x, and (iii)  $h_n \to 0$  and  $nh_n \to 0$ .

The local linear estimator has a variance

$$\frac{\sigma^2(x)}{f(x)nh_n}\int K^2(x)dx + o(1/nh_n)$$

and has an asymptotic bias

$$h_n^2 \frac{1}{2} r''(x) \int x^2 K(x) dx + o(h^2)$$

► Thus, the local linear estimator is free from design bias.

#### Penalized Regression

► Consider polynomial regression

$$Y = \sum_{j=0}^{p} \beta_j x^j + \varepsilon$$

or

$$\hat{r}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \hat{\beta}_{i} x^{j}$$

We have the design matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \cdots & x_1^p \\ 1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_2^p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & \cdots & x_n^p \end{pmatrix}$$

### Penalized Regression

▶ Least squares minimizes

$$(Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta),$$

which implies  $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ 

The ridge regression aims to minimize

$$(Y - X\beta)^T (Y - X\beta) + \lambda \beta^T \beta$$

then 
$$\tilde{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T Y$$

### Penalized Regression

An alternative way is to minimize the penalized sums of squares

$$M(\lambda) = \sum_{i} (Y_i - \hat{r}_n(X_i))^2 + \lambda J(r)$$

where

$$J(r) = \int (r''(x))^2 dx$$

is the roughness penalty.

- This penalty leads to a solution that favors smoother functions.
- ▶ The parameter  $\lambda$  controls the amount of smoothness.

### Penalized Regression

- The most commonly used splines are piecewise cubic splines.
- Let  $\xi_1 < ... < \xi_k$  be a set of ordered point -called **knots**-contained in some interval (a, b). A **cubic spline** is a continuous function r such that (i) r is a cubic polynomial over  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ , ... and r has continuous first and second derivatives at the knots.
- A spline that is linear beyond the boundary knots is called a natural spline.

#### **Theorem**

The function  $\hat{r}_n(x)$  that minimizes  $M(\lambda)$  is a natural cubic spline with knots the data points. The estimator  $\hat{r}_n$  is called a **smoothing spline**.

#### Penalized Regression

- ▶ The theorem above does not give you an explicit form for  $\hat{r}_n$
- We will construct a basis for for the set of splines (cubic B-spline).

$$B_{i,0}(t) = 1_{t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]}$$

$$B_{i,d}(t) = \frac{t - t_i}{t_{i+d} - t_i} B_{i,d-1}(t) + \frac{t_{i+d+1} - t}{t_{i+d+1} - t_{i+1}} B_{i+1,d-1}(t)$$

Without the penalty, the B-spline basis interpolate the data and therefore provide a perfect fit to the data.

#### Penalized Regression

Now, we can write

$$\hat{r}_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\beta}_j B_j(x)$$

where  $B_i(x)$  are the basis vectors for the B-splines.

We follow the pattern of polynomial regression

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1(x_1) & \cdots & B_n(x_1) \\ B_1(x_2) & \cdots & B_n(x_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_1(x_n) & \cdots & B_n(x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

### Penalized Regression

▶ We can rewrite the problem as follows :

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} (Y - B\beta)^{T} (Y - B\beta) + \lambda \beta^{T} \Omega \beta$$

where  $B_{ij} = [B_j(X_i)]_{ij}$  and  $\Omega_{jk} = \int B_j''(x)B_k''(x)dx$ .

▶ The solution is

$$\tilde{\beta} = (B^T B + \lambda \Omega)^{-1} B^T Y$$

and

$$\hat{Y} = LY$$

where

$$L = B(B^TB + \lambda\Omega)^{-1}B^T$$

- ▶ We define the effective degree of freedom by df = trace(L), and we choose  $\lambda$  using the GCV or CV.
- ► In R,

```
out=smooth.spline(x,y,df=10,cv=TRUE)
lines(x,out$y)
```

### Penalized Regression

In more general case, we will assume that r admits an expansion series wrt to a orthonormal basis (i)i such that

$$r(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j \phi_j(x)$$

We will approximate r by

$$r_J(x) = \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \phi_j(x)$$

► The number of terms J will be our smoothing parameter. Our estimate is  $\hat{r}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{\beta}_j \phi_j(x)$ .

### Penalized Regression

▶ The estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  is

$$\hat{\beta} = (U^T U)^{-1} U^T Y$$

where  $U = [\phi_i(X_i)]_{ij}$  and  $\hat{Y} = SY$  where  $S = U(U^TU)^{-1}U^T$ 

- ▶ We can choose *J* by cross validation.
- Note that trace(S) = J so the GCV takes the simple form

$$GCV(J) = \frac{SSE}{n} \frac{1}{(1 - J/n)^2}$$

Penalized Regression : Variance estimation

Theorem

Let  $\hat{r}_n$  be a linear smoother. Let

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{r}(X_i))^2}{n - 2\nu + \hat{\nu}}$$

where  $\nu = \operatorname{tr}(L)$ ,  $\hat{\nu} = \operatorname{tr}(L^T L)$ .

If r are sufficiently smooth,  $\nu = o(n)$  and  $\hat{\nu} = o(n)$  then  $\hat{\sigma^2}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .