STAT3811/3955 Survival Analysis Assignment 1

Kei Ikegami

February 22, 2017

1 Q1

1.1 (a)

$$\begin{split} E[T|T>t] &= \int_t^\infty T f(T|T>t) \mathrm{d}T = \int_t^\infty T \frac{f(T)}{1-F(t)} \mathrm{d}T \\ &= \frac{1}{1-F(t)} \left(\int_0^\infty T f(T) \mathrm{d}T - \int_0^t T f(T) \mathrm{d}T \right) = \frac{1}{1-F(t)} \left(\mu - tF(t) + \int_0^t F(T) \mathrm{d}T \right) \end{split}$$

So I get the below derivative of m(t).

$$m'(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - F(t))^2} \left\{ (-F(t) - tf(t) + F(t)) (1 - F(t)) + (\mu - tF(t) + \int_0^t F(T)dT)f(t) \right\} - 1$$

Then the following calculation leads to the result.

$$\frac{1+m^{'}(t)}{m(t)} = \frac{f(t)\left(\mu - tF(t) + \int_{0}^{t} F(T)dT - t(1-F(t))\right)}{(1-F(t))\left(\mu - tF(t) + \int_{0}^{t} F(T)dT\right) - t(1-F(t))^{2}} = \frac{f(t)}{1-F(t)} = \lambda(t)$$

1.2 (b)

Since $\int_0^t F(T) dT = \int_0^t (-S(T) + 1) dT = -\int_0^t S(T) dT + t$, then

$$m(t) = \frac{1}{S(t)} \int_0^\infty (T - t) f(T) dT = \frac{1}{S(t)} \left(\int_0^\infty (T - t) f(T) dT - \int_0^t (T - t) f(T) dT \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{S(t)} \left(\mu - t + \int_0^t F(T) dT \right) = \frac{1}{S(t)} \left(\mu - \int_0^t S(u) du \right)$$

Now, when T has an exponential distribution with $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$,

$$m(t) = \exp(\lambda t) \left(\mu + \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp(-\lambda t) - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \mu$$

because $\int_0^\infty t\lambda \exp(-\lambda t) dt = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

1.3 (c)

First I consider the mean,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} m(t) = \lim_{t\to 0} E[T|T>t] = E[T] = 1$$

Now let $\delta = med(T)$, then $F(\delta) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda(\delta) = \frac{2}{\delta+1}$ due to (a). Then by using (b) I get the below calculation.

$$\frac{2}{\delta+1} = 2\left(1 - \int_0^{\delta} (1 - F(u)) du\right) \Leftrightarrow \int_0^{\delta} (1 - F(u)) du = \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}$$

By taking derivative of both sides about δ , I get the result as follows.

$$1 - F(\delta) = \frac{1}{(\delta + 1)^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{(\delta + 1)^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \delta = \sqrt{2} - 1$$

1.4 (d)

First I have the below representation of m(t).

$$m(t)\frac{\mu - \int_0^t S(u) du}{S(t)} = \frac{\int_t^\infty S(u) du}{S(t)}$$

Since the limits of the both of enumerator and denominator are 0 as $t \to \infty$. By using L'Hopital's rule twice, I get the below result,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} m(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{-S(t)}{-f(t)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{-f'(t)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \log f(t) \right)^{-1}$$

1.5 (e)

In this case, $f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma t} \exp(\frac{\log t - \mu}{2\sigma^2})$, I use (d) to get the result.

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\log f(t)\right)^{-1} = -\frac{f(t)}{f'(t)} = -\frac{\sigma^2 t}{\mu - \log t - \sigma^2}$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} -\frac{\sigma^2 t}{\mu - \log t - \sigma^2} = \lim_{t \to \infty} -\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{t}} = \infty$$

2 Q3

$2.1 \quad (a)$

By definition, S(t|z) = 1 - F(t|z). Thus I calculate F(t|z) as follows.

$$F(t|z) = \Pr(Y \le \log t|z) = \Pr(w \le \frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma}|z)$$

Because $\int_{-\infty}^{\omega} \frac{\exp(u)}{(1+\exp(u))^2} du = \frac{\exp(\omega)}{1+\exp(\omega)}$, then by the above calculation,

$$S(t|z) = 1 - F(t|z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma})}$$

$2.2 \quad (b)$

By (a),

$$\frac{S(t|z)}{1 - S(t|z)} = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma})} = \exp\left(-\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma}\right)$$

2.3 (c)

By (b), let $Odds_i$ be the odds for z_i ,

$$\frac{Odds_1}{Odds_2} = \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right)$$

And this odds ratio is independent of t.

3 Q5

3.1 (a)

Just calculate as follows,

$$P(T_i < C_i) = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^c \lambda \exp(-\lambda t) dt \right) \theta \exp(-\theta c) dc = 1 - \int_0^\infty \theta \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)c) dc$$
$$= 1 - \frac{\theta}{\theta + \lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\theta + \lambda}$$

Then the probability distribution of δ is

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } \frac{\theta}{\lambda + \theta} \\ \text{otherwise} & \text{with probability } 0 \end{cases}$$

3.2 (b)

Let $F_Y(y)$, $f_Y(y)$ be the distribution function and probability distribution function of Y. Then, due to the independence of T and C,

$$1 - F_Y(y) = 1 - \Pr(\min(T, C) < y) = \Pr(y \le \min(T, C)) = \Pr(y \le T)\Pr(y \le C) = \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y)$$

Thus I get $F_Y(y) = 1 - \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y)$, which means Y has a exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda + \theta$.

3.3 (c)

Consider the marginal distribution of Y when $\delta = 1$ as follows

$$f(Y, \delta = 1) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\Pr(y \le Y \le y + h, \delta = 1)}{h}$$

Now the denominator of this can be decomposed, because of the independence of T, C.

$$\begin{split} \Pr(y \leq Y \leq y + h, \delta = 1) &= \Pr(y \leq Y \leq y + h, T < C) = \Pr(y \leq T \leq y + h, y \leq C) \\ &= \Pr(y \leq T \leq y + h) \Pr(y \leq C) = \exp(-\lambda y) (1 - \exp(-\lambda h)) \exp(-\theta y) \end{split}$$

Then, by using L'Hopital rule, the marginal distribution is

$$f(Y, \delta = 1) = \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y) \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1 - \exp(-\lambda h)}{h}$$
$$= \lambda \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}\right) (\lambda + \theta) \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y)$$

The same is true of $\delta = 0$ case, so the joint probability distribution function is expressed as the multiplication of random variable's probability distribution function. This means that the two random variables are independent from each pther.

$3.4 \quad (d)$

Consider the distribution function of W_2 , denote its distribution function as $F_W(w)$,

$$F_W(w) = \Pr(T_1 + T_2 < w) = \Pr(T_1 < w - T_2)$$

$$= \int_0^w \left(\int_0^{w - t_2} \lambda \exp(-\lambda t_1) dt_1 \right) \lambda \exp(-\lambda t_2) dt_2 = (1 - \exp(-\lambda w)) - \int_0^w \lambda \exp(-\lambda w) dt_2$$

$$= 1 - \exp(-\lambda w) - w\lambda \exp(-\lambda w)$$

Then, by taking derivative of the above, I get the pdf $f(w) = \lambda^2 w \exp(-\lambda w)$. And the same way shows that W_m has an gamma distribution with the parameter m, λ . i.e.

$$f(w_m) = \frac{\lambda^m (w_m)^{m-1} \exp(-\lambda w_m)}{\Gamma(m)}$$

3.5 (e)

Let L be the likelihood, then

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[(\lambda \exp(-\lambda y_i))^{\delta_i} (\exp(-\lambda y_i))^{1-\delta_i} \right] \left[(\theta \exp(-\theta y_i))^{\delta_i} (\exp(-\theta y_i))^{1-\delta_i} \right]$$

Let l be the loglikelihood, then

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i (\log \lambda - \lambda y_i - \theta y_i) + (1 - \delta_i) (\log \theta - \lambda y_i - \theta y_i)$$
$$= n\log \theta - (\lambda + \theta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i + \log \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i$$

Thus the MLE is obtained as follows.

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i + \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \lambda = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}$$

$3.6 \quad (f)$

$$E[\hat{\lambda}] = E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}\right] (E[\delta_1] + E[\delta_2] + \dots + E[\delta_n]) = E\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}\right] \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}$$

The last equality is from that $E[\delta_i] = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}$ for all i. Now, from (d), the last expectation can be calculated as follows. (let z represent the summation of y)

$$E\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z} \frac{(\lambda + \theta)^{n} z^{n-1} \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)z)}{\Gamma(n)} dz$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} (\lambda + \theta) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + \theta)^{n-1} z^{(n-1)-1} \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)z)}{\Gamma(n-1)} dz$$

$$= \frac{\lambda + \theta}{n-1}$$

By the above computation, we get $E[\hat{\lambda}] = \frac{\lambda + \theta}{n-1} \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda + \theta} = \frac{n\lambda}{n-1}$. And the limit is clearly λ .

$3.7 \quad (g)$

By using (f)'s notation, and from the previous results, I get

$$Var(\delta_1) = \frac{\lambda \theta}{(\lambda + \theta)^2}$$

$$E[(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i)^2] = Var(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i) + (E[\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i])^2 = n \ Var(\delta_1) + (\frac{n\lambda}{\lambda + \theta})^2 = \frac{n\lambda \theta + n^2 \lambda^2}{(\lambda + \theta)^2}$$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{z^2}\right] = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{(\lambda + \theta)^n z^{n-1} \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)z)}{\Gamma(n)} dz = \frac{(\lambda + \theta)^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}$$

Then the result is as follows.

$$Var(\hat{\lambda}) = E[\hat{\lambda}^2] - (E[\hat{\lambda}])^2 = E\left[\frac{1}{(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^2}\right] E[(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i)^2] - (E[\hat{\lambda}])^2$$
$$= \frac{n\lambda\theta + n^2\lambda^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} - \frac{n^2\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2}$$

3.8 (h)

First I have to calculate the asymptotic variance of this estimator, which is the inverse of the expected Fisher information from the available data. By using the loglikelihood, the Fisher information of a data point about λ is as follows.

$$I(\lambda) = E\left[-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2}(\log\theta - \lambda y_i - \theta y_i + \delta_i \log\frac{\lambda}{\theta})\right]$$
$$= E\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(-y_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda})\right]$$
$$= E[\delta_i\lambda^{-2}] = \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda + \theta)}$$

Then the Fisher information of full data is the summation of a data point ones, and from consistency and asymptotic normality of MLE, the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\lambda}$ is

$$\hat{\lambda} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} N\left(\lambda, \frac{\lambda(\lambda + \theta)}{n}\right)$$

Then by standardization.

$$\frac{\hat{\lambda} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda(\lambda + \theta)}{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Then, let z_{α} be the 97.5% quantile, since normal distribution is symmetric, in large sample,

$$\Pr\left(-z_{\alpha} \le \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda + \theta)}} \le z_{\alpha}\right) = 0.95$$

Thus the 95% confidence interval for λ is $\left[\hat{\lambda} - z_{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\lambda} + \theta)}{n}}, \hat{\lambda} + z_{\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\lambda} + \theta)}{n}}\right]$, because of continuous mapping theorem.

3.9 (i)

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}$$

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{n\theta}{n-1}$$

$$Var(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n\lambda\theta + n^{2}\theta^{2}}{(n-1)(n-2)} - \frac{n^{2}\theta^{2}}{(n-1)^{2}}$$

3.10 (j)

In order to utilize the data about δ_i , I use the inverse of the observed Fisher information for the variance of each estimator. The observed Fisher information of λ is as follows.

$$\sum -\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2}(\log \theta - (\lambda + \theta)y_i + \delta_i \log \frac{\lambda}{\theta})\right) = \frac{\sum \delta_i}{\lambda} = \frac{6}{\lambda^2}$$

And the same calculation shows the observed Fisher information of θ is $\frac{4}{\theta^2}$.

Now from the setting $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{10}$, $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{15}$. By the invariance of MLE, the estimator of $\lambda + \theta$ is $\hat{\lambda} + \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{6}$. Furthermore I have $\hat{\lambda} \sim N(\lambda, \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{6})$ and $\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, \frac{\hat{\theta}}{4})$, then by the normal distribution's reproductive property I get $\hat{\lambda} + \hat{\theta} \sim N(\lambda + \theta, \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{6} + \frac{\hat{\theta}}{4})$. This standard deviation is $\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{6} + \frac{\hat{\theta}}{4}} = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{60}$.

Then the 95% confidence interval is $\left[\frac{1}{6}-z_{\alpha}\frac{\sqrt{10}}{60},\frac{1}{6}+z_{\alpha}\frac{\sqrt{10}}{60}\right]$