

# STAT3811/3955 Survival Analysis

## Assignment 1

Kei Ikegami

February 22, 2017

### 1 Q1

#### 1.1 (a)

$$\begin{aligned} E[T|T > t] &= \int_t^\infty T f(T|T > t) dT = \int_t^\infty T \frac{f(T)}{1 - F(t)} dT \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} \left( \int_0^\infty T f(T) dT - \int_0^t T f(T) dT \right) = \frac{1}{1 - F(t)} \left( \mu - tF(t) + \int_0^t F(T) dT \right) \end{aligned}$$

So I get the below derivative of  $m(t)$ .

$$m'(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - F(t))^2} \left\{ (-F(t) - t f(t) + F(t)) (1 - F(t)) + (\mu - tF(t) + \int_0^t F(T) dT) f(t) \right\} - 1$$

Then the following calculation leads to the result.

$$\frac{1 + m'(t)}{m(t)} = \frac{f(t) \left( \mu - tF(t) + \int_0^t F(T) dT - t(1 - F(t)) \right)}{(1 - F(t)) \left( \mu - tF(t) + \int_0^t F(T) dT \right) - t(1 - F(t))^2} = \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)} = \lambda(t)$$

#### 1.2 (b)

Since  $\int_0^t F(T) dT = \int_0^t (-S(T) + 1) dT = -\int_0^t S(T) dT + t$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &= \frac{1}{S(t)} \int_0^\infty (T - t) f(T) dT = \frac{1}{S(t)} \left( \int_0^\infty (T - t) f(T) dT - \int_0^t (T - t) f(T) dT \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{S(t)} \left( \mu - t + \int_0^t F(T) dT \right) = \frac{1}{S(t)} \left( \mu - \int_0^t S(u) du \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, when  $T$  has an exponential distribution with  $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,

$$m(t) = \exp(\lambda t) \left( \mu + \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp(-\lambda t) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \mu$$

because  $\int_0^\infty t \lambda \exp(-\lambda t) dt = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

#### 1.3 (c)

First I consider the mean,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} m(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E[T|T > t] = E[T] = 1$$

Now let  $\delta = \text{med}(T)$ , then  $F(\delta) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda(\delta) = \frac{2}{\delta+1}$  due to (a). Then by using (b) I get the below calculation.

$$\frac{2}{\delta+1} = 2 \left( 1 - \int_0^\delta (1 - F(u)) du \right) \Leftrightarrow \int_0^\delta (1 - F(u)) du = \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}$$

By taking derivative of both sides about  $\delta$ , I get the result as follows.

$$1 - F(\delta) = \frac{1}{(\delta+1)^2} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{(\delta+1)^2} \Leftrightarrow \delta = \sqrt{2} - 1$$

## 1.4 (d)

First I have the below representation of  $m(t)$ .

$$m(t) \frac{\mu - \int_0^t S(u) du}{S(t)} = \frac{\int_t^\infty S(u) du}{S(t)}$$

Since the limits of the both of enumerator and denominator are 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . By using L'Hopital's rule twice, I get the below result,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-S(t)}{-f(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{-f'(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( -\frac{d}{dt} \log f(t) \right)^{-1}$$

## 1.5 (e)

In this case,  $f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma t}} \exp(\frac{\log t - \mu}{2\sigma^2})$ , I use (d) to get the result.

$$\begin{aligned} \left( -\frac{d}{dt} \log f(t) \right)^{-1} &= -\frac{f(t)}{f'(t)} = -\frac{\sigma^2 t}{\mu - \log t - \sigma^2} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{\sigma^2 t}{\mu - \log t - \sigma^2} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{t}} = \infty \end{aligned}$$

# 2 Q3

## 2.1 (a)

By definition,  $S(t|z) = 1 - F(t|z)$ . Thus I calculate  $F(t|z)$  as follows.

$$F(t|z) = \Pr(Y \leq \log t | z) = \Pr(w \leq \frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma} | z)$$

Because  $\int_{-\infty}^\omega \frac{\exp(u)}{(1+\exp(u))^2} du = \frac{\exp(\omega)}{1+\exp(\omega)}$ , then by the above calculation,

$$S(t|z) = 1 - F(t|z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma})}$$

## 2.2 (b)

By (a),

$$\frac{S(t|z)}{1 - S(t|z)} = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma})} = \exp\left(-\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma}\right)$$

## 2.3 (c)

By (b), let  $Odds_i$  be the odds for  $z_i$ ,

$$\frac{Odds_1}{Odds_2} = \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right)$$

And this odds ratio is independent of  $t$ .

### 3 Q5

#### 3.1 (a)

Just calculate as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} P(T_i < C_i) &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^c \lambda \exp(-\lambda t) dt \right) \theta \exp(-\theta c) dc = 1 - \int_0^\infty \theta \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)c) dc \\ &= 1 - \frac{\theta}{\theta + \lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\theta + \lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Then the probability distribution of  $\delta$  is

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } \frac{\theta}{\lambda + \theta} \\ \text{otherwise} & \text{with probability } 0 \end{cases}$$

#### 3.2 (b)

Let  $F_Y(y)$ ,  $f_Y(y)$  be the distribution function and probability distribution function of  $Y$ . Then, due to the independence of  $T$  and  $C$ ,

$$1 - F_Y(y) = 1 - \Pr(\min(T, C) < y) = \Pr(y \leq \min(T, C)) = \Pr(y \leq T) \Pr(y \leq C) = \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y)$$

Thus I get  $F_Y(y) = 1 - \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y)$ , which means  $Y$  has a exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda + \theta$ .

#### 3.3 (c)

Consider the marginal distribution of  $Y$  when  $\delta = 1$  as follows,

$$f(Y, \delta = 1) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Pr(y \leq Y \leq y + h, \delta = 1)}{h}$$

Now the denominator of this can be decomposed, because of the independence of  $T, C$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(y \leq Y \leq y + h, \delta = 1) &= \Pr(y \leq Y \leq y + h, T < C) = \Pr(y \leq T \leq y + h, y \leq C) \\ &= \Pr(y \leq T \leq y + h) \Pr(y \leq C) = \exp(-\lambda y) (1 - \exp(-\lambda h)) \exp(-\theta y) \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using L'Hopital rule, the marginal distribution is

$$\begin{aligned} f(Y, \delta = 1) &= \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - \exp(-\lambda h)}{h} \\ &= \lambda \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta} \right) (\lambda + \theta) \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y) \end{aligned}$$

The same is true of  $\delta = 0$  case, so the joint probability distribution function is expressed as the multiplication of random variable's probability distribution function. This means that the two random variables are independent from each pther.

#### 3.4 (d)

Consider the distribution function of  $W_2$ , denote its distribution function as  $F_W(w)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_W(w) &= \Pr(T_1 + T_2 < w) = \Pr(T_1 < w - T_2) \\ &= \int_0^w \left( \int_0^{w-t_2} \lambda \exp(-\lambda t_1) dt_1 \right) \lambda \exp(-\lambda t_2) dt_2 = (1 - \exp(-\lambda w)) - \int_0^w \lambda \exp(-\lambda w) dt_2 \\ &= 1 - \exp(-\lambda w) - w \lambda \exp(-\lambda w) \end{aligned}$$

Then, by taking derivative of the above, I get the pdf  $f(w) = \lambda^2 w \exp(-\lambda w)$ . And the same way shows that  $W_m$  has an gamma distribution with the parameter  $m, \lambda$ . i.e.

$$f(w_m) = \frac{\lambda^m (w_m)^{m-1} \exp(-\lambda w_m)}{\Gamma(m)}$$

### 3.5 (e)

Let  $L$  be the likelihood, then

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n [(\lambda \exp(-\lambda y_i))^{\delta_i} (\exp(-\lambda y_i))^{1-\delta_i}] [(\theta \exp(-\theta y_i))^{\delta_i} (\exp(-\theta y_i))^{1-\delta_i}]$$

Let  $l$  be the loglikelihood, then

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i (\log \lambda - \lambda y_i - \theta y_i) + (1 - \delta_i) (\log \theta - \lambda y_i - \theta y_i) \\ &= n \log \theta - (\lambda + \theta) \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + \log \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus the MLE is obtained as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} = 0 &\Leftrightarrow -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + \frac{\theta}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \end{aligned}$$

### 3.6 (f)

$$E[\hat{\lambda}] = E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}\right] (E[\delta_1] + E[\delta_2] + \dots + E[\delta_n]) = E\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}\right] \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}$$

The last equality is from that  $E[\delta_i] = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}$  for all  $i$ . Now, from (d), the last expectation can be calculated as follows. (let  $z$  represent the summation of  $y$ )

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}\right] &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{z} \frac{(\lambda + \theta)^n z^{n-1} \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)z)}{\Gamma(n)} dz \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n)} (\lambda + \theta) \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda + \theta)^{n-1} z^{(n-1)-1} \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)z)}{\Gamma(n-1)} dz \\ &= \frac{\lambda + \theta}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

By the above computation, we get  $E[\hat{\lambda}] = \frac{\lambda + \theta}{n-1} \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda + \theta} = \frac{n\lambda}{n-1}$ . And the limit is clearly  $\lambda$ .

### 3.7 (g)

By using (f)'s notation, and from the previous results, I get

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\delta_1) &= \frac{\lambda\theta}{(\lambda + \theta)^2} \\ E[(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i)^2] &= Var(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i) + (E[\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i])^2 = n Var(\delta_1) + (\frac{n\lambda}{\lambda + \theta})^2 = \frac{n\lambda\theta + n^2\lambda^2}{(\lambda + \theta)^2} \\ E\left[\frac{1}{z^2}\right] &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{(\lambda + \theta)^n z^{n-1} \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)z)}{\Gamma(n)} dz = \frac{(\lambda + \theta)^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \end{aligned}$$

Then the result is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\hat{\lambda}) &= E[\hat{\lambda}^2] - (E[\hat{\lambda}])^2 = E\left[\frac{1}{(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i)^2}\right] E[(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i)^2] - (E[\hat{\lambda}])^2 \\ &= \frac{n\lambda\theta + n^2\lambda^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} - \frac{n^2\lambda^2}{(n-1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

### 3.8 (h)

First I have to calculate the asymptotic variance of this estimator, which is the inverse of the expected Fisher information from the available data. By using the loglikelihood, the Fisher information of a data point about  $\lambda$  is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} I(\lambda) &= E \left[ -\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} (\log \theta - \lambda y_i - \theta y_i + \delta_i \log \frac{\lambda}{\theta}) \right] \\ &= E \left[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} (-y_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\lambda}) \right] \\ &= E[\delta_i \lambda^{-2}] = \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda + \theta)} \end{aligned}$$

Then the Fisher information of full data is the summation of a data point ones, and from consistency and asymptotic normality of MLE, the asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{\lambda}$  is

$$\hat{\lambda} \xrightarrow{d} N \left( \lambda, \frac{\lambda(\lambda + \theta)}{n} \right)$$

Then by standardization,

$$\frac{\hat{\lambda} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda(\lambda + \theta)}{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

Then, let  $z_\alpha$  be the 97.5% quantile, since normal distribution is symmetric, in large sample,

$$\Pr \left( -z_\alpha \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda + \theta)}} \leq z_\alpha \right) = 0.95$$

Thus the 95% confidence interval for  $\lambda$  is  $\left[ \hat{\lambda} - z_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\lambda} + \theta)}{n}}, \hat{\lambda} + z_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\lambda} + \theta)}{n}} \right]$ , because of continuous mapping theorem.

### 3.9 (i)

### 3.10 (j)