# STAT3811/3955 Survival Analysis Assignment 1

Kei Ikegami

February 22, 2017

# 1 Q1

## 1.1 (a)

$$\begin{split} E[T|T>t] &= \int_t^\infty T f(T|T>t) \mathrm{d}T = \int_t^\infty T \frac{f(T)}{1-F(t)} \mathrm{d}T \\ &= \frac{1}{1-F(t)} \left( \int_0^\infty T f(T) \mathrm{d}T - \int_0^t T f(T) \mathrm{d}T \right) = \frac{1}{1-F(t)} \left( \mu - t F(t) + \int_0^t F(T) \mathrm{d}T \right) \end{split}$$

So I get the below derivative of m(t).

$$m'(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - F(t))^2} \left\{ (-F(t) - tf(t) + F(t)) (1 - F(t)) + (\mu - tF(t) + \int_0^t F(T) dT) f(t) \right\} - 1$$

Then the following calculation leads to the result.

$$\frac{1+m^{'}(t)}{m(t)} = \frac{f(t)\left(\mu - tF(t) + \int_{0}^{t} F(T)dT - t(1-F(t))\right)}{(1-F(t))\left(\mu - tF(t) + \int_{0}^{t} F(T)dT\right) - t(1-F(t))^{2}} = \frac{f(t)}{1-F(t)} = \lambda(t)$$

#### 1.2 (b)

Since  $\int_0^t F(T) dT = \int_0^t (-S(T) + 1) dT = -\int_0^t S(T) dT + t$ , then

$$m(t) = \frac{1}{S(t)} \int_0^\infty (T - t) f(T) dT = \frac{1}{S(t)} \left( \int_0^\infty (T - t) f(T) dT - \int_0^t (T - t) f(T) dT \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{S(t)} \left( \mu - t + \int_0^t F(T) dT \right) = \frac{1}{S(t)} \left( \mu - \int_0^t S(u) du \right)$$

Now, when T has an exponential distribution with  $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,

$$m(t) = \exp(\lambda t) \left(\mu + \frac{1}{\lambda} \exp(-\lambda t) - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \mu$$

because  $\int_0^\infty t\lambda \exp(-\lambda t) dt = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

# 1.3 (c)

First I consider the mean,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} m(t) = \lim_{t\to 0} E[T|T>t] = E[T] = 1$$

Now let  $\delta = med(T)$ , then  $F(\delta) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda(\delta) = \frac{2}{\delta+1}$  due to (a). Then by using (b) I get the below calculation.

$$\frac{2}{\delta+1} = 2\left(1 - \int_0^{\delta} (1 - F(u)) du\right) \Leftrightarrow \int_0^{\delta} (1 - F(u)) du = \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}$$

By taking derivative of both sides about  $\delta$ , I get the result as follows:

$$1 - F(\delta) = \frac{1}{(\delta + 1)^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{(\delta + 1)^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \delta = \sqrt{2} - 1$$

## 1.4 (d)

First I have the below representation of m(t).

$$m(t)\frac{\mu - \int_0^t S(u) du}{S(t)} = \frac{\int_t^\infty S(u) du}{S(t)}$$

Since the limits of the both of enumerator and denominator are 0 as  $t \to \infty$ . By using L'Hopital's rule twice, I get the below result,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} m(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{-S(t)}{-f(t)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{-f'(t)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left( -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \log f(t) \right)^{-1}$$

## 1.5 (e)

In this case,  $f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma t} \exp(\frac{\log t - \mu}{2\sigma^2})$ , I use (d) to get the result.

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\log f(t)\right)^{-1} = -\frac{f(t)}{f'(t)} = -\frac{\sigma^2 t}{\mu - \log t - \sigma^2}$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} -\frac{\sigma^2 t}{\mu - \log t - \sigma^2} = \lim_{t \to \infty} -\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{t}} = \infty$$

## 2 Q3

#### 2.1 (a)

By definition, S(t|z) = 1 - F(t|z). Thus I calculate F(t|z) as follows.

$$F(t|z) = \Pr(Y \le \log t|z) = \Pr(w \le \frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma}|z)$$

Because  $\int_{-\infty}^{\omega} \frac{\exp(u)}{(1+\exp(u))^2} du = \frac{\exp(\omega)}{1+\exp(\omega)}$ , then by the above calculation,

$$S(t|z) = 1 - F(t|z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma})}$$

#### $2.2 \quad (b)$

By (a),

$$\frac{S(t|z)}{1 - S(t|z)} = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma})} = \exp\left(-\frac{\log t - \mu - \beta z}{\sigma}\right)$$

#### 2.3 (c)

By (b), let  $Odds_i$  be the odds for  $z_i$ ,

$$\frac{Odds_1}{Odds_2} = \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right)$$

And this odds ratio is independent of t.

## 3 Q5

#### 3.1 (a)

Just calculate as follows,

$$P(T_i < C_i) = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^c \lambda \exp(-\lambda t) dt \right) \theta \exp(-\theta c) dc = 1 - \int_0^\infty \theta \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)c) dc$$
$$= 1 - \frac{\theta}{\theta + \lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\theta + \lambda}$$

Then the probability distribution of  $\delta$  is

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } \frac{\theta}{\lambda + \theta} \\ \text{otherwise} & \text{with probability } 0 \end{cases}$$

#### 3.2 (b)

Let  $F_Y(y)$ ,  $f_Y(y)$  be the distribution function and probability distribution function of Y. Then, due to the independence of T and C,

$$1 - F_Y(y) = 1 - \Pr(\min(T, C) < y) = \Pr(y \le \min(T, C)) = \Pr(y \le T)\Pr(y \le C) = \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y)$$

Thus I get  $F_Y(y) = 1 - \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y)$ , which means Y has a exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda + \theta$ .

#### 3.3 (c)

Consider the marginal distribution of Y when  $\delta = 1$  as follows,

$$f(Y, \delta = 1) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\Pr(y \le Y \le y + h, \delta = 1)}{h}$$

Now the denominator of this can be decomposed, because of the independence of T, C

$$\Pr(y \le Y \le y + h, \delta = 1) = \Pr(y \le Y \le y + h, T < C) = \Pr(y \le T \le y + h, y \le C)$$
$$= \Pr(y \le T \le y + h)\Pr(y \le C) = \exp(-\lambda y)(1 - \exp(-\lambda h))\exp(-\theta y)$$

Then, by using L'Hopital rule, the marginal distribution is

$$f(Y, \delta = 1) = \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y) \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1 - \exp(-\lambda h)}{h}$$
$$= \lambda \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}\right) (\lambda + \theta) \exp(-(\lambda + \theta)y)$$

The same is true of  $\delta = 0$  case, so the joint probability distribution function is expressed as the multiplication of random variable's probability distribution function. This means that the two random variables are independent from each pther.

#### $3.4 \quad (d)$

Consider the distribution function of  $W_2$ , denote its distribution function as  $F_W(w)$ ,

$$F_W(w) = \Pr(T_1 + T_2 < w) = \Pr(T_1 < w - T_2)$$

$$= \int_0^w \left( \int_0^{w - t_2} \lambda \exp(-\lambda t_1) dt_1 \right) \lambda \exp(-\lambda t_2) dt_2 = (1 - \exp(-\lambda w)) - \int_0^w \lambda \exp(-\lambda w) dt_2$$

$$= 1 - \exp(-\lambda w) - w\lambda \exp(-\lambda w)$$

Then, by taking derivative of the above, I get the pdf  $f(w) = \lambda^2 w \exp(-\lambda w)$ .

- 3.5 (e)
- 3.6 (f)
- 3.7 (g)
- 3.8 (h)
- 3.9 (i)
- 3.10 (j)