

# Linear Algebra Done Right

## Solutions to Exercises 2.A

**1**  $v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$  **spans**  $v$

### Problem statement

Suppose  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  spans  $V$ . Prove that the list

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

also spans  $V$ .

### Solution

Given  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  spans  $V$ , every vector  $v \in V$  can be written as

$$v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 + a_4v_4$$

for some  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbf{F}$ . We can write a vector

$u \in \text{span}(v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u &= b_1(v_1 - v_2) + b_2(v_2 - v_3) + b_3(v_3 - v_4) + b_4v_4 \\ &= b_1v_1 + (b_2 - b_1)v_2 + (b_3 - b_2)v_3 + (b_4 - b_3)v_4 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbf{F}$ . To show that  $v \in \text{span}(v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4)$ , we can set  $b_1 = a_1, b_2 = a_2 + a_1, b_3 = a_3 + a_2 + a_1, b_4 = a_4 + a_3 + a_2 + a_1$  to write

$$\begin{aligned} b_1v_1 + (b_2 - b_1)v_2 + (b_3 - b_2)v_3 + (b_4 - b_3)v_4 &= a_1v_1 + (a_2 + a_1 - a_1)v_2 \\ &\quad + (a_3 + a_2 + a_1 - a_2 - a_1)v_3 + (a_4 + a_3 + a_2 + a_1 - a_3 - a_2 - a_1)v_4 \\ &= a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 + a_4v_4 \\ &= v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any vector  $v \in V$ , it follows that  $v \in \text{span}(v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4)$ . Therefore, the list

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

spans  $V$ .

## 5 Sometimes what $\mathbf{F}$ is matters

### Problem statement

- (a) Show that if we think of  $\mathbf{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$ , then the list  $(1+i, 1-i)$  is linearly independent.
- (b) Show that if we think of  $\mathbf{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbf{C}$ , then the list  $(1+i, 1-i)$  is linearly dependent.

### Solution

**a**

Via Definition 2.17 ('linearly independent'), we need to show that for

$$\lambda_1(1+i) + \lambda_2(1-i) = 0,$$

then  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ . Our equation above becomes the two separate equations

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 i + \lambda_2(-i) = 0$$

that imply  $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . Hence, it follows that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$  and  $(1+i, 1-i)$  is linearly independent (if we restrict  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ ).

**b**

For the constant  $\lambda = 0 - i \in \mathbf{C}$ , we can write

$$\lambda(1+i) = -i - i^2 = -i - (-1) = 1 - i.$$

Therefore,  $1-i$  is a scalar multiple of  $1+i$  and the list  $(1+i, 1-i)$  is linearly dependent.

## 6 $v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$ is linearly independent

### Problem statement

Suppose  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  is linearly independent in  $V$ . Prove that the list

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

is also linearly independent.

### Solution

Following our reasoning from Exercise 2.A(1), we can write vectors  $v \in \text{span}(v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} v &= b_1(v_1 - v_2) + b_2(v_2 - v_3) + b_3(v_3 - v_4) + b_4v_4 \\ &= b_1v_1 + (b_2 - b_1)v_2 + (b_3 - b_2)v_3 + (b_4 - b_3)v_4. \end{aligned}$$

If we set  $v = 0$ , then we can write

$$0 = b_1v_1 + (b_2 - b_1)v_2 + (b_3 - b_2)v_3 + (b_4 - b_3)v_4.$$

Given  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  is linearly independent, it follows that  $b_1 = 0, b_2 - b_1 = 0, b_3 - b_2 = 0, b_4 - b_3 = 0$  and thus,  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 0$ . Therefore, the list

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

is also linearly independent.

## 8 Scaled vectors are linearly independent

### Problem statement

Prove or give a counterexample: If  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  is a linearly independent list of vectors in  $V$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2, \dots, \lambda v_m$  is linearly independent.

### Solution

Let's prove it. Given  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent, then for the equation

$$0 = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \cdots + a_m v_m,$$

we have  $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_m = 0$  via Definition 2.17 ('linearly independent'). In a similar manner, we can show that the list  $\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2, \dots, \lambda v_m$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ , is linearly independent by writing

$$0 = a_1(\lambda v_1) + a_2(\lambda v_2) + \cdots + a_m(\lambda v_m) = \lambda(a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \cdots + a_m v_m)$$

and dividing either side of the equation by  $\lambda$  (since  $\lambda \neq 0$ ). Hence, the proof that  $\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2, \dots, \lambda v_m$  is linearly independent reduces to  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m$  being linearly independent.

## 9 Adding linearly independent lists

### Problem statement

Prove or give a counterexample: If  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  are linearly independent lists of vectors in  $V$ , then  $v_1 + w_1, \dots, v_m + w_m$  is linearly independent.

### Solution

Let's give a counterexample. The lists  $(1, 0), (0, 1)$  and  $(0, 1), (1, 0)$  are both linearly independent. But the list  $(1, 0) + (0, 1), (0, 1) + (1, 0)$  equals  $(1, 1), (1, 1)$ , which is clearly linearly dependent.

## 11 Appending vectors to linear independence

### Problem statement

Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent in  $V$  and  $w \in V$ . Show that  $v_1, \dots, v_m, w$  is linearly independent if and only if

$$w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m).$$

### Solution

#### First Direction

Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m, w$  is linearly independent. If  $w \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{F}$ , that are not all zero, such that

$$w = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m - w.$$

However, the second equation implies that  $v_1, \dots, v_m, w$  is linearly dependent, which is a contradiction. Thus, it follows that

$$w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m).$$

#### Second Direction

Suppose  $w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . If  $v_1, \dots, v_m, w$  is linearly dependent, then there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1} \in \mathbf{F}$ , that are not all zero, such that<sup>1</sup>

$$0 = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m + a_{m+1}w \quad \text{and} \quad w = -\frac{1}{a_{m+1}}(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m).$$

However, the second equation implies that  $w \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus it follows that  $v_1, \dots, v_m, w$  is linearly independent.

### Thoughts

The result in this exercise is a restatement of the linear dependence lemma (Theorem 2.21).

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that  $a_{m+1} \neq 0$  since  $v_1, \dots, v_m, w$  is linearly independent.

## 12 Six polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$

### Problem statement

Explain why there does not exist a list of six polynomials that is linearly independent in  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$ .

### Solution

The list of polynomials  $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^5$  spans  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$  but is of length five. Hence, via Theorem 2.23 ('Length of linearly independent list  $\leq$  length of spanning list'), there cannot exist a list of six polynomials that is linearly independent in  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$ .

## 13 Four polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$

### Problem statement

Explain why no list of four polynomials spans  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$ .

### Solution

The list of polynomials  $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^5$  spans  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$  but is of length five. Hence, via Theorem 2.23 ('Length of linearly independent list  $\leq$  length of spanning list'), there cannot exist a list of four polynomials that spans in  $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$ .



## 17 A list of linearly dependent polynomials

### Problem statement

Suppose  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  are polynomials in  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$  such that  $p_j(2) = 0$  for each  $j$ . Prove that  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  is not linearly independent in  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ .

### Solution

First, let's prove that  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  does not span  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ . Consider the polynomial 1. Clearly  $1 \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ , yet  $1(2) = 1$ . It follows that  $1 \notin \text{span}(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m)$  since  $p_j(2) = 0$  for each  $j$ . Thus,  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  does not span  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ .

Clearly, the list  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  has a length of  $m + 1$ . We must also note that the list  $1, z, \dots, z^m$  spans  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$  and has a length of  $m + 1$ .

Let's perform a proof by contradiction and use the result from Exercise 2.A(11). Suppose  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  is linearly independent. Since  $1 \notin \text{span}(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m)$ , we can append 1 to the list to get  $1, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$ , a list of length  $m + 2$  that is linearly independent. However, via Theorem 2.23 ('Length of linearly independent list  $\leq$  length of spanning list'), the list  $1, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  cannot be linearly independent since its length is greater than the list  $1, z, \dots, z^m$ , which spans  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ . Therefore,  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  is not linearly independent in  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ .