# Linear Algebra Done Right Solutions to Exercises 8.B

# 1 If 0 is only eigenvalue, N is nilpotent

### Problem statement

Suppose V is a complex vector space,  $N \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , and 0 is the only eigenvalue of N. Prove that N is nilpotent.

#### Solution

Following Theorem 5.27 ('Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , every operator has an upper-triangular matrix') and Theorem 5.32 ('Determination of eigenvalues from upper-triangular matrix'), we can infer that N has an upper-triangular matrix  $\mathcal{M}(N)$  such that the only entries on the diagonal are zeros. Now we must prove that such a matrix is nilpotent.

Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the basis associated with  $\mathcal{M}(N)$ . Clearly  $N^1v_1 = 0$ . To prove that  $N^j(v_j) = 0$  for  $j \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ , let's use induction and suppose  $N^k(v_k) = 0$  for k < j. Since  $\mathcal{M}(N)$  is upper-triangular and diagonal entries are 0's, it follows that

$$N^{j}(v_{j}) = N^{j-1}(a_{1}v_{1} + \dots + a_{j-1}v_{j-1}) = a_{1}N^{j-2}(N^{1}v_{1}) + \dots + a_{j-1}N^{j-1}v_{j-1}.$$

Via our induction hypothesis, it follows that  $N^{j}(v_{j}) = 0$ .

To show that  $N^{\dim V} = 0$ , suppose  $v \in V$ . Given  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  is a basis of V, we can write

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$$

and applying  $N^n$  to both sides (where  $N^n = N^{\dim V}$ ), we have

$$N^{n}(v) = a_{1}N^{n}(v_{1}) + \dots + a_{n}N^{n}(v_{n}) = a_{1}N^{n-1}(N^{1}v_{1}) + \dots + a_{n}N^{n}(v_{n}) = 0$$

via our reasoning above. Hence,  $N^{\dim V} = 0$  and N is nilpotent.

# Notes

This exercise and Exercise 8.A(7) imply that for a complex vector space V,  $N \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is nilpotent if and only if 0 is the only eigenvalue of N.

# 2 Eigenvalue 0 doesn't entail nilpotent over R

### Problem statement

Give an example of an operator T on a finite-dimensional real vector pace such tht 0 is the only eigenvalue of T but T is not nilpotent.

### Solution

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^3)$  is defined by

$$T(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (-z_2, z_1, 0).$$

Clearly 0 is an eigenvalue since T(0,0,1) = (0,0,0); however, there are no other eigenvalues since the operator is otherwise a rotation in the first and second coordinates. More specifically, for the subspace

$$U = \{(z_1, z_2, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^3 : z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{R}\},\$$

T is a counterclockwise rotation in U.

To show that T is not nilpotent, we need only compute  $T^3$  via Theorem 8.18 ('Nilpotent operator raised to dimension of domain is 0'). Hence, we write

$$T^{3}(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}) = T^{2}(-z_{2}, z_{1}, 0)$$

$$= T(-z_{1}, -z_{2}, 0)$$

$$= (z_{2}, -z_{1}, 0).$$

# Notes

We previously noted that Exercise 8.B(1) and Exercise 8.A(7) imply that for a complex vector space  $V, N \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is nilpotent if and only if 0 is the only eigenvalue of N. This exercise shows us that for a real vector space V, we can only state that if  $N \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is nilpotent, then 0 is the only eigenvalue of N. This exercise is a counterexample against the other direction.

This result is another implication of the failure of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra for  $\mathbf{R}$ .

# 3 Multiplicity of eigenvalues for T and $S^{-1}TS$

### Problem statement

Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is invertible. Prove that T and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities.

# Solution

This exercise bears a strong resemblance to Exercise 5.A(15) whereby we proved that T and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same eigenvalues and that  $v \in V$  is an eigenvector of  $S^{-1}TS$  if and only if Sv is an eigenvector of T. To show that T and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same multiplicities, we must show

$$\dim G(\lambda, T) = \dim G(\lambda, S^{-1}TS)$$

for all eigenvalues  $\lambda$ .

Suppose  $v \in V$  is a generalized eigenvector of T corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Hence, we have  $(T - \lambda I)^j v = 0$  for some positive integer j. Given S is invertible, it follows that  $S^j$  is invertible<sup>1</sup>. If follows that  $v \in \text{range } S^j$  and there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $S^j u = v$  and  $S^{-j} v = u$ . Now we can write

$$0 = S^{-j}(0) = S^{-j}(T - \lambda I)^{j}v$$
  
=  $S^{-j}(T - \lambda I)^{j}S^{j}u$   
=  $(S^{-1}TS - \lambda S^{-1}IS)u$   
=  $(S^{-1}TS - \lambda I)u$ .

Hence  $u = S^{-j}v$  is a generalized eigenvector of  $S^{-1}TS$ .

Suppose  $v \in V$  is a generalized eigenvector of  $S^{-1}TS$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Hence, we have  $(S^{-1}TS - \lambda I)^j v = 0$  for some positive integer j. Using similar logic as before, there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $S^{-j}u = v$ . Now we can write

$$\begin{split} 0 &= S^{j}(0) = S^{j}(S^{-1}TS - \lambda I)^{j}v \\ &= S^{j}(S^{-1}TS - \lambda I)^{j}S^{-j}u \\ &= (SS^{-1}TSS^{-1} - \lambda SIS^{-j})u \\ &= (T - \lambda I)u. \end{split}$$

Hence  $u = S^j v$  is a generalized eigenvector of T.

To complete the proof, suppose  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are a basis of  $G(\lambda, T)$ . Via our work above, it follows that  $S^{-j}v_1, \ldots, S^{-j}v_n$  is linearly independent and  $S^{-j}v_1, \ldots, S^{-j}v_n \in G(\lambda, S^{-1}TS)$ . To show  $S^{-j}v_1, \ldots, S^{-j}v_n$  spans  $G(\lambda, S^{-1}TS)$ ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If one must be convinced of this, note that range S and range  $S^j$  are equivalent. Since S is surjective,  $S^j$  must be as well and is thus invertible.

suppose  $u \in G(\lambda, S^{-1}TS)$ . Via our work above,  $S^{j}u \in G(\lambda, T)$  and there exist  $a_1, \ldots a_n$  such that

$$S^j u = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n.$$

Applying  $S^{-j}$  to both sides, we have

$$u = a_1 S^{-j} v_1 + \dots + a_n S^{-j} v_n$$

and it follows that  $u \in \text{span}(S^{-j}v_1, \dots, S^{-j}v_n)$ . Hence  $S^{-j}v_1, \dots, S^{-j}v_n$  is a basis of  $G(\lambda, S^{-1}TS)$  and it follows that

$$\dim G(\lambda, T) = n = \dim G(\lambda, S^{-1}TS).$$

Therefore, T and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities.

# 4 Distinct eigenvalues when $\operatorname{null} T^{n-2} \neq \operatorname{null} T^{n-1}$

# Problem statement

Suppose V is an n-dimensional complex vector space and T is an operator on V such that  $\operatorname{null} T^{n-2} \neq \operatorname{null} T^{n-1}$ . Prove that T has at most two distinct eigenvalues.

### Solution

Let's first note that T is not injective and 0 is an eigenvalue. If T were injective, then  $\{0\} = \operatorname{null} T^0 = \operatorname{null} T^1$ , and via Theorem 8.3 ('Equality in the sequence of null spaces'), it would follow that  $\operatorname{null} T^{n-2} = \operatorname{null} T^{n-1}$  which is a contradiction.

Let's now think about dim null  $T^{n-1}$ . Following from the proof of Theorem 8.4 ('Null spaces stop growing'), we have

$$\{0\} = \operatorname{null} T^0 \subsetneq \operatorname{null} T^1 \subsetneq \cdots \operatorname{null} T^{n-2} \subsetneq \operatorname{null} T^{n-1}$$

where at each of the strict inclusions in the chain above, the dimension increases by at least 1. Hence, we have

$$n-1 \leq \dim \operatorname{null} T^{n-1} \leq \dim \operatorname{null} (T-0I)^n = \dim G(0,T).$$

Thus, the multiplicity of 0 is either n-1 or n.

If the multiplicity of 0 is n-1, then Theorem 8.26 ('Sum of the multiplicities equals  $\dim V$ ') implies another distinct eigenvalue exists with a multiplicity of 1 and T has two distinct eigenvalues. If the multiplicity of 0 is n, then Theorem 8.26 implies 0 is the only eigenvalue and T has one distinct eigenvalues. Therefore, T has at most two distinct eigenvalues.

# 5 Basis of eigenvectors iff they are generalized

### Problem statement

Suppose V is a complex vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Prove that V has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of T if and only if every generalized eigenvector of T is an eigenvector of T.

# Solution

#### First Direction

Suppose V has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of T. Via Theorem 5.41 ('Conditions equivalent to diagonalizability'), it follows that

$$V = E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T). \tag{1}$$

The definition of generalized eigenspace (Definition 8.10) implies  $E(\lambda_j, T) \subset G(\lambda_j, T)$ , hence we have dim  $E(\lambda_j, T) \leq \dim G(\lambda_j, T)$ .

To show that the inequality is an equality, let's first note that from Theorem 8.21 ('Description of operators on complex vector spaces') we can write

$$V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \cdots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T). \tag{2}$$

Suppose dim  $E(\lambda_j, T) < \dim G(\lambda_j, T)$ . It follows that there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $v \notin E(\lambda_j, T)$  and  $v \in G(\lambda_j, T)$ . Our direct sum (1) implies that

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_{j-1}v_{j-1} + 0(v_j) + a_{j+1}v_{j+1} + \dots + a_mv_m$$

where  $v_k \in E(\lambda_k, T)$ . Given  $E(\lambda_j, T) \subset G(\lambda_j, T)$ , that decomposition is also a valid decomposition in (2). However, since  $v \in G(\lambda_j, T)$ , direct sum (2) implies that

$$v = 0(v_1) + \dots + 0(v_{i-1}) + a_i v_i + 0(v_{i+1}) + \dots + 0(v_m)$$

where  $a_j \neq 0$  and  $v_j \neq 0^2$ . Hence we have two different representations of v and (2) is not a direct sum, leading to a contradiction.

Thus, dim  $E(\lambda_j, T) = \dim G(\lambda_j, T)$ , and since  $E(\lambda_j, T) \subset G(\lambda_j, T)$ , it follows that  $E(\lambda_j, T) = G(\lambda_j, T)$ . Therefore, every generalized eigenvector of T is an eigenvector of T.

### Second Direction

Suppose every generalized eigenvector of T is an eigenvector of T. Unlike the complexity of the **First Direction**, it clearly follows from Theorem 8.23 ('A basis of generalized eigenvectors') that V has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that  $v \notin E(\lambda_i, T)$  implies that  $v \neq 0$ .

# 6 Find a square root of I + N

### Problem statement

Define  $N \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^5)$  by

$$N(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (2x_2, 3x_3, -x_4, 4x_5, 0).$$

Find a square root of I + N.

# Solution

Via Theorem 8.31 ('Identity plus nilpotent has a square root'), we know that there must exist a square root of I+N, but we are only provided partial guidance for how to find it. Theorem 8.31 tells us that the square root of I+N will be of the form

$$I + a_1 N + a_2 N^2 + a_3 N^3 + a_4 N^4$$

via the Taylor series for the function  $\sqrt{1+x}$  and the observation that  $N^5=0$ . To solve for  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ , we can write

$$(I + a_1N + a_2N^2 + a_3N^3 + a_4N^4)^2$$

$$= I + 2a_1N + (a_1^2 + 2a_2)N^2 + (2a_1a_2 + 2a_3)N^3 + (2a_1a_3 + 2a_4)N^4 + \dots$$

where the other terms vanish since  $N^5=0$ . We are left to solve for the coefficients such that

$$2a_1 = 1,$$

$$a_1^2 + 2a_2 = 0,$$

$$2a_1a_2 + 2a_3 = 0,$$

$$2a_1a_3 + 2a_4 = 0.$$

Via some simple calculations, it follows that  $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $a_2 = -\frac{1}{8}$ ,  $a_3 = \frac{1}{16}$ ,  $a_4 = -\frac{1}{32}$  and we can write the square root of I + N as

$$I + \frac{1}{2}N - \frac{1}{8}N^2 + \frac{1}{16}N^3 - \frac{1}{32}N^4.$$

Via another set of simple calculations, we can write

$$\sqrt{I+N}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2 - \frac{3}{4}x_3 - \frac{3}{8}x_4 + \frac{3}{4}x_5, x_2 + \frac{3}{2}x_3 + \frac{3}{8}x_4 - \frac{3}{4}x_5, x_4 - \frac{3}{2}x_4 + \frac{1}{2}x_5, x_4 + 2x_5, x_5).$$