

Linear Algebra Done Right

Solutions to Exercises 6.C

1 Orthogonal complement of subset

Problem statement

Suppose $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. Prove that

$$\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp = (\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp.$$

Solution

To prove our desired result, let's first show $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp \subset (\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp$, and then $(\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp \subset \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp$.

First Direction

Suppose $u \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp$. This implies $\langle u, v_j \rangle = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We can write every $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ as

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{F}$. It follows that

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \bar{a}_1 \langle u, v_1 \rangle + \dots + \bar{a}_m \langle u, v_m \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, $u \in (\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp$.

Second Direction

Suppose $u \in (\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp$. Since $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$, it follows that $\langle u, v_j \rangle = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Hence, $u \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp$.

2 $U^\perp = \{0\}$ iff $U = V$

Problem statement

Suppose U is a finite-dimensional subspace of V . Prove that $U^\perp = \{0\}$ if and only if $U = V$.

Solution

First Direction

Suppose $U^\perp = \{0\}$. Via Theorem 6.51 ('The orthogonal complement of the orthogonal complement') and Theorem 6.46(b) ('Basic properties of orthogonal complement'), we can write

$$U = (U^\perp)^\perp = \{0\}^\perp = V.$$

Hence, $U = V$.

Second Direction

Suppose $U = V$. Via Theorem 6.46(c) ('Basic properties of orthogonal complement'), we can write

$$U^\perp = V^\perp = \{0\}.$$

Hence, $U^\perp = \{0\}$.

3 $U^\perp = \{0\}$ iff $U = V$

Problem statement

Suppose U is a subspace of V with basis u_1, \dots, u_m and

$$u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$$

is a basis of V . Prove that if the Gram-Schmidt Procedure is applied to the basis of V above, producing a list $e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_n$, then e_1, \dots, e_m is an orthonormal basis of U and f_1, \dots, f_n is an orthonormal basis of U^\perp .

Solution

Via the Gram-Schmidt Procedure (Theorem 6.31), we can state

$$\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m) = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m).$$

Thus, e_1, \dots, e_m is an orthonormal basis of U .

Via Theorem 6.50 ('Dimension of the orthogonal complement'), we have

$$\dim V = \dim U + \dim U^\perp$$

which implies $\dim U^\perp = n$. Given $e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_n$ is an orthonormal list, it follows that $\langle e_j, f_k \rangle = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, $f_j \in \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}^\perp$ and, via Exercise 6.C(1), $f_j \in (\text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m))^\perp$. Therefore, $f_j \in U^\perp$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Given $\dim U^\perp = n$ and f_1, \dots, f_n is an orthonormal list of length n in U^\perp , it follows that f_1, \dots, f_n is an orthonormal basis of U^\perp .

4 Finding orthonormal bases of U, U^\perp

Problem statement

Suppose U is the subspace of \mathbf{R}^4 defined by

$$U = \text{span}((1, 2, 3, -4), (-5, 4, 3, 2)).$$

Find an orthonormal basis of U and an orthonormal basis of U^\perp .

Solution

Following exercise 6.C(3), we need to expand $(1, 2, 3, -4), (-5, 4, 3, 2)$ to be a basis of \mathbf{R}^4 , and then apply the Gram-Schmidt Procedure (Theorem 6.31).

A reasonable guess at two vectors to make a basis of \mathbf{R}^4 is $(1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0)$. To check if $(1, 2, 3, -4), (-5, 4, 3, 2), (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0)$ is linearly independent, we can solve the following system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned}x - 5y + z + 0w &= 0 \\2x + 4y + 0z + w &= 0 \\3x + 3y + 0z + 0w &= 0 \\-4x + 2y + 0z + 0w &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The bottom two equations can be reduced to $x = -y$ and $2x = y$, which implies $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. Substituting $x = 0$ and $y = 0$ into the top two equations, we get $z = 0$ and $w = 0$, confirming that our list is linearly independent.

By applying the Gram-Schmidt Procedure to our list¹, we obtain e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 where e_1, e_2 is an orthonormal basis of U and e_3, e_4 is an orthonormal basis of U^\perp .

¹This is lazy, but the computation is heinously messy.

5 Proving $P_{U^\perp} = I - P_U$

Problem statement

Suppose V is finite-dimensional and U is a subspace of V . Show that $P_{U^\perp} = I - P_U$, where I is the identity operator on V .

Solution

First, let's describe P_{U^\perp} . Following from Theorem 6.47 ('Direct sum of a subspace and its orthogonal complement'), for $v \in V$ we can write $v = u + w$ where $u \in U^\perp$ and $w \in (U^\perp)^\perp$. Given V is finite-dimensional and Theorem 6.51 ('The orthogonal complement of the orthogonal complement'), $(U^\perp)^\perp = U$ and $w \in U$. Therefore $P_{U^\perp}v = u$ and $P_Uv = w$, and we can write

$$P_{U^\perp}v = Iv - P_Uv.$$

Hence, it follows that $P_{U^\perp} = I - P_U$.

6 $P_U P_W = 0$ iff $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$

Problem statement

Suppose U and W are finite-dimensional subspaces of V . Prove that $P_U P_W = 0$ if and only if $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and all $w \in W$.

Solution

First Direction

Suppose $P_U P_W = 0$. This implies $\text{range } P_W \subset \text{null } P_U$. Via Theorems 6.55(d) and 6.55(e) ('Properties of the orthogonal projection P_U '), it follows that $W \subset U^\perp$. Hence, $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and all $w \in W$ since $w \in U^\perp$.

Second Direction

Suppose $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and all $w \in W$. This implies $W \subset U^\perp$, and via Theorems 6.55(d) and 6.55(e), it follows that $\text{range } P_W \subset \text{null } P_U$. Hence, $P_U P_W = 0$.

7 Prove $\exists U$ such that $P = P_U$

Problem statement

Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that $P^2 = P$ and every vector in $\text{null } P$ is orthogonal to every vector in $\text{range } P$. Prove that there exists a subspace U of V such that $P = P_U$.

Solution

Let's first think about $\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P$. Suppose $v \in \text{null } P \cap \text{range } P$. Given every vector in $\text{null } P$ is orthogonal to every vector in $\text{range } P$, it follows that $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ and $v = 0$ via the property of definiteness (Definition 6.3). Thus $\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$. We can write

$$\dim(\text{null } P + \text{range } P) = \dim \text{null } P + \dim \text{range } P - \dim(\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P)$$

via Theorem 2.43 ('Dimension of a sum') and

$$\dim V = \dim \text{null } P + \dim \text{range } P$$

via the Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps. Since $\dim(\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P) = 0$, it follows that

$$\dim(\text{null } P + \text{range } P) = \dim \text{null } P + \dim \text{range } P = \dim V,$$

and thus

$$V = \text{null } P \oplus \text{range } P.$$

Via Theorem 6.47 ('Direct sum of a subspace and its orthogonal complement'), we can write

$$\text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P = V = \text{range } P \oplus (\text{range } P)^\perp$$

implying that $\text{null } P = (\text{range } P)^\perp$.

A reasonable guess at our desired subspace U is $\text{range } P$. To show this, suppose $v \in V$. We can write v as $v = u + w$ where $u \in \text{range } P$ and $w \in (\text{range } P)^\perp = \text{null } P$. It follows that

$$Pv = P(u + w) = Pu + Pw = Pu$$

and

$$P_{\text{range } P} v = u$$

where u is the orthogonal projection of v onto $\text{range } P$.

To complete the proof, we need to show that $Pu = u$. Since $u \in \text{range } P$, there exists $x \in V$ such that $Px = u$. Applying P to both sides, we have $P^2x = Pu$. Given $P^2 = P$, we can write

$$Pu = P^2x = Px = u.$$

Hence, $Pv = Pu = u$ and $P_{\text{range } P} v = u$ for $v \in V$.