

PHYS 215C In-Class Notes

Bill Wolf, Keith Fratus

Spring 2011

Contents

1	Scattering	3
1.1	Suggested References	3
1.2	Basic Setup	3
1.2.1	Applications	3
1.3	Scattering Approach to e^- Transport in solids	3
1.3.1	Setup	3
1.3.2	Rolf Landauer's Theory (Landauer Transport Theory)	3
1.3.3	Some Comments	6
1.4	Inelastic Scattering	7
1.4.1	Setup and Notation	7
1.4.2	Modeling Scattering	7

March 28, 2011

1 Scattering

1.1 Suggested References

- Sakurai Ch. 6
- Shankar Ch. 19
- Merzbacher Ch. 11

1.2 Basic Setup

The basic idea in scattering theory is a particle being scattered into a target (particle into target). Other models model two particles moving towards each other and scattering off each other (particle into particle).

1.2.1 Applications

Particle into particle scattering is very prevalent in high energy physics studies (particle accelerators), with traditional subatomic particles like electrons, neutrons, and protons.

Target scattering is used to model particle-particle interactions (particle physics), atoms and ions (atomic/nuclear physics), and interactions within a solid or liquid (condensed matter physics).

1.3 Scattering Approach to e^- Transport in solids

1.3.1 Setup

In this theory we talk about the conduction of electrons in a metal or doped semiconductor. The canonical experiment is a battery providing a potential difference across the material of interest. The conductance, $G = I/V = 1/R$, is what is of interest. There are impurities in the metal or semiconductor that cause scattering of the electrons that are moving under the influence of the potential difference.

1.3.2 Rolf Landauer's Theory (Landauer Transport Theory)

Toy Model Consider a one-dimensional scattering potential that is a finite potential barrier between $x = a$ and $x = -a$ with incident electrons coming from the left with average energy E . (This is actually very relevant in a gated two-dimensional electron gas, where the low energies only allow one mode on the surface dimension.) The theory of this problem is well understood in terms of transmission and reflection coefficients (see the appendix in Sakurai). We will look at the *steady state* system.

First divide the region up into three regions. Region I is $-\infty < x < -1$, region II is $-a < x < a$, and region III is $a < x < \infty$. This gives rise to three wave functions of interest.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\text{I}} &= A_{\text{in}}e^{ikx} + A_{\text{out}}e^{-ikx} \\ \psi_{\text{II}} &= C_1e^{ik'x} + C_2e^{-ik'x} \\ \psi_{\text{III}} &= B_{\text{out}}e^{9kx} + B_{\text{in}}e^{-ikx}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$k = \frac{1}{\hbar}\sqrt{2mE} \quad k' = \frac{1}{\hbar}\sqrt{2m(E-V)}$$

Normally we would solve for these wave functions by matching ψ and ψ' at the boundaries to relate A , B , and C . We can represent the solution via a transfer matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{\text{out}} \\ B_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{in}} \\ A_{\text{out}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Alternatively, we may use the less clear S -matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{out}} \\ B_{\text{out}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{in}} \\ B_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The system obeys an equation of continuity (probability conservation):

$$\partial_t |\psi|^2 + \partial_x J = 0$$

where

$$J = \frac{\hbar}{m} \text{Im}(\psi^* \partial_x \psi)$$

The currents in regions I and III gives

$$\begin{aligned}J_{\text{I}} &= \frac{\hbar k}{m} [|A_{\text{in}}|^2 - |A_{\text{out}}|^2] \\ J_{\text{III}} &= \frac{\hbar k}{m} [|B_{\text{out}}|^2 - |B_{\text{in}}|^2]\end{aligned}$$

The equation of continuity then tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}|A_{\text{out}}|^2 + |B_{\text{out}}|^2 &= |A_{\text{in}}|^2 + |B_{\text{in}}|^2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{out}}^* & B_{\text{out}}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{out}} \\ A_{\text{out}} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{in}}^* & B_{\text{in}}^* \end{pmatrix} S^\dagger S \begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{in}} \\ B_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

For scattering states, we let $B_{\text{in}} = 0$, that is, particles are *only* incident from the left. Then we have

$$\psi_k(x) = \begin{cases} A_{\text{in}}e^{ikx} + A_{\text{out}}e^{-ikx} & x < -a \\ B_{\text{out}}e^{ikx} & x > a \end{cases}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}B_{\text{out}} &= S_{21}A_{\text{in}} \\ A_{\text{out}} &= S_{11}A_{\text{in}}\end{aligned}$$

We define transmission and reflection coefficients

$$T \equiv \frac{|B_{\text{out}}|^2}{|A_{\text{in}}|^2} = |S_{21}|^2$$

$$R \equiv \frac{|A_{\text{out}}|^2}{|A_{\text{in}}|^2} = |S_{11}|^2$$

Landauer Formula

$$G = \frac{I}{V} \Rightarrow G = \frac{e^2}{h} T$$

where e^2/h is the quantum of conductance.

March 30, 2011

Derivation of the Landauer Formula

Suppose we send in a wave with wave number k and energy $E = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$ from the left. As expected, we would get a portion of the wave to be reflected (proportional to S_{11}) the remainder to be transmitted (proportional to S_{21}).

The Scattering State is then given by

$$\psi_1^k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{i\phi_1 k}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (e^{ikx} + S_{11}e^{-ikx}) & x < -a \\ \frac{e^{i\phi_1 k}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} S_{21}e^{ikx} & x > a \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_2^k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{i\phi_2 k}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (e^{ikx} + S_{22}e^{ikx}) & x > a \\ \frac{e^{i\phi_2 k}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} S_{12}e^{-ikx} & x < -a \end{cases}$$

With this, we are ready to...

For $T = 0$, we have incident electrons up to chemical potential μ . On different leads on a quantum wire, we generally have two different chemical potentials, which causes a net transport of electrons. Suppose on the left we have μ_1 and on the right we have μ_2 with $\mu_1 < \mu_2$, which causes an electrical net current from left to right. Our goal is to study this current in terms of the S -matrix.

Fermi Wave Number We define the Fermi Wave Number by

$$k_F = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{2m\mu}$$

The probability current for e^{-1} at k is given by

$$J_j^k = \frac{\hbar}{m} \text{Im} \left[\psi_j^{k*}(x) \partial_x \psi_j^k(x) \right]$$

The electrical current is then

$$I|_{x>a} = eJ_j^k = e\frac{\hbar}{m} \left[\int_0^{k_{F1}} dk \frac{k}{2\pi} |S_{21}|^2 + \int_0^{k_{F2}} dk \frac{k}{2\pi} (-1 + |S_{22}|^2) \right]$$

Then the transmission probability if $T_k \equiv |S_{21}|^2$, which gives the current to be

$$I = \frac{e}{2\pi} \int_{k_{F2}}^{k_{F1}} dk \left(\frac{\hbar k}{m} \right) T_k = \frac{3}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{\mu_2}^{\mu_1} dE T(E)$$

Where we have used $E = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$ and $dE = (\hbar^2 k / m) dk$. We may relate the two chemical potentials to the electric voltage via

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 + eV$$

Linear Response The conductance is given by

$$G = \lim_{V \rightarrow 0} \frac{I}{V}$$

Then the electric current can be rewritten as

$$I = \frac{e}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{\mu_2}^{\mu_2+eV} dE T(e) = \frac{e^2}{h} T(\mu) V$$

and then the conductance in this linear response limit is

$$G = \frac{I}{V} = \frac{e^2}{h} T(\mu) = \frac{e^2}{h} T(E_F)$$

1.3.3 Some Comments

1. Suppose we have a perfect wire with *no* backscattering. That is, $T = 1$. Then we have $R = \frac{1}{G} = 0$. This would of course be superconductivity.
2. This theory can be generalized to multichannel wires with many leads. The resulting conductance for N leads is then

$$G = \frac{e^2}{h} 2N$$

where the factor of 2 comes from electron spin degeneracy.

3. This theory has been validated by experiment with a quantum wire circuit setup that revealed a stair-step plot of conductance as a function of input voltage.
4. We have ignored the fact that the electrons interact and experience interactions off of one another (calculations effectively carried out at zero temperature). As a result, these resistances do not necessarily add in series.

1.4 Inelastic Scattering

In the field of inelastic scattering, we typically consider the situation of a particle scattering off of a quantum mechanical system. This system could be a liquid, a solid, a nuclei... anything, really. The inelastic condition implies that the act of scattering transfers energy between the particle and the target.

1.4.1 Setup and Notation

To ease the theory, we will suppose that the “target” will be composed of particles with position operators \mathbf{R}_i and momentum operators \mathbf{P}_i . The incident particle will have position operator \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{P} . The Hamiltonian of the system will be

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2m} + \hat{H} + V$$

Where the first term is the kinetic energy of the particle, the non-script Hamiltonian is the internal energy of the target, and the potential is the interaction potential. To simplify the system, we shall assume that the interaction potential takes on the form

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^N V(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_i)$$

Density Operator It becomes useful to define a density operator for the target. It is

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i)$$

where \mathbf{r} is simply a c-number position coordinate. We will use the Fourier transform of this operator,

$$\rho(\mathbf{q}) = \int d^3\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}$$

Rewriting the Interaction Potential This density operator can help us rewrite the potential into a more useful form:

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^N \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}_i)} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{q}) \rho(\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}}$$

1.4.2 Modeling Scattering

Fermi’s Golden Rule Suppose we start with an initial state $|\mathbf{k}; E_i, \alpha\rangle$ where the α labels all states in target with the energy E (may be degeneracy), and we end with a final state $|\mathbf{k}'; E_f, \beta\rangle$. Recall that Fermi’s Golden Rule tells us

$$\Gamma_{i \rightarrow f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \mathbf{k}'; E_f, \beta | V | \mathbf{k}; E_i, \alpha \rangle|^2$$