

Contents

| | | |
|------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| I | Linear Algebra | 3 |
| II | Field Theory | 5 |
| III | Ring Theory | 7 |
| IV | Number Theory | 9 |
| 1 | The Ideal Class Group | 11 |

Part I

Linear Algebra

Part II

Field Theory

Part III

Ring Theory

Part IV

Number Theory

Chapter 1

The Ideal Class Group

Definition 1. Let K be an algebraic number field, \mathcal{O}_K its ring of integers. The constant H_K for which all $\alpha \in K$ there exists a $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and a nonzero integer $t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ with $|t| \leq H_K$ such that

$$|N(t\alpha - \beta)| < 1$$

is called the Hurwitz constant.

Example 1.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ be an algebraic number field.

Proof.

□

Definition 2 (Equivalence of Fractional Ideals). Let R be a integral domain. Two fractional ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of R are said to be equivalent if there exist α and β in R such that

$$(\alpha)\mathcal{A} = (\beta)\mathcal{B}.$$

In this case, we write $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$ or simply $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$. Indeed, this relation is a equivalence relation.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two fractional ideals of an integral domain R . We show that the relation $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$ as defined above is a equivalence relation.

1. **Reflexivity.** Trivially, $(\alpha)\mathcal{A} = (\alpha)\mathcal{A}$ for any $\alpha \in R$, and we have $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{A}$.
2. **Symmetry.** If $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$, then $(\alpha)\mathcal{A} = (\beta)\mathcal{B}$, and again it is trivial that $(\beta)\mathcal{B} = (\alpha)\mathcal{A}$, hence $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{A}$.
3. **Transitivity.** Let $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{C}$ hold. There are $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta \in R$ such that

$$(\alpha)\mathcal{A} = (\beta)\mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma)\mathcal{B} = (\theta)\mathcal{C}.$$

Multiplying both sides of both equalities by (γ) and (β) respectively yields

$$(\gamma)(\alpha)\mathcal{A} = (\gamma)(\beta)\mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad (\beta)(\gamma)\mathcal{B} = (\beta)(\theta)\mathcal{C}.$$

Therefore, we have that $(\alpha\gamma)\mathcal{A} = (\beta\theta)\mathcal{C}$ or in other words $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{C}$.

□

Theorem 3. Each equivalence class of fractional ideals has an integral ideal representative.

Theorem 4. The number of equivalence classes of fractional ideals of a integral domain is finite.

Definition 5. The class number of an algebraic number field K , denoted by $h(K)$ is the cardinality of the group of equivalence classes of fractional ideals.

Example 5.1. The class number of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ is 2.

Proof. The ring of integer of K is $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ that has the integral basis $\{1, \sqrt{-5}\}$. For the integral basis we have the conjugations

$$\begin{aligned} 1^{(1)} &= 1 & \sqrt{-5}^{(1)} &= \sqrt{-5} \\ 1^{(2)} &= 1 & \sqrt{-5}^{(2)} &= -\sqrt{-5} \end{aligned}$$

and we can compute the Hurwitz constant

$$H_K = (|1| + |\sqrt{-5}|)(|1| + |-\sqrt{-5}|) = (1 + \sqrt{5})^2 = 10.47 \dots$$

□

Diophantine Equations

Example 5.2. The equation $x^2 + 5 = y^3$ has no integral solution.

Proof. Assume there are integers x and y that solve the equation above.

1. **y must be odd.** If y is even, then $y^3 = x^2 + 5$ is even too, so x^2 is odd implying x is odd. Moreover, if y is even, then y^3 is divisible by 4, so $x^2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, hence $x^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, but this is impossible because squares of integers are congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4. Therefore, y cannot be even.
2. **x and y are coprime.** If there is a prime that divides both x and y , then p also divides $y^3 = x^2 + 5$, so p divides 5 because x^2 is divisible by p . p divides 5 implies $p = 5$. If we divide the given equation by 5, we get

$$\frac{x^2}{5} + 1 = \frac{y^3}{5}.$$

$5^{-1}x^2$ and $5^{-1}y^3$ are still divisible by 5, so reducing this equation modulo 5 yields

$$1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

which cannot be. Thus, x and y are coprime.

Consider the factorization $(x + \sqrt{-5})(x - \sqrt{-5}) = y^3$ in the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. We will investigate the ideals generated by the factors, i.e. $(x + \sqrt{-5})$ and $(x - \sqrt{-5})$.

3. **The ideals $(x + \sqrt{-5})$ and $(x - \sqrt{-5})$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ are coprime ideals.** Suppose there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} that divides the greatest common divisor of $(x + \sqrt{-5})$ and $(x - \sqrt{-5})$. By definition, we have

$$\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \gcd((x + \sqrt{-5}), (x - \sqrt{-5})) = (x + \sqrt{-5}) + (x - \sqrt{-5}) = (2x),$$

i.e. \mathfrak{p} divides $(2x)$. On the other hand, since \mathfrak{p} divides both factors of (y^3) , we have that \mathfrak{p} divides (y) too. y was odd, so \mathfrak{p} does not divide (2) , thus \mathfrak{p} divides (x) . But \mathfrak{p} cannot divide both (x) and (y) since they were coprime. Hence $(x + \sqrt{-5})$ and $(x - \sqrt{-5})$ are coprime ideals.

There are ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ such that

$$\mathfrak{a}^3 = (x + \sqrt{-5}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{b}^3 = (x - \sqrt{-5}).$$

4. \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are principal.

□