1 Rigidity Conjecture

Proposition 1 (Division). A formal power series $f(X) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k X^k \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ is invertible if and only if its constant coefficient a_0 is nonzero.

Lemma 2. Given two formal power series $f(X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_k X^k \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ and $g(X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_k X^k \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ with $b_0 \neq 0$, we may compute their quotient

$$\frac{\sum_{n\geq 0} a_k X^k}{\sum_{n\geq 0} b_k X^k} = \sum_{n\geq 0} c_k X^k \tag{1}$$

by

$$c_n = \frac{1}{b_0} \left(a_n - \sum_{k \ge 1} b_k c_{n-k} \right). \tag{2}$$

Proof. #MISSING

Remark. When studying compositions of formal power series, we require that the inner power series f(X) has no constant term, i.e., f(0) = 0. This condition ensures that the resulting composition is well-defined in the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$, as it prevents infinite contributions to the coefficients.

Consider $f(X) = \sum_{k \ge 1} a_k X^k$ and $g(X) = \sum_{k \ge 0} b_k X^k$. The composition g(f(X)) is given by substituting f(X) into g(X):

$$g(f(X)) = b_0 + b_1 f(X) + b_2 f(X)^2 + \cdots$$

= $b_0 + b_1 (a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \cdots) + b_2 (a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \cdots)^2 + \cdots$
= $b_0 + b_1 a_1 X + (b_1 a_2 + b_2 a_1^2) X^2 + \cdots$,

where we grouped the terms by powers of X in the last step. We observe that the coefficients of X^n in g(f(X)) depend only on a finite number of coefficients of f(X) and g(X). This is because, with f(0) = 0, each power $f(X)^k$ introduces terms of degree at least k, ensuring that lower-degree terms do not contribute infinitely to higher-order coefficients.

On the other hand, if $f(0) \neq 0$, we write $f(X) = a_0 + \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k X^k$, where $a_0 = f(0)$. In this case,

$$f(X)^k = (a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \cdots)^k$$

produces a constant term $a_0^k \neq 0$. Consequently, the constant term of g(f(X)) depends on infinitely many terms of g(X), and the composition g(f(X)) is no longer a formal power series.

Since we are interested in the compositional inverse, it is necessary to extend the condition f(0) = 0 to both power series. This ensures that the inverse series $f^{-1}(X)$, when substituted into f(X), results in the identity series X, with no contributions from constant terms that would otherwise make the series ill-defined.

The following proposition and lemma are taken from Enumerative Combinatorics by Richard P. Stanley and Sergey Fomin.

Definition 3. Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ be a power series with no constant term. We call a power series $f^{-1}(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ the compositional inverse of f, if it satisfies $f(f^{-1}(X)) = f^{-1}(f(X)) = X$.

Proposition 4. A power series $f(X) = a_1X + a_2X^2 + \cdots \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ has a compositional inverse if and only if $a_1 \neq 0$. Moreover, if the compositional inverse exists, then it is unique.

Proof. Assume f has a compositional inverse and denote the compositional inverse by $f^{-1}(X) = b_1X + b_2X^2 + \cdots$. Writing out $f(f^{-1}(X)) = X$ using multinomial theorem gives

$$X = a_1(b_1X + b_2X^2 + \cdots) + a_2(b_1X + b_2X^2 + \cdots)^2 + \cdots$$

= $(a_1b_1X + a_1b_2X^2 + a_1b_3X^3 + \cdots) + (a_2b_1^2X^2 + 2a_2b_1b_2X^3 + \cdots)$
= $(a_1b_1)X + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1^2)X^2 + (a_1b_3 + 2a_2b_1b_2 + a_3b_1^3)X^3 + \cdots$

Equating the coefficients on both sides yields a linear system of equations.

$$1 = a_1b_1$$

$$0 = a_1b_2 + a_2b_1^2$$

$$0 = a_1b_3 + 2a_2b_1b_2 + a_3b_1^3$$

$$\vdots$$

The first equation has a solution if and only if $a_1 \neq 0$. In that case, the solution is unique. Then, the second equation can be solved uniquely for b_2 . By this process, we are able to solve the third equation for b_3 , the fourth for b_4 and so on. Thus, $f^{-1}(X)$ exists if and only if $a_1 \neq 0$ and in that case, $f^{-1}(X)$ is unique.

Lemma 5 (Lagrange Inversion Formula). Let $f(X) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k X^k \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ be a power series with $a_1 \neq 0$ and denote its composition inverse by $f^{-1}(X) = \sum_{k \geq 1} b_k X^k \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$. The coefficients of the inverse is given by the following formula.

$$b_k = \frac{1}{k} [X^{n-1}] \left(\frac{X}{f(X)} \right)^k$$

Proof. We begin by substituting f(X) into $f^{-1}(X)$. It is

$$X = f^{-1}(f(X)) = \sum_{k>1} b_k f(X)^k.$$

Differentiating and subsequently taking the quotient with $f(X)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ on both sides yields

$$1 = \sum_{k \ge 1} k \cdot b_k \cdot f(X)^{k-1} \cdot f'(X)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{f(X)^n} = \sum_{k \ge 1} k \cdot b_k \cdot \frac{f(X)^k}{f(X)^{n+1}} \cdot f'(X).$$

We want to take the coefficient of X^{-1} on both sides. For that, first notice that for $k \neq n$ it is

$$\frac{1}{k-n}\frac{d}{dX}f(X)^{k-n} = f(X)^{k-n-1}f'(X) = \frac{f(X)^k}{f(X)^{n+1}}f'(X).$$

For any Laurent series, its derivative has no X^{-1} term. Thus, for $k \neq n$, it is

$$[X^{-1}]\frac{f(X)^k}{f(X)^{n+1}}f'(X) = [X^{-1}]\frac{1}{k-n}\frac{d}{dX}f(X)^{k-n} = 0.$$

If we now take the coefficient of X^{-1} in #REFMISSING, we get

$$[X^{-1}]\frac{1}{f(X)^n} = [X^{-1}]\sum_{k>1} k \cdot b_k \cdot \frac{f(X)^k}{f(X)^{n+1}} \cdot f'(X)$$
(3)

$$= \sum_{k \ge 1} k \cdot b_k \cdot [X^{-1}] \frac{f(X)^k}{f(X)^{n+1}} \cdot f'(X)$$
 (4)

$$= n \cdot b_n [X^{-1}] \frac{f(X)^n}{f(X)^{n+1}} \cdot f'(X)$$
 (5)

$$= n \cdot b_n[X^{-1}] \frac{f'(X)}{f(X)} \tag{6}$$

$$= n \cdot b_n [X^{-1}] \frac{a_1 + 2a_2 X + 3a_3 X^2 + \cdots}{a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + a_3 X^3 + \cdots}$$
 (7)

$$= n \cdot b_n [X^{-1}] \frac{1}{X} \frac{a_1 + 2a_2 X + 3a_3 X^2 + \cdots}{a_1 + a_2 X + a_3 X^2 + \cdots}$$
(8)

$$= n \cdot b_n \tag{9}$$

where we used the formula for power series division given in #REFMISSING to compute the constant term of the quotient.

$$\frac{1}{a_1}(a_1 - 0) = 1\tag{10}$$

Now, by shifting the power of the coefficient to be extracted, we get

$$[X^{-1}]\frac{1}{f(X)^n} = [X^{n-1}]\frac{X^n}{f(X)^n} = [X^{n-1}]\left(\frac{X}{f(X)}\right)^n.$$
(11)

Finally, continuing from #REFMIISING, we get

$$n \cdot b_n = [X^{-1}] \frac{1}{f(X)^n} = [X^{n-1}] \left(\frac{X}{f(X)}\right)^n \tag{12}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_n = \frac{1}{n} [X^{n-1}] \left(\frac{X}{f(X)}\right)^n \tag{13}$$

as desired.

Lemma 6 (Additive Inversion Formula). For some $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, let $a(X) = X(1 - (\alpha_1 X + \cdots + \alpha_n X))$ $(\alpha_m X^m)$ $) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ be a polynomial. The compositional inverse is given by

$$a^{-1}(X) = X \left(1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n+1} u_n X^n \right)$$
 (14)

where

$$u_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + mk_m = n} \frac{(n + k_1 + \dots + k_m)!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_m^{k_m}.$$
 (15)

Proof.

$$u_n = [X^n] \left(\frac{X}{a(X)}\right)^{n+1} \tag{16}$$

$$= [X^n] \left(\frac{1}{1 - (\alpha_1 X + \dots + \alpha_m X^m)} \right)^{n+1} \tag{17}$$

$$= [X^n] \sum_{k>0} \binom{n+k}{n} \left(\alpha_1 X + \dots + \alpha_m X^m\right)^k \tag{18}$$

$$= [X^n] \sum_{k>0} \binom{n+k}{n} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = k} \frac{k!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} (\alpha_1 X)^{k_1} \dots (\alpha_m X^m)^{k_m}$$
 (19)

$$= \frac{1}{n!} [X^n] \sum_{k>0} (n+k)! \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = k} \frac{1}{k_1! \dots k_m!} \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_m^{k_m} X^{k_1 + \dots + mk_m}$$
 (20)

$$= \frac{1}{n!} [X^n] \sum_{k \ge 0} (n+k)! \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = k} \frac{1}{k_1! \dots k_m!} \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_m^{k_m} X^{k_1 + \dots + mk_m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + mk_m = n} \frac{(n+k_1 + \dots + k_m)!}{k_1! \dots k_m!} \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_m^{k_m}$$
(20)

- 1. substituted f(X)
- 2. see concrete mathematics 5.56
- 3. multinomial theorem
- 4. just reformulated
- 5. extract the coefficient of X^n by setting $k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + mk_m = n$