# Integration and Integration

K

March 23, 2021

# Contents

In	troduction	5
Ι	$\sigma$ -algebra and measures	7
1	Family of Sets1.1 Symmetric Difference1.2 Ring of Sets1.2 Ring of Sets1.3 Algebra of Sets1.4 $\sigma$ -Ring1.5 $\sigma$ -Algebra1.5 $\sigma$ -Algebra1.6 Monotone Class1.7 Product Algebra??1.8 Rectangles1.9 Borel $\sigma$ -algebra1.10 Exercises	9 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 12
2	Measure	15
II 3 4	Lebesgue Integral  Measurable Functions  Convergence Theorems	17 19 21
II	I Applications	23
5	Cavalieri's Principle	25
6	Finding Volume by Rotation	27
7	Transformation Formula	29
I	W More Theory	31
8	Lebesgue Space	33
$\mathbf{v}$	Manifolds	35

4 CONTENTS

## Introduction

One problem of the Riemann integral is that some functions are not Riemann integratable.

**Example 0.0.1** (Dirichlet function). For  $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , define the Dirichlet function as

$$g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}, x\mapsto g(x):=\begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } x\in\mathbb{Q},\\ 0 \text{ for } x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}.\end{cases}$$
 (1)

What are the properties a generalized concept of volumina should have?

- 1. positive valued
- 2. null empty set
- 3. monotonous
- 4. translationinvariance
- 5. normalization

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $\mu: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_0^+$ .

- $\mu$  is monotonous.
- $\mu$  is translation invariant.
- $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive.


Theorem 0.1.1 (Vitali's Theorem).

6 CONTENTS

# Part I $\sigma\text{-algebra and measures}$

# Family of Sets

We have the following tree of inclusion. NOTATION GUIDE:



- 1. X as the superset
- 2.  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is the power set of X.
- 3.  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  as subsets
- 4.  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  system of subsets

## 1.1 Symmetric Difference

**Definition 1.1** (Symmetric difference). Let A, B be sets. The binary set operation symmetric difference is defined as

$$A \triangle B := (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A). \tag{1.1}$$

In other words,  $x \in A \triangle B$  implies x is either in A or B, but not in both.

**Proposition 1.1.1** (Properties of Symmetric Difference). Let A, B, C, X and Y be sets. Moreover, let  $A_i$  and  $X_i$  be sets with an arbitary non-empty index set  $i \in I$ . Then, the following identities hold.

- 1.  $A \triangle B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$ .
- 2.  $(A\triangle B)\triangle C = A\triangle (B\triangle C)$ . (Symmetric difference is associative.)
- 3.  $A\triangle B = B\triangle A$ . (Symmetric difference is commutative.)
- 4.  $A \triangle \emptyset = A$  and  $A \triangle A = \emptyset$
- 5.  $(A \triangle B) \cup C = (A \cup C) \triangle (B \cup C)$ .
- 6.  $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow A \triangle B = A \cup B$ .
- 7.  $B \subset A \Rightarrow A \triangle B = A \setminus B$ .
- 8.  $X \cap Y = \emptyset \Rightarrow A \cap B \subset (X \triangle A) \cup (Y \triangle B)$ .
- 9.  $(\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i) \triangle (\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} (X_i \triangle A_i)$

## 1.2 Ring of Sets

**Definition 1.2** (Ring of sets). There are two equivalent definitions. Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  a system of subsets. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring of sets over X, if

- 1. the following axioms are met.
  - (a)  $\mathcal{R} \neq \emptyset$  ( $\mathcal{R}$  is nonempty.)
  - (b)  $A, B \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow A \setminus B \in \mathcal{R}$  ( $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under relative complement.)
  - (c)  $A, B \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{R}$  ( $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under finite unions.)
- 2.  $(\mathcal{R}, \triangle, \cap)$  is a ring in the algebraic sense, with  $\triangle$  as addition and  $\cap$  as multiplication.

**Remark.** Since we have the identity  $A \cap B = A \setminus (A \setminus B)$ , the condition that  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under the relative complement, i.e.

$$A, B \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow A \setminus B \in \mathcal{R} \tag{1.2}$$

can be replaced with closure under finite intersection, therefore

$$A, B \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{R}. \tag{1.3}$$

**Example 1.2.1.** Let X be a set.

- 1.  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  are ring of sets.
- 2.  $\{\emptyset\}$  is a ring of sets.

## 1.3 Algebra of Sets

**Definition 1.3** (Algebra of sets). There are two equivalent definitions. Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  a system of subsets. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a algebra of sets over X,

- 1. if A is a ring of sets that contains X, or
- 2. if the following axioms are met
  - (a)  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$  ( $\mathcal{A}$  is nonempty.)
  - (b)  $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under the absolute complement.)
  - (c)  $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under finite unions.)

1.4.  $\sigma$ -RING

### 1.4 $\sigma$ -Ring

**Definition 1.4** ( $\sigma$ -Ring). Let X be set and  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  a system of subsets.  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring over X, if

- 1.  $\mathcal{R} \neq \emptyset$ . ( $\mathcal{A}$  is nonempty.)
- 2.  $A, B \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow A \setminus B \in \mathcal{R}$  (closed under the relative complement.)
- 3.  $A_1, A_2, A_3, ... \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathbb{R}$  (Closed under countable unions.)

### 1.5 $\sigma$ -Algebra

**Definition 1.5** ( $\sigma$ -algebra). Let  $\Omega$  be set and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  a system of subsets.  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\Omega$ , if

- 1.  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ .
- 2.  $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}$
- 3.  $A_1, A_2, A_3, ... \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathcal{A}$

**Example 1.5.1.** Trivial examples for the above structures.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  be a system of sets. Define

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}) := \{ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega) | \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\sigma\text{-Algebra} \}$$
(1.4)

$$\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle^{\sigma} := \sigma(\mathcal{E}) := \bigcap_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E})} \mathcal{A} \tag{1.5}$$

The first is the family of all  $\sigma$ -algebras that contain  $\mathcal{E}$ . The second is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains  $\mathcal{E}$ .

### 1.6 Monotone Class

**Definition 1.7** (Notation for Monotonous Sequence of Sets).

**Definition 1.8** (Monotone class). Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  a system of sets and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a monotone class, if

- 1. Let  $X_k \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $X_k \uparrow X$ , then  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- 2. Let  $Y_k \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $Y_k \downarrow X$ , then  $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Intersection of arbitary many monotonous class is again a monotonous class. Therefore, for all  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  with  $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$  there exists the smallest monotonous class around  $\mathcal{E}$ 

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{E}} := \bigcap_{\mathcal{M} \text{ is monotonous class}, \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}$$

$$\tag{1.6}$$

**Remark.** All  $\sigma$ -algebras are monotone class.

**Theorem 1.8.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  an algebra of sets. Then, the following are equivalent

- $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- For  $A_k \uparrow A$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

### 1.7 Product Algebra??

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_1$  be sets; let  $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega_1)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2 \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega_2)$  be ring of sets, and  $\Omega := \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ . Define

$$\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{R}_2 := \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i \times B_i \middle| A_i \in \mathcal{R}_1, B_i \in \mathcal{R}_2, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$(1.7)$$

 $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring of sets over  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.9.1.** In above definition, if  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  are algebra of sets, then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a algebra of set.

Theorem 1.9.2.

$$\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{1.8}$$

is a ring of sets.

**Remark.** From  $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we can construct one very important  $\sigma$ -algebra, the Borel-Algebra of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 1.10** (Products of  $\sigma$ -algebras). Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ . Then, let

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_2) \tag{1.9}$$

Example 1.10.1.

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m) \tag{1.10}$$

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  be a sequence of sets with  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset X_3 \subset \ldots$  and  $X := \lim_{k \to \infty} := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} X_k$ . Similar for monotonously decreasing.

## 1.8 Rectangles

Example 1.11.1. Let

$$\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} [a_i, b_i) \middle| m \in \mathbb{N}; a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
(1.11)

be the set of all unions of finitely many right half open intervals on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R})$  is a set of rings. Similary for the left half open sets, but not for open or closed intervals!  $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R})$  is neither  $\sigma$ -ring,  $\sigma$ -algebra nor an algebra of sets. One can generalize this to higher dimensions.

## 1.9 Borel $\sigma$ -algebra

**Definition 1.12.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set. A collection  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  of subsets of X is called a topology on X if it satisfies the following axioms.

- 1.  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- 2. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $U_1, \dots U_n \in \mathcal{U}$  then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- 3. If I is any index set and  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$  for  $i \in I$  then  $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ .

A topological space is a pair  $(\Omega, \mathcal{U})$  consisting of a set  $\Omega$  and a topology  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ .

**Example 1.12.1** (Standard Topology on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ). The set of open subsets  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is the standard topology on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Concretely,  $\mathcal{T}$  contains countable union of open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  and sets of the form  $(a, \infty]$  or  $[-\infty, b)$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

1.10. EXERCISES 13

**Definition 1.13** (Borel algebra). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, then  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega) := \sigma(\mathcal{T})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\Omega$ . The elments of  $\mathcal{B}$  are called Borel (measurable) sets. There are many ways to generate this algebra.

**Theorem 1.13.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space. Then the following holds.

- 1. Every closed subset  $F \subset \Omega$  is a Borel set.
- 2. Every countable union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  of closed subsets  $F_i \subset \Omega$  is a Borel set.
- 3. Every countable intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  of open subsets  $F_i \subset \Omega$  is a Borel set.

#### **Theorem 1.13.2.** It is

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \tag{1.12}$$

Moreover, define

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^m [a_{1,i}, b_{1,i}) \times \dots [a_{n,i} \times b_{n,i}) \middle| m \in \mathbb{N}; a_{\nu,i}, b_{\nu,i} \in \mathbb{Q}; \nu = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$
(1.13)

the ring of sets of finite unions of quadern with rational edge points. Then, we even have

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \tag{1.14}$$

**Lemma 1.13.1.** Open subsets  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  are disjoint union of countably many right half open dices with edge points in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ 

### 1.10 Exercises

### Exercise 1.1

Let X be a nonempty set and for all  $1 \le i \le m$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $A_i \subset X$  be a finite amount of subsets. Set

$$S := A_1 \triangle A_2 \triangle \dots \triangle A_m. \tag{1.15}$$

Because of the associative property of the symmetric difference, S is uniquely defined regardless of the order of the operations.

Show that  $x \in X$  belongs to S if and only if x belongs to an odd number of sets  $A_k$ , i.e. when the number of indices  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  with  $x \in A_k$  is odd.

### Solution 1.1

## Measure

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  a ring of sets, and let  $\mu : \mathcal{R} \to [0, \infty]$  be an application.  $\mu$  is called a content, if

1. 
$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0$$
.

2. 
$$\mu(A \dot{\cup} B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

An  $\sigma$ -additive content is called premeasure.

A premeasure  $\mu: \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  on  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a measure.

 $\mu$  is finite if for all  $A \in \mathcal{R} : \mu(A) < \infty$ .

 $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if there exists are sequence  $(A_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}^*}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $\mu(A_m)<\infty$  and  $\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}^*}A_m=\Omega$ .

**Lemma 2.1.1.** If  $\mu(A \cap B) < \infty$ , then

$$\mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cup B) \tag{2.1}$$

Theorem 2.1.1 (Properties of premeasure).

**Example 2.1.1** (Dirac-measure). Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Define for all  $x \in \Omega$  a  $\delta_x : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$  with

$$\delta_x(A) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

 $\delta_x$  is a finite measure, called the Dirac-measure.

### Definition 2.2. Let

$$\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^m [a_{1,i}, b_{1,i}) \times \dots [a_{n,i} \times b_{n,i}) \middle| m \in \mathbb{N}; a_{\nu,i}, b_{\nu,i} \in \mathbb{R}; \nu = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$
 (2.3)

define

$$\lambda^n : \mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}_0^+, A \mapsto \lambda^n(A) := \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{\nu=1}^n (b_{\nu,i} - a_{\nu,i})$$
 (2.4)

is a premeasure.

#### Definition 2.3.

$$\mathcal{R}^{\uparrow} := \{ A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) | \exists (A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{R} \text{ with } A_k \uparrow A \}$$
 (2.5)

 $\mathcal{R}^{\uparrow}$  is the set of all  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  that can be expressed as countably many sets from  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\mathcal{R}^{\uparrow}$  is not a ring of sets.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mu: \mathcal{R} \to [0, \infty]$  be a premeasure on  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $A_k \uparrow A$ . Then,

$$\tilde{\mu}: \mathcal{R}^{\uparrow} \to [0, \infty], A \mapsto := \tilde{\mu}(A) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu(A_k)$$
 (2.6)

is an extension of  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{R}^{\uparrow}$ . This is not in general a premeasure.

Theorem 2.4.1 (Properties of the first extension).

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  a set of rings,  $\mu : \mathcal{R} \to [0, \infty]$  a  $\sigma$ -finite premeasure on  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $\tilde{\mu} : \mathcal{R}^{\uparrow} \to [0, \infty]$  the first extension on  $\mathcal{R}^{\uparrow}$ . Moreover, let  $X \subset \Omega$  a subset of  $\Omega$ . Then,

$$\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to [0, \infty], X \mapsto \mu^*(X) := \inf \left\{ \tilde{\mu}(A) \middle| A \in \mathcal{R}^{\uparrow}, X \subset A \right\}$$
 (2.7)

is the outer measure.

Theorem 2.5.1 (Properties of the second extension).

Bla Bla bla

Definition 2.6 (Lebesgue measure).

# Part II Lebesgue Integral

## Measurable Functions

**Definition 3.1** (Measurable Function). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$  be measurable spaces. A map  $f: X \to Y$  is called measurable if the pre-image of every measurable subset of Y under f is measurable subset of X, i.e.

$$B \in \mathcal{A}_Y \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_X.$$
 (3.1)

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(X\mathcal{A}_X)$  be a measurable space. A function  $f:\Omega\to\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is called measurable if it is measurable with respect to the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ 

**Definition 3.3** (Borel Measurable Maps).

**Theorem 3.3.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, and  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{E})$  for a generator  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . If for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  it is  $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{A}$ , then f is measurable.

**Example 3.3.1.** Let  $f:(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B})\to(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B})$  defined as

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 1x \in Q \\ -1x \notin Q \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

for a  $Q \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then,  $f^{-1}(1) = Q \notin \mathcal{B}$  and therefore, f is not measurable even though |f| = 1 is measurable.

# Convergence Theorems

**Theorem 4.0.1** (Beppo Levi). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  a measure space, and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $f_k : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence of integratable functions such that

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f_n(x) \le f_{n+1}(x). \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, if there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\forall k : \int f_k d\mu \leq M$ , then

$$f := \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$
 (4.2)

integratable with

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int f_k d\mu \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.0.2. If the Riemann integral exists, it matches the Lebesgue integral.

**Theorem 4.0.3.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  a measure space, let  $g: X \to [0, \infty)$  be an integrable function, and let  $f_n: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence of integrable functions satisfying

$$|f_n(x)| \le g(x) \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (4.4)

and converging pointwise to  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then f is integrable and, for every  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$\int_{E} f d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} f_n d\mu \tag{4.5}$$

# ${\bf Part~III} \\ {\bf Applications}$

# Cavalieri's Principle

**Definition 5.1** (Cross-section). Let  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$  with  $n, k, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for a  $y \in \mathbb{R}^l$ 

$$A_y := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^k \middle| (x, y) \in A \right\} \tag{5.1}$$

is the l-dimensional cross-sections of A.

Remark. Immediately from the definition above, we have

$$A = \dot{\bigcup}_{y \in \mathbb{R}^l} (A_y, y). \tag{5.2}$$

In other words,  $\{(A_y, y)\}_{y \in \mathbb{R}^l}$  is a partition of A.

**Theorem 5.1.1** (Cavalieri's principle). Let  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$  with  $n, k, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^l$  a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\{(A_y, y)\}_{y \in \mathbb{R}^l}$  be a patition of A via cross-sections. Then we have the following

- 1. For all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $A_y$  is Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .
- 2. Let  $F_A : \mathbb{R}^l \to [0, \infty], y \mapsto F_A(y) := Vol_K(A_y) = \lambda^k(A_y)$  be the k-dimensional volume of  $A_y$ . Then  $F_A$  is Borel measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .
- 3.  $\operatorname{Vol}_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} \operatorname{Vol}_k(A_y)$

Proof. 1. Fix  $y \in \mathbb{R}^l$ 

**Theorem 5.1.2.** For  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  compact, we have

$$Vol_n(K) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} Vol_{n-1}(K_t)$$
 (5.3)

# Finding Volume by Rotation

**Definition 6.1.**  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is rotationally symmetric in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if there exists a  $f: [0, \infty) \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  it is F(x) = f(||x||).

**Theorem 6.1.1.** The volume of the unit sphare is

$$\tau_n = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \tag{6.1}$$

**Theorem 6.1.2.** Let  $B \subset [0, \infty)$  a Borel subset and  $A := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | ||x|| \in B\}$ . Then the Lebesgue measure of A is

$$\lambda^n(A) = n\tau_n \int_B r^{n-1} dr \tag{6.2}$$

where  $\tau_n$  is the volume of the unit sphere.

**Theorem 6.1.3.** Let  $f:[0,\infty)\to\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is Borel measurable. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1.  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}, x \mapsto F(x) := f(\|x\|)$  is Lebesgue integrable over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 2.  $r^{n-1}f:[0,\infty)\to\overline{\mathbb{R}}, r\mapsto r^{n-1}f(r)$  is Lebesgue integrable over  $[0,\infty).$

Moreover, if one of the above is true, then we have the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\|x\|) d^n x = n\tau_n \int_{[0,\infty)} r^{n-1} f(r) dr$$
 (6.3)

**Example 6.1.1.** For a  $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $1 \le i \le n$  let

$$I_i := \int_{\|x\| \le R} x_i^2 d^n x. \tag{6.4}$$

We Immediately have  $I_i = I_j =: I$  for all i, j.

$$I = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i \tag{6.5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\|x\| \le R} x_i^2 d^n x \tag{6.6}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\|x\| \le R} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 d^n x \tag{6.7}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\|x\| \le R} \|x\|^2 d^n x \tag{6.8}$$

(6.9)

Now with the formula above, we have

$$I = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \tau_n \int_0^R r^{n-1} r^2 dr \tag{6.10}$$

$$= \tau_n \int_0^R r^{n+1} dr \tag{6.11}$$

$$= \tau_n \frac{R^{n+2}}{n+2} \tag{6.12}$$

#### Example 6.1.2.

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-x^2) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \tag{6.13}$$

*Proof.* Define

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-x^2) dx \tag{6.14}$$

Consider

$$I^{2} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-x^{2}) dx\right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-y^{2}) dy\right)$$
 (6.15)

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-x^2) \exp(-y^2) dx dy \tag{6.16}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-(x^2 + y^2)) dx dy \tag{6.17}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\|x\|^2} d^2 \lambda \tag{6.18}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty r e^{-r^2} dr \tag{6.19}$$

**Example 6.1.3.** Let  $B_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | ||x|| < 1\}$  be the open unit disk. Find the integral

$$\int_{B_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \|x\|^2}} d\lambda^2(x) \tag{6.20}$$

*Proof.* Define  $f:[0,\infty)\to\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \chi_{[0,1)}(x). \tag{6.21}$$

As [0,1) is a Borel set of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\chi_{[0,1)}$  is Borel measurable. On the other hand,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$  is continuous for all  $x \in [0,1)$ , so the composition of these two functions f is again Borel measurable. Now consider, rf(r). We have

$$\int |rf(r)|dr = \int_0^1 \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} dr$$
 (6.22)

$$= -\sqrt{1 - r^2} \tag{6.23}$$

$$=0+1$$
 (6.24)

$$=1 \tag{6.25}$$

Example 6.1.4. Compute the following integral

$$f(\xi,\eta) := \int_{B_1} \frac{\exp(i(x\xi + y\eta))}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} dxdy$$
 (6.26)

## **Transformation Formula**

**Theorem 7.0.1.** Suppose  $\phi: U \to V$  is a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism between open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $f: V \to \mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue integrable OR continuous with a compact support, then

$$\int_{U} (f \circ \phi) |\det(d\phi)| dm = \int_{V} f dm. \tag{7.1}$$

Example 7.0.1. (2D) From polar coordinates to cartesian coordinates.

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}_0^+ \times [0, 2\pi) \to \mathbb{R}^2, (r, \varphi) \mapsto \phi(r, \varphi) := (r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi)$$
 (7.2)

$$D\phi(r,\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -r\sin\varphi\\ \sin\varphi & r\cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}$$
 (7.3)

$$\det D\phi(r,\varphi) = r \tag{7.4}$$

(3D) From spherical coordinates to cartesian coordinates.

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}_0^+ \times [0, \pi] \times [0, \pi) \to \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{7.5}$$

$$(r, \theta, \varphi) \mapsto \phi(r, \theta, \varphi) := (r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, r \sin \theta \sin \varphi, r \cos \theta)$$
 (7.6)

$$D\phi(r,\theta,\varphi) := \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta\cos\varphi & r\cos\theta\cos\varphi & -r\sin\theta\sin\varphi\\ \sin\theta\sin\varphi & r\cos\theta\sin\varphi & r\sin\theta\cos\varphi\\ \cos\theta & -r\sin\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(7.7)

$$\det D\phi(r,\theta,\varphi) = r^2 \sin\theta \tag{7.8}$$

(3D) From cylindrical coordinates to cartesian coordinates.

$$\phi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, 2\pi) \to \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{7.9}$$

$$x = r\cos\theta\tag{7.10}$$

$$y = r\sin\theta\tag{7.11}$$

$$z = z \tag{7.12}$$

$$D\phi(r,\theta,z) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -r\sin\theta & 0\\ \sin\theta & r\cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (7.13)

$$\det D\phi(r,\theta,z) = r \tag{7.14}$$

# Part IV More Theory

## Lebesgue Space

**Definition 8.1** ( $L^p$ -Norm). Let  $X, \mathcal{A}, \mu$  a measure space, and  $f: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  measurable. Then for  $p \in [1, \infty)$  the  $L^p$ -norm is defined as

$$||f||_p := \left(\int_X |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
 (8.1)

**Theorem 8.1.1** (Holder Inequality). Let  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . Let  $f, g : X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  measurable. Then we have

$$\|fg\|_1 \le \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_q \tag{8.2}$$

**Theorem 8.1.2** (Minkowski Inequality). Let  $f, g: X \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  measurable and f+g well defined on X. Then

$$\forall p \in [1, \infty) : \|f + g\|_p \le \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p \tag{8.3}$$

**Definition 8.2.** Let  $X, \mathcal{A}, \mu$  be a measure space and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Define

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) = \left\{ f : X \to \mathbb{R} \middle| f \text{ is } \mathcal{A}\text{-measurable and } \|f\|_{p} < \infty \right\}$$
 (8.4)

# Part V Manifolds

**Definition 8.3.**  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a k-dimensional submanifold, if

• For all  $a \in M$  there exists an open neighbourhood U around a in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and there exists a n-k continuously partial differentiable  $f_j: U \to \mathbb{R}$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n-k$  such that

$$M \cap U = \{x \in U | f_1(x) = \dots = f_{n-k}(x) = 0\}$$
 (8.5)

and for all  $x \in U$ 

$$\operatorname{rank} \frac{\partial (f_1, \dots, f_{n-k})}{\partial (x_1, \dots, x_n)}(x) = n - k$$
(8.6)

**Example 8.3.1.** Let's construct the simplest submanifold. Let n=2 and k=1.

$$M = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | f(x, y) = c \}$$
(8.7)

**Theorem 8.3.1.** If  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a k-dimensional submanifold then the following are equivalent.

1. For all points  $a \in M$  there exists a open neighbourhood  $U \in \mathcal{U}_a(\mathbb{R})$ , and there exists a function  $f_i: U \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $1 \le i \le n - k$ that is n - k continuously (partially) differentiable such that

$$M \cap U = \{x \in U | f_1(x) = \dots = f_{n-k}(x) = 0\}$$
 (8.8)

and for all  $x \in U$  Df(x) = n - k.

**Example 8.3.2.** The figure eight is described by  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $f(t) := (\cos t, \sin 2t)$ . Define

$$M := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} | \cos x = 0, \sin 2x = 0 \}$$
(8.9)

then

$$D\phi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ 2\cos 2t \end{pmatrix} \tag{8.10}$$

**Definition 8.4.** A submanifold is k-dimensional of the class  $C^{\alpha}$  if the n-k functions that describe the submanifold is  $\alpha$  times continuously differentiable.

**Theorem 8.4.1.** Let  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a k-dimensional submanifold of the class  $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$ . Let i = 1, 2  $T_i \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  open and  $\varphi_i : T_i \to V_i \subset M$  KARTEN, i.e. in parameter form of the class  $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$  with  $V := V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

#### Exercise 8.1

Let  $f, g: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$f(x,y,z) := x^2 + xy - y - z g(x,y,z) := 2x^2 + 3xy - 2y - 3z (8.11)$$

Show that

$$C := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | f(x, y, z) = g(x, y, z) = 0\}$$
(8.12)

is a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and that

$$\phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3, \phi(t) := (t, t^2, t^3)$$
 (8.13)

is a global parametrzation of C.

#### Solution 8.1

Define  $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  as F(x,y,z) = (f(x,y,z), g(x,y,z)), then C can be rewritten as

$$C = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x, y, z) = 0\}.$$
(8.14)

We have

$$\partial_x f(x, y, z) = 2x + y \qquad \qquad \partial_x g(x, y, z) = 4x + 3y \qquad (8.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x f(x, y, z) &= 2x + y \\
\partial_y f(x, y, z) &= x - 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x g(x, y, z) &= 4x + 3y \\
\partial_y g(x, y, z) &= 3x - 2
\end{aligned}$$
(8.15)

$$\partial_y f(x, y, z) = x - 1 \qquad \qquad \partial_y g(x, y, z) = 3x - 2 \qquad (8.16)$$

$$\partial_z f(x, y, z) = -1 \qquad \qquad \partial_z g(x, y, z) = -3 \qquad (8.17)$$

therefore

$$DF(x,y,z) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x+y & x-1 & -1\\ 4x+3y & 3x-2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (8.18)

To check if DF surjective, it is enough to show that

are linearely independent. For that, we compute the determinant of the matrix created by the two vectors.

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} x-1 & -1\\ 3x-2 & -3 \end{pmatrix} = -3x+3+3x-2 = 1 \tag{8.20}$$

So, DF has a rank of 2, therefore surjective. With this, C is a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .