## 1 Rigidity Conjecture

**Remark.** When studying compositions of formal power series, we require that the inner power series f(X) has no constant term, i.e., f(0) = 0. This condition ensures that the resulting composition is well-defined in the ring of formal power series  $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$ , as it prevents infinite contributions to the coefficients.

Consider  $f(X) = \sum_{k \ge 1} a_k X^k$  and  $g(X) = \sum_{k \ge 0} b_k X^k$ . The composition g(f(X)) is given by substituting f(X) into g(X):

$$g(f(X)) = b_0 + b_1 f(X) + b_2 f(X)^2 + \cdots$$

$$= b_0 + b_1 (a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \cdots) + b_2 (a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \cdots)^2 + \cdots$$

$$= b_0 + b_1 a_1 X + (b_1 a_2 + b_2 a_1^2) X^2 + \cdots,$$

where we grouped the terms by powers of X in the last step. We observe that the coefficients of  $X^n$  in g(f(X)) depend only on a finite number of coefficients of f(X) and g(X). This is because, with f(0) = 0, each power  $f(X)^k$  introduces terms of degree at least k, ensuring that lower-degree terms do not contribute infinitely to higher-order coefficients.

On the other hand, if  $f(0) \neq 0$ , we write  $f(X) = a_0 + \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k X^k$ , where  $a_0 = f(0)$ . In this case,

$$f(X)^k = (a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \cdots)^k$$

produces a constant term  $a_0^k \neq 0$ . Consequently, the constant term of g(f(X)) depends on infinitely many terms of g(X), and the composition g(f(X)) is no longer a formal power series.

Since we are interested in the compositional inverse, it is necessary to extend the condition f(0) = 0 to both power series. This ensures that the inverse series  $f^{-1}(X)$ , when substituted into f(X), results in the identity series X, with no contributions from constant terms that would otherwise make the series ill-defined.

The following proposition and lemma are taken from Enumerative Combinatorics by Richard P. Stanley and Sergey Fomin.

**Definition 1.** Let  $f(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$  be a power series with no constant term. We call a power series  $f^{-1}(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$  the compositional inverse of f, if it satisfies  $f(f^{-1}(X)) = f^{-1}(f(X)) = X$ .

**Proposition 2.** A power series  $f(X) = a_1X + a_2X^2 + \cdots \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$  has a compositional inverse if and only if  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Moreover, if the compositional inverse exists, then it is unique.

*Proof.* Assume f has a compositional inverse and denote the compositional inverse by  $f^{-1}(X) = b_1X + b_2X^2 + \cdots$ . Writing out  $f(f^{-1}(X)) = X$  using multinomial theorem gives

$$X = a_1(b_1X + b_2X^2 + \cdots) + a_2(b_1X + b_2X^2 + \cdots)^2 + \cdots$$
  
=  $(a_1b_1X + a_1b_2X^2 + a_1b_3X^3 + \cdots) + (a_2b_1^2X^2 + 2a_2b_1b_2X^3 + \cdots)$   
=  $(a_1b_1)X + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1^2)X^2 + (a_1b_3 + 2a_2b_1b_2 + a_3b_1^3)X^3 + \cdots$ 

Equating the coefficients on both sides yields a linear system of equations.

$$1 = a_1b_1$$

$$0 = a_1b_2 + a_2b_1^2$$

$$0 = a_1b_3 + 2a_2b_1b_2 + a_3b_1^3$$

$$\vdots$$

The first equation has a solution if and only if  $a_1 \neq 0$ . In that case, the solution is unique. Then, the second equation can be solved uniquely for  $b_2$ . By this process, we are able to solve the third equation for  $b_3$ , the fourth for  $b_4$  and so on. Thus,  $f^{-1}(X)$  exists if and only if  $a_1 \neq 0$  and in that case,  $f^{-1}(X)$  is unique.

**Lemma 3** (Lagrange Inversion Formula). Let  $f(X) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k X^k \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$  be a power series with  $a_1 \neq 0$  and denote its composition inverse by  $f^{-1}(X) = \sum_{k \geq 1} b_k X^k \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$ . The coefficients of the inverse is given by the following formula.

$$b_k = \frac{1}{k} [X^{n-1}] \left( \frac{X}{f(X)} \right)^k$$

*Proof.* We begin by substituting f(X) into  $f^{-1}(X)$ . It is

$$X = f^{-1}(f(X)) = \sum_{k \ge 1} b_k f(X)^k.$$

Differentiating and subsequently taking the quotient with  $f(X)^n$  both sides yields

$$1 = \sum_{k \ge 1} k \cdot b_k \cdot f(X)^{k-1} \cdot f'(X)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{f(X)} = \sum_{k \ge 1} k \cdot b_k \cdot \frac{f(X)^k}{f(X)^{n+1}} \cdot f'(X).$$