

## 0.1 Localization

**Definition 1.** A multiplicative set is a subset  $S$  of a ring  $R$  such that the following two conditions hold.

1.  $S$  contains the identity, i.e.  $1 \in S$ .
2. For any two elements  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S$  it is their product is contained in  $S$ , i.e.  $xy \in S$ .

**Example 1.1.** In any ring  $R$ , the subsets  $\{1\}$ , and  $R$  are multiplicative sets.

**Example 1.2.** Consider the ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Some of the multiplicative sets in  $\mathbb{Z}$  includes

- $\{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots\} = \{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$
- $\{1, 3, 9, 27, \dots\} = \{3^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$
- $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18, \dots\} = \{2^n \cdot 3^m \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$
- $\{1, 4, 16, 64, \dots\} = \{4^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- $\{1, -2, 4, -8, \dots\} = \{(-2)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- $\{1, -1, 2, -2, 4, -4, 8, -8, \dots\} = \{\pm 2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Observation: Given some elements of the ring, we may generate the multiplicative set.

**Example 1.3.** • In  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , the only multiplicative sets are  $\{1\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

- In  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , the multiplicative sets are  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{1, 0\}$ ,  $\{1, 2\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
- In  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ , the multiplicative sets are  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{1, 0\}$ ,  $\{1, 2, 0\}$ ,  $\{1, 3\}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$
- In  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ , the multiplicative sets are  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{1, 0\}$ , and  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$

1.  $S(\{1, 2\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$
2.  $S(\{1, 3\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$
3.  $S(\{1, 4\}) = \{1, 4\}$

- In  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ , the multiplicative sets are  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{1, 0\}$

- $S(\{1, 2\}) = \{1, 2, 4\}$
- $S(\{1, 3\}) = \{1, 3\}$
- $S(\{1, 4\}) = \{1, 4\}$
- $S(\{1, 5\}) = \{1, 5\}$
- $S(\{1, 2, 3\}) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$
- $S(\{1, 2, 5\}) = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$
- $S(\{1, 3, 4\}) = \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$
- $S(\{1, 3, 5\}) = \{1, 3, 5\}$
- $S(\{1, 4, 5\}) = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$

- In  $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ , the multiplicative sets are

- $S(\{1, 2\}) = \{1, 2, 4\}$
- $S(\{1, 3\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- $S(\{1, 4\}) = \{1, 2, 4\}$

- $S(\{1, 5\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 6\}) = \{1, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 2, 3\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 2, 5\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 2, 6\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 3, 4\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 3, 5\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 3, 6\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 4, 5\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 4, 6\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
  - $S(\{1, 5, 6\}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- In  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ 
    - $S(\{1, 2\}) = \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$
    - $S(\{1, 3\}) = \{1, 3, 9\}$
    - $S(\{1, 4\}) = \{1, 4\}$

Well, I wrote a python script for this

**Remark.** The statement: If  $S$  is a multiplicative set with 0, then  $S \setminus \{0\}$  is a multiplicative set is false.

The converse is (probably) true: If  $S$  is multiplicative, then so is  $S \cup \{0\}$ .

**Remark.** A multiplicative set need not to have a finite generating set.

Question: Do multiplicative sets have countably finite generating sets?

Answer: Most certainly it isn't. There is no way that  $R$  has a countable set of elements that generate  $R$  just through multiplication.

**Theorem 2.** An ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of a ring  $R$  is prime if and only if its complement  $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  is multiplicatively closed.

**Remark.** Not all multiplicative sets are the complements of a prime ideal. The above theorem gives an alternative definition of prime ideals but does not function as a definition of multiplicative sets.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ .

“ $\Rightarrow$ ”: Suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime. Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not contain 1, its complement  $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  must contain 1. Fix two elements  $x \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  and  $y \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  and assume  $xy \notin R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Then,  $xy \in \mathfrak{p}$ , but then  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $y \in \mathfrak{p}$  both of which are contradictions.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”: Suppose  $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  is multiplicatively closed. □

**Example 2.1.** Consider the ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Some of the multiplicative sets in  $\mathbb{Z}$  includes

- $\mathbb{Z} \setminus (2) = \{\dots, -5, -3, -1, 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$  this corresponds to the high school math observation that odd times odd is odd

**Theorem 3.** If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal in  $R$ , then  $1 + \mathfrak{a}$  is a multiplicative set.

What about the converse? Let  $1 + \mathfrak{a}$  be multiplicative.

## 0.2 Localization

### Definition 4.

**Example 4.1.** • Localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at  $S := \{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots\}$

- some elements:  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}$
- it is  $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{2}{4}$  because  $1 \cdot 4 - 2 \cdot 2 = 0$
- Localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at  $S := \{1, -2, 4, -8, \dots\}$
- some elements:  $\frac{-1}{-2}, \frac{2}{4}$
- it is  $\frac{-1}{-2} = \frac{2}{4}$  because  $-1 \cdot 4 = -2 \cdot 2$

### Definition 5.

$$\tau : R \longrightarrow S^{-1}R, x \mapsto \frac{x}{1}$$

**Remark.**  $\tau$  is not injective

Why??

**Theorem 6.** For  $\tau : R \longrightarrow S^{-1}R$  it is true:

1.  $\ker \tau = \{x \in R \mid sx = 0 \text{ for some } x \in S\}$ . This means that the kernel is a subset of the zero divisor
2. For all  $s \in S$ , we have  $\tau(s)$  is a unit in the Localization.
3.  $S^{-1}R \neq 0$  iff  $0 \notin S$
4. if  $S$  contains only units,  $\tau$  bijective

*Proof.* 1. Let  $x \in \ker(\tau)$ , then  $\tau(x) = \frac{x}{1} \sim \frac{0}{1} \iff xs = 0$

2. Let  $s \in S$ , then  $\tau(s) = \frac{s}{1}$ . We want to find  $\frac{p}{q}$  such that  $\frac{sp}{q} \sim \frac{1}{1}$  so  $(sp - q)t = 0 \iff spt - qt = 0$

Yeah, so simply:

Let  $s \in S$ . The inverse of  $\tau(s) = \frac{s}{1}$  is  $\frac{1}{s}$  as  $\frac{s}{1} \sim \frac{1}{s}$

3.  $0 \in S$ , then by definition.

□

### 0.3 Localization of Modules

**Definition 7.**

**Theorem 8.** 1. For every  $R$ -module  $M$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$M \otimes_R R_S \xrightarrow{\sim} M_S, (x \otimes \frac{a}{s}) \mapsto \frac{ax}{s}$$

*Proof.*

□