

Topology

K

February 7, 2023

Contents

| | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| I | Rings | 5 |
| 1 | Rings | 7 |
| 1.1 | Definition and Theorems | 7 |
| 2 | Ideals | 9 |
| 3 | Anatomy of Rings | 13 |
| 3.1 | Exercises and Notes | 13 |
| 4 | Polynomial Rings | 15 |
| 5 | Quotient | 17 |
| 6 | Localization | 19 |
| 6.1 | Definition and Theorems | 19 |
| 6.2 | Exercises and Notes | 20 |
| 7 | Hierarchy of Rings | 21 |
| 7.1 | Definition and Theorems | 21 |
| 7.1.1 | Integral Domains | 21 |
| 8 | Classification of Rings | 23 |
| 8.1 | Definition and Theorems | 23 |
| 8.1.1 | Noetherian Ring | 23 |
| 8.2 | Artinian Rings | 24 |
| II | Modules | 27 |
| 8.3 | Exercises and Notes | 31 |
| 9 | Tensor Product | 33 |
| 9.1 | Definition and Theorems | 33 |
| 9.2 | Exercises and Notes | 35 |
| 10 | Exact Sequences | 37 |
| 10.1 | Definition and Theorems | 37 |
| 10.2 | Notes and Exercises | 37 |
| 11 | Noetherian Modules | 39 |
| 12 | Artinian Modules | 41 |
| 12.1 | Definition and Theorems | 41 |

Part I

Rings

Chapter 1

Rings

1.1 Definition and Theorems

Definition 1 (Ring). A ring is a set A equipped with two binary operations $+$ (addition) and \cdot (multiplication) satisfying the following three sets of axioms, called the ring axioms.

1. $(A, +)$ is an abelian group.
2. (A, \cdot) is a semigroup.
3. Multiplication is distributive with respect to addition, meaning that
 - $a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ (left distributivity).
 - $(b + c) \cdot a = (b \cdot a) + (c \cdot a)$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ (right distributivity).

A ring is called unitary if it contains the multiplicative identity and commutative if multiplication is commutative.

Chapter 2

Ideals

Definition 2 (Ideal). Let A be a ring. A subset $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ is called an ideal if it satisfies the following two conditions.

1. $(\mathfrak{a}, +)$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$.
2. For every $r \in A$ and every $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, it is $rx \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Given a subset $S \subset A$, by the ideal (S) that S generates, we mean the smallest ideal containing S . If an ideal is generated by a subset $S \subset A$, then the elements of this subset are called generators.

An ideal that is generated by a single element is called principal.

If an ideal \mathfrak{a} is not the whole ring A , then the ideal is called proper.

Definition 3 (Ideal Operation). Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be ideals of a ring A .

1. The sum of two ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} is defined by

$$\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = \{ a + b \mid a \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } b \in \mathfrak{b} \} = (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})$$

which is again an ideal. It is the smallest ideal in A that contains \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} .

2. The product of an ideal
3. The intersection of
4. The radical of an ideal \mathfrak{a} is defined by

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \{ x \in A \mid x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \}$$

which is again an ideal.

5. The transporter

Proof. We verify the statements made in the definition.

1. (a) “ $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ is an ideal.”:

□

Example 3.1. The union of two ideals is **not** an ideal in general. Consider (2) and (3) in \mathbb{Z} . If $(2) \cup (3)$ was an ideal, then $3 - 2 = 1$ would be contained in $(2) \cup (3)$. But $1 \notin (2)$ and $1 \notin (3)$, thus $1 \notin (2) \cup (3)$.

Proposition 4. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of A .

1. $\mathfrak{a} = A$ if and only if $1 \in \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if \mathfrak{a} contains an unit.
2. $\mathfrak{a}^2 \subset \mathfrak{a}$.
3. $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$.
4. $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$.

Proposition 5. Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of a ring A .

1. $\mathfrak{a} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.
2. $\sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.
3. If $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$, then $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$.
4. $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = A$ if and only if $\mathfrak{a} = A$.
5. $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$.
6. $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}} = \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}}$.
7. If $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^n$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} and $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, then $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. We verify each statement.

1. Let $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, then trivially, $x^1 \in \mathfrak{a}$, so $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.
2. Since $\sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}} \supset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ from above, it suffices to verify the other inclusion. Let $x \in \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}}$, then $x^n \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ and in turn, $(x^n)^m \in \mathfrak{a}$. Thus, $x^{nm} \in \mathfrak{a}$, therefore, $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.
3. Suppose $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ and let $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then, $x^n \in \mathfrak{a}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, thus $x^n \in \mathfrak{b}$. It follows that $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$.
4. “ \Rightarrow ”: Let $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = A$, then for all $x \in A$, we have that $x^n \in \mathfrak{a}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. In particular, $1^n \in \mathfrak{a}$, but $1^n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Thus, $\mathfrak{a} = A$.
 “ \Leftarrow ”: On the other hand, let $\mathfrak{a} = A$. In general, it is $\mathfrak{a} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$, therefore $A \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ which immediately yields the desired equality $A = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.
5. “ $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}$ ”: If $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}}$, then $x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Since $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, we have $x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, and it follows that $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}$.
 “ $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}} \supset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}$ ”: Let $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}$, then $x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Hence it is $x^n \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $x^n \in \mathfrak{b}$, therefore $x^n \cdot x^n = x^{2n} \in \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}$. Conclude $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}}$.
 “ $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$ ”: If $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}$, then $x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, thus $x^n \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $x^n \in \mathfrak{b}$. We may write $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$, therefore $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$.
 “ $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}} \supset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$ ”: Finally, let $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$. Then, $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$, so $x^n \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $x^m \in \mathfrak{b}$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Say $n \geq m$, then $x^n \in \mathfrak{b}$. This yields $x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, thus $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}}$.
6. “ $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}} \subset \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}}$ ”: Let $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}}$, then $x^n \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. By definition of sum of ideals, we have that $x^n = a + b$ for some $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{b}$. Since $\mathfrak{a} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$, we have $x^n \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$, thus $x \in \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}}$.
 “ $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}} \supset \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}}$ ”: Now let $x \in \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}}$, then $x^n \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Hence there exists $a \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $b \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$ such that $x^n = a + b$. We have that $a^p \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $b^q \in \mathfrak{b}$

for some $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (x^n)^{(p+q-1)} &= (a+b)^{(p+q-1)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p+q-1} \binom{p+q-1}{k} a^k \cdot b^{p+q-1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p+q-1\}$, we have $a^k \in \mathfrak{a}$ or $b^{p+q-1-k} \in \mathfrak{b}$. Thus, the whole sum lies in $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ or in other words $x^{n(p+q-1)} \in \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$. Conclude $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}}$.

7. “ $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ ”: Let $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$, then $x^m \in \mathfrak{a}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Because $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^n$, we have $x^m \in \mathfrak{p}^n$. We also have $\mathfrak{p}^n \subset \mathfrak{p}$, thus $x^m \in \mathfrak{p}$ and since \mathfrak{p} is prime, $x \in \mathfrak{p}$.

“ $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \supset \mathfrak{p}$ ”: On the other hand, if $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $x^n \in \mathfrak{p}^n = \mathfrak{a}$, therefore $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.

□

Proposition 6. 1. $\mathfrak{a} \subset (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b})$.

Example 6.1. Does $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}^2} = \mathfrak{a}$ hold?

Chapter 3

Anatomy of Rings

Definition 7 (Nilpotent Element and Nilradical). An element x of a ring A is called nilpotent if there exists some positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, called the index or the degree, such that $x^n = 0$.

The set of all nilpotent elements is called the nilradical of the ring and is denoted by $\text{Nil}(A)$.

Definition 8 (Reduced Ring). A ring A is called reduced ring if it has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

Proposition 9. Let A and B be two rings and $A' \subset A$ be a subring of A .

1. If A is reduced, then A' is also reduced.
2. If A and B are reduced, then $A \times B$ is also reduced.

(XXX DOES THIS ALSO HOLD FOR ARBITRARY MANY PRODUCTS?)

3.1 Exercises and Notes

Example 9.1. Let K be a field and $A = K[X, Y]/(X - XY^2, Y^3)$.

1. Compute the nilradical $\text{Nil}(A)$.

Solution. Denote $(X - XY^2, Y^3) =: \mathfrak{a}$.

$$\begin{aligned} X + \mathfrak{a} &= XY^2 + \mathfrak{a} && \text{because } X - XY^2 \Rightarrow X \sim XY^2. \\ &= XY^2Y^2 + \mathfrak{a} && \text{because } XY^2 - XY^2Y^2 = Y^2(X - XY^2) = 0 \Rightarrow XY^2 \sim XY^2Y^2 \\ &= XY \cdot Y^3 + \mathfrak{a} \\ &= XY \cdot 0 + \mathfrak{a} \\ &= 0 + \mathfrak{a}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $X \in (X - XY^2, Y^3)$. We have therefore the isomorphism $K[X, Y]/(X - XY^2, Y^3) \simeq K[Y]/(Y^3)$. [I WANT A ELEGANT REASON FOR THIS. PROBABLY ISOMORPHISM THEOREM.]

Clearly, $Y \in \text{Nil}(A)$ or in other words $(Y) \subset \text{Nil}(A)$. But we also have that $K[Y]/(Y) = K$ which is a field, therefore (Y) is a maximal ideal. Because $1 \notin \text{Nil}(A)$ conclude $\text{Nil}(A) = (Y)$. \square

Chapter 4

Polynomial Rings

Chapter 5

Quotient

Chapter 6

Localization

6.1 Definition and Theorems

Definition 10 (Multiplicative Subset). A subset S of a ring A is called a multiplicative subset if the following conditions hold.

1. $1 \in S$.
2. For all $x, y \in S$ it is $xy \in S$.

Example 10.1. Let A be a ring. Important examples of a multiplicative subset include the following.

1. The set of units A^\times is a multiplicative subset.
2. The set of non-zero-divisors $A \setminus \text{ZD}(A)$ is a multiplicative subset.

Example 10.2. Let A be a ring. Other examples of multiplicative subsets are the following.

1. For any element $x \in A$, the set generated by its power $\{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ is a multiplicative subset.
2. For any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$, the set $1 + \mathfrak{a}$ is a multiplicative subset.

Lemma 11. An ideal \mathfrak{p} of a ring A is prime if and only if its complement $A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is a multiplicative subset.

Definition 12 (Localization). $S^{-1}A$ is again a ring.

Lemma 13. Let A be a ring and S a multiplicative subset, then the following are equivalent.

1. $S^{-1}A = 0$.
2. S contains a nilpotent element.
3. $0 \in S$.

Proof. “1. \Rightarrow 2.”: Let $S^{-1}A = 0$, then for all $x \in A$ and $s \in S$ it is $(x, s) \sim (0, 1)$, thus $x \cdot u = 0$ for some $u \in S$. In particular, this holds for $x = 1$, therefore $1 \cdot u = 0$. Since a unit can never be a zero divisor, we must have $u = 0$ which is nilpotent and lies in S .

“1. \Leftarrow 2.”: On the other hand, let $x \in S$ be nilpotent, i.e. $x^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Because S is multiplicatively closed $x^n = 0$ lies in S . Fix an element $(y, s) \in S^{-1}A$, then $y \cdot 1 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot s \cdot 0$. Hence $(y, s) \sim (0, 1)$ and we have $S^{-1}A = 0$.

“2. \Rightarrow 3.”: Again, let $x \in S$ be nilpotent, thus $x^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. S is multiplicatively closed and we have $x^n = 0 \in S$.

“2. \Leftarrow 3.”: If $0 \in S$, then S simply contains a nilpotent element because 0 is nilpotent. \square

Remark. In the lemma above, the condition $0 \notin S$ is required because if S contains 0, then $S^{-1}A = 0$ and by definition, an integral domain is a nonzero ring.

Proposition 14. Let A be a ring. A is reduced if and only if all its localizations $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ is reduced.

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: We prove the statement by contrapositive. Let $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be not reduced for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. Thus, in all $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, there is an element, say x/s that is nilpotent and not zero, i.e. $(x/s)^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. By the definition of localization, we get $x^n \cdot u = 0$ for some $u \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Now, $u \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ cannot be zero, because if it was, $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ which is reduced. Thus, x is nilpotent and A is not reduced. \square

Lemma 15. Let A be a ring and $S \subset A$ be a multiplicative subset that does not contain 0.

1. A is an integral domain if and only if $S^{-1}A$ is an integral domain.
2. A is a unique factorization domain if and only if $S^{-1}A$ is a unique factorization domain.

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: Let A be an integral domain. Since S does not contain 0, the localization $S^{-1}A$ is a nonzero ring (see EXAMPLE). Let $(x, s) \in S^{-1}A \setminus \{0\}$ be a nonzero element and suppose there is a $(y, t) \in S^{-1}A$ with $(x, s) \cdot (y, t) = 0$. It is $(xy, st) = (0, 1)$ and thus $xy \cdot u = 0$ for some $u \in S$. Because x was nonzero and S does not contain 0 we must have $y = 0$. Hence $S^{-1}A$ is an integral domain.

“ \Leftarrow ”: On the other hand, let $S^{-1}A$ be an integral domain. JUST USE THE CANONIC MAPPING $\varphi_S : A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$. \square

6.2 Exercises and Notes

Example 15.1. Let A_1 and A_2 be rings. Consider $A = A_1 \times A_2$ and set $S := \{(1, 1), (1, 0)\}$. Prove $A_1 \simeq S^{-1}A$.

Solution. I don’t understand the solution? \square

Example 15.2. Find all intermediate rings $\mathbb{Z} \subset A \subset \mathbb{Q}$, and describe each A as a localization of \mathbb{Z} . As a starter, prove $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{2}{3}] = S_3^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ where $S_3 := \{3^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$.

Chapter 7

Hierarchy of Rings

7.1 Definition and Theorems

7.1.1 Integral Domains

Chapter 8

Classification of Rings

8.1 Definition and Theorems

8.1.1 Noetherian Ring

Lemma 16. All principal ideal domains are Noetherian.

Remark. By the lemma above, it follows that any

1. Euclidean domains
2. fields

are Noetherian.

Example 16.1.

Example 16.2.

Theorem 17 (Hilbert's Basis Theorem). If A is a Noetherian ring, then the polynomial ring with finitely many variables $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is Noetherian. In particular, if A is Noetherian, so is $A[X]$.

Corollary 1. If A is Noetherian, the power series ring $A[[X]]$ is Noetherian.

Remark. The polynomial ring with infinitely many variables $A[X_1, X_2, \dots]$ is never Noetherian.

8.2 Artinian Rings

Definition and Theorems

Definition 18 (Artinian Rings).

Example 18.1. 1. Any field is Artinian.
2. Any finite ring is Artinian.

Proposition 19. 1. A quotient of an Artinian ring is Artinian.
2. A localization of an Artinian ring is Artinian.

Lemma 20. An integral domain is Artinian if and only if it is a field.

Proof. Let A be an integral domain.

“ \Rightarrow ”: Since A is an Artinian, the descending chain

$$(x) \supset (x^2) \supset \cdots \supset (x^n) \supset (x^{n+1}) \supset \cdots$$

becomes stationary, that is $(x^n) = (x^{n+1})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. It follows that there is a $b \in A$ such that $x^n = bx^{n+1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x^n = bx^{n+1} &\iff 0 = bx^{n+1} - x^n \\ &\iff 0 = bx^n(x - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since A is an integral domain, bx^n cannot be zero, thus $x - 1 = 0$ or in other words x is a unit. Hence A is a field.

“ \Leftarrow ”: All fields are already Artinian. □

Proposition 21. Let A be an Artinian ring. Then, we have the following

1. The spectrum $\text{Spec}(A)$ of A and the maximal spectrum $\text{Spm}(A)$ of A are both finite.
2. It is $\text{Spec}(A) = \text{Spm}(A)$.
3. For some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, it is $(\text{Jac}(A))^n = 0$.
4. There are maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n$ in $\text{Spm}(A)$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{m}_i = 0$.
5. A is Noetherian.
6. A has finite rank.

Proof. 1. Let $(\mathfrak{m}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^+}$ be a sequence of maximal ideals and set

$$I_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{m}_i.$$

Since A is Artinian, the chain $I_0 \supset I_1 \supset \cdots \supset I_k \supset I_{k+1} \supset \cdots$ becomes stationary. Hence $I_k = I_{k+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

2. Since $\text{Spec}(A) \supset \text{Spm}(A)$ is immediately clear, we show the other direction of the inclusion. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal and consider A/\mathfrak{p} . It is an integral domain because \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal and it is also Artinian because a quotient of an Artinian ring is Artinian. Therefore, A/\mathfrak{p} is a field, hence \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal. \square

Lemma 22. A ring is Artinian if and only if it is Noetherian and $\text{Spec}(A) = \text{Spm}(A)$.

Theorem 23.

Exercise and Notes

Example 23.1. Given a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, find all Artinian rings A with p^2 elements (up to isomorphisms).

Proof. Let A be an Artinian ring with p^2 elements where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is prime. By the structure theorem of Artinian rings, we have that A is a product of local Artinian rings. Since p^2 has two prime factors, this product can involve at most two factors. Thus, we have two cases.

Case 1: In this case, $A = A_1 \times A_2$ for two local Artinian rings A_1 and A_2 with both having exactly p elements. A ring with p elements is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_p . We may conclude $A = \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$.

Case 2: If A has only one factor, A must be a local ring, i.e. it has a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} with $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ for some \mathbb{N}^+ . Choose such n to be minimal and consider the chain $R \supset \mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 \supset 0$. Taking the quotient at each step we obtain \square

Part II

Modules

Definition 24 (Module).

Example 24.1. 1. If A is a field, then an A -module is a vector space.
2. A \mathbb{Z} -module is just an abelian group.

Definition 25. An A -module is finitely generated if there exists a finite set $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ in M such that for any x in M , there exists $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ in A with

$$x = \lambda_1 m_1 + \dots + \lambda_n m_n$$

Lemma 26. An A -module is finitely generated if and only if there exists a surjective A -module homomorphism

$$A^n \longrightarrow M$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Definition 27. Let M be an A -module. A set $B \subset M$ is a basis of M if

1. B is a generating set for M
2. B is linearly independent

A free module is a module with a basis.

Remark. An A -module being free does **not** imply the module being finitely generated. Similarly, an A -module being finitely generated does **not** imply the module being free.

Example 27.1. Two examples to illustrate the remark above.

1. As an \mathbb{Z} -module, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is finitely generated but is not free.
2. As an \mathbb{Z} -module, $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$ is free, but is not finitely generated.

Proof. 1. $\{1\}$ is a generating set of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ since $1 \cdot 1 = 1$ and $2 \cdot 1 = 0$. However, $\{1\}$ and ...

□

Proposition 28. Let M and N be an A -module, and $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ be an A -module homomorphism.

1. $\text{im}(\varphi)$ is a submodule of N .
2. $\ker(\varphi)$ is a submodule of M .
3. For any submodule N' of N , its preimage $\varphi^{-1}(N')$ is a submodule of M .

Definition 29 (Annihilator).

Definition 30 (Radical).

Definition 31 (Simple Modules). Let A be a ring. A nonzero A -module M is called simple if the only submodules are $\{0\}$ and M itself.

Example 31.1. If M is a simple A -module, then any $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M) \setminus \{0\}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Fix an $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M) \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\ker(f)$ is a submodule of M , it must be either $\{0\}$ or whole M . But $\ker(f) = M$ would mean that $f = 0$ which was explicitly excluded, thus $\ker(f) = \{0\}$. By the isomorphism theorem, we also have $\text{im}(f) \cong M/\ker(f) \cong M$. Therefore, f is bijective. \square

Definition 32 (Indecomposable). Let A be a ring. A nonzero A -module M is called indecomposable if it cannot be written as a direct sum of two non-zero submodules.

Proposition 33. Every simple module is indecomposable.

Example 33.1. Not all indecomposable modules are simple. For example, \mathbb{Z} is indecomposable, but is not simple.

8.3 Exercises and Notes

Example 33.2. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a surjective homomorphism of two finitely generated A -modules.

1. If $N \cong A^n$ is a free A -module, show that $M \cong \ker(f) \oplus N$.

Proof. Since N is finitely generated, let (e_1, \dots, e_n) be a set of generators. □

Example 33.3. Let A be a ring, \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} ideals, M and N A -modules. Set

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) := \left\{ m \in M \mid \mathfrak{a} \subset \sqrt{\text{Ann}(m)} \right\}.$$

Prove the following statements.

1. If $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{b}$, then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \subset \Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$.

Proof. The proof is a matter of verification. Let $m \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$. It is

$$\begin{aligned} m \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \subset \sqrt{\text{Ann}(m)} \\ &\Rightarrow \text{For all } a \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ there is a } n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ such that } a^n \in \text{Ann}(m). \\ &\Rightarrow \text{For all } a \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ there is a } n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ such that } a^n \cdot m = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{b}$, the last statement is true for all $a \in \mathfrak{b}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \text{For all } a \in \mathfrak{b} \text{ there is a } n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ such that } a^n \cdot m = 0. \\ &\Rightarrow \text{For all } a \in \mathfrak{b} \text{ there is a } n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ such that } a^n \in \text{Ann}(m). \\ &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \subset \sqrt{\text{Ann}(m)} \\ &\Rightarrow m \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(M) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \subset \Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$. □

2. If $M \subset N$, then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \cap M$.

Proof. Again, the proof is a matter of verification.

“ \subset ”: $M \subset N$ implies $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \subset \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$. Moreover, it is $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \subset M$. Thus, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \subset \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \cap M$.

“ \supset ”: Let $m \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \cap M$. It is

$$\begin{aligned} m \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \cap M &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \subset \sqrt{\text{Ann}(m)} \text{ and } m \in M. \\ &\Rightarrow m \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \cap M \subset \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$. □

3. In general, it is $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}}(M) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \cap \Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$.
4. In general, it is $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \Gamma_{\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}}(M)$.
5. If \mathfrak{a} is finitely generated, then

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{ m \in M \mid \mathfrak{a}^n m = 0 \}.$$

Example 33.4. Let A be a ring, M a module, $x \in \text{Rad}(M)$, and $m \in M$. If $(1+x)m = 0$, then $m = 0$.

Proof. By definition of radical of a module, it is

$$\text{Rad} (A/\text{Ann}(M)) = \text{Rad}(M)/\text{Ann}(M).$$

Thus, if $x \in \text{Rad}(M)$, then its residue $x' := x + \text{Ann}(M)$ lies in $\text{Rad} (A/\text{Ann}(M))$ which means x' is nilpotent. SOME THEOREM yields $(1 + x')$ is an unit in $A/\text{Ann}(M)$. \square

Chapter 9

Tensor Product

9.1 Definition and Theorems

Definition 34. Let M and N be A -modules. Their tensor product is a pair $(M \otimes_A N, \theta)$ where

1. $M \otimes_A N$ is an A -module.
2. $\theta : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$ is an A -bilinear mapping.

satisfying the universal property, for every pair (P, ω) of an A -module and an A -bilinear mapping $\omega : M \times N \rightarrow P$, there exists a unique A -module homomorphism $f : M \otimes_A N \rightarrow P$ with $\omega = f \circ \theta$.

Definition 35. Let M and N be A -modules. Their tensor product is the pair $(M \otimes_A N, \theta)$, where

1. $M \otimes_A N$ is the quotient of the free A -module $A^{M \times N}$ on the direct product $M \times N$, by the submodule generated by the set of elements of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda m_1 + m_2, n) - \lambda(m_1, n) - (m_2, n) \\ &(m, \lambda n_1 + n_2) - \lambda(m, n_1) - (m, n_2) \end{aligned}$$

for $m, m_1, m_2 \in M$; $n, n_1, n_2 \in N$; and $\lambda \in A$, where we denote (m, n) for its image under the canonical mapping $M \times N \rightarrow A^{(M \times N)}$.

2. $\theta : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$ is the composition of the canonical mapping $M \times N \rightarrow A^{(M \times N)}$ with the quotient module homomorphism $A^{(M \times N)} \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$.

Example 35.1. It is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = 0$.

Proof. Let's show this in multiple concrete ways.

Method 1: I want to do this concretely. First, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \{ (0, 0); (0, 1); (0, 2); (1, 0); (1, 1); (1, 2) \}.$$

Thus, the elements of $\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})}$ are in the form

$$(x_{(0,0)}, x_{(0,1)}, x_{(0,2)}, x_{(1,0)}, x_{(1,1)}, x_{(1,2)})$$

where $x_{(i,j)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Now, we want to find the submodule generated by the rules in the definition.

1. Set $m_1 = m_2 = n = \lambda = 0$, then

$$(0 \cdot 0 + 0, 0) + 0 \cdot (0, 0) - (0, 0) = (0, 0) = 1 \cdot (0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

2. Set $m = n_2 = 0$, $n_1 = 1$, and $\lambda = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 2 \cdot 1 + 0) - 2 \cdot (0, 1) - (0, 0) &= (0, 2) - (2 \cdot 0, 1) \\ &= (0, 2) - (0, 1) \\ &= (0, 1) \\ &= 1 \cdot (0, 1) \\ &\rightarrow (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

3. I think the rest is clear for now.

We may conclude that the submodule generated by the rules defined is the whole module, thus $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = 0$.

Method 2: <https://www.math.brown.edu/reschwar/M153/tensor.pdf>

□

Proposition 36. Let A be a ring, and M, N and P be A -modules.

1. (identity) $A \otimes_A M = M$.
2. (commutative law) $M \otimes_A N = N \otimes_A M$.

Proof. As in the proposition, let A be a ring, and M, N and P be A -modules.

1. Define $\beta : A \times M \rightarrow M$ by $\beta(x, m) := xm$. Clearly, β is bilinear.

□

9.2 Exercises and Notes

Example 36.1. Let $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ be ring homomorphisms and M and N be A -modules. Show the following.

1. $(M \otimes_A B) \otimes_B C \cong M \otimes_A C$

Proof. It is

$$\begin{aligned} (M \otimes_A B) \otimes_B C &\cong M \otimes_A (B \otimes_B C) \\ &\cong M \otimes_A C \end{aligned}$$

□

2. $(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_A B \cong (M \otimes_A B) \otimes_B (N \otimes_A B)$

Proof. trivial

□

Example 36.2. Let A be a ring.

1. If M, N are A -modules, then $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ may be viewed as an A -module via

$$a \cdot \varphi := (m \mapsto a \cdot \varphi(m))$$

for $a \in A$ and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$.

Proof. this is trivial

□

2. If M, N, L are A -modules, then there exists a natural isomorphism of A -modules

$$\text{Hom}_A(L \otimes_A M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_A(L, \text{Hom}_A(M, N))$$

Example 36.3. Let A be a ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A , and M an A -module.

1. Show that $M/\mathfrak{a}M \cong M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Define $\varphi : M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M$ by

$$m \otimes_A \bar{x} \mapsto x \cdot m + \mathfrak{a}M.$$

φ is an homomorphism because

$$(a) \quad \varphi((m_1 \otimes_A \bar{x}_1) + (m_2 \otimes_A \bar{x}_2)) =$$

□

Chapter 10

Exact Sequences

10.1 Definition and Theorems

Definition 37. Exact at, exact sequence, short exact sequence

Example 37.1. Let M and N be A -modules. Then, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \oplus N \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

is short exact.

Lemma 38. If $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and M and P are finitely presented, then N is finitely presented.

Proof.

□

Proposition 39. Let M be an A -module, m_λ with $\lambda \in \Lambda$ a set of generators. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A^{\oplus \Lambda} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$

10.2 Notes and Exercises

Chapter 11

Noetherian Modules

Definition 40. An A -module M is called Noetherian if one of the following equivalent conditions hold.

1. Its submodules satisfies the asending chain condition, i.e. MISSING.
2. All submodules of M are finitely generated.

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: Let M be an A -module that satisfies the ascending chain condition and assume a submodule N is not finitely generated. In this case, we may construct a chain of submodules

$$N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \cdots N_i \subset \cdots$$

where $N_i = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{i-1})$ with $n_i \in N$ and $n_i \notin N_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. This chain never stabilizes, thus N must be finitely generated.

“ \Leftarrow ”:

□

Lemma 41. Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of A -modules. Then N is Noetherian if and only if M and P are Noetherian.

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of A -modules.

“ \Rightarrow ”: Let N be Noetherian.

1. We show that M is Noetherian by verifying all its submodules are finitely generated. Let M' be a submodule of M . In that case, $\alpha(M')$ is a submodule of N and thus finitely generated. α restricted
2. We show that P is Noetherian by verifying all its submodules are finitely generated. Let P' be a submodule of P . Since β is surjective, we have $P' = \beta(\beta^{-1}(P'))$. $\beta^{-1}(P')$ is a submodule of N and it is finitely generated because N is Noetherian.

□

Proposition 42. The property Noetherian is stable under intersection, direct sum, addition, and localization. Let M be an A -module, N_1 and N_2 submodules of M .

1. If N_1 and N_1 are Noetherian, so is $N_1 \cap N_2$, $N_1 \oplus N_2$, and $N_1 + N_2$.

Proof. 1. Since all submodules of a Noetherian module is again Noetherian, $N_1 \cap N_2$ is Noetherian because it is a submodule of M which is Noetherian.

2. Consider the sequence $0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_1 \oplus N_2 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow 0$.
- 3.

□

Example 42.1. Let M be an A -module, and N_1 and N_2 submodules of M . In general, $N_1 \otimes N_2$ is not Noetherian.

Chapter 12

Artinian Modules

12.1 Definition and Theorems

Definition 43 (Artinian Module).

Example 43.1 (Examples of Artinian Modules). 1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is Artinian.

Example 43.2 (Counterexamples of Artinian Modules). 1. \mathbb{Z} is not Artinian.

Lemma 44. Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of A -modules. Then N is Artinian if and only if M and P are Artinian.

Proposition 45. The property of Artinian is stable under intersection, direct sum, addition, localization,

Unorganized

Example 45.1. Let A be a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} .

1. What do the simple A -module look like?

Proof. Let M be a simple A -module. Since M is simple, the only proper submodule is the zero-module. \square

Length

Example 45.2. Let M be an A -module.

1. If M is simple, then any nonzero element $m \in M$ generates M .

Proof. Fix an element $m \in M$ and assume m does not generate whole M . In that case, there must be a $m' \in M$ such that $m \neq \lambda m'$ for all $\lambda \in A$. Then, (m) is non-zero, but also not whole M which is a contradiction. \square

2. M is simple if and only if $M \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$ for some maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and if so, then $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ann}(M)$.

Proof. We first show that M is simple if and only if $M \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$ for some maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . “ \Rightarrow ”: Let M be simple. By the statement above, M is cyclic. \square

Example 45.3. Let k be a field. Is $X = \text{Spec}(k[X, Y]/(xy - 1))$ with the Zariski-topology connected?