

# Keith R. Bennett's Technical Blog

Search

About

## class\_eval, instance\_eval, eval

By keithrbennett on January 28th, 2012

A couple of days ago I attended an interesting discussion of metaprogramming by Arild Shirazi at a meeting of the Northern Virginia Ruby User Group. Arild showed how he used metaprogramming (class\_eval in particular) to generate functions whose names would only be known at runtime. His talk was very effective at reminding me that I don't know as much about metaprogramming as I thought!

(Feel free to offer suggestions and corrections, and I'll try to update the article accordingly.)

Dave Thomas, in his excellent Advanced Ruby training, emphasizes the value of knowing just who *self* is at any point in the code. (For a good time, bounce around an rspec source file and try to guess what *self* is in various places...).

*class\_eval* provides an alternate way to define characteristics of a class. It should be used only when absolutely necessary. The only legitimate use I can think of is when the necessary code cannot be known until runtime.

Knowing very little about *class\_eval*, I assumed that it changed self to be the class of the current value of self. I was wrong. class\_eval doesn't change self at all; in fact, in this respect it functions identically to eval:

eval appears to do the exact same thing:

```
1 > class EvalExample
2 > eval "def foo; puts 'foo'; end"
```

```
3 > end
4 > EvalExample.new.foo
5 foo
```

There is a difference, though, when you call them outside the class definition. For a class C, you can call C.class\_eval, but not C.eval:

```
> class C1; end
> C1.class_eval "def foo; puts 'foo'; end"
> C1.new.foo
foo

> class C2; end
> C2.eval "def foo; puts 'foo'; end"
NoMethodError: private method eval' called for (
from (irb):2
from :0
```

If class\_eval could be used to define an instance method on a class in a class definition *outside* a function, what would happen if it were used *inside* a function, where self is no longer the class, but the instance of the class? Would it define a method on the singleton class (a.k.a. *eigenclass*)? Let's try it:

```
:001 > class D
 23456789
        002?>
                      def initialize
                            puts "In initialize"
                            class_eval "def foo; puts 'foo';
                         end
                    end
        :007 >
        :008 >
                   D.new.foo
10
      In initialize
      NoMethodError: undefined method `class_eval' for from (irb):4:in `initialize' from (irb):8:in `new'
11
12
13
            from (irb):8
14
            from
```

No, this didn't work...but wait a minute, isn't class\_eval a Kernel method? Let's find out:

```
1 > Kernel.methods.include? 'class_eval'
2 => true
```

Alas, I was asking the wrong question. I should have asked if Kernel had an *instance* method named *class\_eval*:

```
1 > Kernel.instance_methods.include? 'class_eval'
2 => false
```

It doesn't, but Class does:

```
1 > Class.instance_methods.include? 'class_eval'
2 => true
```

...which is why the Kernel.methods.include? above worked.

Although *class\_eval* didn't work, *instance\_eval* will work:

To illustrate that foo has not been created as a class or member function on class F, but only on object f:

Could *eval* be substituted for *instance\_eval* in the same way as it was for *class\_eval*? Let's find out...

Apparently, yes. However, similarly to *class\_eval*, *instance\_eval* can be called outside of a class definition, but *eval* cannot:

Hmmm, I wonder, if we can define a *function* using the eval methods, can we also declare an instance *variable*?:

```
# First, class_eval:
 23
      class E
         class_eval "@@foo = 123"
    >
 4
         def initialize; puts "@@foo = #{@@foo}"; end
    >
 56
         end
    >
     > E.new
 7
     @efoo = 123
 8
9
     # Next, instance_eval:
10
    > o = Object.new
       o.instance_eval '@var = 456'
11
12
       o.instance_eval 'def foo; puts "@var = #{@var}'
    > 0.foo
```

14 | **@var** = 456

What's interesting is that we created instance variable var in instance o, but its class Object knows nothing about this new variable. In the data storage world, this would be analogous to using a document store such as MongoDB and adding a variable to a single document, unlike in an RDBMS where you would have to add it to the table definition and include it in all rows of the table.

Techniques such as these are cool and powerful, but are not without cost. If your code accesses a function or variable that is not defined in a standard class definition, the reader may have a hard time tracking down the creation and meaning of that function or variable. We should be kind to our fellow developers and use these techniques only when absolutely necessary.

Categorized under: Uncategorized.

Tagged with: no tags.

### Leave a Response

You must be <u>logged in</u> to post a comment.

← Design by Contract, Ruby Style

Mailing Files Programmatically with GMail

#### META

- Register
- Log in
- Entries RSS
- Comments RSS
- WordPress.org



ARCHIVES

- November 2015
- November 2013
- August 2013
- January 2013
- December 2012
- November 2012
- September 2012
- July 2012
- January 2012
- June 2011
- May 2011
- March 2010
- July 2009
- March 2009
- February 2009
- November 2008

#### RECENT ACTIVITY

#### Posts Comments

- The Case for Nested Methods in Ruby
- Ruby's inject/reduce and each\_with\_object
- **≰** in Your System Prompt
- Using Oracle in JRuby with Rails and Sequel
- Copying (RVM) Data BetweenHosts Using ssh, scp, and netcat
- Building A Great Ruby
   Development Environment and
   Desktop with Linux Mint 13
   "Maya" Mate
- Intro to Functional Programming in Ruby
- WordPress Administration with Ruby
- Stealth Conditionals in Ruby
- Hello, Nailgun; Goodbye, JVM Startup Delays

#### ARCHIVES

Categories Tags Dates

Authorstegorized

Powered by WordPress and the PressPlay Theme

Copyright © 2016 Keith R. Bennett's Technical Blog