

(continued from previous page)

Rev	Cmt	Sub-comment	Status	Missing Action
10	7	Missing parentheses in eq (36)	Addressed	None
10	8	Remark 4 needs splitting into two parts	Addressed	None
10	9	Theorem 4 proof conclusion not self-evident	Addressed	None
10	10a	Corollary 1 strict complementarity too strong	Addressed	None
10	10b	Fails when constraints inactive	Addressed	None
10	10c	Suggest proximal/regularization terms	Addressed	None
10	11a	Examples lack scenario-based RO setting	Addressed	Framework handles finite \mathcal{U} as special case; acknowledged that continuous-time dynamics may not be advantageous for finite constraints
10	11b	Need convergence analysis results	Addressed	Example B provides quantitative comparison: 0.8s (ours) vs 31.2s (1000 scenarios)
10	11c	Need stability demonstration	Addressed	None

Summary Statistics

- **Total sub-comments:** 63
- **Fully Addressed:** 56 (89%)
- **Partially Addressed:** 5 (8%)
- **Not Addressed:** 2 (3%)

Critical Items Requiring Immediate Action

Priority 1 - Not Addressed (2 items):

- 1) **Rev 5, Cmt 3:** Convergence performance analysis - need explicit $O(\cdot)$ convergence rate bounds or numerical rate estimates
- 2) **Rev 10, Cmt 4d:** No empirical parameter selection strategies - only generic $c_i = 10^{-6}$ suggestion; need problem-specific strategies, scaling guidance, constraint-dependent selection

Priority 2 - Partially Addressed (5 items):

- 1) **Rev 6, Cmt 5a:** Is Lemma 1 novel or well-known? - Remark claims novelty but only distinguishes from Sion/Rockafellar, not from standard convex opt textbooks
- 2) **Rev 6, Cmt 5b:** Lemma 1 appears standard - remark addresses Sion/Rockafellar but not standard KKT \Leftrightarrow saddle point equivalence from Boyd 5.9.1
- 3) **Rev 10, Cmt 3a:** Lemma 1 novelty unclear - same as 6.5a, remark distinguishes from Sion/Rockafellar but not from standard theory
- 4) **Rev 10, Cmt 3b:** Appears to be standard saddle point property - same as 6.5b
- 5) **Rev 10, Cmt 3c:** How Lemma 1 differs from classical formulations - need explicit comparison with standard convex optimization theory