

Platform **WebPageTest**Save Test Results with a **Free Account**[Sign Up & Save Test Result](#)URL: <https://madisoncollege.edu/>

DATE: 9/7/2023, 12:08:47 AM

Webpage Performance Test Result

SETTINGS: DESKTOP  v116  Cable London, UK [More](#) [Share](#)View: **Performance Summary**Tools: **Export****Re-Run Test**

Performance Summary

Is it Quick?

Not bad... This site was quick to connect and deliver initial code. It began rendering content very quickly. There were 13 render-blocking requests. The largest content rendered quickly.

8

8

Pro 11

Is it Usable?

Needs Improvement. This site had minor layout shifts. It took little time to become interactive. It had 1 accessibility issues, none serious. Some HTML was generated after delivery, potentially delaying usability.

3

3

Pro 3

Is it Resilient?

Not bad... This site had many render-blocking 3rd party requests that could be a single point of failure. It had no security issues. Some HTML was generated after delivery, which can cause fragility.

2

2

Pro 8

You have Free Experiments Available!

Try them now!

Page Performance Metrics

(Based on Median Run by: ▼ [Speed Index](#))

Note: Metric availability will vary

First View ([Run 3](#))

Time to First Byte

.475s

When did the content start downloading?

Start Render

1.300s

When did pixels first start to appear?

First Contentful Paint

1.300s

How soon did text and images start to appear?

Speed Index

4.203s

How soon did the page look usable?

Largest Contentful Paint

1.300s

When did the large visible content finish loading?



Visual Loading Process ([Explore](#))



[Compare First Views](#)[Plot Full Results](#)

Real-World Usage Metrics

Compare this WebPageTest run with browser-collected performance data for this site.

(Collected anonymously by Chrome browser from August 8, 2023 to September 4, 2023 | [Full Report](#))

First Contentful Paint (FCP)

1.35s (Good)

At 75th percentile of visits.

85%



10%

.05s worse than this WPT test run's first view (1.30s). [Why?](#)

Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)

1.46s (Good)

At 75th percentile of visits.

90%



.16s worse than this WPT test run's first view (1.30s). [Why?](#)

Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)

0.01 (Good)

At 75th percentile of visits.

99%



0.01 better than this WPT test run's first view (0.02). [Why?](#)

First Input Delay (FID)

.00s (Good)

At 75th percentile of visits.

95%



Field metric only, no WPT test run data.

Time to First Byte (TTFB)

.44s (Good)

At 75th percentile of visits.

90%

.04s better than this WPT test run's first view (.48s). [Why?](#)

Interaction to Next Paint (INP)

.13s (Good)

At 75th percentile of visits.

84%

Field metric only, no WPT test run data.

Note: Why can real browser usage metrics vary from test run metrics?

Individual Runs

Run 1:


Waterfall

Screenshot

First View
(8.181s)

[Timeline \(view\)](#)
[Processing Breakdown](#)

[Trace \(view\)](#)



Run 2:


Waterfall

Screenshot


First View
(13.969s)

[Timeline \(view\)](#)
[Processing Breakdown](#)

[Trace \(view\)](#)



Run 3:





Find us on:

[Contact Sales](#)

[Terms of Service](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

© [Catchpoint Systems, Inc.](#) All rights reserved.