

# Nonsmooth Optimization on Riemannian Manifolds in Manopt.jl

Ronny Bergmann

European Operations Research Conference 2024 Copenhagen,



# The Rayleigh Quotient

When minimizing the Rayleigh quotient for a symmetric  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

$$\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{x^{\mathsf{T}} A x}{\|x\|^2}$$

- $\triangle$  Any eigenvector  $x^*$  to the smallest EV  $\lambda$  is a minimizer
- no isolated minima and Newton's method diverges
- Constrain the problem to unit vectors ||x|| = 1!

classic constrained optimization (ALM, EPM,...)

Today Utilize the geometry of the sphere



unconstrained optimization

$$\underset{p \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}{\operatorname{arg min}} p^{\mathsf{T}} A p$$

adapt unconstrained optimization to Riemannian manifolds.



# The Generalized Rayleigh Quotient

**More general.** Find a basis for the space of eigenvectors to  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k$ :

$$\mathop{\arg\min}_{X\in \mathsf{St}(n,k)}\mathsf{tr}(X^\mathsf{T}AX),\qquad \mathsf{St}(n,k)\coloneqq \big\{X\in \mathbb{R}^{n\times k}\,\big|\,X^\mathsf{T}X=I\big\},$$

- $\triangle$  a problem on the Stiefel manifold St(n, k)
- $\triangle$  Invariant under rotations within a k-dim subspace.
- ♀ Find the best subspace!

$$\underset{\mathsf{span}(X) \in \mathsf{Gr}(n,k)}{\mathsf{arg}} \operatorname{tr}(X^\mathsf{T} A X), \qquad \mathsf{Gr}(n,k) \coloneqq \big\{ \mathsf{span}(X) \, \big| \, X \in \mathsf{St}(n,k) \big\},$$





# Nonsmooth Optimization on Riemannian Manifolds

We are looking for numerical algorithms to find

$$\underset{p \in \mathcal{M}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} f(p)$$

### where

- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{M}$  is a Riemannian manifold
- ▶  $f: \mathcal{M} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is a function
- $\Lambda$  f might be nonsmooth and/or nonconvex
- $\Lambda$  might be high-dimensional



# A Riemannian Manifold ${\mathcal M}$

A d-dimensional Riemannian manifold can be informally defined as a set  $\mathcal{M}$  covered with a "suitable" collection of charts, that identify subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$  with open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and a continuously varying inner product on the tangent spaces.

[Absil, Mahony, and Sepulchre 2008]



# A Riemannian Manifold ${\mathcal M}$


### Notation.

- lacksquare Logarithmic map  $\log_{
  ho}q=\dot{\gamma}(0;
  ho,q)$
- ightharpoonup Exponential map  $\exp_{p} X = \gamma_{p,X}(1)$
- Geodesic  $\gamma(\cdot; p, q)$
- ► Tangent space  $\mathcal{T}_{p}\mathcal{M}$
- ▶ inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_p$

### Numerics.

 $\exp_p$  and  $\log_p$  maybe not available efficiently/ in closed form

⇒ use a retraction and its inverse instead.



 $\mathcal{M}$ 



# Manifolds.jl & Manopt.jl – Why Julia?

### Goals.

- abstract definition of manifolds
- ⇒ implement abstract solvers on a generic manifold
- well-documented and well-tested
- ► fast.
- $\Rightarrow$  "Run your favourite solver on your favourite manifold".

### Why 💑 Julia?

▶ high-level language, properly typed

- ► multiple dispatch (cf. f(x), f(x::Number), f(x::Int))
- ▶ just-in-time compilation, solves two-language problem ⇒ "nice to write" and as fast as C/C++
- ► I like the community



julialang.org



# ManifoldsBase.jl

[Axen, Baran, RB, and Rzecki 2023]

Goal. Provide an interface to implement and use Riemannian manifolds.

Interface AbstractManifold to model manifolds


Functions like exp(M, p, X), log(M, p, X) or retract(M, p, X, method).

**Decorators** for implicit or explicit specification of an embedding, a metric, or a group,

**Efficiency** by providing in-place variants like exp! (M, q, p, X)



# Manifolds.jl





**Meta.** generic implementations for  $\mathcal{M}^{n\times m}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$ , vector- and tangent-bundles, esp.  $\mathcal{T}_p\mathcal{M}$ , or Lie groups

**Library.** Implemented functions for

- ► Circle, Sphere, Torus, Hyperbolic, Projective Spaces, Hamiltonian
- (generalized, symplectic) Stiefel, (generalized) Grassmann, Rotations
- Symmetric Positive Definite matrices, with fixed determinant
- (several) Multinomial matrices, Symmetric, Symplectic matrices
- ► Tucker & Oblique manifold, Kendall's Shape space
- **...**



# **Concrete Manifold Examples.**

Before first run ] add Manifolds to install the package.

Load packages with using Manifolds and

- ► Euclidean space M1 =  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and 2-sphere M2 = Sphere(2)
- ► their product manifold M3 = M1 × M2
- ► A signal of rotations M4 = SpecialOrthogonal(3)^10
- ► SPDs M5 = SymmetricPositiveDefinite(3) (affine invariant metric)
- ► a different metric M6 = MetricManifold(M5, LogCholeskyMetric())

### Then for any of these

- ► Generate a point p=rand(M) and a vector X = rand(M; vector\_at=p)
- ▶ and for example exp(M, p, X), or in-place exp! (M, q, p, X)



# Manopt.jl

Goal. Provide optimization algorithms on Riemannian manifolds.



```
Features. Given a Problem p and a SolverState s, implement initialize_solver!(p, s) and step_solver!(p, s, i) ⇒ an algorithm in the Manopt.jl interface
```

**Highlevel interface**s like gradient\_descent(M, f, grad\_f) on any manifold M from Manifolds.jl.

All provide debug output, recording, cache & counting capabilities, as well as a library of step sizes and stopping criteria.

### Manopt family.









# List of Algorithms in Manopt.jl

Derivatve Free Nelder-Mead, Particle Swarm, CMA-ES

Subgradient-based Subgradient Method, Convex Bundle Method,
Proximal Bundle Method

Gradient-based Gradient Descent, Conjugate Gradient, Stochastic, Momentum, Nesterov, Averaged, ...

Quasi-Newton with (L-)BFGS, DFP, Broyden, SR1,...
Levenberg-Marquard

Hessian-based Trust Regions, Adaptive Regularized Cubics (ARC) nonsmooth Chambolle-Pock, Douglas-Rachford, Cyclic Proximal Point constrained Augmented Lagrangian, Exact Penalty, Frank-Wolfe nonconvex Difference of Convex Algorithm, DCPPA





# **Illustrating a few Keyword Arguments**

Given functions f(M,p) and  $grad_f(M,p)$ , a manifold M and a start point p0.

- q = gradient\_descent(M, f, grad\_f, p0) to perform gradient descent
- ▶ Given the Euclidean gradient  $\nabla f(E, p)$  use for conversion

```
q = gradient_descent(M, f, \nablaf, p0; objective_type=:Euclidean)
```

print iteration number, cost and change every 10th iterate

- ▶ record reocord=[:Iterate, :Cost, :Change], return\_state=true
   Access: get\_solver\_result(q) and get\_record(s)
- ► modify stop: stopping criterion = StopAfterIteration(100)
- ► cache calls cache=(:LRU, [:Cost, :Gradient], 25) (uses LRUCache.jl)
- ► count calls count=[:Cost, :Gradient] (prints with return\_state=true)



# **Numerical Examples**



### The Riemannian Convex Bundle Method

[RB, Herzog, and Jasa 2024]

- ▶ Given  $f: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}$  on a (geodesically) convex set  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}$
- collect
  - ightharpoonup subgradients  $X_{q^{(k)}} \in \partial f(q^{(k)})$
  - stabilisation centers  $p^{(k)}$  ("best" iterates)
- use this information to
  - lackbox determine the next descent direction  $d^{(k)} \in \mathcal{T}_{p^{(k)}}\mathcal{M}$  by solving a QP in  $\mathcal{T}_{p^{(k)}}\mathcal{M}$
  - ▶ where  $d^{(k)} \in \partial_{c^{(k)}} f(p^{(k)})$
- we stop when both
  - ▶ the approximation  $\partial_{c(k)} f(p^{(k)})$  of  $\partial f(p^{(k)})$  is "good enough"
  - $ightharpoonup \|d^{(k)}\|$  is "small enough"



# The Convex Bundle Method in Manopt.jl

In Manopt.jl a solver call looks like<sup>1</sup>



```
p = convex_bundle_method(M, f, \partialf, p0; diameter = \delta, k_max = \Omega, m = 10^{-3}, kwargs...
```

#### where

- ▶ M is a Riemannian manifold
- ▶ f is the objective function
- ▶ ∂f is a subgradient of the objective function
- ▶ po is an initial point on the manifold

The default stopping criterion for the algorithm is set to

$$-\xi^{(k)} \le 10^{-8}$$
.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>full documentation: manoptil.org/stable/solvers/convex bundle method/



# Denoising a Signal on Hyperbolic Space $\mathcal{H}^2$

- ▶ signal  $q \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $(\mathcal{H}^2)^n$ , n = 496
- ▶ noisy signal  $\bar{q} \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\bar{q}_i = \exp_{q_i} X_i$ ,  $\sigma = 0.1$
- ► ROF Model:


$$\underset{p \in \mathcal{M}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \quad \frac{1}{n} \, \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{M}}(p,q)^2$$

$$+ \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathsf{d}_{\mathcal{H}^2}(p_i, p_{i+1})$$

▶ Setting  $\alpha = 0.05$  yields

reconstruction a

• in RCBM: set diam(dom f) = b > 0.





# Algorithms for Denoising a Signal

► Riemannian Convex Bundle Method (RCBM)

[RB, Herzog, and Jasa 2024]

Proximal Bundle Algorithm (PBA)

[Hoseini Monjezi, Nobakhtian, and Pouryayevali 2021]

Subgradient Method (SGM)

[O. Ferreira and Oliveira 1998]

► Cyclic Proximal Point Algorithm (CPPA)

[Bačák 2014]

Algorithm	Iter.	Time (sec.)	Objective	Error
RCBM	3417	51.393	$1.7929 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.3194 \times 10^{-4}$
PBA	15 000	102.387	$1.8153 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.3874 \times 10^{-4}$
SGM	15 000	99.604	$1.7920 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.3080 \times 10^{-4}$
CPPA	15 000	94.200	$1.7928 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.3230 \times 10^{-4}$



# The Riemannian DC Algorithm

To solve a Difference of Convex problem

$$\underset{p \in \mathcal{M}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} g(p) - h(p).$$

use

### The Riemannian Difference of Convex Algorithm.

**Input:** An initial point  $p^{(0)} \in \text{dom}(g)$ , g and  $\partial_{\mathcal{M}} h$ 

- 1: Set k = 0.
- 2: while not converged do
- 3: Take  $X^{(k)} \in \partial_{\mathcal{M}} h(p^{(k)})$
- 4: Compute the next iterate  $p^{(k+1)}$  as

$$p^{(k+1)} \in rg \min_{p \in \mathcal{M}} g(p) - \left( X^{(k)}, \log_{p^{(k)}} p \right)_{p^{(k)}}.$$

- 5: Set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 6. end while



# The Difference of Convex Algorithm in Manopt.jl

The algorithm is implemented and released in Julia using Manopt.jl<sup>2</sup>. It can be used with any manifold from Manifolds.jl

A solver call looks like

```
q = difference_of_convex_algorithm(M, f, g, \partial h, p0) where one has to implement f(M, p), g(M, p), and \partial h(M, p).
```

- ▶ a sub problem is generated if keyword grad\_g= is set
- ▶ an efficient version of its cost and gradient is provided
- you can specify the sub-solver using sub\_state= to also set up the specific parameters of your favourite algorithm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>see https://manoptjl.org/stable/solvers/difference of convex/



# Rosenbrock and First Order Methods

**Problem.** We consider the classical Rosenbrock example<sup>3</sup>

$$\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^2}{\arg \min} \, \alpha (x_1^2 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - b)^2,$$

where a, b > 0, usually b = 1 and  $a \gg b$ , here:  $a = 2 \cdot 10^5$ .

**Known Minimizer** 
$$x^* = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 with cost  $f(x^*) = 0$ .

Goal. Compare first-order methods, e.g. using the (Euclidean) gradient

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 4a(x_1^2 - x_2) \\ -2a(x_1^2 - x_2) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2(x_1 - b) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>available online in ManoptExamples.il



# A "Rosenbrock-Metric" on $\mathbb{R}^2$

In our Riemannian framework, we can introduce a new metric on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as

$$\textit{G}_{\textit{p}} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 4p_1^2 & -2p_1 \\ -2p_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with inverse } \textit{G}_{\textit{p}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2p_1 \\ 2p_1 & 1 + 4p_1^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain  $(X, Y)_p = X^T G_p Y$ 

The exponential and logarithmic map are given as

$$\exp_p(X) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 + X_1 \\ p_2 + X_2 + X_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \log_p(q) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 - p_1 \\ q_2 - p_2 - (q_1 - p_1)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Manifolds.jl:

Implement these functions on  $MetricManifold(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , RosenbrockMetric()).



### The Riemannian Gradient w.r.t. the new Metric

Let  $f: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Given the Euclidean gradient  $\nabla f(p)$ , its Riemannian gradient grad  $f: \mathcal{M} \to T\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}} f(p) = G_p^{-1} \nabla f(p).$$

While we could implement this denoting  $abla f(p) = ig(f_1'(p) \ f_2'(p)ig)^{\mathsf{T}}$  using

$$\left\langle \operatorname{grad} f(q), \log_q p \right\rangle_q = (p_1 - q_1) f_1'(q) + (p_2 - q_2 - (p_1 - q_1)^2) f_2'(q),$$

but it is automatically done in Manopt.jl.



# The Experiment Setup

Algorithms. We now compare

- 1. The Euclidean gradient descent algorithm on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- **2.** The Riemannian gradient descent algorithm on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,
- **3.** The Difference of Convex Algorithm on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- **4.** The Difference of Convex Algorithm on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

For DCA third we split f into f(x) = g(x) - h(x) with


$$g(x) = a(x_1^2 - x_2)^2 + 2(x_1 - b)^2$$
 and  $h(x) = (x_1 - b)^2$ .

Initial point. 
$$p_0 = \frac{1}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 with cost  $f(p_0) \approx 7220.81$ .

Stopping Criterion.

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(p^{(k)}, p^{(k-1)}) < 10^{-16} \text{ or } \|\text{grad } f(p^{(k)})\|_p < 10^{-16}.$$





Algorithm	Runtime (sec.)	# Iterations
Euclidean GD	305.567	53 073 227
Euclidean DCA	58.268	50 588
Riemannian GD	18.894	2 454 017
Riemannian DCA	7.704	2 459



# Summary

- ManifolddsBase.jl provides an interface to implement a manifold
- Manifolds.jl implements a library of manifolds using the interface
- ▶ Manopt.jl provides optimization algorithms on these manifolds

### Outlook.

- ► couple Manopt.jl with (Euclidean) AD tools using ManifoldDiff.jl
- ► What is (Fenchel) duality on manifolds?



### **Selected References**



Axen, S. D., M. Baran, RB, and K. Rzecki (2023). "Manifolds.jl: An Extensible Julia Framework for Data Analysis on Manifolds". In: *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*. Accepted for pulication, DOI: 10.1145/3618296. arXiv: 2106.08777.



RB (2022). "Manopt.jl: Optimization on Manifolds in Julia". In: Journal of Open Source Software 7.70, p. 3866. DOI: 10.21105/joss.03866.



RB, O. P. Ferreira, E. M. Santos, and J. C. d. O. Souza (2024). "The difference of convex algorithm on Hadamard manifolds". In: *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*. DOI: 10.1007/s10957-024-02392-8. arXiv: 2112.05250.



RB, R. Herzog, and H. Jasa (2024). The Riemannian convex bundle method. arXiv: 2402.13670.

Interested in Numerical Differential Geometry? Join amundiffgeo.zulipchat.com! Pronnybergmann.net/talks/2024-EURO-Manoptjl.pdf