Estimating PATT with noncompliance

April 15, 2015

1 Assumptions

Let Y_{ist} be the potential outcome for individual i in group s, where s=0 for the population and s=1 for the randomized control trial, and t be the treatment assigned. Let T_i denote the treatment assigned and D_i denote treatment received. Let W_i be individual i's observable covariates related to the sample selection mechanism for membership in the RCT. Let C_i be an indicator for individual i's compliance to treatment. For a generic value, we drop the subscript i.

We assume that in the RCT, treatment is assigned at random. Then for individuals with $C_i = 1$, we observe $D_i = T_i$. In the population, we suppose that treatment is made available to individuals according to some rule based on their covariates; treatment assignment is not completely random. Individuals with $T_i = 0$ do not receive treatment, while those with $T_i = 1$ may decide whether or not to accept treatment. We only observe D, not T, in the population. Among the population controls, we can't distinguish non-compliers (individuals with $T_i = 1$ and $D_i = 0$) from compliers (those with $T_i = 0$ and $D_i = 0$). Compliance is only observable for individuals assigned to treatment in the RCT.

We make the following assumptions:

• Consistency under parallel studies: for all i and for t = 0, 1,

$$Y_{i0t} = Y_{i1t} \tag{1}$$

• Strong ignorability of sample assignment for treated:

$$(Y_{01}, Y_{11}) \perp S \mid (W, T = 1, C = 1), 0 < \mathbb{P}(S = 1 \mid W, T = 1, C = 1) < 1$$
 (2)

This means that the potential outcomes for treatment are independent of sample assignment for individuals with the same covariates W and assignment to treatment.

• Strong ignorability of sample assignment for controls:

$$(Y_{00}, Y_{10}) \perp S \mid (W, T = 1, C = 1), 0 < \mathbb{P}(S = 1 \mid W, T = 1, C = 1) < 1$$
 (3)

This means that the potential outcomes for control are independent of sample assignment for individuals with the same covariates W and assignment to treatment.

• Stable unit treatment value assumption (SUTVA):

$$Y_{ist}^{L_i} = Y_{ist}^{L_j}, \forall i \neq j \tag{4}$$

where L_j is the treatment and sample assignment vector for unit j. This means that the treatment assignment for all other individuals j does not affect the potential outcomes of individual i.

• Conditional independence of compliance and assignment:

$$C \perp T = 1 \mid W, 0 < \mathbb{P}(C = 1 \mid W) < 1 \tag{5}$$

This means that compliance is independent of sample and treatment assignment for all individuals with covariates W.

• Monotonicity:

$$T_i > D_i, \forall i$$
 (6)

This assumption implies that there are no defiers and that crossover is only possible from treatment to control.

• Exclusion restriction (ER): For non-compliers

$$Y_{11} = Y_{10} \tag{7}$$

A treatment effect may only be non-zero for compliers.

2 Estimation

2.1 Population Average Treatment Effect on the Treated

The estimand of interest is

$$\tau_{\text{PATT}} = \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{01} - Y_{00} \mid S = 0, D = 1\right)$$
 (8)

This is the average treatment effect on those in the population who receive treatment. It includes individuals who actually receive the treatment, but does not include those who are eligible for treatment and do not accept it (non-compliers).

Theorem 1. Under assumptions (1) - (7),

$$\tau_{PATT} = \mathbb{E}_{01} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(Y_{11} \mid S = 1, D = 1, W \right) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{01} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(Y_{10} \mid S = 1, T = 0, C = 1, W \right) \right]$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{01}\left[\mathbb{E}(\cdot\mid\ldots,W)\right]$ denotes the expectation with respect to the distribution of W in the target population.

This first term is the weighted average of observed responses in the treatment group in the RCT. The second term is the weighted average of the control response for compliers assigned to control in the RCT. This is unobservable, as we cannot tell who is a complier or non-complier in the control group. We must estimate the second term by predicting who in the control group would be a complier, had they been assigned to treatment.

Proof. We separate the expectation into two terms and treat each individually.

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{01} \mid S = 0, D = 1) = \mathbb{E}(Y_{11} \mid S = 0, D = 1)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(Y_{11} \mid S = 0, T = 1, C = 1)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{01}[\mathbb{E}(Y_{11} \mid S = 1, T = 1, C = 1, W)]$$
by (1)
by (2)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{01} \left[\mathbb{E} \left(Y_{11} \mid S = 1, D = 1, W \right) \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{00} \mid S = 0, D = 1) = \mathbb{E}(Y_{10} \mid S = 0, D = 1)$$
 by (1)

$$= \mathbb{E}(Y_{10} \mid S = 0, T = 1, C = 1)$$
 by (6)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{01} \left[\mathbb{E}(Y_{10} \mid S = 1, T = 1, C = 1, W) \right]$$
 by (3)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{01} \left[\mathbb{E}(Y_{10} \mid S = 1, T = 0, C = 1, W) \right]$$

The last line follows because of the randomization carried out in the RCT. This ensures $Y_{10} \perp \!\!\! \perp T \mid (W, S = 1)$.