Compilers Wrapup

Martin Kellogg

- Exam on Thursday, May 15 at 2:30pm
 - o in-person in CULM Lect 1

- Exam on Thursday, May 15 at 2:30pm
 - in-person in CULM Lect 1
- I will hold a review session early next week
 - keep an eye on Discord for a poll about when

- Exam on Thursday, May 15 at 2:30pm
 - in-person in CULM Lect 1
- I will hold a review session early next week
 - keep an eye on Discord for a poll about when
- PA4 is due at the same time as the exam
 - no extensions on this one

- Exam on Thursday, May 15 at 2:30pm
 - o in-person in CULM Lect 1
- I will hold a review session early next week
 - keep an eye on Discord for a poll about when
- PA4 is due at the same time as the exam
 - no extensions on this one
- I was in Ottawa last week for ICSE
 - unfortunately, I got sick while I was there and didn't have time to properly prep this lecture

- Exam on Thursday, May 15 at 2:30pm
 - in-person in CULM Lect 1
- I will hold a review session early next week
 - keep an eye on Discord for a poll about when
- PA4 is due at the same time as the exam
 - no extensions on this one
- I was in Ottawa last week for ICSE
 - unfortunately, I got sick while I was there and didn't have time to properly prep this lecture
 - o so, you're going to be treated to nonsensical rambling instead
 - importantly: it won't be on the exam

Agenda

- Review of course themes
- Other stuff you can do with compilers-like techniques
 - + CS 735 Sp26 preview
- Thoughts about the future
- Time for you to do course evaluations and/or Q&A

Course Themes

- Relationship between programming and math
- Importance of getting details right
- Automated reasoning via inference rules
- Transformation pipelines

Lambda calculus vs Turing machine basis for CS

- Lambda calculus vs Turing machine basis for CS
- Functional programming
 - Code is more like math functions
 - Referential transparency makes reasoning easier
 - Transformations rather than destructive updates

- Lambda calculus vs Turing machine basis for CS
- Functional programming
 - Code is more like math functions
 - Referential transparency makes reasoning easier
 - Transformations rather than destructive updates
- Curry-Howard Correspondence
 - o proofs = programs
 - theorem we're trying to prove = type of the program
 - proof of a theorem exists = instance of that type exists
 - much of PL theory is built on top of this idea
 - see 735 preview later

- Vibes-based programming is ok when the spec is fuzzy
 - o e.g., "show a human a picture of a cat"

- Vibes-based programming is ok when the spec is fuzzy
 - o e.g., "show a human a picture of a cat"
- When the spec is tight, however, you have to get every detail right in order for anything to work
 - this is the natural state of computers
 - the only reason you might believe otherwise is that many others have worked hard to make that so

- Vibes-based programming is ok when the spec is fuzzy
 - e.g., "show a human a picture of a cat"
- When the spec is tight, however, you have to get every detail right in order for anything to work
 - this is the natural state of computers
 - the only reason you might believe otherwise is that many others have worked hard to make that so
- Lower abstraction level = must get more details right
 - to understand bugs in these systems, must understand both theory and practical implementation
 - job security: how good are LLMs at getting every detail right?

- Vibes-based programming is ok when the spec is fuzzy
 - e.g., "show a human a picture of a cat"
- When the spec is tight, however, you have to get every detail right in order for anything to work
 - this is the natural state of computers
 - the only reason you might believe otherwise is that many others have worked hard to make that so
- Lower abstraction level = must get
 - to understand bugs in these states theory and practical implementations.
- Is a compiler an easy or hard software engineering problem?
- o job security: how good are LLMs at getting every detail right?

Interesting properties of programs are usually undecidable

- Interesting properties of programs are usually undecidable
- Computers do deductive reasoning via inference rules
 - we use abstraction + approximation to ensure that any proof that finishes really is a proof

- Interesting properties of programs are usually undecidable
- Computers do deductive reasoning via inference rules
 - we use abstraction + approximation to ensure that any proof that finishes really is a proof
- When reasoning about why a program or optimization is correct, you should try to formalize your thinking
 - if you can write down the reasons that the property of interest is true, an automated reasoning tool can prove it

- Interesting properties of programs are usually undecidable
- Computers do deductive reasoning via inference rules
 - we use abstraction + approximation to ensure that any proof that finishes really is a proof
- When reasoning about why a program or optimization is correct, you should try to formalize your thinking
 - if you can write down the reasons that the property of interest is true, an automated reasoning tool can prove it
- Inference-rule-based systems are arbitrarily extensible
 - o abstract interpretation, pluggable types are two ways
 - hard part: choosing the right set of rules + abstractions

- Compilers are structured as a pipeline of transformations
 - Lexer -> Parser -> Typechecker -> IRs -> Assembly

- Compilers are structured as a pipeline of transformations
 - Lexer -> Parser -> Typechecker -> IRs -> Assembly
- Each stage should preserve semantics
 - but what "preserve semantics" means can be in the eye of the beholder (e.g., undefined behavior in C)

- Compilers are structured as a pipeline of transformations
 - Lexer -> Parser -> Typechecker -> IRs -> Assembly
- Each stage should preserve semantics
 - but what "preserve semantics" means can be in the eye of the beholder (e.g., undefined behavior in C)
- The better we are at doing proofs, the more transformations we can safely do
 - performance and correctness come together if we get it right

- Compilers are structured as a pipeline of transformations
 - Lexer -> Parser -> Typechecker -> IRs -> Assembly
- Each stage should preserve semantics
 - but what "preserve semantics" means can be in the eye of the beholder (e.g., undefined behavior in C)
- The better we are at doing proofs, the more transformations we can safely do
 - performance and correctness come together if we get it right
- Many programs can be structured this way
 - even in domains where it may not be obvious

Other Stuff You Can Do With Compilers

 In fact, any system that can be structured as a transformation pipeline can be viewed as a compiler

Other Stuff You Can Do With Compilers

- In fact, any system that can be structured as a transformation pipeline can be viewed as a compiler
 - allows us to come up with analogies for:
 - type systems (what do we want to prove about this data?)
 - optimizations
 - etc

Other Stuff You Can Do With Compilers

- In fact, any system that can be structured as a transformation pipeline can be viewed as a compiler
 - allows us to come up with analogies for:
 - type systems (what do we want to prove about this data?)
 - optimizations
 - etc
- Many real-life systems have this property
 - any batch-processing system
 - many physical machines ("assembly line")
 - o ???

Example: Compilers for Knitting

Example: Compilers for Knitting



Algebraic Semantics for Machine Knitting

Nat Hurtig # 31 March 2025

As programming languages researchers, we're entitled to a certain level of mathematical rigor behind the languages we write and analyze. Programming languages have *semantics*, which are definitions of what statements in the language mean. We can use those semantics to do all sorts of useful things, like error checking, compile

Example: Compilers for Knitting



News Blog Projects People Colloquia Meetings Internal

Algebraic Machine

Nat Hurtig # 31 March 2

Amy Zhu

amyzhu@cs.washington.edu University of Washington USA

Abstract

We examine how we might explicitly embed the intricate details of the fabrication process in the design of an object; the

Adriana Schulz

Twine

Exploring Self-Embedded Knitting Programs

adriana@cs.washington.edu University of Washington USA

Zachary Tat ztatlock@cs.washir

University of Was
USA

analyze a manufactured object, they can of of these aspects, from the materials used, the processes employed, and the assembly me However this analysis requires substantial

As programming languages researchers, we're entitled to a certain level of mathematical rigor behind the languages we write and analyze. Programming languages have *semantics*, which are definitions of what statements in the language mean. We can use those semantics to do all sorts of useful things, like error checking, compile

Compilers for X

 Techniques invented for compilers are useful any time you are transforming structured data and you want to:

Compilers for X

- Techniques invented for compilers are useful any time you are transforming structured data and you want to:
 - reject malformed data (typecheck it!)

Compilers for X

- Techniques invented for compilers are useful any time you are transforming structured data and you want to:
 - reject malformed data (typecheck it!)
 - preserve some properties of the data (prove your transformations safe!)

- Techniques invented for compilers are useful any time you are transforming structured data and you want to:
 - reject malformed data (typecheck it!)
 - preserve some properties of the data (prove your transformations safe!)
 - improve other properties (optimize it!)

- Techniques invented for compilers are useful any time you are transforming structured data and you want to:
 - reject malformed data (typecheck it!)
 - preserve some properties of the data (prove your transformations safe!)
 - improve other properties (optimize it!)
- If you can internalize this, you can be a very successful engineer

- Techniques invented for compilers are useful any time you are transforming structured data and you want to:
 - reject malformed data (typecheck it!)
 - preserve some properties of the data (prove your transformations safe!)
 - improve other properties (optimize it!)
- If you can internalize this, you can be a very successful engineer
 - most SDEs in real life are not comfortable proving that each step of their system is correct

- Techniques invented for compilers are useful any time you are transforming structured data and you want to:
 - reject malformed data (typecheck it!)
 - preserve some properties of the data (prove your transformations safe!)
 - improve other properties (optimize it!)
- If you can internalize this, you can be a very successful engineer
 - most SDEs in real life are not comfortable proving that each step of their system is correct
 - LLMs certainly cannot do this right now
 - ask me if Gemini can write a Cool compiler

- On that note, I've mentioned a few times that I will teach a PhD-level PL class in Sp26
 - what will be different about this class?

- On that note, I've mentioned a few times that I will teach a PhD-level PL class in Sp26
 - what will be different about this class?
- Much more of a focus on the duality of math and programming
 - unlike this class, I will expect "mathematical maturity"
 - i.e., you will do proofs

- On that note, I've mentioned a few times that I will teach a PhD-level PL class in Sp26
 - o what will be different about this class?
- Much more of a focus on the duality of math and programming
 - unlike this class, I will expect "mathematical maturity"
 - i.e., you will do proofs
- Wider survey of well-developed analysis techniques
 - abstract interpretation, type systems, Floyd-Hoare logic, typestate analysis, SMT solvers, model checking, etc.

- On that note, I've mentioned a few times that I will teach a PhD-level PL class in Sp26
 - o what will be different about this class?
- Much more of a focus on the duality of math and programming
 - unlike this class, I will expect "mathematical maturity"
 - i.e., you will do proofs
- Wider survey of well-developed analysis techniques
 - abstract interpretation, type systems, Floyd-Hoare logic, typestate analysis, SMT solvers, model checking, etc.
- Much less hands-on engineering, much more research
 - course project: build any new analysis tool

 Hopefully, this class has given you a solid foundation in thinking carefully about the programs that you write

- Hopefully, this class has given you a solid foundation in thinking carefully about the programs that you write
 - if so, I fear you will be a rare kind of programmer going forward
 - on the plus side, this is great for job security

- Hopefully, this class has given you a solid foundation in thinking carefully about the programs that you write
 - if so, I fear you will be a rare kind of programmer going forward
 - on the plus side, this is great for job security
- It looks like we're heading for a future where LLMs generate a lot of code for us

- Hopefully, this class has given you a solid foundation in thinking carefully about the programs that you write
 - if so, I fear you will be a rare kind of programmer going forward
 - on the plus side, this is great for job security
- It looks like we're heading for a future where LLMs generate a lot of code for us
 - this will make sound program analysis techniques even more important going forward
 - after all, how do you tell if the model's code is right?

- Please do fill out the course evaluation
 - I read them all carefully

- Please do fill out the course evaluation
 - I read them all carefully
 - They're needed for this class to be approved permanently

- Please do fill out the course evaluation
 - I read them all carefully
 - They're needed for this class to be approved permanently
 - I'm also happy to take more feedback via whatever channel
 - email is fine, but so is an angry letter taped to my office door

- Please do fill out the course evaluation
 - I read them all carefully
 - They're needed for this class to be approved permanently
 - I'm also happy to take more feedback via whatever channel
 - email is fine, but so is an angry letter taped to my office door
- Thanks for putting up with the rough edges of this course this semester

- Please do fill out the course evaluation
 - I read them all carefully
 - They're needed for this class to be approved permanently
 - I'm also happy to take more feedback via whatever channel
 - email is fine, but so is an angry letter taped to my office door
- Thanks for putting up with the rough edges of this course this semester
- It's been fun!