

Lab 7 – Article Review: Experiment

NAME 1 – NETID

NAME 2 – NETID [if applicable]

NAME 3 – NETID [if applicable]

Formatting Requirements

- Please submit your lab report as a **pdf** to Gradescope.
- When you upload to Gradescope, please **match pages** with the **question number**.
- Be sure that all **group members** are **added** in your submission to Gradescope (click view/edit group on the top right of the page once shown your final submission after matching pages).

Assignment Overview

- In this assignment, you will be reading and summarizing key points from the article titled: “Effect on Postpartum Hemorrhage of Prophylactic Oxytocin (10 IU) by Injection by Community Health Officers in Ghana: A Community-Based, Cluster-Randomized Trial.”
- The goal of this lab is to identify the aims of this study, the design, the statistical results, and the claims they are making from those results.

Tips for reading research articles

- You won’t understand a lot of what is being said in this article, and that’s ok! Focus instead on making sense of the study’s primary aims, the general design, and the contribution
- Whenever you see a term used multiple times, but aren’t sure what it is, take a few seconds and search it online!
- **Abstracts** are great at helping you pull out key details. You should read this first, then at various stages of reading the rest of the paper, come back and read it again!
- Once you have finished working on all questions, come back to the beginning and revise/enhance your answers based on the new knowledge you gained later!

Acknowledgment

- Thanks to Laura Le and others at the University of Minnesota School of Public Health! This lab is an adaption from an assignment that they wrote and kindly shared with me.

OPEN ACCESS Freely available online



Effect on Postpartum Hemorrhage of Prophylactic Oxytocin (10 IU) by Injection by Community Health Officers in Ghana: A Community-Based, Cluster-Randomized Trial

Cynthia K. Stanton^{1,*}, Samuel Newton², Luke C. Mullany³, Patience Coffie⁴, Charlotte Tawiah Agyemang⁵, Edward Adlibokah⁶, Seeba Amenga-Etego⁷, Niamh Darcy⁸, Sadaf Khan⁹, Deborah Armbruster¹⁰, John Gyamfi¹¹, Seth Owusu-Agyei¹²

¹ Maternal and Child Health Division of Public Health Services, Maryland, United States of America, ² Maternal Health Research Center, Chancery Health Service, Edemposo, Ghana, ³ PEPFAR, Accra, Ghana, ⁴ Research Triangle Institute, North Carolina, United States of America, ⁵ PEPFAR, Seattle, Washington, United States of America, ⁶ United States Agency for International Development, Washington (DC), United States of America, ⁷ School of Public Health, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana

Abstract

Background: Oxytocin (10 IU) is the drug of choice for prevention of postpartum hemorrhage (PPH). Its use has generally been restricted to medical facilities and health facilities. We assessed the effectiveness, safety, and cost of PPH prevention using oxytocin injected by peripheral health care providers with no midwives, at home births.

Methods and Findings: This community-based, cluster-randomized trial was conducted in four rural districts in Ghana. We randomly allocated 54 community health officers (stratified on district and catchment area distance to a health facility: >10 km versus <10 km) to intervention (one injection of oxytocin [10 IU] one minute after birth and continuous (no provision of additional oxytocin) if there was any blood loss) or control (no provision of oxytocin). Primary outcome of interest was PPH, using multiple definitions: (PPH-1) blood loss >500 mL, (PPH-2) PPH-1 plus any other women who received early treatment for PPH, and (PPH-3) PPH-2 plus any other women referred to hospital for postpartum bleeding. Unsafe practice is defined as any oxytocin use within 1 h of birth. Women were followed up until 6 weeks postpartum. We analyzed 1,000 women in the intervention arm of the trial from April 2011 to November 2012; in oxytocin and control arms, respectively, PPH-1 rates were 2.6% versus 5.5% (RR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.14–0.85); PPH-2 rates were 3.8% versus 10.1% (RR: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.18–0.65), and PPH-3 rates were similar for both groups. Control women were more likely than oxytocin women to receive oxytocin for any amount of blood loss. There were no cases of oxytocin use before delivery of the baby and no major adverse events requiring notification of the institutional review boards. Limitations include an unblinded trial and imbalanced numbers of participants, favoring controls.

Conclusion: Maternal health care planners can consider adapting this model to extend the use of oxytocin into peripheral settings including, in some contexts, home births.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01108289

Please see later in the article for the Editors' Summary.

Read the Abstract and the Introduction sections on pages 1-2. (If needed, you may also check out the Editor's Summary on the last page!)

Question 1 (6pts): Briefly discuss the **aims** of this study.

- a) What would you identify as the *primary* response variable in this study? (*suggested 1-2 sentences*)
- b) What were the treatment/control factors being compared? (*suggested 1-2 sentences*)
- c) In your own words, what question are the researchers trying to answer with this study?
- d) *Why* was this study conducted? What is the potential significance of this study’s findings? (*suggested 2-3 sentences*)

Skim the “Methods” section to find where these next few questions are discussed

Question 2 (4pts): Briefly discuss the experimental design of this study

- a) What does it mean when the authors say they used “cluster randomization” in this study? What constitutes a “cluster,” and how would we distinguish that from the unit of observation in this study? (*suggested 2-3 sentences*)
- b) Why did the researchers choose to randomize at the cluster level rather than at the unit of observation level? (*suggested 2-3 sentences*)

Question 3 (6pts): Let’s consider the study’s ability to draw causal claims regarding the effects of oxytocin

- a) Focus first on Table 1, which compares the 682 women who received oxytocin versus the 887 women in the control group. Would you say that these groups are quite similar and balanced, or are there any systematic demographic differences that stand out to you?
- b) Focus next on Table 2. Other than differences in receiving the prophylactic *injection* (*a generic term to represent the injection of the treatment*), would you say that the procedures followed for births under each condition are similar and balanced, or are there any systematic differences in the situations/protocols followed under each condition that stand out to you?
- c) On page 12 in the first full paragraph, the authors address some possible differences. Do either of these differences seem to threaten the effects found from oxytocin?

Look at Table 3

Question 4 (5pts): What might we learn from Table 3?

- a) Briefly explain how the values in the percentage column are being calculated. (*suggested 1-2 sentences*)
- b) The value 1.000 in this table is actually a typo! Based on the data reported in this table, what should that number actually be?
- c) For which outcome comparisons are we confident in concluding that oxytocin is likely reducing the risk for? What might you use as evidence to make that claim? Note: there is no *objective* benchmark for making this decision, so just be clear how *you* are making that decision! (*suggested 2-3 sentences*)

Look at Table 4

Question 5 (4pts): What might we learn from Table 4?

- a) How might you interpret what the value 1.309 is representing in context?
- b) Would you say there is strong evidence that oxytocin is likely increasing the risk for stillbirth or any other adverse effect here?

Question 6 (6pts): In biomedical research, the “number needed to treat” (NNT) measures how many people would need to be treated before you would expect one adverse effect to be avoided. For example, if the absolute risk in the treatment group is 1% (0.01) and the absolute risk in the comparison group is 3% (0.03), then the number needed to treat is $1 / (0.03 - 0.01) = 1/0.02 = 50$.

- a) In Table 3, what is the NNT before we expect to **prevent** one case of **PPH-3** as a result of oxytocin? *You may use the rounded absolute risks in the table, or recalculate for a slightly more precise result.*
- b) In Table 4, we are instead focusing on possible adverse effects of oxytocin. So instead, we will need to calculate **number needed to harm** (NNH). What is the NNH before we expect to **inflict** one case of **stillbirth** as a result of oxytocin? *You may use the rounded absolute risks in the table, or recalculate for a slightly more precise result.*
- c) How might you compare the benefit of oxytocin in preventing PPH-3 to the risk of oxytocin in inflicting a stillbirth? There is no *objective* answer to this question, but you should use the statistical results as an important consideration in making this risk-benefit comparison!

Read the last 4 paragraphs of the “Discussion” section

Question 7 (4pts): Briefly describe the contributions this article made.

- a) Briefly summarize the primary finding of this article. What “answer” might they give to the research question you wrote up in Question 1?
- b) Why might the findings of this study be limited in practice for birth care to the broader population being targeted?