Homework 5

Kyle Hadley

import warnings
warnings.simplefilter('ignore')

1. Linear Program Duality

Given the linear program $p^* = \min_x c^T x$ s.t. $Ax = b, Cx \geq d$.

• (a)

We can write the linear program, p^* , in it's game form such that we are minimizing x and maximizing (v,w) of our Lagrangian. Solving for our lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}(x,v,w) = f(x) + v^T g(x) + w^T h(x) = c^T x + v^T (Ax-b) + w^T (Cx-d)$$
 $\mathcal{L}(x,v,w) = (c^T + v^T A + w^T C)x - v^T b - w^T d$

Thus, we find that

$$p^* = \min_x \max_{v,w} \mathcal{L}(x,v,w)$$
 s.t. $\mathcal{L}(x,v,w) = (c^T + v^T A + w^T C)x - v^T b - w^T d$

(b)

To find a relationship between p^* and d^* , we can start with the relationship of

$$\mathcal{L}(x,v,w) \mid orall x, orall v orall w \leq \max_{x} \mathcal{L}(x,v,w) \mid orall v orall w$$

If we minimize v and w on both sides the relationship still holds true that

$$\min_{v,w} \mathcal{L}(x,v,w) \mid orall x \leq \min_{v,w} (\max_x \mathcal{L}(x,v,w))$$

Next, we can see that for any x selected for the left-hand side of our equation, the relationship holds true. Thus we can pick an x that maximizes the left-hand side (i.e. \max_x) such that

$$\max_x (\min_{v,w} \mathcal{L}(x,v,w)) \leq \min_{v,w} (\max_x \mathcal{L}(x,v,w))$$

Thus, we can see that from this relationship and our definitions for p^* and d^* , $p^* < d^*$.

(c)

Taking the derivative of the Lagrangian, as found in part (a), with respect to x we find and equate the relationship to 0, as we are minimizing x,

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = c^T + v^T A + \mu^T C = 0$$

Thus, we find the condition $c^T + v^T A + \mu^T C = 0$.

(d)

Given our constraint as found in part (c), we can write the appropriate objective function of v and w, l(v, w) as follows,

$$p^* = \max_{v,w} (-v^T b - \mu^T d)$$

s.t. g(v,w)=0 and $h(v,w)\geq 0$.

(e)

Given the values for c^T , A, b, C, and d, we can solve both the primal and dual versions using cvxpy as shown below.

```
In [3]:
         # Establish our values for input parameters
         A = np.matrix([[1, 1, 1, 1, 1], [1, 1, -1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1, -1]])
         b = np.matrix([[1], [0], [0]])
         c = np.matrix([1, 2, 4, 5, 6])
         C_{prime} = np.matrix([[-1, -1, -1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, -1, -1]])
         C = np.concatenate((np.identity(5), C_prime), axis=0)
         d = np.matrix([[0], [0], [0], [0], [0], [-0.5], [-0.5]])
         #print(A, b, c, C, d)
         # Define and solve the CVXPY problem.
         x = cp.Variable(shape=(5, 1))
         prob = cp.Problem(cp.Minimize(c @ x), [A @ x == b, C @ x >= d])
         prob.solve()
         # Print result.
         print("The optimal value is", prob.value)
         print("\nA solution x is:")
         print(x.value)
         print("\nA dual solution is: ")
         print(prob.constraints[0].dual_value, '\nand \n', prob.constraints[1].dual_value)
```

The optimal value is 3.999999998358136

```
A solution x is: [[2.50000000e-01]
```

```
[2.41013141e-11]
 [2.50000000e-01]
 [2.50000000e-01]
 [2.5000000e-01]]
A dual solution is:
[[-5.80414113]
 [ 1.5
 [ 0.5
              ]]
and
 [[4.61842945e-10]
 [1.00000000e+00]
 [5.79071862e-10]
 [1.22463856e-10]
 [1.22463889e-10]
 [3.30414113e+00]
 [3.04141131e-01]]
```

(f)

2. Quadratic Program Duality

Consider the quadratic program $p^* = max_x rac{1}{2} x^T Q x + r^T x$ s.t. $Ax = b, Cx \geq d$.

• (a)

We can write the quadratic program, p^* , in it's game form such that we are minimizing x and maximizing (v, w) of our Lagrangian. Solving for our lagrangian,

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x,v,w) &= f(x) + v^T g(x) + w^T h(x) = rac{1}{2} x^T Q x + r^T x + v^T (Ax-b) + w^T (Cx-d) \ \\ \mathcal{L}(x,v,w) &= rac{1}{2} x^T Q x + (r^T + v^T A + w^T C) x - v^T b - w^T d \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find that

$$p^* = \max_x \min_{v,w} \mathcal{L}(x,v,w)$$
 s.t. $\mathcal{L}(x,v,w) = rac{1}{2} x^T Q x + (r^T + v^T A + w^T C) x - v^T b - w^T d$

(b)

The logic as described from part (b) still holds true for this problem, however rather than minimizing x we are instead maximizing x. Thus, we have the opposite relationship between p^* and d^* as we know that

$$\max_x (\min_{v,w} \mathcal{L}(x,v,w)) \leq \min_{v,w} (\max_x \mathcal{L}(x,v,w))$$

Thus, we can see that from this relationship and our definitions for p^* and d^* , $p^* \geq d^*$.

(c)

Taking the derivative of the Lagrangian, as found in part (a), with respect to x we find and equate the relationship to 0, as we are minimizing x,

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = x^T Q + r^T + v^T a + w^T C = 0$$

Solving for \boldsymbol{x}^T we find that

$$x^T = -(r^T + v^Ta + w^TC)Q^{-1}$$

We can a z^T such that $z^T = -x^TQ = r^T + v^Ta + w^TC$.

(d)

Given our constraint as found in part (c), we can solve for l(v,w) by substituting our relationship for z^T in for x^T such that,

$$egin{split} l(v,w) &= rac{1}{2} z^T Q^{-1} Q Q^{-1} - z^T Q^{-1} z - v^T b - w^T d \ & \ l(v,w) &= rac{1}{2} z^T Q^{-1} - z^T Q^{-1} z - v^T b - w^T d \end{split}$$

Thus, we can write the appropriate objective function of v and w, l(v, w)

$$p^* = \min_{v,w} l(v,w)$$

s.t. $l(v,w)=rac{1}{2}z^TQ^{-1}-z^TQ^{-1}z-v^Tb-w^Td$, $z^T=r^T+v^Ta+w^TC$, g(v,w)=0, and $h(v,w)\geq 0$.

(e)

Given the values for Q, r^T , A, b, C, and d, we can solve both the primal and dual versions using cvxpy as shown below.

```
In [4]:
         # Establish our values for input parameters
         Q = -np.diag([1, 2, 3, 4, 5])
         A = np.matrix([[1, 1, 1, 1, 1], [1, 1, -1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1, -1]])
         b = np.matrix([[1], [0], [0]])
         r = np.matrix([1, 2, 3, 4, 5])
         C prime = np.matrix([[-1, -1, -1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, -1, -1]])
         C = np.concatenate((np.identity(5), C_prime), axis=0)
         d = np.matrix([[0], [0], [0], [0], [0], [-0.5], [-0.5]])
         #print(Q, A, b, r, C, d)
         # Define and solve the CVXPY problem.
         x = cp.Variable(shape=(5, 1))
         prob = cp.Problem(cp.Maximize((1/2) * cp.quad_form(x, Q) + r @ x), [A @ x == b, C @ x >
         prob.solve()
         # Print result.
         print("The optimal value is", prob.value)
         print("\nA solution x is:")
```

```
print(x.value)
print("\nA dual solution is: ")
print(prob.constraints[0].dual_value, '\nand \n', prob.constraints[1].dual_value)
```

The optimal value is 3.06250000000000004

```
A solution x is:
[[5.1634942e-24]
 [2.5000000e-01]
 [2.5000000e-01]
 [2.5000000e-01]
 [2.5000000e-01]]
A dual solution is:
[[ 1.425]
[-0.375]
 [-0.375]]
and
 [[0.5]
 [0.]
 [0.]
 [0.]
 [0. ]
 [0.45]
 [1.95]]
```

3. Simplex optimization

• (a)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ & \mathbf{b} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ & \mathbf{c} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ & \mathbf{d} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ & \mathbf{e} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^T \\ & \mathbf{f} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^T \\ & \mathbf{g} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}^T \\ & \mathbf{h} - x_2 = 1 - x_1 \\ & \mathbf{i} - x_3 = 1 - x_2 \\ & \mathbf{j} - x_1 = 1 - x_3 \\ & \mathbf{k} - r^T + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ & \mathbf{l} - r^T + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathsf{m} - r^T + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$\mathsf{n} - \left[\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{3} \quad 0 \right]^T$$

$$O - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

p -
$$r^T$$

(b)

- a r_1
- b r_2
- c r_3
- d μ_1
- e μ_2
- f μ_3
- g λ
- h μ_1^*
- i μ_3^*
- j λ*
- k x_2

4. Dynamic Programming

(a)

Assuming that in our diagram our states are numbered from top to bottom ($state=\{1,2,3\}$) and time is numbered from left to right ($time=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ - time 5 is ignored because their is our final "red" node), the optimal "cost-to-go" for each node to the end is calculated as follows:

$$time = 4$$
, $state = 1$ - 2

$$time = 4$$
, $state = 2$ - 2

$$time = 4$$
, $state = 3 - 1$

$$time = 3$$
, $state = 1 - 3$

```
time = 3, state = 2 - 2
```

$$time = 3$$
, $state = 3$ - 3

$$time = 2$$
, $state = 1$ - 5

$$time = 2$$
, $state = 2$ - 4

$$time = 2$$
, $state = 3$ - 4

$$time = 1$$
, $state = 1 - 5$

$$time = 1$$
, $state = 2$ - 6

$$time = 1$$
, $state = 3$ - 6

$$time = 0$$
, $state = 2$ - 6

(b)

The shortest path is start node ("blue" node) \rightarrow time = 1, state 1 \rightarrow time = 2, state = 2 \rightarrow time = 3, state = 2 \rightarrow time = 4, state = 3 \rightarrow end node ("red" node).