HOMEWORK 7

EE 578B - Winter 2021

Due Date: Wednesday, Mar 3rd, 2021 @ 11:59 PM

import warnings
warnings.simplefilter('ignore')

Consider the Markov Decision Process with the following graph and action structure. (SEE PDF)

1. Transition Kernel Constraints

(PTS:0-2)

Write down the incidence matrices for the graph.

$$E_i \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| imes |\mathcal{E}|}, \quad E_o \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| imes |\mathcal{E}|}, \quad P \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| imes |\mathcal{A}|}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}| imes |\mathcal{A}|}, \quad W \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{E}| imes |\mathcal{A}|}$$

The incidence matrics can be written as follows

$$E_i = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E_o = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

(PTS:0-2)

For the incidence matrices given above show the following identities

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{1}^T E_i &= \mathbf{1}^T E_o = \mathbf{1}^T \ \mathbf{1}^T A &= \mathbf{1}^T P = \mathbf{1}^T \ \mathbf{1}^T W &= \mathbf{1}^T \ E_i W &= P, \quad E_o W = A \end{aligned}$$

where the dimension of each 1 is determined by context.

For the first identity,

$$egin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}^T E_i = [\ 1 \ &$$

Thus, we see that $\mathbf{1}^T E_i = \mathbf{1}^T E_o = \mathbf{1}^T.$

For the second identity,

$$1^TA = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{1}^T P = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, we can see that $\mathbf{1}^T A = \mathbf{1}^T P = \mathbf{1}^T$.

For the third identity,

$$1^TW = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, we can see that $1^TW = 1^T$.

For our last identity,

$$E_iW = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P$$

$$E_oW = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = A$$

Thus, we can see that $E_iW=P$ and $E_oW=A$.

(PTS:0-2)

Consider two policies with the following actions chosen from each state

Policy 1: State 1: Action 1, State 2: Action 2,

State 3: Action 4, State 4: Action 6

Policy 2: State 1: Action 1, State 2: Action 2,

State 3: $\frac{50\\% \text{ Action 3}}{50\\% \text{ Action 4}}$, State 4: $\frac{50\\% \text{ Action 5}}{50\\% \text{ Action 6}}$

Write each policy in matrix form $\Pi \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 4}$. Compute the corresponding Markov matrix $M = P\Pi$. Also show that $A\Pi = I$ for each policy.

The policy matrices Π can be written as follows

$$\Pi_1 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Pi_2 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

The corresponding Markov matrices are computed as follows,

$$M_1 = P\Pi_1 = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_1 = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_2 = P\Pi_2 = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 \ \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_2 = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0.75 \ 0 & 0 & 0.25 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.25 \ 0 & 0 & 0.75 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proving that $A\Pi = I$ for each policy,

$$A\Pi_2 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I$$

(PTS:0-4)

The stationary (state) distribution associated with each Markov chain is the solution to the equation $\rho=M\rho$. Compute this stationary distribution by finding the eigenvector with eigenvalue 1. (You can use the function eig in Matlab or numpy.linalg.eig in Python.). Make sure to scale the eigenvector so that it is an appropriate probability distribution that sums to 1 and has all positive values. Compute the corresponding action distribution y as $y=\Pi\rho$.

```
In [4]:
         M_1 = \text{np.matrix}([[0, 1, 0, 0.5], [0, 0, 0.5, 0], [1, 0, 0, 0.5], [0, 0, 0.5, 0]])
         M_2 = \text{np.matrix}([[0, 1, 0, 0.75], [0, 0, 0.25, 0], [1, 0, 0, 0.25], [0, 0, 0.75, 0]])
         w, v = np.linalg.eig(M_1)
         print(np.round (w, decimals=1), '\n', np.round (v, decimals=1))
         w, v = np.linalg.eig(M 2)
         print(np.round_(w, decimals=1), '\n', np.round_(v, decimals=1))
        [-0.5+0.7j -0.5-0.7j 1. +0.j 0. +0.j]
         [[-0.2+0.6j -0.2-0.6j 0.5+0.j -0.4+0.j]
         [-0.2-0.3j -0.2+0.3j 0.3+0.j -0.4+0.j]
         [ 0.6+0.j 0.6-0.j 0.7+0.j 0. +0.j ]
         [-0.2-0.3j -0.2+0.3j 0.3+0.j 0.8+0.j]]
        [-0.5+0.8j -0.5-0.8j 1. +0.j 0. +0.j]
         [[ 0.6+0.j 0.6-0.j 0.5+0.j -0.2+0.j ]
         [-0.1+0.1j -0.1-0.1j 0.2+0.j -0.6+0.j]
         [-0.2-0.6j -0.2+0.6j 0.7+0.j 0. +0.j]
         [-0.3+0.4j -0.3-0.4j 0.5+0.j 0.8+0.j]]
```

The stationary distribution as identified using numpy.linalg.eig (as coded above) results in,

$$ho_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.32 & 0.32 & 0.36 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T
ho_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.18 & 0.18 & 0.64 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

The corresponding action distribution y is calculated as,

$$y_1 = \Pi_1 \rho_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 0.32 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.36 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.32 \\ 0.32 \\ 0 \\ 0.36 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y_2 = \Pi_2
ho_2 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 0.18 \ 0.18 \ 0.64 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 0.18 \ 0.32 \ 0.32 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Show that each y from the previous part satisfies Py = Ay and $\mathbf{1}^T y = 1$. Compute the corresponding edge mass vector for each x = Wy. Show that x is in the nullspace of $E = E_i - E_o$

.

For each \emph{y} , we can show that $\emph{Py} = \emph{Ay}$ and $\emph{1}^T\emph{y} = \emph{1}$,

$$Py_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 0.32 \\ 0.32 \\ 0 \\ 0.36 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.32 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.18 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Ay_1 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 0.32 \ 0.32 \ 0.36 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 0.32 \ 0.32 \ 0.36 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Py_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 0.32 \\ 0.32 \\ 0 \\ 0.36 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.18 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.48 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Ay_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 0.18 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.32 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.18 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.64 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$1^Ty_1 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 0.32 \ 0.32 \ 0.36 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

$$1^Ty_2 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 0.18 \ 0.32 \ 0.32 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

The corresponding edge mass vector is calculated as,

$$x_1 = Wy_1 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 0.32 \ 0.32 \ 0 \ 0.36 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 0.32 \ 0.32 \ 0 \ 0.18 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_2 = Wy_2 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} * egin{bmatrix} 0.18 \ 0.18 \ 0.48 \ 0.16 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Infinite Horizon, Average Reward

Consider the following optimization problem for finding the optimal steady-state action distribution $y \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{A}|}$

$$\max_{y} \quad r^{T} y$$
 s.t. $Py = Ay, \ \mathbf{1}^{T} y = 1, \ y \ge 0$

for reward vector $r \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{A}|}$.

(PTS:0-2)

Write the dual optimization problem with dual variables $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ associated with the constraint $\mathbf{1}^T y = 1$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ associated with constraint Py = Ay, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|\mathcal{A}|}$ associated with the constraint $y \geq 0$.

We can write the dual optimization problem as,

$$\max_{v,\lambda,\mu} \lambda$$
 s.t. $\lambda 1^T + v^T A = r^T + v^T P + \mu^T, \mu \geq 0$

(PTS:0-2)

The KKT conditions at optimum (for either the primal or dual problem) are given by

$$egin{aligned} r^T - \lambda \mathbf{1}^T + v^T (P-A) + \mu^T &= 0, & \mu \geq 0 \ Py - Ay &= 0, & \mathbf{1}^T y &= 1, & y \geq 0 \ \mu^T y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Use these conditions to show that λ is an upper bound on the primal objective r^Ty for any feasible y. What does μ^Ty represent for a specific y? What does the condition $\mu^Ty=0$ imply about the

optimal y?

We can use our first condition and solve for r^T such that

$$r^T = \lambda 1^T - v^T (P - A) - \mu^T$$

Substituting this relationship into our relationship r^Ty we find,

$$r^Ty = (\lambda 1^T - v^T(P-A) - \mu^T)y$$

$$r^Ty = \lambda 1^Ty - v^T(Py - Ay) - \mu^Ty$$

From our other KKT conditions, we can see that Py-Ay=0 adn $\mu^Ty=0$, thus,

$$r^Ty=\lambda y$$

As we can see from this relationship, λ must be upper bound of our primal objective.

 u^Ty represents that when optimized, either our inefficiency for an action is zero or the mass flow for an action is zero. This implies that at the optimal y that the inefficiency is zero.

(PTS:0-4)

Use cvx or cvxpy to solve the above optimization problem for the transition kernel given initially and each reward vector

$$egin{array}{llll} r^T = [& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6] \\ r^T = [& 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1] \end{array}$$

What is the optimal joint distribution y in each case? What is the expected average reward r^Ty in each case?

The solutions to the above optimization problem are given as outputs from the code below. In addition, each expected average reward $r^T y$ is printed out as well.

```
In [5]:
         # Establish our values for input parameters
         P = np.matrix([[0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0.5], [0, 0, 0, 0.5, 0, 0], [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.5], [0, 0, 0, 0.5])
         A = np.matrix([[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0])
         r_T = np.matrix([1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]) # Define <math>r_T as reward vector
         one T = np.matrix([1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1])
         # Define and solve the CVXPY problem.
         y = cp.Variable(shape=(6, 1))
         prob = cp.Problem(cp.Maximize(r_T @ y), [P @ y == A @ y, one_T @ y == 1, y >= 0])
         prob.solve()
         # Print result.
         print('For r =', r_T)
         print("The optimal value is", np.round_(prob.value, decimals = 1))
         print("\nA solution y is:")
         print(np.round (y.value, decimals=1))
         print("\nA dual solution is: ")
         print(np.round (prob.constraints[0].dual value, decimals=1), '\nand \n', np.round (prob
         y1 = y.value
```

```
print('Expected Average Reward:', r T @ y.value)
        For r = [[1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6]]
        The optimal value is 3.8
        A solution y is:
        [[0.2]
         [0.]
         [0.4]
         [0.]
         [0.]
         [0.4]]
        A dual solution is:
        [[ 1.4]
         [ 2.1]
         [-1.4]
         [-2.2]]
        and
         [[3.8]]
        Expected Average Reward: [[3.8]]
In [6]:
         r_T = np.matrix([1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]) # Define r_T as reward vector
         # Define and solve the CVXPY problem.
         y = cp.Variable(shape=(6, 1))
         prob = cp.Problem(cp.Maximize(r_T @ y), [P @ y == A @ y, one_T @ y == 1, y >= 0])
         prob.solve()
         # Print result.
         print('For r =', r_T)
         print("The optimal value is", np.round_(prob.value, decimals = 1))
         print("\nA solution y is:")
         print(np.round_(y.value, decimals=1))
         print("\nA dual solution is: ")
         print(np.round_(prob.constraints[0].dual_value, decimals=1), '\nand \n', np.round_(prob
         y2 = y.value
         print('Expected Average Reward:', r_T @ y.value)
        For r = [[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]]
        The optimal value is 1.0
        A solution y is:
        [[0.3]
         [0.1]
         [0.2]
         [0.2]
         [0.1]
         [0.2]]
        A dual solution is:
        [[-0.]
         [-0.]
         [-0.]
         [-0.]]
        and
         [[1.]]
        Expected Average Reward: [[1.]]
```

(PTS:0-2)

What is the steady-state state distribution associated with each solution $\rho = Ay$? What is the optimal policy associated with y? Use the formula

$$(\pi_s)_a = rac{y_a}{
ho_s} = rac{y_a}{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_s} y_a}$$

You could also put the policy in matrix form using the formula

$$\Pi = \mathrm{diag}(y) A^T \mathrm{diag}(
ho)^{-1}$$

The steady-state distributions and policies are outputted to console using the code below.

```
In [7]:
         A = np.matrix([[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0])
         rho_1 = A @ y1
         rho 2 = A (a) y2
         print('Rho 1:\n', np.round_(rho_1, decimals=1))
         print('Rho 2:\n', np.round_(rho_2, decimals=1))
         Pi_1 = np.diag(np.squeeze(y1)) @ A.T @ np.linalg.inv(np.diag(np.squeeze(np.asarray(rho_
         print('Pi 1:\n', np.round_(Pi_1, decimals=1))
         Pi_2 = np.diag(np.squeeze(y2)) @ A.T @ np.linalg.inv(np.diag(np.squeeze(np.asarray(rho_
         print('Pi 2:\n', np.round_(Pi_2, decimals=2))
        Rho 1:
         [[0.2]
         [0.]
         [0.4]
         [0.4]]
        Rho 2:
         [[0.3]
         [0.1]
         [0.4]
         [0.3]]
        Pi 1:
         [[1. 0. 0. 0.]
         [0. 1. 0. 0.]
         [0. 0. 1. 0.]
         [0. 0. 0. 0.]
         [0. 0. 0. 0.]
         [0. 0. 0. 1.]]
        Pi 2:
         [[1.
                0.
                    0.
                          0. ]
                         0. ]
         [0.
               1.
                    0.
         [0.
               0.
                    0.49 0. ]
         [0.
               0.
                    0.51 0. ]
         [0.
               0.
                    0.
                         0.42]
         [0.
               0.
                    0.
                         0.58]]
```

(PTS:0-2) Now suppose you apply the policy

$$\Pi = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.8 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.2 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

What reward do you achieve in each case? (Hint: compute ρ such that $\rho=P\Pi\rho$ and then y using $y=\Pi\rho$.) How much does this reward differ from the optimal average reward? How does this difference relate to the quantity $\mu^T y$ where μ is the optimal dual variable?

The reward and average reward are calculated below using the code. We can see that our rewards are smaller when compared to our previously calculated values.

```
In [8]:
         Pi_new = np.matrix([[1, 0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0.2, 0], [0, 0, 0.8, 0], [0, 0, 0.8, 0])
         w, v = np.linalg.eig(P @ Pi new)
         #print(np.round_(w, decimals=1), '\n', np.round_(v, decimals=1))
         rho new = np.real(v[2, :])
         y new = Pi new @ rho new.T
         print('Reward:\n', np.round_(y_new, decimals=1))
         r_T = np.matrix([1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]) # Define <math>r_T as reward vector
         print('New Average Reward (Case 1):\n', r_T @ y_new)
         r_T = np.matrix([1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]) # Define r_T as reward vector
         print('New Average Reward (Case 2):\n', r T @ y new)
        Reward:
         [[-0.6]
          [-0.6]
         [ 0.1]
         [ 0.6]
         [-0.]
         [-0.]]
        New Average Reward (Case 1):
         [[0.79264503]]
        New Average Reward (Case 2):
         [[-0.53027565]]
```

3. Finite Horizon, Total Reward

Consider the following optimization problem for finding the optimal finite horizon policy.

$$egin{aligned} \max_{y(t),\,t \in \mathcal{T}} & \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} r(t)^T y(t) + g^T A y(T) \ \mathrm{s.t.} & A y(0) =
ho(0), \quad y(0) \geq 0 \ & A y(t+1) = P y(t), \quad y(t+1) \geq 0, \ \ t \in \mathcal{T} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{T}=\{0,\ldots,T-1\}$, $\rho(0)\in\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ is a given initial state distribution, and $g\in\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ is a final cost on each of the states.

Write the dual optimization problem with dual variables $v(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ associated with the constraint $Ay(0) = \rho(0)$, $v(t+1) \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ associated with constraint Py(t) = Ay(t+1), and $\mu(t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|\mathcal{A}|}$ associated with the constraint $y(t) \geq 0$.

We can write the dual optimization problem such that,

$$egin{aligned} \min_{v,\mu} v(0)^T
ho(0) \ & ext{s.t.} \ v(T)^T A = g^T A + \mu(T)^T, \mu(T) \geq 0 \ \\ v(t)^T A = r(t)^T + v(t+1)^T P + \mu(t)^T, \mu(t) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $t = \{0, ..., T - 1\}$.

(PTS:0-4)

The KKT optimality conditions for the primal and dual optimization problems are given by

$$egin{aligned} g^T A - v(T) A + \mu(T)^T &= 0, \quad \mu(T) \geq 0 \ r(t)^T + v(t+1)^T P - v(t)^T A + \mu(t)^T &= 0, \quad \mu(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{T} \ Ay(0) &=
ho(0), \quad y(0) \geq 0 \ Ay(t+1) &= Py(t), \quad y(t+1) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{T} \ \mu(t)^T y(t) &= 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \ t = T \end{aligned}$$

Use these conditions to show that $v(0)^T \rho(0)$ is an upper bound on the primal objective $\sum_t r(t)^T y(t) + g^T A y(T)$ for any feasible y(t) that satisfies the mass flow equations. What does $\sum_t \mu(t)^T y(t)$ represent for a specific mass flow $y(t), t \in \mathcal{T}$.

Starting with our first two conditions, we can solve for $r(t)^T$ and q^TA respectively such that,

$$g^T A = v(T)A - \mu(T)^T$$
 $r(t)^T = -v(t+1)^T P + v(t)^T A - \mu(t)^T$

We can now substitute these two relationships into our primal objective such that,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left(-v(t+1)^T P + v(t)^T A - \mu(t)^T
ight) y(t) + \left(v(T) A - \mu(T)^T
ight) y(T)$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} -v(t+1)^T P y(t) + v(t)^T A y(t) - \mu(t)^T y(t) + v(T) A y(T) - \mu(T)^T y(T)$$

Substituting some of our other conditions we see that,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} -v(t+1)^T Ay(t+1) + v(t)^T Ay(t) + v(T)Ay(T) - \mu(T)^T y(T)$$

As we can see, when we apply the summation most of our terms will cancel out expect for our first time stamp such,

$$v(0)^T A y(0) = v(0)^T \rho(0)$$

The summation of μ and y represents that at any given time step either the ineffiency is zero or the mass flow at a given y is zero.

(PTS:0-4)

45

46

Use cvx or cvxpy to solve the above optimization problem for the MDP given initially with the following rewards

$$r(t)^T = egin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ext{ for } t \in \mathcal{T}, \quad g^T = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for ten time steps T=10 and initial distribution $ho(0)= \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}^T$

What is the optimal action distribution y(t) at each time step? What is the expected total reward $\sum_t r(t)^T y(t)$?

```
In [33]:
          g_T = np.matrix([1, 1, 1, 1])
          r T = np.matrix([2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1])
          rho0 = np.matrix([0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25])
          # Define and solve the CVXPY problem.
          T = 10
          y = cp.Variable(shape=(6, T))
          obj = r_T @ y + g_T @ A @ y[:, T-1]
          constraints = []
          print(A.shape, y[:, 0].shape, rho0.shape)
          constraints.append(A@y[:, 0] == rho0)
          constraints.append(y[:, 0] >= 0)
          for t in range(T):
              constraints.append(A@y[:, t+1] == P@y[:, t])
              constraints.append(y[:, t+1] >= 0)
          prob = cp.Problem(cp.Maximize(obj), constraints)
          prob.solve()
          # Print result.
          print('For r =', r_T)
          print("The optimal value is", np.round_(prob.value, decimals = 1))
          print("\nA solution y is:")
          print(np.round (v.value, decimals=1))
          print("\nA dual solution is: ")
          print(np.round (prob.constraints[0].dual value, decimals=1), '\nand \n', np.round (prob
          print('Expected Average Reward:', r T @ y.value)
         (4, 6) (6,) (4, 1)
                                                    Traceback (most recent call last)
         <ipython-input-33-065536386ca8> in <module>
              11 constraints = []
              12 print(A.shape, y[:, 0].shape, rho0.shape)
         ---> 13 constraints.append(A@y[:, 0] == rho0)
              14 constraints.append(y[:, 0] >= 0)
              15 for t in range(T):
```

~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\expressions\expression.py in cast op(self, other)

other = self.cast_to_const(other)

```
---> 47
                return binary op(self, other)
     48
            return cast op
     49
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\expressions\expression.py in __eq__(self, other)
                """Equality : Creates a constraint ``self == other``.
    642
--> 643
                return Equality(self, other)
    644
    645
            @_cast_other
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\constraints\zero.py in init (self, lhs, rhs, cons
tr_id)
            .....
    100
            def init (self, lhs, rhs, constr id=None):
    101
                self. expr = lhs - rhs
--> 102
                super(Equality, self).__init__([lhs, rhs], constr_id)
    103
    104
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\expressions\expression.py in cast op(self, other)
     45
     46
                other = self.cast to const(other)
---> 47
                return binary op(self, other)
     48
            return cast op
     49
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\expressions\expression.py in sub (self, other)
                """Expression: The difference of two expressions.
    514
    515
--> 516
                return self + -other
    517
    518
            @ cast other
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\expressions\expression.py in cast op(self, other)
     46
                other = self.cast_to_const(other)
---> 47
                return binary_op(self, other)
     48
            return cast op
     49
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\expressions\expression.py in __add__(self, other)
    500
                    return self
                self, other = self.broadcast(self, other)
    501
                return cvxtypes.add expr()([self, other])
--> 502
    503
            @ cast other
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\atoms\affine\add expr.py in init (self, arg group
s)
     31
                # For efficiency group args as sums.
     32
                self._arg_groups = arg_groups
---> 33
                super(AddExpression, self).__init__(*arg_groups)
     34
                self.args = []
     35
                for group in arg groups:
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\atoms\atom.py in __init__(self, *args)
     44
                self.args = [Atom.cast to const(arg) for arg in args]
     45
                self.validate arguments()
---> 46
                self._shape = self.shape_from_args()
                if len(self._shape) > 2:
     47
                    raise ValueError("Atoms must be at most 2D.")
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\atoms\affine\add_expr.py in shape from args(self)
                """Returns the (row, col) shape of the expression.
     39
                .....
     40
```

```
return u.shape.sum shapes([arg.shape for arg in self.args])
---> 41
     42
            def expand args(self, expr):
     43
~\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\cvxpy\utilities\shape.py in sum_shapes(shapes)
                     raise ValueError(
                         "Cannot broadcast dimensions " +
     48
                         len(shapes)*" %s" % tuple(shapes))
---> 49
     50
                longer = shape if len(shape) >= len(t) else t
     51
ValueError: Cannot broadcast dimensions (4,) (4, 1)
(PTS:0-4)
```

What is the policy $\Pi(t)$ chosen at each time step? Use the formula

$$(\pi_s)_a(t) = rac{y_a(t)}{
ho_s(t)} = rac{y_a(t)}{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_s} y_a(t)}$$

where $\rho(t) = Ay(t)$.

In []:

(PTS:0-4) Now suppose you apply the policy

$$\Pi(t) = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0.8 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.2 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

at each time step. Start by computing $y(0)=\Pi(0)\rho(0)$. $\rho(t)$ is then given by $Py(0)=\rho(1)$. Use $\rho(1)$ to compute $y(1)=\Pi(1)\rho(1)$, etc. What total reward do you achieve? What is the quantity $\sum_t \mu(t)^T y(t)$? How does this relate the total reward to the optimal total reward?

In []: