Project Stage 3 – Reflection

June 23, 2020

Your name: [Insert your name here]

*Note that if you are unable answer any of these questions based on the presentation, just say that as your response.*

Presenter 1 name: [Insert presenter 1 name here]

1. **Inference result:** What inferential test did the presenter perform, and what was their conclusion?

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Best model:** What variables ended up being included in the best model for this presenter? What were the directions of the relationships between response and explanatory variables in the model?

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Private critique:** Did you notice any mistakes in the presenter's phrasing of their hypotheses, results, or conclusions? Here's where you should be nitpicky and think critically (these comments will be seen just by me and *not* the presenter). After this class is over I want you to turn on this skeptical part of your brain as you read/view research or news.

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Shared positive and constructive feedback:** What did you think the presenter did particularly well? (E.g.: Was their problem really well thought out? Did they explain something particularly well? Was their organization spot-on?) What constructive feedback could you share with them to improve or expand on their work? Share this with the presenter via their unique Duke Box file (see the bottom of <https://kelrenmor.github.io/STA101-002/project_files/project_st3_statement> for link).

[Confirm that you have shared your feedback by saying ‘Yes, I have shared my feedback’ here.]

1. **Further work:** Think about the presentation you just saw. What ideas do you have for future work on the problem(s) the presenter addressed?

[Insert your response here.]

Presenter 2 name: [Insert presenter 2 name here]

1. **Inference result:** What inferential test did the presenter perform, and what was their conclusion?

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Best model:** What variables ended up being included in the best model for this presenter? What were the directions of the relationships between response and explanatory variables in the model?

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Private critique:** Did you notice any mistakes in the presenter's phrasing of their hypotheses, results, or conclusions? Here's where you should be nitpicky and think critically (these comments will be seen just by me and *not* the presenter). After this class is over I want you to turn on this skeptical part of your brain as you read/view research or news.

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Shared positive and constructive feedback:** What did you think the presenter did particularly well? (E.g.: Was their problem really well thought out? Did they explain something particularly well? Was their organization spot-on?) What constructive feedback could you share with them to improve or expand on their work? Share this with the presenter via their unique Duke Box file (see the bottom of <https://kelrenmor.github.io/STA101-002/project_files/project_st3_statement> for link).

[Confirm that you have shared your feedback by saying ‘Yes, I have shared my feedback’ here.]

1. **Further work:** Think about the presentation you just saw. What ideas do you have for future work on the problem(s) the presenter addressed?

[Insert your response here.]

Presenter 3 name: [Insert presenter 3 name here]

1. **Inference result:** What inferential test did the presenter perform, and what was their conclusion?

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Best model:** What variables ended up being included in the best model for this presenter? What were the directions of the relationships between response and explanatory variables in the model?

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Private critique:** Did you notice any mistakes in the presenter's phrasing of their hypotheses, results, or conclusions? Here's where you should be nitpicky and think critically (these comments will be seen just by me and *not* the presenter). After this class is over I want you to turn on this skeptical part of your brain as you read/view research or news.

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Shared positive and constructive feedback:** What did you think the presenter did particularly well? (E.g.: Was their problem really well thought out? Did they explain something particularly well? Was their organization spot-on?) What constructive feedback could you share with them to improve or expand on their work? Share this with the presenter via their unique Duke Box file (see the bottom of <https://kelrenmor.github.io/STA101-002/project_files/project_st3_statement> for link).

[Confirm that you have shared your feedback by saying ‘Yes, I have shared my feedback’ here.]

1. **Further work:** Think about the presentation you just saw. What ideas do you have for future work on the problem(s) the presenter addressed?

[Insert your response here.]

Presenter 4 name: [Insert presenter 4 name here]

1. **Inference result:** What inferential test did the presenter perform, and what was their conclusion?

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Best model:** What variables ended up being included in the best model for this presenter? What were the directions of the relationships between response and explanatory variables in the model?

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Private critique:** Did you notice any mistakes in the presenter's phrasing of their hypotheses, results, or conclusions? Here's where you should be nitpicky and think critically (these comments will be seen just by me and *not* the presenter). After this class is over I want you to turn on this skeptical part of your brain as you read/view research or news.

[Insert your response here.]

1. **Shared positive and constructive feedback:** What did you think the presenter did particularly well? (E.g.: Was their problem really well thought out? Did they explain something particularly well? Was their organization spot-on?) What constructive feedback could you share with them to improve or expand on their work? Share this with the presenter via their unique Duke Box file (see the bottom of <https://kelrenmor.github.io/STA101-002/project_files/project_st3_statement> for link).

[Confirm that you have shared your feedback by saying ‘Yes, I have shared my feedback’ here.]

1. **Further work:** Think about the presentation you just saw. What ideas do you have for future work on the problem(s) the presenter addressed?

[Insert your response here.]