

## Invariant subspaces

Def. Let  $V$  be an F-v.s. Let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$  and let  $U$  be a subspace of  $V$ .

We say that  $U$  is invariant under  $\sigma$  if  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$ , i.e., if  $\sigma(x) \in U$  for all  $x \in U$ .

Rk. We do not necessarily have  $\sigma(x) = x$  for all  $x \in U$ , nor do we have  $\sigma(U) = U$ .

Def. Let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$  and let  $U \subseteq V$  be a  $\sigma$ -invariant subspace.

(i) We call

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma|_U : U &\longrightarrow U \\ x &\longmapsto \sigma(x)\end{aligned}$$

the endomorphism of  $U$  induced by  $\sigma$ .

(ii) Let  $\pi_U : V \rightarrow V/U$  denote the quotient map. Since  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$ , we have  $\pi_U(\sigma(U)) \subseteq \pi_U(U) = \{0_{V/U}\}$ . Therefore,  $U \subseteq \ker(\pi_U \circ \sigma)$ .

So by the universal property of the quotient  $V/U$ , there exists a unique linear map  $\bar{\sigma} : V/U \rightarrow V/U$  such that  $\bar{\sigma} \circ \pi_U = \pi_U \circ \sigma$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \sigma(x) \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi_U \\ V & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & V/U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ x+U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \sigma(x)+U \end{array}$$

We call  $\bar{\sigma}$  the endomorphism of  $V/U$  induced by  $\sigma$ .

Example For any  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ , the subspaces  $\{0\}$ ,  $V$ ,  $\ker(\sigma)$  and  $\text{im}(\sigma)$  of  $V$  are  $\sigma$ -invariant.

Example Let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$  and let  $U \subseteq V$  be a  $\sigma$ -invariant subspace.

Let  $(v_1, \dots, v_r)$  be an ordered basis for  $U$  and extend it to an ordered basis  $\beta = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  for  $V$  (here  $r = \dim U$  and  $n = \dim V$ ). Then

$${}_{\beta}[\sigma]_{\beta} = \begin{array}{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} r \\ \hline n-r \end{array} \right\} \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \overbrace{\hspace{2cm}}^r & \overbrace{\hspace{2cm}}^{n-r} \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} A \\ B \\ \hline O \\ D \end{array} \end{array}$$

Indeed, for  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ ,

$$\sigma(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} v_i = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} v_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^n a_{ij} v_i$$

for some  $a_{ij} \in F$ . Since  $v_j \in U$  and  $U$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant,

$$\sigma(v_j) \in U = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_r\},$$

i.e.,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $r+1 \leq i \leq n$ .

More precisely, say  $\alpha = (v_1, \dots, v_r)$  and  $\gamma = ([v_{r+1}], \dots, [v_n])$ , which are ordered bases for  $U$  and  $V/U$ , respectively. Then above one has

$$A = {}_{\alpha}[\sigma|_U]_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad D = {}_{\gamma}[\bar{\sigma}]_{\gamma}$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}: V/U \rightarrow V/U$  is the induced quotient operator. (exercise).

Example Let  $V$  be a f.d.v.s. over  $F$ , let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ , and suppose that

$$V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_k$$

for some  $\sigma$ -invariant subspaces  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  of  $V$ . Let  $m_i = \dim U_i$  and let  $\beta_i$  be an ordered basis for  $U_i$ . Then  $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  (the concatenation of  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$ ) is an ordered basis for  $V$  and

$${}_{\beta}[\sigma]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \overbrace{A_1}^{m_1} & & & \\ & \overbrace{A_2}^{m_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \overbrace{A_k}^{m_k} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a block diagonal matrix with blocks  $A_i = {}_{\beta_i}[\sigma|_{U_i}]_{\beta_i}$ , which are of size  $m_i \times m_i$ .

If each  $m_i = 1$ , then  ${}_{\beta}[\sigma]_{\beta}$  is a diagonal matrix.

## Eigenvectors, eigenvalues, eigenspaces

Def. Let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ . An element  $v \in V$  is an eigenvector if  $v \neq 0$  and  $\sigma(v) = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in F$ , called the eigenvalue of  $\sigma$  attached to  $v$ .

A scalar  $\mu \in F$  is an eigenvalue of  $\sigma$  if  $\mu$  is the eigenvalue attached to some eigenvector of  $\sigma$ .

Rk. A non-zero element  $v \in V$  is an eigenvector of  $\sigma$  if and only if  $\text{span}\{v\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ .

Lemma Let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$  and let  $\lambda \in F$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\sigma$  if and only if  $\sigma - \lambda \text{id}_V$  is not injective ( $\Leftrightarrow \sigma - \lambda \text{id}_V$  is not surjective in case  $V$  is finite-dimensional).

Proof.  $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue and let  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  be an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then  $\sigma(v) = \lambda v$ , so

$$(\sigma - \lambda \text{id}_V)(v) = \sigma(v) - \lambda \text{id}_V(v) = \sigma(v) - \lambda v = 0,$$

so  $0 \neq v \in \ker(\sigma - \lambda \text{id}_V)$ . Thus  $\sigma - \lambda \text{id}_V$  is not injective.

$(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose  $\sigma - \lambda \text{id}_V$  is not injective. Then there exists a non-zero element  $v \in \ker(\sigma - \lambda \text{id}_V)$ . This element satisfies

$$0 = (\sigma - \lambda \text{id}_V)(v) = \sigma(v) - \lambda v,$$

so  $\sigma(v) = \lambda v$ . Thus  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue. □

Prop Let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ . If  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$  are eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ , then  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is linearly independent (i.e.,  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  are distinct and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  is linearly independent).

Proof. The elements  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  are clearly distinct since they have distinct eigenvalues. Suppose, for contradiction, that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  is linearly dependent. Since  $v_i \neq 0$  by definition of eigenvector, there exists  $r \geq 2$  such that  $v_r \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$ . Let  $k \geq 2$  be the smallest such integer. Then  $v_k \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$  and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$  is linearly independent.

Since  $v_k \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ ,

$$v_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i v_i \quad \text{for some } \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1} \in F.$$

Applying  $\sigma - \lambda_k \text{id}_V$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sigma(v_k) - \lambda_k v_k = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i v_i\right) - \lambda_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i v_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i \sigma(v_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i \lambda_k v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i \lambda_i v_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i \lambda_k v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_k) v_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$  is linearly independent and  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_k$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ , it follows that  $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_{k-1} = 0$ . But then

$$v_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i v_i = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Corollary. If  $V$  is finite-dimensional, then any endomorphism  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$  has at most  $\dim(V)$  distinct eigenvalues.

Proof. This follows immediately from the previous proposition and the fact that a linearly independent set has at most  $\dim(V)$  elements. □

Let  $V$  be an  $F$ -v.s. and let  $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ . For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , we define  $\sigma^m = \underbrace{\sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{m \text{ times}}$ . We also define  $\sigma^0 = \text{id}_V$ .

If  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism, we can also define  $\sigma^m$  for integers  $m \leq -1$ :

$$\sigma^m = (\sigma^{-1})^{-m} = \underbrace{\sigma^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma^{-1}}_{-m \text{ times}}.$$

With these definitions

$$\sigma^m \cdot \sigma^n = \sigma^{m+n} \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad (\text{and for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ when } \sigma \text{ is an isomorphism})$$

If  $P(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in P_n(F)$  is a polynomial, we define

$$P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \sigma^i \in \text{End}(V).$$

Rk Let  $P_1(X), P_2(X)$  be polynomials on  $X$  with coefficients in  $F$ .

$$\text{If } P(X) = P_1(X) \cdot P_2(X) \quad (\text{product of polynomials})$$

$$\text{then } P(\sigma) = P_1(\sigma) \cdot P_2(\sigma) \quad (\text{product/composition of endomorphisms}).$$

$$\text{If } Q(X) = P_1(X) + P_2(X) \quad (\text{addition of polynomials})$$

$$\text{then } Q(\sigma) = P_1(\sigma) + P_2(\sigma) \quad (\text{addition of endomorphisms})$$

Example  $X^2 + 1 = (X-i)(X+i) \Rightarrow \sigma^2 + 1 = (\sigma-i)(\sigma+i)$