

## Solutions Homework 6

**Problem 1.** Let  $V$  be an  $F$ -vector space and let  $U$  and  $W$  be subspaces of  $V$ . Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}\tau : V &\longrightarrow (V/U) \times (V/W) \\ x &\longmapsto (x + U, x + W).\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Prove that  $\tau$  is a linear map and  $\ker \tau = U \cap W$ .
- (b) Prove that if  $\tau$  is surjective, then  $V = U + W$ .

*Hint for (b):* To say that  $\tau$  is surjective is to say that for every two elements  $x, y \in V$ , there exists an element  $z \in V$  such that  $z + U = x + U$  and  $z + W = y + W$ . Think of what this is saying when you take  $y = 0$ .

*Solution.*

- (a) First we show that  $\tau$  is linear. Let  $v, v' \in V$  and let  $\lambda, \lambda' \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\lambda v + \lambda' v') &= (\lambda v + \lambda' v' + U, \lambda v + \lambda' v' + W) \\ &= (\lambda v + U, \lambda v + W) + (\lambda' v' + U, \lambda' v' + W) \\ &= \lambda(v + U, v + W) + \lambda'(v' + U, v' + W) \\ &= \lambda\tau(v) + \lambda'\tau(v').\end{aligned}$$

Now we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\ker \tau &= \{x \in V \mid (x + U, x + W) = (0 + U, 0 + W)\} \\ &= \{x \in V \mid x \in U \text{ and } x \in W\} \\ &= U \cap W.\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Suppose that  $\tau$  is surjective. Let  $x \in V$  and consider the element  $(x + U, 0 + W) \in (V/U) \times (V/W)$ . Since  $\tau$  is surjective, there exists  $z \in V$  such that  $\tau(z) = (x + U, 0 + W)$ , or equivalently

$$(z + U, z + W) = (x + U, 0 + W).$$

Then  $z + U = x + U$  and  $z + W = 0 + W$ . From the equality  $z + U = x + U$  we deduce that  $x - z \in U$ , and from the equality  $z + W = 0 + W$  we deduce that  $z \in W$ . Therefore

$$x = (x - z) + z \in U + W.$$

**Problem 2.** Let  $V$  be an  $F$ -vector space. Let  $U$  be a subspace of  $V$  and suppose that  $V/U$  is finite-dimensional.

- (a) Prove that if  $W$  is a finite-dimensional subspace of  $V$  and  $V = U + W$ , then  $\dim(W) \geq \dim(V/U)$ .
- (b) Prove that there exists a finite-dimensional subspace  $W$  of  $V$  such that  $\dim(W) = \dim(V/U)$  and  $V = U \oplus W$ .

*Solution.*

- (a) Suppose that  $W$  is a finite-dimensional subspace of  $V$  such that  $V = U + W$ . Let  $n = \dim W$  and let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be a basis for  $W$ . We claim that  $\{[w_1]_U, \dots, [w_n]_U\}$  spans  $V/U$ , which in particular implies that  $V/U$  is a finite-dimensional vector space of dimension at most  $n$ . Let  $s \in V/U$ . Then

$s = [x]_U$  for some  $x \in V$ . Since  $V = U + W$ , we have that  $x = y + z$  for some  $y \in U$  and  $z \in W$ . Thus  $s = [y + z]_U = [z]_U$ . Since  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  is a basis for  $W$ , there exist  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$  such that

$$z = \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n w_n.$$

Hence

$$s = [z]_U = \lambda_1 [w_1]_U + \dots + \lambda_n [w_n]_U.$$

*Alternative proof.* Suppose that  $W$  is a finite-dimensional subspace of  $V$  such that  $V = U + W$ . Then, by the Second Isomorphism Theorem,

$$W/U \cap W \simeq (U + W)/U = V/U.$$

Therefore

$$\dim(V/U) = \dim(W/U \cap W) = \dim W - \dim(U \cap W) \leq \dim W.$$

- (b) Let  $n = \dim(V/U)$  and let  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  be an ordered basis for  $V/U$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we can write  $s = [x_i]_U$  for some  $x_i \in V$ . Let  $W = \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq V$ . We claim that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an ordered basis for  $W$ . Clearly  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  spans  $W$ , so we just need to show linear independence. Suppose that

$$\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n = 0$$

for some  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ . Then

$$[\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n]_U = [0]_U,$$

which we can rewrite as

$$\lambda_1 [x_1]_U + \dots + \lambda_n [x_n]_U = [0]_U$$

or

$$\lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_n s_n = 0.$$

Since  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is an ordered basis for  $V/U$ , and in particular linearly independent, it follows that  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ . Thus  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is an ordered basis for  $W$  and therefore  $\dim W = n = \dim(V/U)$ .

Now we need to prove that  $V = U \oplus W$ . This is equivalent to showing that  $V = U + W$  and  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ . To show that  $V = U + W$ , let  $v \in V$ . Since  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  spans  $V/U$ , there exist  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$  such that

$$[v]_U = \lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_n s_n = \lambda_1 [x_1]_U + \dots + \lambda_n [x_n]_U = [\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n]_U.$$

Define  $w = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n$  and note that  $w \in W$ . Since  $[v]_U = [w]_U$ , we have that  $v - w \in U$ , so

$$v = (v - w) + w \in U + W.$$

Now we prove that  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ . Let  $u \in U \cap W$ . Since  $u \in W$ , there exist  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in F$  such that

$$u = \mu_1 x_1 + \dots + \mu_n x_n.$$

On the other hand, since  $u \in U$ , we have that  $[u]_U = [0]_U$ . Therefore

$$[0]_U = [u]_U = [\mu_1 x_1 + \dots + \mu_n x_n]_U = \mu_1 [x_1]_U + \dots + \mu_n [x_n]_U = \mu_1 s_1 + \dots + \mu_n s_n.$$

Since  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is linearly independent, it follows that  $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_n = 0$ . Therefore

$$u = 0x_1 + \dots + 0x_n = 0.$$

**Problem 3.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be  $F$ -vector spaces. Let  $\tau : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map. Suppose that  $\text{im}(\tau)$  is finite-dimensional and let  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be an ordered basis for  $\text{im}(\tau)$ . Hence, for each  $v \in V$  there exist unique scalars  $\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_n(v) \in F$  such that

$$\tau(v) = \varphi_1(v)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(v)w_n,$$

thus defining functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  from  $V$  to  $F$ . Prove that the functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  are linear functionals on  $V$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\lambda, \mu \in F$  and let  $x, y \in V$ . Then, using the definition of the functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ , we have

$$\tau(\lambda x + \mu y) = \varphi_1(\lambda x + \mu y)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(\lambda x + \mu y)w_n.$$

On the other hand, using the linearity of  $\tau$  and then again the definition of  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\lambda x + \mu y) &= \lambda\tau(x) + \mu\tau(y) \\ &= \lambda(\varphi_1(x)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(x)w_n) + \mu(\varphi_1(y)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(y)w_n) \\ &= (\lambda\varphi_1(x) + \mu\varphi_1(y))w_1 + \dots + (\lambda\varphi_n(x) + \mu\varphi_n(y))w_n. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous two equations, we obtain

$$\varphi_1(\lambda x + \mu y)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(\lambda x + \mu y)w_n = (\lambda\varphi_1(x) + \mu\varphi_1(y))w_1 + \dots + (\lambda\varphi_n(x) + \mu\varphi_n(y))w_n.$$

Since  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  is an ordered basis for  $\text{im}(\tau)$ , it follows that

$$\varphi_i(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda\varphi_i(x) + \mu\varphi_i(y)$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  are linear functionals on  $V$ .