

Annihilators

Def. Let S be a subset of an F -vector space V . The annihilator of S is the set

$$S^\perp := \{ \theta \in V^* \mid \langle \theta, x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in S \}.$$

It is easy to see that S^\perp is a subspace of V^* . Indeed, $0_{V^*} \in S^\perp$ (since $\langle 0_{V^*}, x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in V$) and for any $\theta, \phi \in S^\perp$, scalars $\lambda, \mu \in F$ and $x \in S$, we have

$$\langle \lambda\theta + \mu\phi, x \rangle = \lambda \langle \theta, x \rangle + \mu \langle \phi, x \rangle = \lambda \cdot 0 + \mu \cdot 0 = 0$$

Prop. Let V be a f.d.v.s over F . Let $W \subseteq V$ be a subspace. Then

$$\dim(W) + \dim(W^\perp) = \dim(V).$$

Proof. Let $r = \dim(W)$ and $n = \dim(V)$. Let (e_1, \dots, e_r) be an ordered basis for W .

Extend it to an ordered basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) for V . Let $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ be the dual basis associated with (e_1, \dots, e_n) . For each $i = r+1, \dots, n$,

$$\langle \epsilon_i, e_j \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \epsilon_i, x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in W. \text{ Thus } \epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n \in W^\perp.$$

We will prove that $(\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ is a basis for W^\perp . Since $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ is a basis for V^* , in particular it is linearly independent and therefore $(\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ is also linearly independent. We need to show $\text{span}\{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n\} = W^\perp$.

Let $\phi \in W^\perp$. Since $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ is an ordered basis for V^* , $\phi = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \epsilon_n$ for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$. Also, since $e_1, \dots, e_r \in W$ and $\phi \in W^\perp$, we know that $\langle \phi, e_j \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Therefore, for $1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$0 = \langle \phi, e_j \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \epsilon_i, e_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle \epsilon_i, e_j \rangle = \lambda_j.$$

Hence $\phi = \lambda_{r+1} \epsilon_{r+1} + \dots + \lambda_n \epsilon_n \in \text{span}\{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$.

Since we have seen that $(\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ is an ordered basis for W^\perp , we have $\dim(W^\perp) = n - r$. Therefore

$$\dim(W) + \dim(W^\perp) = r + (n - r) = n = \dim(V). \quad \square$$

Corollary: Let V be a f.d.v.s. over F . Let $S \subseteq V$ be a subset of V .

Then $S^\perp = \text{span}(S)^\perp$. In particular, $\dim(S^\perp) = \dim(V) - \dim(\text{span}(S))$.

Proof. Exercise.

Dual maps

Def. Let U, V be f.d.v.s. over F and let $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$. The dual of σ is the linear map $\sigma^* \in \mathcal{L}(V^*, U^*)$ defined by $\sigma^*(\theta) = \theta \circ \sigma$ for all $\theta \in V^*$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & F \\ \downarrow \sigma & \nearrow \theta & \downarrow \theta \circ \sigma \\ U & & \end{array}$$

Exercise: Prove that σ^* is indeed a linear map from V^* to U^* .

Prop. The dualizing map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: \mathcal{L}(U, V) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(V^*, U^*) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \sigma^* \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of F -vector spaces.

Proof. First we show that Ψ is linear. Let $\lambda, \mu \in F$ and let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$.

We want to check that the elements

$$\Psi(\lambda\sigma + \mu\tau) = (\lambda\sigma + \mu\tau)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda\Psi(\sigma) + \mu\Psi(\tau) = \lambda\sigma^* + \mu\tau^*$$

of $\mathcal{L}(V^*, U^*)$ are equal.

Let $\theta \in V^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(\lambda\sigma + \mu\tau)(\theta) &= \theta \circ (\lambda\sigma + \mu\tau) && \text{(by def. of dual map)} \\
 &= \lambda(\theta \circ \sigma) + \mu(\theta \circ \tau) && \text{(by linearity of } \theta) \\
 &= \lambda \Psi(\sigma)(\theta) + \mu \Psi(\tau)(\theta) && \text{(by def. of dual map)} \\
 &= (\lambda \Psi(\sigma) + \mu \Psi(\tau))(\theta) && \text{(by def. of F-vector space} \\
 &&& \text{structure on } K(V^*, U^*) \text{)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $\theta \in V^*$, we conclude that

$$\Psi(\lambda\sigma + \mu\tau) = \lambda \Psi(\sigma) + \mu \Psi(\tau)$$

Next we show that Ψ is injective. Let $\sigma \in \ker \Psi$. Then $\sigma^* = \Psi(\sigma) = 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma^* = 0_{K(V^*, U^*)} &\iff \sigma^*(\theta) = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in V^* \\
 &\iff \theta \circ \sigma = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in V^* \\
 &\iff (\theta \circ \sigma)(u) = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in V^*, \forall u \in U. \\
 &\iff \theta(\sigma(u)) = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in V^*, \forall u \in U.
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\sigma(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in U$. Then, as we have seen, there exists $\varphi \in V^*$ such that $\varphi(\sigma(x)) \neq 0$, which contradicts the fact that $\theta(\sigma(u)) = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in V^*, \forall u \in U$.

So $\sigma(u) = 0$ for all $u \in U$, i.e., $\sigma = 0$. Thus $\ker \Psi = \{0\}$ and Ψ is injective.

Surjectivity follows by noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathcal{L}(U, V) &= \dim(U) \cdot \dim(V) \\ &= \dim(U^*) \cdot \dim(V^*) \\ &= \dim \mathcal{L}(V^*, U^*).\end{aligned}$$

□

Prop. Let U, V, W be f.d.v.s. over \mathbb{F} . Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$, $\tau \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

Then $(\tau \circ \sigma)^* = \sigma^* \circ \tau^*$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & V & \xrightarrow{\tau} & W \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ & & \tau \circ \sigma & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U^* & \xleftarrow{\sigma^*} & V^* & \xleftarrow{\tau^*} & W^* \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ & & \sigma^* \circ \tau^* & & \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\theta \in W^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma^* \circ \tau^*)(\theta) &= \sigma^*(\tau^*(\theta)) \\ &= \sigma^*(\theta \circ \tau) \\ &= (\theta \circ \tau) \circ \sigma \\ &= \theta \circ (\tau \circ \sigma) \\ &= (\tau \circ \sigma)^*(\theta)\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $\theta \in W^*$, we conclude $\sigma^* \circ \tau^* = (\tau \circ \sigma)^*$.

□

Prop. Let U, V be f.d.s. over \mathbb{F} . Let $\sigma \in L(U, V)$. Then

$$(a) \quad \ker(\sigma^*) = \text{im}(\sigma)^\perp$$

$$(b) \quad \text{rank}(\sigma) = \text{rank}(\sigma^*)$$

Proof.

(a) Let $\theta \in V^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \in \ker(\sigma^*) &\Leftrightarrow \sigma^*(\theta) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \theta \circ \sigma = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\theta \circ \sigma)(u) = 0 \quad \forall u \in U \\ &\Leftrightarrow \theta(\sigma(u)) = 0 \quad \forall u \in U \\ &\Leftrightarrow \theta(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in \text{im}(\sigma) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \theta \in \text{im}(\sigma)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\ker(\sigma^*) = \text{im}(\sigma)^\perp$.

(b) By part (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nullity}(\sigma^*) &= \dim(\text{im}(\sigma)^\perp) \\ &= \dim V - \dim(\text{im}(\sigma)) \\ &= \dim V - \text{rank}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

By the Rank-Nullity theorem applied to σ^* ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nullity}(\sigma^*) &= \dim V^* - \text{rank}(\sigma^*) \\ &= \dim V - \text{rank}(\sigma^*). \end{aligned}$$

Combining both equalities,

$$\dim V - \text{rank}(\sigma) = \text{nullity}(\sigma^*) = \dim V - \text{rank}(\sigma^*),$$

$$\text{so } \text{rank}(\sigma) = \text{rank}(\sigma^*).$$

□

Matrix representation of dual maps

Def. Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(F)$. The transpose of A is the matrix $A^T \in M_{n \times m}(F)$ with (j,i) -th entry equal to the (i,j) -th entry of A for $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$.

Prop. Let U, V be f.d.v.s. over F . Let $\alpha = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, $\beta = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be ordered bases for U, V respectively. (where $n = \dim U$, $m = \dim V$). Let α^*, β^* be the ordered bases for U^*, V^* dual to α, β respectively. Then for any $\sigma \in L(U, V)$

$$\alpha^{[\sigma^*]} \beta^* = (\beta^{[\sigma]} \alpha)^T.$$

Proof. Say $\alpha^* = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, $\beta^* = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m)$.

$$\text{Let } A = (a_{ij}) = {}_{\beta^*}[\sigma]_{\alpha^*} \in F^{m \times n}$$

$$B = (b_{ji}) = {}_{\alpha^*}[\sigma^*]_{\beta^*} \in F^{n \times m}.$$

This means that

$$\sigma(e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{kj} f_k \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\sigma^*(\phi_i) = \sum_{l=1}^n b_{li} e_l \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Then, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\langle \phi_i, \sigma(e_j) \rangle = \langle \phi_i, \sum_{k=1}^m a_{kj} f_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{kj} \underbrace{\langle \phi_i, f_k \rangle}_{\delta_{ik}} = a_{ij}$$

$$\langle \sigma^*(\phi_i), e_j \rangle = \langle \sum_{l=1}^n b_{li} e_l, e_j \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^n b_{li} \underbrace{\langle e_l, e_j \rangle}_{\delta_{lj}} = b_{ji}.$$

Also, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_i, \sigma(e_j) \rangle &= \phi_i(\sigma(e_j)) = (\phi_i \circ \sigma)(e_j) \\ &= \sigma^*(\phi_i)(e_j) = \langle \sigma^*(\phi_i), e_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether,

$$a_{ij} = \langle \phi_i, \sigma(e_j) \rangle = \langle \sigma^*(\phi_i), e_j \rangle = b_{ji} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\text{so } B = A^T.$$

□