

## Linear maps

Def. Let  $U, V$  be  $F$ -vector spaces. A map

$$T: U \rightarrow V$$

is a linear map or an  $F$ -vector space homomorphism if it respects addition and scalar multiplication, i.e., if

$$(i) \quad T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y) \quad \forall x, y \in U, \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad T(\lambda x) = \lambda T(x) \quad \forall \lambda \in F, \forall x \in U.$$

Rk  $T$  is linear if and only if

$$T(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda T(x) + \mu T(y) \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in F; \forall x, y \in U.$$

(Exercise.)

### Examples

1) For any F-V.s.  $V$ , the identity map

$$I_V: V \rightarrow V$$
$$x \mapsto x$$

and the zero map

$$O_V: V \rightarrow V$$
$$x \mapsto 0$$

are linear.

2) For any  $n \geq 1$ , the map

$$F^n \rightarrow F$$
$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_n$$

is linear.

3) If  $V$  is the space of functions  $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$ev_{1/2}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$f \mapsto f(1/2)$$

is a linear map.

4) For any F.v.s.  $V$  and for any  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ ,

$$F^n \rightarrow V$$
$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mapsto \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$$

is a linear map.

Prop. Let  $U, V$  be  $F$ -vector spaces. Let  $I: U \rightarrow V$  be a linear map.

(i) If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ ,  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ , then

$$I(\lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_n u_n) = \lambda_1 I(u_1) + \dots + \lambda_n I(u_n)$$

(ii)  $I(0_U) = 0_V$ .

Proof.

(i) Use the definition of linear map and induction on  $n$ .

(ii) We have

$$I(0_U) = I(0_U + 0_U) = I(0_U) + I(0_U).$$

Therefore  $I(0_U) = 0_V$ .

Def. Let  $I: U \rightarrow V$  be a linear map.

(i) The kernel (or null space) of  $I$  is

$$\ker I := I^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{x \in U \mid I(x) = 0\}$$

(ii) The image (or range) of  $I$  is

$$\text{im } I := I(U) = \{I(x) \mid x \in U\}.$$

Prop. Let  $I: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  be an  $F$ -linear map.

- (i) If  $U_1$  is a subspace of  $V_1$ , then  $I(U_1)$  is a subspace of  $V_2$ .
- (ii) If  $U_2$  is a subspace of  $V_2$ , then  $I^{-1}(U_2)$  is a subspace of  $V_1$ .

Proof.

(i) Let  $U_1$  be a subspace of  $V_1$ . By definition,  $I(U_1) = \{I(x) \mid x \in U_1\}$ . Since  $U_1$  is a subspace of  $V_1$ ,  $0_{V_1} \in U_1$  and therefore  $0_{V_2} = I(0_{V_1}) \in I(U_1)$ .

Let  $\lambda, \mu \in F$  and let  $v, w \in I(U_1)$ . Then  $v = I(x)$ ,  $w = I(y)$  for some  $x, y \in U_1$ . Then

$$\lambda v + \mu w = \lambda I(x) + \mu I(y) = I(\lambda x + \mu y) \in I(U_1)$$

By the subspace criterion, we conclude that  $I(U_1)$  is a subspace of  $V_2$ .

(ii) Let  $U_2$  be a subspace of  $V_2$ . By definition,  $I^{-1}(U_2) = \{x \in V_1 \mid I(x) \in U_2\}$ .

Since  $I(0_{V_1}) = 0_{V_2} \in U_2$ , we have that  $0_{V_1} \in I^{-1}(U_2)$ .

Let  $\lambda, \mu \in F$  and let  $x, y \in I^{-1}(U_2)$ . Then  $I(x), I(y) \in U_2$ .

Therefore

$$I(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda I(x) + \mu I(y) \in U_2,$$

$$\therefore \lambda x + \mu y \in I^{-1}(U_2).$$

By the subspace criterion, we conclude that  $I^{-1}(U_2)$  is a subspace of  $V_1$ .

□

Corollary. Let  $\mathcal{I}: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  be a linear map.

(i)  $\text{im } \mathcal{I}$  is a subspace of  $V_2$ .

(ii)  $\ker \mathcal{I}$  is a subspace of  $V_1$ .

Recall A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is

(i) injective if  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X, f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$ ,

(ii) surjective if  $\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X$  s.t.  $f(x) = y$ ,

(iii) bijective if  $f$  is both injective and surjective.

Prop. Let  $\mathcal{I}: U \rightarrow V$  be a linear map. Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is injective if and only if

$$\ker \mathcal{I} = \{0\}.$$

Proof.

$(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  is injective. Let  $x \in \ker \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}(x) = 0 = \mathcal{I}(0)$ .

By injectivity  $x = 0$ . Therefore  $\ker \mathcal{I} = \{0\}$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose that  $\ker \mathcal{I} = \{0\}$ . Let  $x, y \in U$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{I}(x) = \mathcal{I}(y)$ .

Then

$$\mathcal{I}(x-y) = \mathcal{I}(x) - \mathcal{I}(y) = 0.$$

Therefore  $x-y \in \ker \mathcal{I} = \{0\}$ , so  $x-y = 0$  and  $x = y$ . □

Lemma Let  $\mathcal{I}: U \rightarrow V$  be an  $F$ -linear map. Let  $S \subseteq U$  be a spanning set of  $U$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  is a spanning set of  $\text{im } \mathcal{I}$ .

Proof. Let  $y \in \text{im } \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $y = \mathcal{I}(x)$  for some  $x \in U$ . Since  $\text{span } S = U$ ,  $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in S$  s.t.  $x = \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_n u_n$ . Then

$$y = \mathcal{I}(x) = \lambda_1 \mathcal{I}(u_1) + \dots + \lambda_n \mathcal{I}(u_n) \in \text{span}(\mathcal{I}(S)). \quad \square$$

Theorem (Rank-Nullity theorem). Let  $U$  be a f.d. v.s. over  $F$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}: U \rightarrow V$  be a linear map. Then  $\ker \mathcal{I}$  and  $\text{im } \mathcal{I}$  are finite-dimensional and

$$\dim(\ker \mathcal{I}) + \dim(\text{im } \mathcal{I}) = \dim U.$$

Proof. Since  $\ker(\mathcal{I})$  is a subspace of  $U$  and  $U$  is finite-dimensional, it follows that  $\ker \mathcal{I}$  is finite-dimensional of dimension at most  $\dim U$ .

Let  $k = \dim(\ker \mathcal{I})$ ,  $m = \dim U$ .

Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  be a basis of  $\ker \mathcal{I}$  and extend it to a basis  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_m\}$  of  $U$ .

Claim:  $\{\mathcal{I}(y_{k+1}), \dots, \mathcal{I}(y_m)\}$  is a basis for  $\text{im } \mathcal{I}$  (of cardinality  $m-k$ )

By the previous lemma,  $\mathcal{I}(S)$  is a spanning set for  $\text{im } \mathcal{I}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{I}(x_1) = \dots = \mathcal{I}(x_k) = 0$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}(S) = \{0, \mathcal{I}(y_{k+1}), \dots, \mathcal{I}(y_m)\}.$$

Therefore  $\{I(y_{k+1}), \dots, I(y_m)\}$  spans  $\text{im } I$ .

Now suppose that

$$\mu_{k+1} I(y_{k+1}) + \dots + \mu_m I(y_m) = 0 \quad \text{for some } \mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_m \in F.$$

Then

$$I(\mu_{k+1} y_{k+1} + \dots + \mu_m y_m) = 0,$$

so

$$\mu_{k+1} y_{k+1} + \dots + \mu_m y_m \in \ker I.$$

Then

$$\mu_{k+1} y_{k+1} + \dots + \mu_m y_m = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_k x_k \quad \text{for some } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in F.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^m (-\mu_i) y_i = 0.$$

Since  $S$  is linearly independent, we must have  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = \mu_{k+1} = \dots = \mu_m = 0$ .

Therefore  $\{I(y_{k+1}), \dots, I(y_m)\}$  is linearly independent (and contains  $m-k$  distinct elements).

Hence  $\{I(y_{k+1}), \dots, I(y_m)\}$  is a basis of  $\text{im } I$  (of cardinality  $m-k$ ).

Finally, we have

$$\dim(\ker I) + \dim(\text{im } I) = k + (m-k) = m = \dim U. \quad \square$$

Rk  $\dim(\ker I)$  is called the nullity of  $I$

$\dim(\text{im } I)$  is called the rank of  $I$

Corollary Let  $U, V$  be f.d.v.s. and let  $T: U \rightarrow V$  be a linear map.

- (i) If  $\dim U > \dim V$ , then  $T$  is not injective.
- (ii) If  $\dim U < \dim V$ , then  $T$  is not surjective.

Proof.

- (i) By Rank-Nullity Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(\ker(T)) &= \dim U - \dim(\text{im}(T)) \\ &\geq \dim U - \dim V \\ &> 0\end{aligned}$$

So  $\ker T \neq \{0\}$  and therefore  $T$  is not injective.

- (ii) By Rank-Nullity Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \text{im}(T) &= \dim U - \dim(\ker T) \\ &\leq \dim U \\ &< \dim V\end{aligned}$$

So  $\text{im}(T)$  is a proper subspace of  $V$ . □