

Invariant subspaces

Def. Let V be an F -v.s. Let $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ and let U be a subspace of V .

We say that U is invariant under σ if $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$, i.e., if $\sigma(x) \in U$ for all $x \in U$.

Rk. We do not necessarily have $\sigma(x) = x$ for all $x \in U$, nor do we have $\sigma(U) = U$.

Def. Let $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ and let $U \subseteq V$ be a σ -invariant subspace.

(i) We call

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma|_U : U &\longrightarrow U \\ x &\longmapsto \sigma(x)\end{aligned}$$

the endomorphism of U induced by σ .

(ii) Let $\pi_U : V \rightarrow V/U$ denote the quotient map. Since $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$, we have $\pi_U(\sigma(U)) \subseteq \pi(U) = \{0_{V/U}\}$. Therefore, $U \subseteq \ker(\pi_U \circ \sigma)$.

So by the universal property of the quotient V/U , there exists a unique linear map $\tilde{\sigma} : V/U \rightarrow V/U$ such that $\tilde{\sigma} \circ \pi_U = \pi_U \circ \sigma$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} x & \xrightarrow{\quad \sigma \quad} & \sigma(x) & & \\ \downarrow \pi_U & \searrow \sigma & \downarrow \pi_U & \swarrow \tilde{\sigma} & \\ V & \xrightarrow{\quad \sigma \quad} & V & & \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi_U & & \\ V/U & \xrightarrow{\quad \tilde{\sigma} \quad} & V/U & & \\ x+U & \longmapsto & \sigma(x)+U & & \end{array}$$

We call $\tilde{\sigma}$ the endomorphism of V/U induced by σ .

Example For any $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$, the subspaces $\{0\}$, V , $\ker(\sigma)$ and $\text{im}(\sigma)$ of V are σ -invariant.

Example Let $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ and let $U \subseteq V$ be a σ -invariant subspace.

Let (v_1, \dots, v_r) be an ordered basis for U and extend it to an ordered basis $\beta = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ for V (here $r = \dim U$ and $n = \dim V$). Then

$$\beta^{[\sigma]}_{\beta} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \underbrace{\quad}_{r} & \underbrace{\quad}_{n-r} \\ A & B \\ \hline O & D \end{array} \right]$$

Indeed, for $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$,

$$\sigma(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} v_i = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} v_i + \sum_{j=r+1}^n a_{ij} v_i$$

for some $a_{ij} \in F$. Since $v_j \in U$ and U is σ -invariant,

$$\sigma(v_j) \in U = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_r\},$$

i.e., $a_{ij} = 0$ for $r+1 \leq i \leq n$.

More precisely, say $\alpha = (v_1, \dots, v_r)$ and $\gamma = ([v_{r+1}], \dots, [v_n])$, which are ordered bases for U and V/U , respectively. Then above one has

$$A = [\sigma|_U]_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad D = g[\bar{\sigma}]_{\gamma}$$

where $\bar{\sigma}: V/U \rightarrow V/U$ is the induced quotient operator. (exercise).

Example Let V be a f.d.v.s. over F , let $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$, and suppose that

$$V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$$

for some σ -invariant subspaces V_1, \dots, V_k of V . Let $m_i = \dim V_i$ and let β_i be an ordered basis for V_i . Then $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ (the concatenation of β_1, \dots, β_k) is an ordered basis for V and

$$\beta^{[\sigma]}_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & A_2 & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & A_k \end{bmatrix}$$

The diagram shows a block diagonal matrix with blocks A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k on the diagonal. Above A_1 is a brace labeled m_1 . Between A_1 and A_2 is a brace labeled m_1, m_2 . Between A_2 and A_k is a brace labeled m_2, \dots, m_{k-1} . Above A_k is a brace labeled m_k .

is a block diagonal matrix with blocks $A_i = [\sigma|_{V_i}]_{\beta_i}$, which are of size $m_i \times m_i$.

If each $m_i = 1$, then $\beta^{[\sigma]}_{\beta}$ is a diagonal matrix.

Eigenvectors, eigenvalues, eigenspaces

Def. Let $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$. An element $v \in V$ is an eigenvector if $v \neq 0$ and $\sigma(v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in F$, called the eigenvalue of σ attached to v .

A scalar $\mu \in F$ is an eigenvalue of σ if μ is the eigenvalue attached to some eigenvector of σ .

Rk. A non-zero element $v \in V$ is an eigenvector of σ if and only if $\text{span}\{v\}$ is a σ -invariant subspace of V .

Lemma Let $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$ and let $\lambda \in F$. Then λ is an eigenvalue of σ if and only if $\sigma - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V$ is not injective ($\Leftrightarrow \sigma - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V$ is not surjective in case V is finite-dimensional).

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose λ is an eigenvalue and let $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ be an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ . Then $\sigma(v) = \lambda v$, so

$$(\sigma - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V)(v) = \sigma(v) - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V(v) = \sigma(v) - \lambda v = 0,$$

so $0 \neq v \in \ker(\sigma - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V)$. Thus $\sigma - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V$ is not injective.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\sigma - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V$ is not injective. Then there exists a non-zero element $v \in \ker(\sigma - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V)$. This element satisfies

$$0 = (\sigma - \lambda \mathbb{1}_V)(v) = \sigma(v) - \lambda v,$$

so $\sigma(v) = \lambda v$. Thus λ is an eigenvalue. □

Prop Let $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$. If $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$ are eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$, then (v_1, \dots, v_m) is linearly independent (i.e., v_1, \dots, v_m are distinct and $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is linearly independent).

Proof. The elements v_1, \dots, v_m are clearly distinct since they have distinct eigenvalues. Suppose, for contradiction, that $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is linearly dependent. Since $v_i \neq 0$ by definition of eigenvector, there exists $r \geq 2$ such that $v_r \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$. Let $k \geq 2$ be the smallest such integer. Then $v_k \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ is linearly independent.

Since $v_k \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$,

$$v_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i v_i \quad \text{for some } \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{k-1} \in F.$$

Applying $\sigma - \lambda_k \text{Id}_V$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sigma(v_k) - \lambda_k v_k = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i v_i\right) - \lambda_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i v_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i \sigma(v_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i \lambda_k v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i \lambda_i v_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i \lambda_k v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_k) v_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is linearly independent and $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_k$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, it follows that $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_{k-1} = 0$. But then

$$v_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i v_i = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary. If V is finite-dimensional, then any endomorphism $\tau \in \text{End}(V)$ has at most $\dim(V)$ distinct eigenvalues.

Proof. This follows immediately from the previous proposition and the fact that a linearly independent set has at most $\dim(V)$ elements. \square

Let V be an F -v.s. and let $\sigma \in \text{End}(V)$. For any integer $m \geq 1$, we define $\sigma^m = \underbrace{\sigma \circ \dots \circ \sigma}_{m \text{ times}}$. We also define $\sigma^0 = \text{Id}_V$.

If σ is an isomorphism, we can also define σ^m for integers $m \leq -1$:

$$\sigma^m = (\sigma^{-1})^m = \underbrace{\sigma^{-1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma^{-1}}_{-m \text{ times}}$$

With these definitions

$$\sigma^m \cdot \sigma^n = \sigma^{m+n} \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad (\text{and for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ when } \sigma \text{ is an isomorphism})$$

If $P(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in P_n(F)$ is a polynomial, we define

$$P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \sigma^i \in \text{End}(V).$$

Rk Let $P_1(X), P_2(X)$ be polynomials on X with coefficients in F .

If $P(X) = P_1(X) \cdot P_2(X)$ (product of polynomials)

then $P(\sigma) = P_1(\sigma) \cdot P_2(\sigma)$ (product/composition of endomorphisms).

If $Q(X) = P_1(X) + P_2(X)$ (addition of polynomials)

then $Q(\sigma) = P_1(\sigma) + P_2(\sigma)$ (addition of endomorphisms)

Example $X^2 + 1 = (X-i)(X+i) \Rightarrow \sigma^2 + 1 = (\sigma-i)(\sigma+i)$