

Solutions Homework 6

Problem 1. Let V be an F -vector space and let U and W be subspaces of V . Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}\tau : V &\longrightarrow (V/U) \times (V/W) \\ x &\longmapsto (x+U, x+W).\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Prove that τ is a linear map and $\ker \tau = U \cap W$.
- (b) Prove that if τ is surjective, then $V = U + W$.

Hint for (b): To say that τ is surjective is to say that for every two elements $x, y \in V$, there exists an element $z \in V$ such that $z+U = x+U$ and $z+W = y+W$. Think of what this is saying when you take $y = 0$.

Solution.

- (a) First we show that τ is linear. Let $v, v' \in V$ and let $\lambda, \lambda' \in F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\lambda v + \lambda' v') &= (\lambda v + \lambda' v' + U, \lambda v + \lambda' v' + W) \\ &= (\lambda v + U, \lambda v + W) + (\lambda' v' + U, \lambda' v' + W) \\ &= \lambda(v+U, v+W) + \lambda'(v'+U, v'+W) \\ &= \lambda\tau(v) + \lambda'\tau(v').\end{aligned}$$

Now we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\ker \tau &= \{x \in V \mid (x+U, x+W) = (0+U, 0+W)\} \\ &= \{x \in V \mid x \in U \text{ and } x \in W\} \\ &= U \cap W.\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Suppose that τ is surjective. Let $x \in V$ and consider the element $(x+U, 0+W) \in (V/U) \times (V/W)$. Since τ is surjective, there exists $z \in V$ such that $\tau(z) = (x+U, 0+W)$, or equivalently

$$(z+U, z+W) = (x+U, 0+W).$$

Then $z+U = x+U$ and $z+W = 0+W$. From the equality $z+U = x+U$ we deduce that $x-z \in U$, and from the equality $z+W = 0+W$ we deduce that $z \in W$. Therefore

$$x = (x-z) + z \in U + W.$$

Problem 2. Let V be an F -vector space. Let U be a subspace of V and suppose that V/U is finite-dimensional.

- (a) Prove that if W is a finite-dimensional subspace of V and $V = U + W$, then $\dim(W) \geq \dim(V/U)$.
- (b) Prove that there exists a finite-dimensional subspace W of V such that $\dim(W) = \dim(V/U)$ and $V = U \oplus W$.

Solution.

- (a) Suppose that W is a finite-dimensional subspace of V such that $V = U + W$. Let $n = \dim W$ and let $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be a basis for W . We claim that $\{[w_1]_U, \dots, [w_n]_U\}$ spans V/U , which in particular implies that V/U is a finite-dimensional vector space of dimension at most n . Let $s \in V/U$. Then

$s = [x]_U$ for some $x \in V$. Since $V = U + W$, we have that $x = y + z$ for some $y \in U$ and $z \in W$. Thus $s = [y + z]_U = [z]_U$. Since $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ is a basis for W , there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ such that

$$z = \lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_n w_n.$$

Hence

$$s = [z]_U = \lambda_1 [w_1]_U + \dots + \lambda_n [w_n]_U.$$

Alternative proof. Suppose that W is a finite-dimensional subspace of V such that $V = U + W$. Then, by the Second Isomorphism Theorem,

$$W/U \cap W \simeq (U + W)/U = V/U.$$

Therefore

$$\dim(V/U) = \dim(W/U \cap W) = \dim W - \dim(U \cap W) \leq \dim W.$$

- (b) Let $n = \dim(V/U)$ and let (s_1, \dots, s_n) be an ordered basis for V/U . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we can write $s = [x_i]_U$ for some $x_i \in V$. Let $W = \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq V$. We claim that (x_1, \dots, x_n) is an ordered basis for W . Clearly (x_1, \dots, x_n) spans W , so we just need to show linear independence. Suppose that

$$\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n = 0$$

for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$. Then

$$[\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n]_U = [0]_U,$$

which we can rewrite as

$$\lambda_1 [x_1]_U + \dots + \lambda_n [x_n]_U = [0]_U$$

or

$$\lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_n s_n = 0.$$

Since (s_1, \dots, s_n) is an ordered basis for V/U , and in particular linearly independent, it follows that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$. Thus (x_1, \dots, x_n) is an ordered basis for W and therefore $\dim W = n = \dim(V/U)$.

Now we need to prove that $V = U \oplus W$. This is equivalent to showing that $V = U + W$ and $U \cap W = \{0\}$. To show that $V = U + W$, let $v \in V$. Since (s_1, \dots, s_n) spans V/U , there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ such that

$$[v]_U = \lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_n s_n = \lambda_1 [x_1]_U + \dots + \lambda_n [x_n]_U = [\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n]_U.$$

Define $w = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n$ and note that $w \in W$. Since $[v]_U = [w]_U$, we have that $v - w \in U$, so

$$v = (v - w) + w \in U + W.$$

Now we prove that $U \cap W = \{0\}$. Let $u \in U \cap W$. Since $u \in W$, there exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in F$ such that

$$u = \mu_1 x_1 + \dots + \mu_n x_n.$$

On the other hand, since $u \in U$, we have that $[u]_U = [0]_U$. Therefore

$$[0]_U = [u]_U = [\mu_1 x_1 + \dots + \mu_n x_n]_U = \mu_1 [x_1]_U + \dots + \mu_n [x_n]_U = \mu_1 s_1 + \dots + \mu_n s_n.$$

Since (s_1, \dots, s_n) is linearly independent, it follows that $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_n = 0$. Therefore

$$u = 0x_1 + \dots + 0x_n = 0.$$

Problem 3. Let V and W be F -vector spaces. Let $\tau : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Suppose that $\text{im}(\tau)$ is finite-dimensional and let (w_1, \dots, w_n) be an ordered basis for $\text{im}(\tau)$. Hence, for each $v \in V$ there exist unique scalars $\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_n(v) \in F$ such that

$$\tau(v) = \varphi_1(v)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(v)w_n,$$

thus defining functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ from V to F . Prove that the functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are linear functionals on V .

Solution. Let $\lambda, \mu \in F$ and let $x, y \in V$. Then, using the definition of the functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$, we have

$$\tau(\lambda x + \mu y) = \varphi_1(\lambda x + \mu y)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(\lambda x + \mu y)w_n.$$

On the other hand, using the linearity of τ and then again the definition of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\lambda x + \mu y) &= \lambda\tau(x) + \mu\tau(y) \\ &= \lambda(\varphi_1(x)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(x)w_n) + \mu(\varphi_1(y)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(y)w_n) \\ &= (\lambda\varphi_1(x) + \mu\varphi_n(y))w_1 + \dots + (\lambda\varphi_n(x) + \mu\varphi_n(y))w_n. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous two equations, we obtain

$$\varphi_1(\lambda x + \mu y)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_n(\lambda x + \mu y)w_n = (\lambda\varphi_1(x) + \mu\varphi_1(y))w_1 + \dots + (\lambda\varphi_n(x) + \mu\varphi_n(y))w_n.$$

Since (w_1, \dots, w_n) is an ordered basis for $\text{im}(\tau)$, it follows that

$$\varphi_i(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda\varphi_i(x) + \mu\varphi_i(y)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are linear functionals on V .