

Prop Let V be an F -vector space. Let $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a finite subset of V . Then S is a basis of V if and only if every element of V can be written uniquely in the form $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$.

Proof

(\Rightarrow) Suppose S is a basis of V . Let $x \in V$. Since $\text{span } S = V$,

$$x = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n \quad \text{for some } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F.$$

To show uniqueness, suppose that

$$x = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = \lambda'_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda'_n v_n \quad \text{with } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n \in F.$$

Then

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda'_1) v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_n - \lambda'_n) v_n = 0.$$

Since S is linearly independent, it follows that $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that every element of V can be written uniquely in the form $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$. Then clearly $\text{span } S = V$.

Now suppose $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = 0$ for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$. Then

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n = 0 = 0 \cdot v_1 + \dots + 0 \cdot v_n.$$

By uniqueness, $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$, so S is linearly independent. \square

Rk. If $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V and we set

$$U_i = \{\lambda v_i \mid \lambda \in F\} = \text{span}\{v_i\},$$

then

$$V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n.$$

Lemma Let V be an F -vector space, let S be a finite subset of V and let $y \in V$. If $S \cup \{y\}$ spans V and $y \in \text{span } S$, then $\text{span } S = V$.

Proof. Say $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $y = \mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_n v_n$ for some $\mu_i \in F$.

Let $x \in V$. Since $S \cup \{y\}$ spans V , $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda \in F$ such that

$$x = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n + \lambda y.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n + \lambda (\mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_n v_n) \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda \mu_1) v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_n + \lambda \mu_n) v_n \in \text{span } S. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{span } S = V$. □

Prop. Let V be an F -vector space. Suppose that V is spanned by some finite set S . Then S has a subset which is a basis for V .

Proof. Choose a subset $S' = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of S (where v_1, \dots, v_n are distinct elements) with the least number of elements among the subsets of S spanning V .

If S' is not linearly independent, then $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ not all zero such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i = 0.$$

Suppose $\lambda_r \neq 0$. Then

$$v_r = - \sum_{i \neq r} \lambda_i^{-1} \lambda_i v_i \in \text{span}(S' \setminus \{v_r\}).$$

By the previous lemma, $S' \setminus \{v_r\}$ spans V , which contradicts the minimality of S' .

Hence, S' is linearly independent and therefore a basis for V . \square

Corollary A finite set S is a basis for V if and only if it is minimal with respect to the property of spanning V (i.e., S spans V and no proper subset of S spans V).

Proof. Exercise.

Prop. A finite set $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V if and only if it is maximal with respect to being linearly independent (i.e., S is linearly independent but no $S' \subseteq V$ with $S \subsetneq S'$ is linearly independent).

Proof

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that S is maximal w.r.t. being linearly independent.

It suffices to show that S spans V .

Clearly $S \subseteq \text{span}S$. Let $x \in V \setminus S$. Then $S \not\subseteq S \cup \{x\}$, so $S \cup \{x\}$ is not linearly independent. So $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda \in F$ not all zero such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i + \lambda x = 0.$$

If $\lambda = 0$, then since S is linearly independent it would follow that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$, contradicting the assumption that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda$ are not all zero.

So $\lambda \neq 0$ and therefore

$$x = -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda} v_i \in \text{span}(S).$$

Hence $\text{span } S = V$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that S is a basis. Let $x \in V \setminus S$. Since $\text{span } S = V$, we have that $x \in \text{span } S$, so $\{x\} \cup S$ is not linearly independent. \square

Theorem (Steinitz Replacement Theorem). Let V be an F -vector space.

Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ be a linearly independent subset of V (with x_1, \dots, x_r distinct) and let Y be a spanning subset of V with $|Y| = s$. Then $r \leq s$ and $\exists y_{r+1}, \dots, y_s \in Y$ such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_s\}$ spans V .

Proof. We proceed by induction on r .

If $r=0$ (so $X=\emptyset$) the statement is clearly true ($0 \leq s$ and we can take y_1, \dots, y_s to be the s distinct elements of Y).

Let $r > 0$ and suppose that the result is known for $r-1$. Since X is linearly independent, so is the subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}\}$. By induction hypothesis,

(a) $r-1 \leq s$

(b) $\exists y_r, \dots, y_s \in Y$ such that $\text{span } \{x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, y_r, \dots, y_s\} = V$.

So we may write

$$x_r = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \lambda_i x_i + \sum_{j=r}^s \mu_j y_j.$$

(Note: The first sum is zero if $r=1$; the second sum is zero if $r-1=s$.)

If the second sum is zero, then $x_r \in \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}\}$, which contradicts the assumption that X is linearly independent.

Hence

(a) $r-1 < s$, so $r \leq s$, and

(b) $\exists k \in \{r, \dots, s\}$ such that $\mu_k \neq 0$. Renumbering the y_j 's if necessary, we may assume that $\mu_r \neq 0$.

Thus

$$y_r = -\mu_r \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \lambda_i x_i + \sum_{j=r+1}^s \mu_j y_j - x_r \right),$$

i.e., y_r is a linear combination of $S := \{x_1, \dots, x_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_s\}$.

Since $\{x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, y_r, \dots, y_s\}$ spans V_j , so does $\{x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, x_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_s\} = S \cup \{y_r\}$.

Now, since $y_r \in \text{span } S$, it follows that $\text{span } S = V$.

□