CS70 Discrete Probability II

Kelvin Lee

kelvinlee@berkeley.edu

November 1, 2020

Overview

- Conditional Probability
- 2 Bayes' Rule
- 3 Law of Total Probability
- 4 Independence
- Union Bound

• Given that event B happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event A, which is P(A|B).

- Given that event B happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event A, which is P(A|B).
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω .

- Given that event B happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event A, which is P(A|B).
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω .
- Then we know that

- Given that event B happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event A, which is P(A|B).
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω .
- Then we know that

$$P(B) = \sum_{\omega \in B} P(\omega),$$

- Given that event B happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event A, which is P(A|B).
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω .
- Then we know that

$$P(B) = \sum_{\omega \in B} P(\omega),$$

which is less than 1 in general.

- Given that event B happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event A, which is P(A|B).
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω .
- Then we know that

$$P(B) = \sum_{\omega \in B} P(\omega),$$

which is less than 1 in general.

• To get the correct **normalization**, we scale the probability of each ω by $\frac{1}{P(B)}$ and so

- Given that event B happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event A, which is P(A|B).
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω .
- Then we know that

$$P(B) = \sum_{\omega \in B} P(\omega),$$

which is less than 1 in general.

• To get the correct **normalization**, we scale the probability of each ω by $\frac{1}{P(B)}$ and so

$$P(\omega|B) = \frac{P(\omega)}{P(B)}.$$



• Now we are ready to compute P(A|B):

• Now we are ready to compute P(A|B):

$$P(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} P(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{P(\omega)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

• Now we are ready to compute P(A|B):

$$P(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} P(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{P(\omega)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

• For events $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ in the same probability space such that P(B) > 0, the **conditional probability** of A given B is

• Now we are ready to compute P(A|B):

$$P(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} P(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{P(\omega)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

• For events $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ in the same probability space such that P(B) > 0, the **conditional probability** of A given B is

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

• Now we are ready to compute P(A|B):

$$P(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} P(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{P(\omega)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

• For events $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ in the same probability space such that P(B) > 0, the **conditional probability** of A given B is

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

Chain Rule.



• Now we are ready to compute P(A|B):

$$P(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} P(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{P(\omega)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

• For events $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ in the same probability space such that P(B) > 0, the **conditional probability** of A given B is

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

Chain Rule.

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A \mid B) \cdot P(B).$$



How do we compute $P(A \mid B)$ given $P(B \mid A)$?

How do we compute $P(A \mid B)$ given $P(B \mid A)$?

• Using the definition and chain rule, we have

How do we compute $P(A \mid B)$ given $P(B \mid A)$?

• Using the definition and chain rule, we have

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)},$$

How do we compute $P(A \mid B)$ given $P(B \mid A)$?

Using the definition and chain rule, we have

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)},$$

which is the Bayes' Rule.

Usually we are not given what P(B) is, so we need to figure out P(B) based on our current information.

Usually we are not given what P(B) is, so we need to figure out P(B) based on our current information.

Observe that

Usually we are not given what P(B) is, so we need to figure out P(B) based on our current information.

Observe that

$$P(B) = P(A \cap B) + P(\overline{A} \cap B),$$

Usually we are not given what P(B) is, so we need to figure out P(B) based on our current information.

Observe that

$$P(B) = P(A \cap B) + P(\overline{A} \cap B),$$

which is equivalent to

Usually we are not given what P(B) is, so we need to figure out P(B) based on our current information.

Observe that

$$P(B) = P(A \cap B) + P(\overline{A} \cap B),$$

which is equivalent to

$$P(B) = P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A) + P(B \mid \overline{A})P(\overline{A}).$$

Usually we are not given what P(B) is, so we need to figure out P(B) based on our current information.

Observe that

$$P(B) = P(A \cap B) + P(\overline{A} \cap B),$$

which is equivalent to

$$P(B) = P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A) + P(B \mid \overline{A})P(\overline{A}).$$

This is exactly the **Law of Total Probability**, which is a very important law in probability theory.

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$
$$= \frac{P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

$$= \frac{P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$$= \frac{P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B \mid A) \cdot P(A) + P(B \mid \overline{A}) \cdot P(\overline{A})}$$

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

$$= \frac{P(B|A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)}$$

$$= \frac{P(B|A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B|A) \cdot P(A) + P(B|\overline{A}) \cdot P(\overline{A})}$$

$$= \frac{P(B|A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B|A) \cdot P(A) + P(B|\overline{A}) \cdot (1 - P(A))}.$$

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a partition of an event as follows:

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a partition of an event as follows:

• (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a partition of an event as follows:

- (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if
 - $\bullet \ A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n,$

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a partition of an event as follows:

- (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if
 - $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$,
 - $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ (i.e., A_1, \dots, A_n are mutually exclusive).

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a partition of an event as follows:

- (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if
 - $\bullet \ A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n,$
 - $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ (i.e., A_1, \dots, A_n are mutually exclusive).

In simpler terms, each outcome in A belongs to exactly one of the events A_1, \ldots, A_n .

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a partition of an event as follows:

- (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if
 - $\bullet \ A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n,$
 - $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ (i.e., A_1, \dots, A_n are mutually exclusive).

In simpler terms, each outcome in A belongs to exactly one of the events A_1, \ldots, A_n .

• Now, let A_1, \ldots, A_n be a **partition** of the sample space Ω . Then, the **Law of Total Probability** for any event B is

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a partition of an event as follows:

- (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if
 - $A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$
 - $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ (i.e., A_1, \ldots, A_n are mutually exclusive).

In simpler terms, each outcome in A belongs to exactly one of the events A_1, \ldots, A_n .

• Now, let A_1, \ldots, A_n be a **partition** of the sample space Ω . Then, the **Law of Total Probability** for any event B is

$$P(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B \cap A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B \mid A_i) P(A_i).$$



Bayes' Rule

Bayes' Rule

Similarly, with the general version of **Law of Total Probability**, the general version of **Bayes' Rule**, assuming $P(B) \neq 0$, is given by

Bayes' Rule

Similarly, with the general version of Law of Total Probability, the general version of Bayes' Rule, assuming $P(B) \neq 0$, is given by

$$P(A_i \mid B) = \frac{P(B \mid A_i) P(A_i)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B \mid A_i) P(A_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} P(B \mid A_j) P(A_j)}.$$

Independence.

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$$
 or $P(A \mid B) = P(A)$.

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$$
 or $P(A \mid B) = P(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs.

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$$
 or $P(A \mid B) = P(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs.

Mutual Independence.

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$$
 or $P(A \mid B) = P(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs.

Mutual Independence.

Events A_1, \ldots, A_n are said to be **mutually independent** if for every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with size $|I| \ge 2$,

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$$
 or $P(A \mid B) = P(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs.

Mutual Independence.

Events A_1, \ldots, A_n are said to be **mutually independent** if for every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with size $|I| \ge 2$,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)=\prod_{i\in I}P(A_i).$$

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$$
 or $P(A \mid B) = P(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs.

Mutual Independence.

Events A_1, \ldots, A_n are said to be **mutually independent** if for every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with size $|I| \ge 2$,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)=\prod_{i\in I}P(A_i).$$

Pairwise independence does not imply mutual independence!



Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

• We use the **chain rule** again but here's the generalized version.

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

• We use the **chain rule** again but here's the generalized version.

Chain Rule.

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

• We use the **chain rule** again but here's the generalized version.

Chain Rule. For any events A_1, \ldots, A_n ,

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

We use the chain rule again but here's the generalized version.

Chain Rule. For any events A_1, \ldots, A_n ,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)=P\left(A_{1}\right)\cdot P\left(A_{2}\mid A_{1}\right)\cdot P\left(A_{3}\mid A_{1}\cap A_{2}\right)\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot P\left(A_{n}\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1}A_{i}\right.\right).$$

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

Otherwise, we use the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle:

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

• Otherwise, we use the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle: let A_1, \ldots, A_n be events in some probability space, where $n \geq 2$. Then, we have

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

• Otherwise, we use the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle: let A_1, \ldots, A_n be events in some probability space, where $n \ge 2$. Then, we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{S \subseteq \{1,...,n\}: |S| = k} P\left(\bigcap_{i \in S} A_{i}\right)$$

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are **mutually exclusive**, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

• Otherwise, we use the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle: let A_1, \ldots, A_n be events in some probability space, where $n \geq 2$. Then, we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{S \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}: |S|=k} P\left(\bigcap_{i \in S} A_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} P(A_i \cap A_j) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-1} P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_n).$$

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

• We have the Union Bound:

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

• We have the **Union Bound**: let $A_1, ..., A_n$ be events in some probability space. Then

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

• We have the **Union Bound**: let $A_1, ..., A_n$ be events in some probability space. Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

• We have the **Union Bound**: let $A_1, ..., A_n$ be events in some probability space. Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

Summing up all $P(A_i)$ only overestimate the probability of the union (equality holds when they are disjoint).

Problem Time!