Assignment 1 Marking Rubric

	Weight	HD+	HD	DI	CR	PA	NN
Approach	60%	Outstanding	The approach is an appropriate method to take to solve the problem. Approach taken goes beyond using the tools provided in class. Approach includes techniques evaluated, training and evaluation setup and justification of parameter settings.	The approach is an appropriate method to take to solve the problem. Approach taken is limited to mostly the techniques discussed in class. Approach includes techniques evaluated, training and evaluation setup and justification of parameter settings.	There are other approaches that are clearly more appropriate method to take to solve the problem. Approach taken is limited to the tools provided in class. Approach includes data collected and techniques used.	There are other approaches that are clearly more appropriate method to take to solve the problem. Approach taken is limited to the tools provided in class. Approach includes data collected and techniques used.	Not Completed
			Submission justifies and explains the approach well.	Submission justifies and explains the approach well.	Submission somewhat justifies and explains the approach, but there are unexplained choices.	Submission somewhat justifies and explains the approach, but there are unexplained choices.	
			Performance of ultimate judgement on test data is good, and is highly ranked within the course.	Performance of ultimate judgement on test data is good.	Performance of ultimate judgement on test data is sufficient.	Performance of ultimate judgement on test data is poor.	
Code Style and Readability	20%	Outstanding	Code is exceptionally styled and exceptionally organised well, following general good programming practices. It is well commented and easy to follow the logic.	Code is styled and organised reasonably, following general good programming practices. Commenting could be improved, but generally possible to follow logic after some work.	Code is styled and organised reasonably, following general good programming practices. Commenting is difficult to follow.	Code is styled and organised poorly, not following general good programming practices. Commenting is rare.	Not Completed
Report Presentation	20%	Outstanding	Report is easy to read and flows well. It is structured well, leading the reader to fully understand the rationale for the final approach taken. Approaches are described well, training and testing setup is described, and parameter settings are justified. Tables, figures and other visualisation are easy to read and to interpret.	Report is reasonably easy to read and flows relatively well. It is structured reasonably well, leading the reader to reasonably understand the rationale for the final approach taken. Approaches are described well, training and testing setup is described, and parameter settings are mostly justified. Tables, figures and other visualisation are easy to read and to interpret.	Report is difficult to follow in places and doesn't flows well. It is adequately structured, but reader may find it difficult to understand the rationale of selected approach. Approaches are described but not in much detail, training and testing setup may be described, and parameter settings are not really justified. Tables, figures and other visualisation are either too small or difficult to interpret.	Report is difficult to follow and doesn't flows well. It is barely structured and readers find it difficult to understand the rationale of the selected approach. Approaches are barely described, training and testing setup is likely to be missing, and parameter settings are not justified. Tables, figures and other visualisation are either too small or difficult to interpret.	Not Completed