

1.1. Prove or Disprove: For every pair of nodes a and b (not necessarily distinct), node a is an ancestor of node b if and only if $\text{lca}(a,b) = a$. Note that a node a is considered an ancestor of itself.

[\Rightarrow] If $\text{lca}(a,b) = a$, then a is the lowest common ancestor of a and b , then in particular, node a is an ancestor of node b .

[\Leftarrow] If node a is an ancestor of node b , then a is a candidate for the lowest common ancestor, and since $\text{lca}(a,b)$ is the actual lowest ancestor, it is either a or something “lower” than a , but anything lower than a is not an ancestor of a . Therefore, $\text{lca}(a,b) = a$.

1.2. Prove or Disprove: For every triple of nodes a, b , and c , which are not necessarily distinct, the set $\{\text{lca}(a,b), \text{lca}(b,c), \text{lca}(c,a)\}$ has cardinality at most 2.

True. Let the three LCA values be sorted by depth such that $\text{depth}(X) \leq \text{depth}(Y) \leq \text{depth}(Z)$ for $\{X, Y, Z\} = \{\text{lca}(a,b), \text{lca}(b,c), \text{lca}(c,a)\}$.

Observe that Y and Z are both ancestors of c since each pair is the LCA of a pair involving c , so Y is an ancestor of Z .

Since Z is an ancestor of a , Y is also an ancestor of a . Thus, Y is a common ancestor of a and b . Since X is the LCA of a and b , we have $\text{depth}(X) \leq \text{depth}(Y)$, but with $\text{depth}(X) = \text{depth}(Y)$, and both being ancestors of b at the same depth, they must be the same node $X = Y$.

Hence, the set $\{\text{lca}(a,b), \text{lca}(b,c), \text{lca}(c,a)\}$ contains at most two distinct nodes. This equality holds if $\text{lca}(a,b) \neq \text{lca}(c,a)$, in which case the cardinality is 1.

Problem 1. There are n attractions in a city and m routes that connect these attractions. Each route connects two different attractions, is bidirectional, and takes a certain number of minutes to go through. There is exactly one way to go from any attraction to any other attraction via routes, if you’re not allowed to reuse a route.

You want to plan a tour around this city, but you want to be as time-efficient as possible. Answer q questions of the following form:

“What is the shortest amount of time it will take to go from attraction A to attraction B ?

Note that the problem is *online*, you must answer each query without knowing the future queries.

2.1. Find an algorithm that solves Problem 1 where preprocessing takes $O(1)$ time, and each query takes $O(n)$ time.

We observe that we can model the problem as a graph, a tree with unique paths.

For each query(A,B), we run:

1. BFS/DFS from A until reaching B, tracking the unique path.
2. Summing the edge weights along this path using DP.

...

function query(A,B):

 visited = array of size n, initialized to false

 parent = array of size n, initialized to -1

 cost_to = array of size n, initialized to 0

 queue = [A]

 visited[A] = true

 while queue not empty:

 u = queue.pop()

 if u == B:

 return cost_to[B]

 for each neighbor v of u with weight w:

 if not visited[v]:

 visited[v] = true

 parent[v] = u

 cost_to[v] = cost_to[u] + w

 queue.push(v)

...

The time and space complexity is $O(n)$ because running DFS/BFS costs $O(n)$ and it takes $O(n)$ to initialize the required arrays.

2.1. Find an algorithm that solves Problem 1 where preprocessing takes $O(n^2)$ time, and each query takes $O(1)$ time.

For each node u :

- Run BFS/DFS from u to compute the shortest path distance to every other node.
- Use DP: $\text{dist}[u][v] = \text{dist}[u][\text{parent}] + w(u, \text{parent})$
- Store all distances in an $n \times n$ table.

```Pseudocode:

preprocess():

$\text{dist} = 2\text{D array of size } n \times n$

    for each node  $u$  in  $V$ :

        run BFS from  $u$ :

$\text{dist}[u][u] = 0$

            for each node  $v$  visited:

$\text{dist}[u][v] = \text{dist}[u][\text{parent}[v]] + \text{weight}(\text{parent}[v], v)$

    return  $\text{dist}$

query( $A, B, \text{dist}$ ):

    return  $\text{dist}[A][B]$

```

Preprocessing takes $n * O(n) = O(n^2)$, with table lookups being $O(1)$.

2.3. Find an algorithm that solves Problem 1 where preprocessing takes $O(n \log n)$ time, and each query takes $O(\log n)$ time.

We execute the following preprocessing:

1. Root the tree arbitrarily.
2. Compute depth and parent for each node via DFS: $O(n)$
3. Precompute binary lifting table $\text{up}[u][k]$: 2^k th ancestor of u : $O(n \log n)$
4. Compute distance from root: $\text{dist}[u] = \text{dist}[\text{parent}] + w(\text{parent}, u)$: $O(n)$

Query(A, B):

1. $L = \text{LCA}(A, B)$ using binary lifting: $O(\log n)$
2. Return $\text{dist}[A] + \text{dist}[B] - 2 * \text{dist}[L]$

```Pseudocode:

preprocess():

DFS(root): compute parent, depth, dist[root] = -  
for k = 1 to log2(n)  
for each node u:  
up[u][k] = up[up[u][k-1]][k-1]

lca(u,v):

if depth[u] < depth[v]: swap  
raise u to depth[v] using binary lifting  
if u == v: return u  
for k = log2(n) down to 0:  
if up[u][k] != up[v][k]:  
u = up[u][k]; v = up[v][k]  
return up[u][0]

query(A,B):

L = lca(A,B)  
return dist[A] + dist[B] - 2 \* dist[L]

Therefore,  $\langle O(n \log n), (\log n) \rangle$ .

**Problem 2.** There are  $n$  attractions in a city and  $m$  routes that connect these attractions. Each route connects two different attractions, is bidirectional, and takes a certain number of minutes to go through. There is exactly one way to go from any attraction to any other attraction via routes, if you're not allowed to reuse a route.

You want to plan a tour around this city via electric car, but its battery only lasts for a limited number of minutes. There is a charging station at each attraction, where you can fully charge up your electric car's battery. Answer  $q$  questions of the following form:

“If you want to go from attraction  $A$  to attraction  $B$ , at least how many minutes should your battery be able to last?”

Note that the problem is online; you must answer each query without knowing the future queries.

### 3.1. Find an algorithm that solves Problem 2 that runs in $<O(1), O(n)>$ .

First, we observe that the graph is a tree, and we can model it as an undirected weighted graph with a node for each attraction and an edge for each route, with cost equal to the amount of time to go through that route.

We do not preprocess anything, resulting in  $O(1)$  time complexity.

For query (A,B), we need the maximum edge weight along the unique path from A to B.

1. Run BFS/DFS from A until reaching B.
2. Track the maximum edge weight along the path via DP:  $\text{max\_to}[v] = \max(\text{max\_to}[\text{parent}], w(\text{parent}, v))$

```Pseudocode:

function query(A,B):

 visited = array of size n, initialized to false

 max_to = array of size n, initialized to 0

 queue = [A]

 visited[A] = true

 while queue not empty:

 u = queue.pop()

 if u == B:

 return max_to[B]

 for each neighbor v of u with weight w:

 if not visited[v]:

 visited[v] = true

 max_to[v] = max(max_to[u], w)

 queue.push(v)

...

$O(n)$ per query.

3.2. Find an algorithm that solves Problem 2 that runs in $<O(n^2), O(1)>$.

This time, we preprocess for each node in V :

- Run BFS/DFS for u to compute the maximum edge weight along the path to every other node.
- Use DP: $\text{max_edge}[u][v] = \max(\text{max_edge}[u][\text{parent}], w(\text{parent}, v))$
- Store all values in an $n \times n$ table.

...

preprocess():

max_edge = 2D array of size $n \times n$

 for each node u in V :

 run BFS from u :

$\text{max_edge}[u][u] = 0$

 for each node v visited:

$p = \text{parent}[v]$

$\text{max_edge}[u][v] = \max(\text{max_edge}[u][p], \text{weight}(p, v))$

 return max_edge

query($A, B, \text{max_edge}$):

 return $\text{max_edge}[A][B]$

Preprocessing takes $n * O(n) = O(n^2)$, and queries take $O(1)$ table lookup.

3.3. Find an algorithm that solves Problem 2 that runs in $<O(n \log n), O(\log n)>$

Preprocessing goes as follows:

1. Root the tree arbitrarily. Run DFS to compute depth and parent.
2. Compute binary lifting tables: $O(n \log n)$
 - a. $\text{up}[u][k]$: 2^k -th ancestor of u
 - b. $\text{max}[u][k]$: maximum edge weight from u up to its 2^k -th ancestor
3. Recurrence:
 - a. $\text{max}[u][0] = w(u, \text{parent})$
 - b. $\text{max}[u][k] = \max(\text{max}[u][k-1], \text{max}[\text{up}[u][k-1]][k-1])$

Query(A, B):

1. Compute $L = \text{LCA}(A, B)$ using binary lifting.
2. Compute maximum edge weight on path $A \rightarrow L$:
 - a. Climb from A to L , tracking max using max table
3. Compute maximum on path $B \rightarrow L$ similarly as 2

4. Return the maximum of both sides

``Pseudocode:

`max_on_path(u,): // v is ancestor of u`

`res = 0`

`diff = depth[u] - depth[v]`

`for k = log2(n) down to 0:`

`if diff $\geq 2^k$:`

`res = max(res, max[u][k])`

`u = up[u][k]`

`diff -= 2^k`

`return res`

`query(A,B):`

`L = lca(A,B)`

`return max(max_on_path(A,L), max_on_path(B,L))`

`...`

Preprocessing: $O(n \log n)$

Querying: $O(\log n)$