



1

2 Non-Destructive Carabao Mango Sorter and Grader based on Physical Characteristics
3 using Machine Learning

4

5 A Thesis
6 Presented to the Faculty of the
7 Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering
8 Gokongwei College of Engineering
9 De La Salle University

10

11 In Partial Fulfillment of the
12 Requirements for the Degree of
13 Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering

14

15 by

16 BANAL Kenan A.
17 BAUTISTA Francis Robert Miguel F.
18 HERMOSURA Don Humphrey L.
19 SALAZAR Daniel G.

20 September, 2025



De La Salle University

21

THESIS APPROVAL SHEET

22

This thesis entitled **Non-Destructive Carabao Mango Sorter and Grader based on Physical Characteristics using Machine Learning**, prepared and submitted by:

24

BANAL, Kenan A.

25

BAUTISTA, Francis Robert Miguel F.

26

HERMOSURA, Don Humphrey L.

27

SALAZAR, Daniel G.

28

29

with group number AISL-1-2425-C5 in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering, (BS-CPE)** has been examined and is recommended for acceptance and approval.

30

31

32

33

PANEL OF EXAMINERS

34

Dr. Donable de Veas Abuan

35

Chair

36

37

Engr. Jose Martin Maningo

Member

Dr. Alexander Co Abad

Member

38

39

40

Dr. Reggie C. Gustilo

Adviser

39

40

41

Date: _____



De La Salle University

42
43
44
45

2025

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in an information retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, by photocopying, scanning, recording, or otherwise, except under the terms of the applicable law.



46

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

47

48

49

50

We would like to acknowledge our previous advisor Dr. Ana Antoniette Illahi for helping us with the proposal and the proposal defense. Furthermore, we would like to thank our external advisor Dr. Cecilia Reyes for giving her experienced insight and guidance in the classification and mango grading in the Philippines.



51

ABSTRACT

52

to change *Index Terms*—Machine Learning, Carabao Mangoes, Sorting and Grading

53

Mangoes, Machine Vision, Microcontroller.



54 TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|---|------|
| 55 | Thesis Approval Sheet | ii |
| 56 | Acknowledgment | iv |
| 57 | Abstract | v |
| 58 | Table of Contents | vi |
| 59 | List of Figures | x |
| 60 | List of Tables | xi |
| 61 | Abbreviations and Acronyms | xii |
| 62 | Notations | xiii |
| 63 | Glossary | xiv |
| 64 | Listings | xvi |
| 65 | Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 66 | 1.1 Background of the Study | 2 |
| 67 | 1.2 Prior Studies | 4 |
| 68 | 1.3 Problem Statement | 5 |
| 69 | 1.4 Objectives and Deliverables | 6 |
| 70 | 1.4.1 General Objective (GO) | 6 |
| 71 | 1.4.2 Specific Objectives (SOs) | 6 |
| 72 | 1.4.3 Expected Deliverables | 7 |
| 73 | 1.5 Significance of the Study | 9 |
| 74 | 1.5.1 Technical Benefit | 10 |
| 75 | 1.5.2 Social Impact | 10 |
| 76 | 1.5.3 Environmental Welfare | 11 |
| 77 | 1.6 Assumptions, Scope, and Delimitations | 11 |
| 78 | 1.6.1 Assumptions | 11 |
| 79 | 1.6.2 Scope | 12 |
| 80 | 1.6.3 Delimitations | 12 |



| | | |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 81 | 1.7 Overview of the Thesis | 13 |
| 82 | Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 14 |
| 83 | 2.1 Existing Work | 15 |
| 84 | 2.1.1 Sorting Algorithms | 18 |
| 85 | 2.2 Lacking in the Approaches | 19 |
| 86 | 2.3 Summary | 20 |
| 87 | Chapter 3 THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS | 22 |
| 88 | 3.1 Introduction | 23 |
| 89 | 3.2 Relevant Theories and Models | 23 |
| 90 | 3.3 Technical Background | 24 |
| 91 | 3.4 Conceptual Framework Background | 24 |
| 92 | 3.5 Software Concepts | 25 |
| 93 | 3.5.1 Thresholding | 25 |
| 94 | 3.5.2 Object Size Calculation | 26 |
| 95 | 3.5.3 Convolutional Neural Network | 27 |
| 96 | 3.6 Hardware Concepts | 27 |
| 97 | 3.6.1 Camera Module | 27 |
| 98 | 3.6.2 4 Channel Relay | 28 |
| 99 | 3.6.3 Gear Ratio | 29 |
| 100 | 3.7 Summary | 29 |
| 101 | Chapter 4 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS | 30 |
| 102 | 4.1 Introduction | 31 |
| 103 | 4.2 System Architecture | 31 |
| 104 | 4.3 Hardware Considerations | 33 |
| 105 | 4.3.1 General Prototype Framework | 33 |
| 106 | 4.3.2 Prototype Flowchart | 34 |
| 107 | 4.3.3 Prototype 3D Model | 37 |
| 108 | 4.3.4 Hardware Specifications | 37 |
| 109 | 4.3.4.1 Raspberry Pi | 37 |
| 110 | 4.3.4.2 Raspberry Pi Camera | 39 |
| 111 | 4.3.4.3 DC Motor | 40 |
| 112 | 4.3.4.4 MicroSD Card | 42 |
| 113 | 4.3.4.5 LED Lights | 43 |
| 114 | 4.3.4.6 Power Supply | 44 |
| 115 | 4.3.4.7 4 Channel Relay Module | 46 |
| 116 | 4.4 Software Considerations | 47 |
| 117 | 4.4.1 PyTorch | 47 |



| | | |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 118 | 4.4.2 OpenCV | 48 |
| 119 | 4.4.3 CustomTkinter | 48 |
| 120 | 4.5 Security and Reliability Considerations | 48 |
| 121 | 4.6 Scalability and Efficiency Considerations | 49 |
| 122 | 4.7 User Interface | 49 |
| 123 | 4.8 Constraints and Limitations | 49 |
| 124 | 4.9 Technical Standards | 49 |
| 125 | 4.10 Prototyping and Simulation | 50 |
| 126 | 4.11 Design Validation | 50 |
| 127 | 4.12 Summary | 50 |
| 128 | Chapter 5 METHODOLOGY | 51 |
| 129 | 5.1 Introduction | 54 |
| 130 | 5.2 Research Approach | 54 |
| 131 | 5.3 Hardware Design | 54 |
| 132 | 5.4 Software Design | 55 |
| 133 | 5.5 Data Collection Methods | 56 |
| 134 | 5.6 Testing and Evaluation Methods | 56 |
| 135 | 5.6.1 Classification Report | 57 |
| 136 | 5.6.1.1 Confusion Matrix | 57 |
| 137 | 5.6.1.2 Precision | 58 |
| 138 | 5.6.1.3 Recall | 58 |
| 139 | 5.6.1.4 F1 Score | 58 |
| 140 | 5.6.1.5 Accuracy | 58 |
| 141 | 5.6.2 Ripeness Training and Testing | 59 |
| 142 | 5.6.3 Bruises Training and Testing | 60 |
| 143 | 5.6.4 Size Determination | 61 |
| 144 | 5.7 Mango Formula with User Priority | 61 |
| 145 | 5.8 Ethical Considerations | 62 |
| 146 | 5.9 Summary | 62 |
| 147 | Chapter 6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | 64 |
| 148 | 6.1 Training and Testing Results of the Model | 69 |
| 149 | 6.1.1 Ripeness Classification Results | 69 |
| 150 | 6.1.2 Bruises Classification Results | 72 |
| 151 | 6.2 Size Determination Results | 72 |
| 152 | 6.3 Formula with User Priority | 72 |
| 153 | 6.4 Physical Prototype | 73 |
| 154 | 6.5 Software Application | 76 |
| 155 | 6.6 Summary | 76 |



De La Salle University

| | | |
|-----|--|------------|
| 156 | Chapter 7 CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIVES | 80 |
| 157 | 7.1 Concluding Remarks | 81 |
| 158 | 7.2 Contributions | 81 |
| 159 | 7.3 Recommendations | 81 |
| 160 | 7.4 Future Prospects | 82 |
| 162 | Appendix A STUDENT RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE | 84 |
| 163 | Appendix B ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS TO THIS THESIS | 86 |
| 164 | Appendix C REVISIONS TO THE PROPOSAL | 95 |
| 165 | Appendix D REVISIONS TO THE FINAL | 101 |
| 166 | Appendix E ARTICLE PAPER(S) | 105 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 167 | LIST OF FIGURES | |
| 168 | 1.1 Carabao Mangoes at Different Ripeness Stages (?) | 2 |
| 169 | 3.1 Theoretical Framework Diagram. | 23 |
| 170 | 3.2 Conceptual Framework Diagram. | 24 |
| 171 | 4.1 Hardware Schematic | 32 |
| 172 | 4.2 Prototype Framework | 33 |
| 173 | 4.3 Prototype Main Flowchart | 35 |
| 174 | 4.4 Initial 3D Model of the Prototype | 36 |
| 175 | 4.5 Raspberry Pi 4 Model B | 37 |
| 176 | 4.6 Raspberry Pi Camera Module Version 2 | 39 |
| 177 | 4.7 12 Volt DC Gear Motor | 41 |
| 178 | 4.8 SanDisk Ultra MicroSD Card | 42 |
| 179 | 4.9 LED Light Strip | 43 |
| 180 | 4.10 Bench Power Supply | 45 |
| 181 | 4.11 4 Channel Relay Module | 46 |
| 182 | 5.1 Carabao Mango Image Data Collection | 56 |
| 183 | 5.2 Carabao Mango Ripeness Stages | 60 |
| 184 | 5.3 Different Kinds of Mango Defects | 60 |
| 185 | 6.1 Ripeness Confusion Matrix using kNN | 70 |
| 186 | 6.2 Ripeness Confusion Matrix using Naive Bayes | 71 |
| 187 | 6.3 Bruises Confusion Matrix using CNN | 71 |
| 188 | 6.4 Prototype Top View | 73 |
| 189 | 6.5 Entrance Conveyor Belt View | 74 |
| 190 | 6.6 Side Conveyor Belt View | 74 |
| 191 | 6.7 Prototype Main Hardware | 75 |
| 192 | 6.8 DC Motor and Pulley | 75 |
| 193 | 6.9 LED Lights and Camera Module | 76 |
| 194 | 6.10 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 1 | 77 |
| 195 | 6.11 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 2 | 77 |
| 196 | 6.12 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 3 | 78 |
| 197 | 6.13 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 4 | 78 |
| 198 | 6.14 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 5 | 79 |



199

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 200 | 1.1 Expected Deliverables per Objective | 7 |
| 201 | 1.1 Expected Deliverables per Objective | 8 |
| 202 | 1.1 Expected Deliverables per Objective | 9 |
| 203 | 2.1 Comparison of Existing Studies | 17 |
| 204 | 2.2 Comparison of Sorting Algorithm Models | 20 |
| 205 | 5.1 Summary of methods for reaching the objectives | 52 |
| 206 | 5.2 Confusion Matrix Example | 57 |
| 207 | 6.1 Summary of methods for achieving the objectives | 65 |
| 208 | 6.2 Performance Metrics for different EfficientNet versions | 69 |
| 209 | 6.3 Ripeness Classification Report using kNN | 70 |
| 210 | 6.4 Ripeness Classification Report using Naive Bayes | 70 |
| 211 | 6.5 Bruises Classification Report using CNN | 71 |
| 212 | 6.6 Summarized Classification Report using CNN | 72 |
| 213 | D.1 Summary of Revisions to the Thesis | 102 |



214

ABBREVIATIONS

| | | | |
|-----|-----|--------------------------------|----|
| 215 | AC | Alternating Current..... | 13 |
| 216 | GUI | Graphical User Interface | 49 |
| 217 | LED | Light Emitting Diode | 43 |
| 218 | UI | User Interface | 49 |



219

NOTATION

| | | | |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------------|----|
| 220 | $B(P)$ | Bruises Priority | 61 |
| 221 | $b(p)$ | Bruises Prediction..... | 61 |
| 222 | $R(P)$ | Ripeness Priority..... | 61 |
| 223 | $r(p)$ | Ripeness Prediction | 61 |
| 224 | $S(P)$ | Size Priority | 61 |
| 225 | $s(p)$ | Size Prediction | 61 |
| 226 | $D(p, d, f)$ | Real World Dimension | 26 |
| 227 | p | Pixel Dimension | 26 |
| 228 | d | Distance from Camera to Object..... | 26 |
| 229 | f | Focal Length | 26 |



GLOSSARY

| | | |
|-----|------------------|--|
| 230 | bruises | The black or brown area of the mango that is visible on the skin of the mango. |
| 232 | Carabao mango | A popular variety of mango grown in the Philippines, known for its sweet and juicy flesh. |
| 233 | accuracy score | A performance metric that measures the overall proportion of correct predictions made by a machine learning model. |
| 234 | confusion matrix | A table that summarizes the performance of a classification model, showing the number of true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives. |
| 235 | CNN | A type of deep neural network that is highly effective in analyzing and processing visual data, such as images. |
| 236 | F1-Score | A balanced performance metric that is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, taking both into account. |
| 237 | machine learning | A subset of Artificial Intelligence that enables systems to learn and improve from data. |
| 238 | computer vision | The use of cameras and algorithms to provide imaging-based inspection and analysis. |
| 239 | microcontroller | A small computing device that controls other parts of a system such as sensors. |
| 240 | Precision | A performance metric that reflects the percentage of instances classified as positive that are truly positive. |
| 241 | recall | A performance metric that measures the proportion of actual positive instances that the model correctly identified. |



De La Salle University

242

User Priority-Based Grading

A customizable grading system where users can assign weights to grading factors.



De La Salle University

243

LISTINGS



De La Salle University

244

Chapter 1

245

INTRODUCTION



246 **1.1 Background of the Study**

247 Mangoes, also known as the *Mangifera indica*, are a member of the cashew family. This
248 fruit can often be seen being farmed by countries such as Myanmar, the Philippines, and
249 India as they have a tropical dry season. Being in a tropical country is an important
250 aspect for mango cultivation as it ensures proper growth for mangoes. If aspects such as
temperature and rainfall are not ideal, it may affect the quality of the mango (?). Carabao



251 Fig. 1.1 Carabao Mangoes at Different Ripeness Stages (?)

252 mangoes is a variety of a mango that is found and cultivated in the Philippines. It is known
253 for its sweet signature taste that was recognized sweetest in the world in the Guinness
254 Book of World Records in 1995. The mango was named after the national animal of the
255 Philippines, a native breed of buffalo. On average, it is 12.5 cm in length and 8.5 cm in
256 diameter, having a bright yellow color when ripe as seen in Figure 1.1. It is often cultivated
257 during late May to early July (?).

258 As the Philippines is a tropical country, mangoes are a highly valued fruit as it is not
259 only the country's national fruit but also amongst the leading agricultural exports of the
260 country, ranking only third below bananas and pineapples. This gives the country the 9th
261 slot amongst the leading exporters of Mangoes across the world. Attributed to this ranking



De La Salle University

262 is the country's export of both fresh and dried mangoes, as well as low tariff rates. This
263 allows the country to export a large quantity of the fruit in countries such as Singapore,
264 Japan, and the USA as they can enter duty free markets provided by the World Trade
265 Organization and Japan. Due to this, the mangoes have become a major source of income
266 to an estimated 2.5 million farmers in the country (?).

267 Before mangoes are sold in markets, they first undergo multiple post-harvest processes.
268 This is to ensure that the mangoes that arrive in markets are utmost quality before being
269 sold to consumers. Moreover, it ensures that mangoes are contained and preserved properly
270 such that they do not incur damages and/or get spoiled on its transportation to the market.
271 Processing of the mango involves pre-cooling, cleaning, waxing, classification, grading,
272 ripening, packaging, preservation, storage, packing, and transportation (?) (?).

273 Among the processes that mangoes undergo, classification and grading is important as
274 it allows the manufacturer to separate mangoes with good qualities versus mangoes with
275 poor qualities. According to a study by (?), size, length, width, volume, density, indentation,
276 and grooves are aspects that determine the maturity of mangoes. These traits are being
277 checked along with the ripeness of the mango, sightings of bruise injury, and cracks on the
278 fruit (?) as these aspects affect the sellability of the fruit as well as the chances of it getting
279 spoiled sooner.

280 Previous studies have been made to automate the sortation process of the mangoes.
281 Among these is a research done by ?, which focuses on classification of mangoes using their
282 texture and shape features. They do this by, first, acquiring an image of the mango using
283 a digital camera. Then, these images are fed to the MaZda package, which is a software
284 originally developed for magnetic resonance imaging. Within the MaZda package is the
285 B11 program, which uses Principal Component Analysis, Linear Discriminant Analysis,



286 Nonlinear Discriminant Analysis, and texture classification to extract features from the
287 mango, which in this case are the length, width, and texture. This data is then compared to
288 a database in order to classify any given mango (?).

289 Another study is done by ?, which classifies mangoes based on their color, volume, size,
290 and shape. This is done by making use of Charge Coupled Devices, Complementary Metal-
291 Oxide Semiconductor sensors, and 3-layer Convolutional Neural Network. To classify the
292 mangoes, images are first captured and preprocessed to be used as a data set (?). This data
293 set is then augmented to be used as a model for the 3-layer Convolutional Neural Network.
294 After extracting the features of the mango, the 3-layer Convolutional Neural Network
295 is used as a method for their classification as it can mimic the human brain in pattern
296 recognition, and process data for decision making. This is important as some mangoes have
297 very subtle differences which make it difficult to differentiate them.

298 1.2 Prior Studies

299 A paper written by ?, designed an automated fruit sorting machine based on the quality
300 through an image acquisition system and CNN. Furthermore, the results of the paper show
301 that the image processing detection score was 89% while that of the tomatoes was 92%
302 while the CNN model had higher validity of 95% for mangoes and 93% for tomatoes.
303 15%, while the percentage of distinction between the two groups was reported to be 5%
304 respectively (?). Despite the high accuracy score in detecting mango defects, the fruit
305 sorting system only sorts based on the mango defects and not on ripeness, and weight.

306 Furthermore, the research paper presented by ? designed an Automated Carabao mango
307 classifier, in which the mango image database is used to extract the features like size, area



308 along with the ratio of the spots for grading using Naïve Bayes Model. For the results, the
309 Naïve Bayes' model recognized large and rejected mangoes with 95% accuracy and the
310 large and small/medium difference with a 7% error, suggesting an application for quality
311 differentiation and sorting in the mango business industry. Despite the high accuracy of
312 classifying Carabao mangoes, the researchers used a high quality DSLR camera for the
313 image acquisition system without any microcontroller to control the mangoes (?).

314 **1.3 Problem Statement**

315 As mangoes are among the top exports of the Philippines (?), assessing the physical
316 deformities is a necessity. The physical deformities of the Carabao mango can determine
317 the global competitiveness of the country. Having higher quality exports can often lead to
318 gaining competitive edge, increase in demand, increase export revenues, and becoming less
319 susceptible to low-wage competition (?). In order to increase the quality of mango fruit
320 exports, a key post-harvest process is done, which is sorting and grading. Mango sorting
321 and grading then becomes important to determine which batches are of high quality and can
322 be sold for a higher price, and which batches are of low quality and can only be sold for a
323 low price (?). Traditionally, fruit sorting and grading is inefficient as it is done manually by
324 hand. Some tools are used such as porous ruler to determine fruit size and color palette for
325 color grading (?). However, among the problems encountered in the process of manually
326 sorting and grading mangoes are susceptibility to human error and requiring a number of
327 laborers to do the task.

328 With the current advancements in technology, some researchers have already taken steps
329 to automate the process of sorting and grading mangoes. However, these attempts would



330 often only consider some of the aspects pertaining to size, ripeness, and bruises but not all
331 of them at the same time. Lastly, not all research approaches were able to implement a
332 hardware for their algorithm, limiting their output to only a software implementation and not
333 an embedded system. As such the proposed system would assess the export quality of the
334 Carabao mango based on all the mentioned mango traits, namely size, bruises, and ripeness
335 while also taking into consideration being non-destructive. These aspects are important
336 because, as was previously mentioned, there is a need to develop a Carabao mango sorter
337 that takes into account all these aspects at the same time while being non-destructive.

338 **1.4 Objectives and Deliverables**

339 **1.4.1 General Objective (GO)**

- 340 • GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao man-
341 goes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size,
342 and bruises. ;

343 **1.4.2 Specific Objectives (SOs)**

- 344 • SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting
345 and grading mangoes. ;
- 346 • SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test
347 accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at
348 least 90%;



- 349 • SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition
 350 system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine
 351 learning. ;
- 352 • SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises. ;
- 353 • SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning
 354 algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes. ;
- 355 • SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width
 356 using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques. ;
- 357 • SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning
 358 algorithms.

359 **1.4.3 Expected Deliverables**

360 Table 1.1 shows the outputs, products, results, achievements, gains, realizations, and/or
 361 yields of the Thesis.

TABLE 1.1 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES PER OBJECTIVE

| Objectives | Expected Deliverables |
|---|--|
| GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To develop a Carabao mango grading and sorting system. • To grade Carabao mangoes into three categories based on ripeness, size, and bruises using machine learning. • To integrate sensors and actuators to control the conveyor belt and image acquisition system. |

Continued on next page



TABLE 1.1 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES PER OBJECTIVE

| Objectives | Expected Deliverables |
|---|--|
| SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To make an image acquisition system with a camera and LED light source. To build a flat belt conveyor for moving the mangoes. |
| SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To use a publicly available dataset of at least 10,000 mango images for classification of ripeness and bruises. |
| SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To develop an intuitive UI where users can start and stop the system. To implement a priority-based grading system with sliders for ripeness, bruises, and size. |
| SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To utilize a linear combination formula as the overall mango score, where each classification level contributes a grade, weighted by the priority assigned to the three properties. To assign score values for each classification level of the mango. |
| SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To train a machine learning model such as kNN, k-means, or Naïve Bayes capable of classifying mango ripeness based on the image color. To gather a dataset of annotated images with ripeness labels. To obtain an evaluation report of performance metrics of the model. |
| SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To develop an image processing algorithm capable of determining mango size using OpenCV, NumPy, and imutils. To classify mangoes based on size into small, medium, and large based on measurements. |

Continued on next page



TABLE 1.1 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES PER OBJECTIVE

| Objectives | Expected Deliverables |
|--|--|
| SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To train a machine learning model such as CNN capable of distinguishing bruised and non-bruised mangoes. • To train a machine learning model such as kNN, k-means, and Naïve Bayes capable of assessing the extent of bruising on the mangoes if it is significant or partial. • To gather a dataset of annotated images based on bruises. • To obtain an evaluation report of performance metrics of both CNN and other machine learning models. |

1.5 Significance of the Study

Automating the process of sorting and grading mangoes increases efficiency and productivity for the user which would in effect remove human error in sorting and grading and decrease the human labor and time taken to sort and grade the mangoes. This is especially important for farmers with a large amount of fruit such as mangoes and a lesser labor force. A recent study showed that their automated citrus sorter and grader using computer vision can reduce the human labor cost and time to sort and grade when comparing the automated citrus sorter and grader to manual human labor ?.

Another benefit to automating sorting and grading mangoes is the improvement in quality control. This implies that compared to human labor, automating sorting and grading mangoes can uniformly assess the quality of mangoes based on size, color, and bruises, ensuring that the expected grade and high-quality mangoes reach the consumer. By accurately identifying substandard mangoes, the system helps in reducing waste and



375 ensuring that only marketable fruits are processed further.

376 Likewise, the scalability of automating sorting and grading mangoes is simpler, es-
377 pecially for lower labor force farmers with large volumes of mangoes. Because of the
378 possibility of large-scale operations by automating sorting and grading mangoes, farmers
379 can now handle large volumes of mangoes, making them suitable for commercial farms
380 and processing plants. Moreover, it can be adapted to different varieties of mangoes and
381 potentially other fruits with minor modifications.

382 **1.5.1 Technical Benefit**

- 383 1. The development of an automated Carabao mango sorter would increase the quality
384 control of classifying Carabao mango based on ripeness, size, and bruising.
- 385 2. The accuracy in sorting Carabao mangoes will be significantly improved while
386 reducing the errors due to human factors in manual sorting.
- 387 3. The automated Carabao mango sorter carefully sorts the mangoes while ensuring
388 that they remain free from bruising or further damage during the process

389 **1.5.2 Social Impact**

- 390 1. The reduction in manual labor creates opportunities in maintenance and technologies
391 in the automated Carabao mango sorter.
- 392 2. The automated Carabao mango sorter system improves Carabao mango standards
393 and enhances the satisfaction of the buyers and the customers through guaranteeing
394 consistent Carabao mango grade.



- 395 3. Opportunity to increase sales and profit for the farmers through consistent quality
396 and grade Carabao mangoes while reducing the physical labor to sort it.

397 **1.5.3 Environmental Welfare**

- 398 1. With the utilization of non-destruction methods of classifying Carabao mangoes
399 together with an accurate sorting system, overall waste from Carabao mangoes is
400 reduced and the likelihood of improperly sorted mangoes is decreased.
401 2. Automation of sorting and grading Carabao mangoes promotes sustainable farming
402 practices.

403 **1.6 Assumptions, Scope, and Delimitations**

404 **1.6.1 Assumptions**

- 405 1. The Carabao mangoes are from the same source together with the same variation
406 2. The Carabao mangoes do not have any fruit borer and diseases
407 3. All the components do not have any form of defects
408 4. The prototype would have access to constant electricity/power source.
409 5. The Carabao mangoes to be tested would be in the post-harvesting stage and in the
410 grading stage.
411 6. The image-capturing system would only capture the two sides of the mango which
412 are the two largest surface areas of the skin.



413 **1.6.2 Scope**

- 414 1. The prototype would be specifically designed to grade and sort Carabao Mangoes
415 based on only ripeness, size, and visible skin bruises.
- 416 2. The mangoes used as the subject will be solely sourced from markets in the Philip-
417 pines.
- 418 3. The Carabao mangoes would be graded into three levels.
- 419 4. The prototype will be using a microcontroller-based system locally stored on the
420 device itself to handle user interaction.
- 421 5. Computer vision algorithms to be used will include image classification.

422 **1.6.3 Delimitations**

- 423 1. The project would only be able to perform sorting and grading on one specific fruit
424 which is the Carabao mango and will not be able to sort other types of mangoes.
- 425 2. Additionally, the project prototype will only be able to capture, sort, and grade one
426 mango subject at a time which means the mangoes have to be placed in the conveyor
427 belt in a single file line for accurate sorting.
- 428 3. For the bruises, the system will only be able to detect external bruises and may not
429 identify the non-visible and internal bruises.
- 430 4. The system does not load the mangoes onto the conveyor belt itself. Assistance is
431 required to put mangoes into the conveyor belt to start the sorting process



- 432 5. The prototype will be powered using Alternating Current (AC) power and will be
433 plugged into a wall socket which is only suitable for indoor use.

434 **1.7 Overview of the Thesis**

435 There are seven succeeding chapters. To recall, chapter 1 involves the introduction of
436 the thesis topic containing the background of the study, previous studies, objectives and
437 deliverables, assumptions, scope, and delimitation, significance of the study, description
438 of the project together with the methodology, and Gantt chart and budget. Chapter 2
439 involves the existing articles, the lacking in their approaches, and the summary of chapter 2.
440 Chapter 3 involves the theoretical considerations of the thesis topic while chapter 4 would
441 consist of the design consideration involving the thesis topic. Chapter 5 would involve the
442 research methodology containing the testing procedure and setup. Chapter 6 would involve
443 the results and discussion based on the methodology while Chapter 7 would involve the
444 conclusion, recommendations, and future suggestions.



De La Salle University

445

Chapter 2

446

LITERATURE REVIEW



447 2.1 Existing Work

448 The research paper written by ? developed a ripeness grader for Carabao mangoes. The
449 Carabao mango ripeness grade calculated based on object and color detection which were
450 written in microcontroller. These are the systems designed by the researchers that consists
451 of Raspberry Pi 4, Arduino Uno, camera, touch screen LCD, MQ3 gas sensor, ventilation
452 system. The proposed system was able to ascertain an overall reliability of 95%: therefore,
453 the specified objective of ascertaining the ripeness level of the mangoes was met with
454 success. However, accuracy and reliability of the software system are there since the
455 hardware design does not seem to be workable when one must deal with the scores of
456 mangoes (?). In addition, the design of the hardware does not integrate any form of physical
457 automating, say like the conveyor belt. Besides, the hardware system only works efficiently
458 when deciding the ripeness grade of mangoes separately.

459 A study done by ? is another research paper that supports and has relevant information
460 concerning the topic. The researchers proposed a fully-perovskite photonic system which
461 has the capability to identify and sort or grade mango based on features such as color,
462 weight and, conversely, signs of damages (?). Some of the techniques in image processing
463 that the researchers used included image enhancement, image deblurring, edge detection
464 using MATLAB and Arduino as well as color image segmentation. By carrying out the
465 multiple trials on the device they achieved a classification speed of 8.132 seconds and an
466 accuracy of 91.2%. The proponents' metrics used for the ratings were speed wherein the
467 results were rated “excellent” while the accuracy rating given was “good”. One of the
468 limitations of the paper is that the researchers were only limited to the color, texture, and
469 size of the Carabao mango



470 Furthermore, the research paper presented by ? designed an Automated Carabao
471 mango classifier, in which the mango image database is used to extract the features like
472 weight, size, area along with the ratio of the spots for grading using Naïve Bayes Model.
473 Concerning the quantitative test design, one had to control and experiment with various
474 methods of image processing that would improve the likelihood of improved classification.
475 The paper methodology entailed sample collection from 300 Carabao mangoes, picture
476 taking using a DSLR camera, and feature deconstruction for categorization (?). The
477 system prototype and the software were designed with the programming language C# with
478 integration of Aforge. NET routines. The performance of this model was checked with
479 the help of the dataset containing 250 images, precision, recall, F-score key indicators
480 were used. The investigation discovered that the Naïve Bayes' model recognized large and
481 rejected mangoes with 95% accuracy and the large and small/medium difference with a
482 7% error, suggesting an application for quality differentiation and sorting in the mango
483 business industry. The limitations in the researchers' paper include the researchers were
484 able to achieve high accuracy after using a high quality DSLR camera and the fact that the
485 researchers were not able to incorporate the use of microcontrollers.

486 Another study by ? proposed SVM-based system for classifying the maturity stages of
487 bananas, mangoes, and calamansi. With the use of 1729 images of bananas together with
488 711 mango images and 589 calamansi, the researchers were able to achieve a high accuracy
489 score of above 90% for all fruits. Some pre-processing techniques used to get this high
490 accuracy are the change in hue, saturation, and value channels in the mango image (?). To
491 better understand the harvest time of mangoes, the paper by ? examined the association of
492 the harvest season with seasonal heat units, rainfall, and physical fruit attributes for Haden,
493 Kent, Palmer, and Keitt mango varieties to establish export and domestic market maturity



De La Salle University

494 standards. For the results of the paper, it shows that temperature, rainfall, and physical
 495 characteristics have a reliable, non-destructive indicators for determining mango maturity
 496 (?). This shows that physical characteristics and temperature are important when exporting
 497 fruits such as mangoes.

TABLE 2.1 COMPARISON OF EXISTING STUDIES

| Existing Study | Limitations | Accuracy Rating |
|----------------|--|-----------------|
| ? | No physical automation, not suitable for large amounts of mangoes, only classifies ripeness and only a sample size of 10 mangoes. | 95% |
| ? | Focuses only on color and size. | 91.2% |
| ? | Relies on high-quality DSLR cameras, and limited automation due to not integrating microcontrollers. | 95% |
| ? | No physical automation implemented. Ripeness, size, and shape-based classification achieved 100%, 98.19%, and 99.20% accuracy respectively on their own. However, errors occurred when taking into account all these aspects together for grading mangoes, causing an accuracy rating deduction. | 88.88% |

498 Previous studies on mango grading have achieved an accuracy rating of up to 95%, as
 499 shown in Table 2.1. However, these studies either relied on a small sample size, which
 500 limits statistical significance, or utilized expensive equipment, which may be impractical.
 501 In light of this, the researchers have set a target accuracy rating of greater than or equal
 502 to 90%. This target ensures that the system being developed is comparable to, or better
 503 than, existing studies that used larger sample sizes or assessed multiple mango traits at the
 504 same time. Furthermore, this research aims to distinguish itself by not only maintaining or
 505 exceeding the 90% accuracy rating but also incorporating a graphical user interface (GUI)



506 for selective priority-based mango classification. The system will integrate both software
507 and hardware components, and it will evaluate a greater number of mango traits for grading
508 purposes.

509 **2.1.1 Sorting Algorithms**

510 In previous studies, researchers have implemented various artificial intelligence algorithms
511 in order to determine the optimal and most effective method for sorting mangoes. One of
512 the algorithms that was used in the classification of mangoes was the CNN or Convolutional
513 Neural Networks. A study done by ? explored the effectiveness of CNN, specifically in
514 classifying mangoes through image processing. The system that the researchers developed
515 graded mangoes into four groups which was based on the Chinese National Standard (?).
516 These mangoes were examined by their shape, color uniformity, and external defects. The
517 system that was developed had an impressive accuracy of 97.37% in correctly classifying
518 the mangoes into these grading categories Support Vector Machine was also one of the
519 classification algorithms that was implemented to detect flaws in mangoes. In that study by
520 ?, SVM was used in the classification of diseases from mangoes. The study used 4 different
521 diseases/defects for testing (?). The diseases were Anthracnose, Powdery Mildew, Black
522 Banded, and Red Rust. and provided 90% accuracy for both the leaves and the fruit

523 In the study done by ?, Simple Linear Regression, Multiple Linear Regression, and
524 Artificial Neural Network models were all studied and compared for the purpose of size-
525 mass estimation for mango fruits. The researchers found that the Artificial Neural Network
526 yielded a high accuracy rating for mass estimation and for mango classification based on
527 size with a success rate of 96.7% (?). This is attributed to the Artificial Neural Network
528 model's ability to learn both linear and nonlinear relationships between the inputs and the



529 outputs. However, a problem can occur with the use of the model, which is overfitting.
530 This issue occurs when the model is overtrained with the data set such that it will start to
531 recognize unnecessary details such as image noise which results in poor generalization
532 when fed with new data. With this in mind, additional steps will be necessary to mitigate the
533 issue. Another research article written by ? implements a method for sorting and grading
534 Carabao mangoes. This research focuses on the use of Probabilistic Neural Network, which
535 is another algorithm that is used for pattern recognition and classification of objects. For
536 this study, the researchers focused on the area, color, and the black spots of the mango
537 for their Probabilistic Neural Network model (?). Their research using the model yielded
538 an accuracy rating of 87.5% for classification of the mangoes which means it is quite
539 accurate for classifying mangoes within the predefined categories. However, problems
540 were encountered with the use of the model when trying to identify mangoes that did not
541 fit the predefined size categories of small, medium, and large. This means that the PNN
542 model may become challenged when presented with a mango with outlying traits or traits
543 that were very different from the data set.

544 **2.2 Lacking in the Approaches**

545 The majority of past researchers such as ? and ? were able to implement a fruit and
546 mango sorter together with an accurate AI algorithm to detect the ripeness defects. This
547 means that none of the previous research papers were able to integrate an interchangeable
548 user-priority-based grading together with size, ripeness, and bruises using machine learning
549 for Carabao mango sorter and grader. Our research however would implement an automated
550 Carabao mango sorter in terms of size, ripeness, and bruises with its own UI, conveyor



TABLE 2.2 COMPARISON OF SORTING ALGORITHM MODELS

| Sorting Algorithm Model | Accuracy Rating | Criteria | Problems Encountered |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Convolution Neural Network | 97.37% | shape, color, defects | Minor blemishes affected the accuracy. |
| Support Vector Machine | 90% | mango defects and diseases | The model is sensitive to noise, which requires intensive image preprocessing. |
| Artificial Neural Network | 96.7% | for mango size and mass | Overfitting |
| Probabilistic Neural Network | 87.5% | for mango area, color, and black spots | Difficulty in identifying mangoes that have outlying features or did not fit the predefined categories |

551 belt, stepper motors, and bins for collecting the different ripeness and defect grade of the
 552 Carabao mango.

553 2.3 Summary

554 To reiterate, there is an innovative gap that needs to be filled with regards to the process of
 555 sorting and grading Carabao mangoes. The traditional methods for conducting this process
 556 manually by hand, by a porous ruler, by a sugar meter, and by a color palette can be prone
 557 to human error and expensive costs due to the number of laborers required to do the task.
 558 On the other hand, although researchers have already taken steps to automate the process
 559 of mango sorting and grading, there is still a need for an implementation that takes into
 560 account size, ripeness, and bruises altogether whilst being non-destructive and having its
 561 own embedded system. The research articles shown above show the different computer



De La Salle University

562 vision and CNN approaches for sorting and classifying mangoes. For example, a system
563 created by ? was more focused on ripeness detection. ? considered photonic systems
564 for grading mango fruit based on color and weight. On the other hand, ? implemented
565 the Naïve Bayes classification model on mangoes with high accuracy, which thereby did
566 not include any microcontroller. There was an attempt to study each of those parameters
567 separately and that is why the multifactorial approach was not used. With this in mind, the
568 system being proposed does exactly what was mentioned, to implement a non-destructive
569 and automated sorting and grading system for Carabao mangoes that takes into account
570 size, ripeness, and bruises altogether using machine learning, as well as having its own
571 embedded system. This system will be mainly composed of a conveyor belt, servo motors,
572 a camera, microcontrollers, and an LCD display for the user interface. By doing so, the
573 system should be able to improve the efficiency and productivity of mango sorting and
574 grading, remove the effect of human error and reduce time consumption. The studies also
575 provided critical insights regarding the effective algorithms that can be used in classification
576 stages in image processing. The use of CNN had the most accuracy with manageable
577 potential challenges. Lastly, by scaling the implementation, the overall export quality of
578 the Carabao mangoes can be improved.



579

Chapter 3

580

THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS



581 3.1 Introduction

582 Likewise, the purpose of this chapter is to go through the important theories in developing
 583 the prototype together with training and testing the machine learning model.

584 3.2 Relevant Theories and Models




Fig. 3.1 Theoretical Framework Diagram.

585 The theoretical framework seen in figure 3.1 follows the IPO (Input-Process-Output)
 586 Model for a Carabao Mango Sorting System. The Input section includes user-defined
 587 priority values for size, ripeness, and bruises, along with a single mango for analysis. The
 588 Process section highlights the use of a machine learning algorithm and a user-priority-based
 589 formula to classify the mango. The Output consists of the mango's grade, predicted size,
 590 ripeness, and bruises. Below the IPO model, the diagram categorizes variables into three
 591 groups: Independent (mango's size, ripeness, and bruises), Dependent (classification report
 592 with accuracy, precision, recall, and confusion matrix), and Controlled (image dataset,
 593 microcontroller, camera, and LED lights).



594 **3.3 Technical Background**

595 At its core, the system will be using machine learning concepts pertaining to CNN and
 596 OpenCV, and may use other algorithms such as Naive Bayes and k-Nearest Neighbors
 597 to supplement the classification tasks, particularly for assessing mango ripeness, bruise
 598 detection, and size determination. The system will be built on an embedded framework,
 599 integrating a Raspberry Pi microcontroller to control the RaspberryPi camera, actuators,
 600 LED lights, and motors. A user-friendly GUI will also be utilized to ensure users can
 601 customize the prioritization of the mango sorting system.

602 **3.4 Conceptual Framework Background**




Fig. 3.2 Conceptual Framework Diagram.

603 The conceptual framework seen in figure 3.2 illustrates the key components involved
 604 in the Carabao Mango Sorter and Grader system. At the center, the system is represented
 605 as the core element, surrounded by six interconnected components: Carabao Mango
 606 (*Mangifera indica L.*), Embedded Systems, Machine Learning, Computer Vision, User



607 Priority-Based Formula, and Training and Testing of the Model. These elements represent
608 the different technologies, methodologies, and considerations required for the development
609 and operation of the sorter and grader. The diagram provides an overview of how various
610 disciplines contribute to the project's functionality.

611 **3.5 Software Concepts**

612 **3.5.1 Thresholding**

613 Thresholding is a computer vision image segmentation technique that is used to separate
614 objects from their surroundings by converting a grayscale image to binary. The conversion
615 is done by choosing a certain threshold intensity value. It is usually done by assigning pixels
616 with an intensity higher than the threshold are mapped to one value (commonly white),
617 and pixels with an intensity lower than the threshold are mapped to another (commonly
618 black). The result of this technique is in a high-contrast image that makes it easy to detect
619 the object's boundary and shape in the image.

620

621 In this project, two types of thresholding were applied:

- 622 • Absolute Difference Thresholding – This method involves computing the absolute
623 difference between two images. The first image is one of the object, and the other
624 of the same background without the object. The result isolates only the pixels that
625 have changed between the two images, thus isolating the mango from its background
626 successfully.
- 627 • Binary Thresholding – Once the difference image has been created, binary threshold-



628 ing is used. A threshold value is employed to threshold the difference image into a
 629 binary image. Values greater than the threshold are made white (foreground), and
 630 values less than that are made black (background). This creates a clear silhouette of
 631 the mango, which is appropriate for size estimation and contour detection.

632 **3.5.2 Object Size Calculation**

633 Object size calculation is the calculation of a certain object's true size from image data. This
 634 is essential in computer vision systems to efficiently process object features in real-time.
 635 In this research, the size of the Carabao mango is estimated through image measurement
 636 techniques based on geometric principles and camera calibration.

637 The size of the mango can be determined given:

$$\text{Real World Dimension} = \frac{\text{Pixel Dimension} \times \text{Distance from Camera to Object}}{\text{Focal Length}} \quad (3.1)$$

$$D(p, d, f) = \frac{p \cdot d}{f} \quad (3.2)$$

638 where $D(p, d, f)$ is the real world dimension of the object, p is the pixel dimension of
 639 the object, d is the distance from the camera to the object, and f is the focal length of the
 640 camera.

641 After capture and preprocessing of the image, the binary image so obtained is processed
 642 with contour detection to find the largest object, which is assumed to be the mango. The
 643 contour is then bounded with a minimum-area bounding box, and pixel-based length and
 644 width are calculated using Euclidean distance between the corner points.



645 This size estimation method offers a consistent and efficient way of taking the mea-
646 surements with only standard camera input, providing consistency in classification and
647 reducing the necessity for physical measuring devices.

648 **3.5.3 Convolutional Neural Network**

649 Convolutional Neural Networks are a class of deep learning models commonly used in
650 analyzing visual data. CNNs are particularly effective in image classification tasks due to
651 their ability to automatically extract and effectively learn the spatial hierarchies of features
652 directly from the pixels of a given image. This makes it highly suitable for functions such
653 as object detection and, in the case of this study, image classification.

654 CNN usually applies filters to input images. These filters are designed to detect local
655 patterns such as edges, textures, and color gradients. The network is able to learn more
656 patterns as the data goes through the layers. This enables it to recognize effectively the
657 characteristics that it is looking for.

658 The use of CNNs in this study allows for accurate, automated classification of mango
659 images which contributes to the development of a reliable, non-destructive grading system
660 that minimizes human error and ensures consistent quality assessment

661 **3.6 Hardware Concepts**

662 **3.6.1 Camera Module**

663 The camera module serves as the main image acquisition tool in the mango sorter and
664 grader system. Its role is to capture clear, high-resolution images of each mango as it moves



665 along the conveyor. These images are critical for analyzing physical traits like ripeness,
666 bruising, and size through computer vision and machine learning techniques.

667 The camera is directly connected to the Raspberry Pi, which manages both image
668 capture and processing. It is fixed in position to ensure consistent distance and angle for
669 all images. It is also paired with a lighting system to provide a consistent lighting for the
670 images. The system captures images of both the top and bottom sides of each mango to
671 ensure a more accurate grading. The prototype integrates the Raspberry Pi Camera Module
672 Version 2. This camera is chosen for its 8MP resolution which is critical in capturing
673 real-time images. Another reason for integrating this camera is because of its compatibility
674 with the Raspberry Pi 4, and reliability in capturing detailed images needed for accurate
675 classification. It is also cost effective and lightweight which is important for the prototype.

676 **3.6.2 4 Channel Relay**

677 The relay module in this project is used to control the direction and movement of the
678 motors that operate the conveyor system and mango sorting mechanism. As an electrically
679 operated switch, the relay allows the low-power signals from the Raspberry Pi to safely
680 manage the higher voltage and current required by the DC motors.

681 For the prototype, the relay module is responsible for changing the polarity of motor
682 connections which enables the motors to rotate in both forward and reverse directions.
683 This will drive the conveyor belt system. This is essential for moving mangoes along the
684 conveyor, rotating them for the top and bottom image capture, and directing them to the
685 appropriate bin based on their grade.

**686 3.6.3 Gear Ratio**

687 In this prototype, gear ratios are used to control the rotational speed of the conveyor belts
688 that move and rotate the mango. A gear ratio of 1:3 was applied, meaning the motor gear
689 completes one full rotation for every three rotations of the driven gear. This is also done in
690 order to avoid overspeeding and make sure that the conveyor belt moves in a controlled
691 manner. This setup slows down one belt relative to the other, creating a differential speed
692 between the left and right belts. As a result, the mango rotates in place while being moved
693 forward. This rotation is essential for capturing both the top and bottom views of the mango
694 for accurate classification and grading.

695 3.7 Summary

696 Overall, chapter 3 establishes key concepts and theoretical considerations that form the
697 foundation of the Carabao mango sorter and grading system. It discusses and connects
698 each component together, explaining how each component such as the RaspberryPi and
699 DC motors work together to create a system that utilizes machine learning and computer
700 vision techniques to classify mangoes based on user priority.



701

Chapter 4

702

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS



703 Likewise, the objective of chapter 4 is to describe the researcher's design consideration
704 when developing and testing the prototype. For an overview of the design of the prototype,
705 the researchers considered different computer vision models in classifying the ripeness
706 and bruises together with other algorithms to determine the size of the mango. Likewise,
707 the hardware design was also taken into consideration where the physical design of the
708 conveyor belt was taken into account.

709 **4.1 Introduction**

710 This chapter discusses the design considerations for the mango sorting and grading system,
711 focusing on the technical and engineering decisions required for its development. The
712 design process aims to create a scalable, efficient, and user-friendly system that leverages
713 machine learning for accurate mango classification.

714 **4.2 System Architecture**

715 The system architecture is represented through a block diagram, showcasing modules
716 such as image acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, machine learning model, and
717 grading output. Each module is described in detail, emphasizing its role in the overall
718 system. For instance, the image acquisition module uses high-resolution cameras to capture
719 mango images, while the preprocessing module enhances image quality for better feature
720 extraction.

721 In figure 4.1 presents the electronic circuit diagram, designed using Proteus. The
722 diagram illustrates a system where a Raspberry Pi 4 serves as the central control unit,




Fig. 4.1 Hardware Schematic

723 managing four motors through a relay mechanism. The Raspberry Pi 4, represented by
 724 a rectangular box on the left, showcases various pin connections, including GPIO pins,
 725 power supply pins (5V and 3V3), ground pins (GND), and communication pins (TXD,
 726 RXD, SDA, SCL).

727 In the center of the diagram, an 18-pin integrated circuit labeled "ULN2803A" is
 728 depicted. This component, a Darlington transistor array, likely functions as a buffer,
 729 providing the necessary current to drive the relays. Four relays, designated as RL1, RL2,
 730 RL3, and RL4, are positioned on the right side of the diagram, each connected to a motor
 731 (represented by a circle with an "M" inside) and a +12V power source. Additionally, four
 732 resistors are placed between the ULN2803A and the relays, serving to limit current. The
 733 circuit section containing these resistors is labeled "4 Channel Relay Driver," indicating its
 734 purpose.

735 The camera module is labeled "PICAMERA" is located in the top center of the diagram.
 736 It is represented by a square with a circle inside, symbolizing the camera lens. The camera



737 module is connected to the Raspberry Pi 4 through the CSI (Camera Serial Interface) pins.
 738 The overall circuit is designed for a 12V system, with the +12V power supply indicated at
 739 various points. The Raspberry Pi 4's GPIO pins are used to control the relays.

740 4.3 Hardware Considerations

741 The hardware components include high-resolution cameras, lighting systems for consistent
 742 image capture, and microcontrollers like Raspberry Pi or Arduino for system control,
 743 actuators like DC and stepper motors to move the mangoes. The choice of hardware is
 744 justified based on cost, performance, and compatibility with the software framework.

745 4.3.1 General Prototype Framework




Fig. 4.2 Prototype Framework



746 The Figure 4.2 presents the overall prototype layout of the automated Carabao mango
747 sorter and grader. The diagram illustrates the flow of operations from mango loading onto
748 the conveyor belt to sorting them. It illustrates the major elements of the system, that is,
749 the image acquisition area, lighting system, camera module, Raspberry Pi controller, and
750 mechanical actuators. The layout illustrates how all the subsystems work together to ensure
751 mangoes are scanned, processed, sorted based on ripeness, size, and bruises, and eventually
752 sorted based on the calculated priority score. The layout served as the basis for actual
753 prototype development.

754 **4.3.2 Prototype Flowchart**

755 The flowchart in Figure 4.3 represents the overall operational logic of the mango grading
756 and sorting system. The process starts with system initialization, where the camera and
757 lighting modules are switched on and the machine learning algorithms are initialised. The
758 input of the user priority values as well as the detection of the mango on the conveyor
759 belt triggers the capture of both the top and bottom cheek of the mango. The captured
760 image is processed using machine learning algorithms to determine its ripeness, size, and
761 bruises. Depending on these classifications along with priority weights given by the user,
762 the system calculates an overall score. Once this calculation is done, the mango is routed to
763 the respective bin through the respective actuator. Having this logical sequence is important
764 to know the system's decision-making and automation process.




Fig. 4.3 Prototype Main Flowchart



De La Salle University



Fig. 4.4 Initial 3D Model of the Prototype



765 **4.3.3 Prototype 3D Model**

766 Figure 4.4 shows the first 3D model of the initial physical prototype developed for the
767 sorting and grading system. This model shows the skeleton of the system and where
768 the conveyor system is going to be placed strategically in order to flip the mango for
769 image acquisition. It is useful for where the hardware components would be arranged
770 and assembled. This 3D model helped the researchers visualize the spacing, alignment,
771 and where to mount parts before assembling the prototype making sure all electronic and
772 mechanical components are effectively integrated.

773 **4.3.4 Hardware Specifications**

774 **4.3.4.1 Raspberry Pi**




Fig. 4.5 Raspberry Pi 4 Model B

775 Figure 4.5 depicts the Raspberry Pi 4 Model B which is the core of the processing unit



De La Salle University

of the prototype. It was selected due to its small size, low cost, and high computing power for image processing and machine learning. The image depicts the most critical aspects of the board, such as the GPIO (General Purpose Input/Output) pins for sensor, actuator, and relay connections, and the USB and HDMI ports for other device connections. Its capability to support a full operating system makes it suitable for supporting both the user interface and the control logic of the mango grading system.

Specifications:

- SoC: Broadcom BCM2711
- CPU: Quad-core ARM Cortex-A72 (64-bit)
- Clock Speed: 1.5 GHz (base, overclockable)
- RAM: 8GB LPDDR4-3200 SDRAM
- Wireless: Dual-band 2.4 GHz / 5 GHz Wi-Fi (802.11ac)
- Bluetooth: Bluetooth 5.0 (BLE support)
- Ethernet: Gigabit Ethernet (full throughput)
- USB: 2 x USB 3.0 ports and 2 x USB 2.0 ports
- Video Output: 2 x micro-HDMI ports (supports 4K @ 60Hz, dual 4K display capability)
- Audio: 3.5mm audio/video composite jack
- Storage: MicroSD card slot (supports booting via SD card or USB)



- 795 • GPIO: 40-pin GPIO header (backward-compatible with older models)
- 796 • Camera/Display: CSI (camera) and DSI (display) ports
- 797 • Power Input: USB-C (5V/3A recommended)
- 798 • Power Consumption: 3W idle, up to 7.5W under load

799 **4.3.4.2 Raspberry Pi Camera**




Fig. 4.6 Raspberry Pi Camera Module Version 2

800 The Raspberry Pi Camera Module Version 2 is a high-quality camera module designed
801 for the Raspberry Pi platform. Likewise, it is capable of capturing still images at 8 megapix-
802 els, and supports video recording at 1080p @ 30fps, 720p @ 60fps, and 480p @ 90fps.
803 Moreover, it has a fixed-focus lens with a diagonal field of view of 62.2 degrees, and
804 an optical format of 1/4 inch. Furthermore, it supports various Python libraries such as
805 Picamera and OpenCV for image capture and processing. As such, it was selected for its



806 compact size, ease of integration, and ability to capture high-resolution images.

807

808 **Specifications:**

809 • Sensor: Sony IMX219PQ 8-megapixel CMOS sensor.

810 • Still Images Resolution: 8 MP (3280 x 2464 pixels).

811 • Video Resolution: Supports up to 1080p @ 30fps, 720p @ 60fps, and 480p @ 90fps.

812 • Focus: Fixed-focus lens (manual focus adjustment not supported without physical
813 modification).

814 • Lens Size: 1/4-inch optical format.

815 • Field of View (FoV): Diagonal 62.2 degrees.

816 • Interface: Connected via 15-pin ribbon cable to the Raspberry Pi's CSI (Camera
817 Serial Interface) port.

818 • APIs/Libraries: Supports Python libraries such as Picamera and OpenCV for image
819 capture and processing.

820 • Dimensions: 25 mm x 24 mm x 9 mm.

821 **4.3.4.3 DC Motor**

822 The 12 Volt DC Gear Motor is a compact, high-torque, and low-noise motor suitable for a
823 wide range of applications, including robotics, automation, and industrial control systems.

824 It features a spur gear design, which provides a high reduction ratio for increased torque
825 output. The motor is designed for continuous operation and has a low power consumption



Fig. 4.7 12 Volt DC Gear Motor

under standard load conditions. Likewise, it is also capable of withstanding high temperatures and has a high reliability. This motor was selected for its high torque output, low power consumption, and compact size, making it ideal for the conveyor system.

830 **Specifications:**

- 831 • Gearbox Type: Spur gear design
- 832 • Operating Voltage: 12V (operational range: 6-12V)
- 833 • No-load Current Consumption: 0.8A
- 834 • Rated Current Draw: 3A (under standard load)
- 835 • No-load Speed: 282 RPM (maximum)
- 836 • Operating Speed: 248 RPM (under rated load)



- 837 • Torque Output: 18 kg-cm (rated)
838 • Stall Torque: 60 kg-cm (maximum)
839 • Power Rating: 50W (maximum)
840 • Unit Weight: 350 grams

841 **4.3.4.4 MicroSD Card**



Fig. 4.8 SanDisk Ultra MicroSD Card

842 The SanDisk Ultra MicroSD Card is a compact, high-capacity, and secure digital
843 memory card that is suitable for a wide range of applications, including digital cameras,
844 smartphones, and tablets. It features a high-speed data transfer rate, making it ideal for
845 storing large files such as images and videos. This card was selected for its high capacity, se-
846 cure data protection, and ease of use, making it ideal for the storage system for the prototype.
847

848 **Specifications:**



De La Salle University

- 849 • Capacity: 256GB
850 • Type: MicroSDXC (Secure Digital eXtended Capacity)
851 • Form Factor: MicroSD (11mm x 15mm x 1mm)
852 • File System: Pre-formatted exFAT

853 **4.3.4.5 LED Lights**



Fig. 4.9 LED Light Strip

854 For the Light Emitting Diode (LED), they were used to provide consistent lighting for
855 image capture, ensuring accurate color representation and feature extraction. The LED
856 lights were selected for their energy efficiency, long lifespan, and ability to produce a
857 uniform light output.

858

859 **Specifications:**



- 860 • Power Input: 5V DC (USB-powered, compatible with laptops, power banks, or USB
861 adapters).
- 862 • Waterproof Design: Suitable for indoor/outdoor use.
- 863 • LED Type: SMD 2835 (surface-mount diodes for high brightness and efficiency).
- 864 • Color Type: White (cool white)
- 865 • Length: 1m
- 866 • Beam Angle: 120°
- 867 • Operating Temperature: -25°C to 60°C.
- 868 • Storage Temperature: -40°C to 80°C.

869 **4.3.4.6 Power Supply**

870 The bench power supply is a versatile and adjustable power source used to provide stable
871 voltage and current for various electronic projects. It is designed for testing applications,
872 allowing users to set specific voltage and current levels. This power supply was selected
873 for its versatility, ease of use, and ability to provide accurate voltage and current control for
874 the prototype.

875

876 **Specifications:**

- 877 • Type: SMPS (Switch-Mode Power Supply)
- 878 • Input: 110V AC, 50/60Hz (U.S. Standard)



Fig. 4.10 Bench Power Supply

- 879 • Output Range: 0-30V DC / 0-5A DC
- 880 • Voltage Precision: $\pm 0.010\text{V}$ (10 mV) resolution
- 881 • Current Precision: $\pm 0.001\text{A}$ (1 mA) resolution
- 882 • Power Precision: $\pm 0.1\text{W}$ resolution
- 883 • Weight: 5 lbs (2.27 kg)
- 884 • Dimensions: 11.1" x 4.92" x 6.14" (28.2 cm x 12.5 cm x 15.6 cm)
- 885 • Maximum Power: 195W
- 886 • Power Source: AC input only




Fig. 4.11 4 Channel Relay Module

887 **4.3.4.7 4 Channel Relay Module**

888 The 4 Channel Relay Module is a compact and versatile relay board that allows for the
889 control of multiple devices using a single microcontroller. This module was selected for
890 its compact size, ease of use, and ability to control multiple devices simultaneously. It is
891 designed to be used with microcontrollers such as Arduino and Raspberry Pi, allowing for
892 easy integration into the prototype.

893

894 **Specifications:**

- 895 • Operating Voltage: 5V DC (compatible with Arduino, Raspberry Pi, and other
896 microcontrollers).
- 897 • Number of Relays: 4 independent channels.
- 898 • Relay Type: Electromechanical (mechanical switching).



- Max AC Load: 10A @ 250V AC (resistive).
 - Max DC Load: 10A @ 30V DC (resistive).
 - Contact Type: SPDT (Single Pole Double Throw) - NO (Normally Open), NC (Normally Closed), COM (Common).
 - Dimensions: 50mm x 70mm x 20mm
 - Weight: 50-80 grams.
 - Status LEDs: Individual LEDs for each relay (indicates ON/OFF state).
 - Input Pins: 4 digital control pins (one per relay).
 - Output Terminals: Screw terminals for connecting loads (NO/NC/COM).

4.4 Software Considerations

The software stack includes Python for programming PyTorch for machine learning and OpenCV for image processing. These tools are selected for their robustness, ease of use, and extensive community support, ensuring efficient system development.

4.4.1 PyTorch

PyTorch is an open-source deep-learning framework used in this project for implementing and running the convolutional neural networks responsible for classifying mango ripeness and detecting bruises. Its dynamic computational graph and GPU acceleration support made it an ideal choice for real-time image classification. Its simplicity and flexibility also



917 allowed for easy integration with the Raspberry Pi which is important as it is the main
918 processing unit for the system.

919 **4.4.2 OpenCV**

920 Open Source Computer Vision Library or OpenCV is utilized in the system for all image
921 processing tasks, particularly in preprocessing steps such as background subtraction, thresh-
922 olding, edge detection, and contour analysis. These operations are essential for calculating
923 the real-world dimensions of the mango. OpenCV was utilized primarily because of its
924 diverse set of functions, performance optimization, and ease of use making it a core tool
925 for enabling accurate and fast computer vision processing within the prototype.

926 **4.4.3 CustomTkinter**

927 CustomTkinter is a modern alternative to the standard Tkinter library, and is used to
928 build the graphical user interface (GUI) of the system. It provides a more polished and
929 customizable visual appearance while retaining the simplicity of Tkinter. With features
930 such as styled buttons, frames, and labels, CustomTkinter allowed for the creation of
931 a user-friendly interface that supports real-time display of classification results, priority
932 scoring inputs, and system status updates.

933 **4.5 Security and Reliability Considerations**

934 Potential vulnerabilities, such as data corruption during image capture, are addressed
935 through redundancy and error-checking mechanisms. Reliability is ensured by implement-
936 ing fault-tolerant designs and rigorous testing protocols.



937 **4.6 Scalability and Efficiency Considerations**

938 The system is designed to handle large volumes of mangoes by optimizing the machine
939 learning model and using parallel processing techniques. Efficiency is improved through
940 techniques like model quantization and hardware acceleration.

941 **4.7 User Interface**

942 A User Interface (UI) is designed to display grading results, system status. Wireframes
943 illustrate the layout, ensuring usability and accessibility for operators. Likewise, a Graphical
944 User Interface (GUI) is also used to allow users to customize the system's grading priorities.

945 **4.8 Constraints and Limitations**

946 Challenges include variations in mango appearance due to lighting and environmental
947 factors. Trade-offs are made between model complexity and real-time performance to
948 balance accuracy and speed.

949 **4.9 Technical Standards**

950 The system adheres to industry standards for image processing and machine learning,
951 ensuring compatibility and interoperability with other systems.



952 **4.10 Prototyping and Simulation**

953 Prototypes are developed using tools like MATLAB and Simulink to simulate the system's
954 performance. These simulations help identify design flaws and optimize the system before
955 deployment.,

956 **4.11 Design Validation**

957 The design is validated through testing, including unit testing of individual modules and
958 integration testing of the entire system. Peer reviews and iterative improvements ensure the
959 system meets the desired performance metrics.

960 **4.12 Summary**

961 This chapter outlined the key design considerations, including system architecture, hardware
962 and software choices, and validation methods. These decisions are critical for developing a
963 reliable and efficient mango sorting and grading system.



964

Chapter 5

965

METHODOLOGY



TABLE 5.1 SUMMARY OF METHODS FOR REACHING THE OBJECTIVES

| Objectives | Methods | Locations |
|---|---|-------------------|
| GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hardware design: Build an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt, LED lights, and Raspberry Pi Camera 2. Software design: Coded a Raspberry Pi application to grade and sort the Carabao mangoes | Sec. 5.2 on p. 54 |
| SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hardware implementation: Design and build an image acquisition system prototype | Sec. 5.3 on p. 54 |
| SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying bruises and ripeness 2. Data collection: Gather our own Carabao mango dataset together with an online dataset | Sec. 5.5 on p. 56 |

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

| Objectives | Methods | Locations |
|--|--|---------------------|
| SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Algorithm development: To develop a code for the image acquisition system 2. Hardware design: To design a schematic for the microcontroller based system | Sec. 5.3 on p. 54 |
| SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Formula development: Formulated an equation based on the inputted user priority and the predicted mango classification | Sec. 5.7 on p. 61 |
| SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying bruises | Sec. 5.6.3 on p. 60 |
| SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying ripeness | Sec. 5.6.2 on p. 59 |
| SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accuracy testing: Get the percent accuracy testing for getting the length and width of the Carabao mango | Sec. 5.6.4 on p. 61 |



966 **5.1 Introduction**

967 The methodology for this research outlines the development of the Carabao Mango sorter
968 using machine learning and computer vision. The sorting system uses a conveyor belt
969 system which delivers the mangoes into the image acquisition system. This system captures
970 the image of the mangoes which will then be going through the various stages of image
971 processing and classification into grades which will depend on the priority of the user.
972 This methodology ensures that the grading of the mangoes will be accurate while being
973 non-destructive.

974 **5.2 Research Approach**

975 This study applies the experimental approach for research in order to develop and properly
976 test the proposed system. The experimental approach of the methodology will allow the
977 researchers to fine-tune the parameters and other factors in the classification of mangoes in
978 order to get optimal results with high accuracy scores while maintaining the quality of the
979 mangoes. This approach will also allow for real-time data processing and classification
980 which will improve the previous static grading systems.

981 **5.3 Hardware Design**

982 The prototype consists of hardware and software components for automated mango sorting
983 and grading purposes. The hardware includes the conveyor belt system used to transfer
984 mangoes from scanning to sorting smoothly. A camera and lighting system are able
985 to collect high-resolution images for analysis. The DC motors and stepper motors are



986 responsible for driving the conveyor belt and sorting actuators. The entire system is
987 controlled by a microcontroller (Raspberry Pi 4b), coordinating actions of all components.
988 Sorting actuators then direct mangoes into selected bins based on their classification to
989 make sorting efficient.

990 **5.4 Software Design**

991 For the programming language used for the prototype and training and testing the CNN
992 model, Python was used for training and testing the CNN model and it was also used in the
993 microcontroller to run the application containing the UI and CNN model. PyTorch was the
994 main library used in using the EfficientNet model that is used in classifying the ripeness
995 and bruises of the mango. Likewise, tkinter is the used library when designing the UI in
996 Python.

997 Furthermore, the rest of the software components are of utmost importance to mango
998 classification. Image processing algorithms in OpenCV and CNN models extract features
999 such as color, size, and bruises that are known to determine quality parameters of mangoes.
1000 Mangoes are classified based on ripeness and defects by using machine learning algorithms,
1001 which further enhances accuracy using deep learning techniques. A user interface (UI) is
1002 designed for users to control and observe the system in real time. Finally, the interface
1003 programming of the microcontroller provides the necessary synchronization between
1004 sensors, actuators, and motors throughout the sorting operation scenario.



5.5 Data Collection Methods

For the data collection, online available image datasets with Carabao mangoes were used together with the captured Carabao mango images. For the setup of the captured Carabao mangoes, the height of the camera to the white flat surface is 26 cm which can be seen on Figure 5.1. Furthermore, the S24's camera is used for capturing both cheeks of the Carabao mango. Initially, the Carabao mangoes would be unripe and green and each day the Carabao mangoes would be pictured until they are ripe.



Fig. 5.1 Carabao Mango Image Data Collection

5.6 Testing and Evaluation Methods

In a bid to ensure the mango sorting and grading system is accurate and reliable, there is intensive testing conducted at different levels. Unit testing is initially conducted on each component separately, for instance, the conveyor belt, sensors, and cameras, to ensure that



1016 each of the components works as expected when operating separately. After component
 1017 testing on an individual basis, integration testing is conducted to ensure communication
 1018 between hardware and software is correct to ensure the image processing system, motors,
 1019 and sorting actuators work in concert as required. System testing is conducted to con-
 1020 duct overall system performance testing in real-world conditions to ensure mangoes are
 1021 accurately and efficiently sorted and graded.

5.6.1 Classification Report

5.6.1.1 Confusion Matrix

| | Predicted Positive | Predicted Negative |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Actual Positive | TP | FN |
| Actual Negative | FP | TN |

TABLE 5.2 CONFUSION MATRIX EXAMPLE

1024 A confusion matrix is a table that visualizes the performance of a classification model.
 1025 For a binary classification problem, it has four components:

- 1027 • True Positives (TP): Cases correctly predicted as positive
- 1028 • True Negatives (TN): Cases correctly predicted as negative
- 1029 • False Positives (FP): Cases incorrectly predicted as positive. (Type I error)
- 1030 • False Negatives (FN): Cases incorrectly predicted as negative (Type II error)

1031 **5.6.1.2 Precision**

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (5.1)$$

1032 Precision measures how many of the predicted positives are actually positive. It answers
 1033 the question: "When the model predicts the positive class, how often is it correct?" High
 1034 precision means low false positives.

1035 **5.6.1.3 Recall**

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (5.2)$$

1036 Recall, which is also called sensitivity, measures how many of the actual positives were
 1037 correctly identified. It answers the question: "Of all the actual positive cases, how many
 1038 did the model catch?" High recall means low false negatives.

1039 **5.6.1.4 F1 Score**

$$F_1 = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad (5.3)$$

1040 The F1 score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall. It provides a single metric
 1041 that balances both concerns. This is particularly useful when you need to find a balance
 1042 between precision and recall, as optimizing for one often decreases the other.

1043 **5.6.1.5 Accuracy**

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \quad (5.4)$$



1044 Accuracy measures the proportion of correct predictions (both true positives and true
1045 negatives) among the total cases. While intuitive, accuracy can be misleading with imbal-
1046 anced datasets.

1047 To test system performance, various measures of performance are used to evaluate.
1048 As seen on equation 5.4, accuracy score is used to measure the percentage of correctly
1049 classified mangoes to ensure the system maintains high precision levels. Precision as seen
1050 on equation 5.1 and recall as seen on equation 5.2 are used to measure consistency of
1051 classification to determine if the system classifies different ripeness levels and defects
1052 correctly. Furthermore, the F1 score formula as seen on equation 5.3 is used to evaluate the
1053 performance of the model's classification.

1054 A confusion matrix is used to measure correct and incorrect classification to ensure the
1055 machine learning model is optimized and that minimum errors are achieved. Throughput
1056 analysis is also used to determine the rate and efficiency of sorting to ensure that the
1057 system maintains high capacity without bottlenecks to sort mangoes. Using these methods
1058 of testing, the system is constantly optimized to ensure high-quality and reliable mango
1059 classification.

1060 **5.6.2 Ripeness Training and Testing**

1061 For the testing of the ripeness classification, the Carabao mangoes are classified into three
1062 ripeness stages which are Green, green yellow, and yellow. Likewise, The green would
1063 represent the ripe mangoes while the green yellow would represent the semi ripe while the
1064 yellow would represent the ripe mangoes. As reference, Figure 5.3 shows the different
1065 ripeness stages for Carabao/Pico mangoes.



Annex A

Stages of ripeness of 'carabao' and 'pico' mango fruits

| Stage of ripeness | Peel color | Flesh color |
|-------------------|--|--|
| Green | Completely light green | Yellowish white or light yellow green |
| Breaker | Traces of yellow | Middle area and fruit outline yellowish; other areas, white to yellowish white |
| Turning | More green than yellow | More yellow than white |
| Semi-ripe | More yellow than green | Yellow for 'carabao'; yellow orange for 'pico' |
| Ripe | 80-100% yellow ('carabao') or yellow orange ('pico') | Middle area yellow for 'carabao'; yellow orange for 'pico' |
| Overripe | Yellow for 'carabao'; yellow orange for 'pico' | 100% yellow for 'carabao' and yellow orange for 'pico' |

Fig. 5.2 Carabao Mango Ripeness Stages

5.6.3 Bruises Training and Testing

For the testing of the bruise classification of the Carabao mangoes, it would classified into two categories which are bruised and not bruised. To define what bruise and not bruise mangoes looked like Figure 5.3 is used as reference to categorize which mangoes are bruised and not bruised.




Fig. 5.3 Different Kinds of Mango Defects



1071 5.6.4 Size Determination

1072 To get the size of the mangoes, computer vision techniques such as Gaussian Blur and
 1073 Thresholding are used to get the length and width of the mangoes.

1074 5.7 Mango Formula with User Priority

1075 The linear equation used to calculate the Carabao mango grade is shown below. Likewise,
 1076 the variables $B(P)$, $R(P)$, and $S(P)$ represent the user-defined priority weightings for
 1077 bruising, ripeness, and size characteristics in the User Priority-Based Grading system.
 1078 Additionally, $b(p)$, $r(p)$, and $s(p)$ correspond to the machine learning model's predicted
 1079 values for the bruising, ripeness, and size attributes of the Carabao mango.

$$\text{Mango Grade} = b(P)B(P) + r(P)R(P) + s(P)S(P) \quad (5.5)$$

1080 The machine learning predictions are assigned the following numerical values:

1081 Ripeness Scores:

$$r(\text{yellow}) = 1.0 \quad (5.6)$$

$$r(\text{yellow-green}) = 2.0 \quad (5.7)$$

$$r(\text{green}) = 3.0 \quad (5.8)$$

1082 Bruises Scores:

$$b(\text{bruised}) = 1.0 \quad (5.9)$$

$$b(\text{unbruised}) = 2.0 \quad (5.10)$$



1083 **Size Scores:**

$$s(\text{small}) = 1.0 \quad (5.11)$$

$$s(\text{medium}) = 2.0 \quad (5.12)$$

$$s(\text{large}) = 3.0 \quad (5.13)$$

5.8 Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations ensure that the system is operated safely and responsibly. Data privacy is ensured by securely storing and anonymizing extracted images and classification data so that unauthorized access becomes impossible. The system is also eco-friendly through non-destructive testing, saving mangoes while also ensuring that they are of good quality. Safety in operations is also ensured by protecting moving parts to prevent mechanical harm and incorporating fail-safes to securely stop operation in case of malfunction. Addressing these concerns, the system is not only accurate and efficient but also secure, eco-friendly, and safe for operators, thus a sustainable solution to automated mango sorting and grading.

5.9 Summary

This chapter explained how to create an automatic Carabao mango sorter and grader using machine learning and computer vision. The system integrates hardware and software resources, including a conveyor belt, cameras, sensors, and actuators, to offer accurate, real-time sorting by ripeness, size, and bruises. Various testing and evaluation processes ensure its performance to offer reliability. Ethical issues are data privacy, environmental



De La Salle University

1100 sustainability, and operation safety. With enhanced efficiency, reduced human error, and
1101 enhanced quality, this system provides an affordable, scalable, and non-destructive solution
1102 to post-harvest mango classification in agricultural industries.



1103

Chapter 6

1104

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS



TABLE 6.1 SUMMARY OF METHODS FOR ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES

| Objectives | Methods | Locations |
|---|--|-------------------|
| GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises. | <p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully developed a user-priority-based grading and sorting system using machine learning and computer vision which can assess the mangoes' ripeness, size and bruises. <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More work needs to be done to fine tune the software components to achieve higher accuracy such as changing hyperparameters or using a newer version of EfficientNet 2. More work needs to be done to make the hardware component more robust such as by fixing the camera and LED lights in place | Sec. 6.6 on p. 76 |
| SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes. | <p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully integrated a conveyor belt with the image acquisition in order to achieve efficient flow of automated sorting and grading of the mangoes. 2. Successfully integrated LED strips to provide optimal lighting for image capturing of the mangoes. 3. Successfully fixed the hardware components in place <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully integrated a conveyor belt with the image acquisition in order to achieve efficient flow of automated sorting and grading of the mangoes. 2. Successfully integrated LED strips to provide optimal lighting for image capturing of the mangoes. 3. Need to fix the hardware components in place | Sec. 6.4 on p. 73 |

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

| Objectives | Methods | Locations |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| <p>SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.</p> | <p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for bruises classification of Carabao mangoes <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully achieved at least 93% accuracy for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes 2. Successfully achieved at least 73% accuracy for bruise classification of Carabao Mangoes | <p>Sec. 6.1 on p. 69</p> |
| <p>SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning.</p> | <p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully made a conveyor belt system to move the mangoes through the image acquisition system to the sorting system 2. Successfully mounted the image acquisition system on the prototype 3. Successfully made the frame for the conveyor belt and image acquisition system to sit on <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully made a conveyor belt system to move the mangoes through the image acquisition system to the sorting system 2. Temporarily mounted the image acquisition system on the prototype 3. Successfully made the frame for the conveyor belt and image acquisition system to sit on | <p>Sec. 6.4 on p. 73</p> |

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

| Objectives | Methods | Locations |
|---|---|-------------------|
| SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises. | <p>Expected Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Successfully grade mangoes based on the user priorities on the physical characteristics of the mango2. Successfully verified with qualified individual the results3. Successfully utilize the weighted equation to evaluate mango grade based on user priorities <p>Actual Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Successfully grade mangoes based on the user priorities on the physical characteristics of the mango2. Successfully utilize the weighted equation to evaluate mango grade based on user priorities3. Need to look for a qualified person to evaluate the graded mango for ground truth | Sec. 6.3 on p. 72 |

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

| Objectives | Methods | Locations |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| <p>SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes.</p> | <p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Achieve at least 90% accuracy on performance metrics 2. Obtain performance metrics for kNN, k-mean, and Naive Bayes methods for comparison and show the superior performance of using CNN 3. Successfully fine tuned the CNN model to achieve the highest accuracy possible, choosing the best performing among EfficientNet b0-b7, and testing other CNN hyperparameters <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully trained a CNN model using EfficientNet-b0 and Adam Optimizer to detect ripeness based on color 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes | <p>Sec. 6.1.1 on p. 69</p> |
| <p>SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques.</p> | <p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully classified mango size using computer vision techniques 2. Successfully tuned to have an accurate size with an 80 percent accuracy rating <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully classified mango size using computer vision techniques 2. Calculation of mango size is somewhat inaccurate and needs more fine tuning | <p>Sec. 6.2 on p. 72</p> |

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

| Objectives | Methods | Locations |
|--|---|------------------------|
| SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms. | <p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Achieve at least 90% accuracy on performance metrics 2. Successfully fine tuned the CNN model to achieve the highest accuracy possible, choosing the best performing among EfficientNet b0-b7, and testing other CNN hyperparameters <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully trained a CNN model using EfficientNet-b0 and Adam Optimizer to bruises 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for bruise classification of Carabao mangoes | Sec. 6.1.2 on p. 72 |

1105 6.1 Training and Testing Results of the Model

1106 6.1.1 Ripeness Classification Results

1107 Add the F1-Score and etc here

| EfficientNet Version | Precision | Recall | F1 | Test Accuracy |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|--------|---------------|
| b0 | 0.9841 | 0.9838 | 0.9838 | 0.98 |
| b1 | 0.9876 | 0.9876 | 0.9876 | 0.99 |
| b2 | 0.9802 | 0.9801 | 0.9801 | 0.98 |
| b3 | 0.9709 | 0.968 | 0.9684 | 0.97 |
| b4 | 0.9716 | 0.9699 | 0.9699 | 0.97 |

TABLE 6.2 PERFORMANCE METRICS FOR DIFFERENT EFFICIENTNET VERSIONS



| | Precision | Recall | F1 | Support |
|--------------|-----------|--------|------|---------|
| Green | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 135 |
| Green Yellow | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 81 |
| Yellow | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 80 |
| Accuracy | | | 0.83 | 296 |
| Macro Avg | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 296 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 296 |

TABLE 6.3 RIPENESS CLASSIFICATION REPORT USING KNN




Fig. 6.1 Ripeness Confusion Matrix using kNN

| | Precision | Recall | F1 | Support |
|--------------|-----------|--------|------|---------|
| Green | 0.96 | 0.76 | 0.85 | 135 |
| Yellow Green | 0.75 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 81 |
| Yellow | 0.45 | 0.88 | 0.59 | 80 |
| Accuracy | | | 0.67 | 296 |
| Macro Avg | 0.72 | 0.64 | 0.62 | 296 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.76 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 296 |

TABLE 6.4 RIPENESS CLASSIFICATION REPORT USING NAIVE BAYES




Fig. 6.2 Ripeness Confusion Matrix using Naive Bayes

| | Precision | Recall | F1 | Support |
|--------------|-----------|--------|------|---------|
| Bruised | 0.97 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 1515 |
| Not Bruised | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 1146 |
| Accuracy | | | 0.93 | 2661 |
| Macro Avg | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 2661 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 2661 |

TABLE 6.5 BRUISES CLASSIFICATION REPORT USING CNN




Fig. 6.3 Bruises Confusion Matrix using CNN



| Metrics | Results |
|-----------|---------|
| Precision | 0.9318 |
| Recall | 0.9275 |
| F1 Score | 0.9278 |

TABLE 6.6 SUMMARIZED CLASSIFICATION REPORT USING CNN

6.1.2 Bruises Classification Results

6.2 Size Determination Results

6.3 Formula with User Priority

$B(P)$ and $R(P)$ and $S(P)$ are the User Priority-Based Grading for bruises, ripeness, and size of the Carabao mango. Furthermore, $b(p)$ and $r(p)$ and $s(p)$ are the machine learning's predictions for bruises, ripeness, and size of the Carabao mango. The formula for the user priority is given by:

$$\text{Mango Grade} = b(P)B(P) + r(P)R(P) + s(P)S(P) \quad (6.1)$$

The machine learning predictions are assigned the following numerical values:

Ripeness Scores:

$$r(\text{yellow}) = 1.0 \quad (6.2)$$

$$r(\text{yellow_green}) = 2.0 \quad (6.3)$$

$$r(\text{green}) = 3.0 \quad (6.4)$$



1117

Bruises Scores:

$$b(\text{bruised}) = 1.0 \quad (6.5)$$

$$b(\text{unbruised}) = 2.0 \quad (6.6)$$

1118

Size Scores:

$$s(\text{small}) = 1.0 \quad (6.7)$$

$$s(\text{medium}) = 2.0 \quad (6.8)$$

$$s(\text{large}) = 3.0 \quad (6.9)$$

1119

6.4 Physical Prototype

1120

Add pictures of the hardware prototype here with description



Fig. 6.4 Prototype Top View



De La Salle University



Fig. 6.5 Entrance Conveyor Belt View




Fig. 6.6 Side Conveyor Belt View




Fig. 6.7 Prototype Main Hardware



Fig. 6.8 DC Motor and Pulley




Fig. 6.9 LED Lights and Camera Module

6.5 Software Application

Show the raspberry pi app UI and demonstrate it here

6.6 Summary

Provide the gist of this chapter such that it reflects the contents and the message. This is a compile test

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University




Fig. 6.10 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 1




Fig. 6.11 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 2

6. Results and Discussions




Fig. 6.12 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 3




Fig. 6.13 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 4

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University




Fig. 6.14 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 5



1127 **Chapter 7**

1128 **CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND**
1129 **FUTURE DIRECTIVES**



7.1 Concluding Remarks

In this Thesis, the prototype is successful in grading and sorting Carabao mangoes based on the user priority and machine learning algorithm. More specifically, the prototype is successful in automatically classifying Carabao mangoes based on ripeness (Green, Green Yellow, and Yellow), size (Large, Medium, Small), and bruises (bruised and not bruised)

7.2 Contributions

The contributions of each group member are as follows:

- BANAL Kenan A.: Scrum Master (Project manager in charge of the hardware and software integration)
- BAUTISTA Francis Robert Miguel F.: Front End Engineer (UI/UX Designer in charge of software interface and hardware assistant of the Scrum Master)
- HERMOSURA Don Humphrey L. : Back End Engineer (Software Engineer in charge of the machine learning algorithm and software assistant of the Scrum Master)
- SALAZAR Daniel G.: Product Engineer (Software Engineer in charge of training and testing of the machine learning algorithm)

7.3 Recommendations

The researchers recommend that the prototype be improved in the optimization of the machine learning algorithm and the hardware design. The researchers also recommend that



1149 the prototype be tested in the actual grading and sorting of Carabao mangoes in the market.

1150 **7.4 Future Prospects**

1151 Future researchers may consider the following recommendations for future work:

1152 1. User testing of the prototype in the actual grading and sorting of Carabao mangoes

1153 in the Philippine market.

1154 2. Additional of weight measurement to the prototype to improve the grading and

1155 sorting of Carabao mangoes.

1156 3. Integration of a custom PCB to improve the hardware design of the prototype.

7. Conclusions, Recommendations, and Future Directives



De La Salle University

1157

Produced: September 1, 2025, 11:23



De La Salle University

1158

Appendix A STUDENT RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE

1159

A. Student Research Ethics Clearance



De La Salle University

1160

| RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE FORM ¹ For Thesis Proposals | |
|---|--|
| Names of Student Researcher(s): BANAL, Kenan A. BAUTISTA, Francis Robert Miguel F. HERMOSURA, Don Humphrey L. SALAZAR, Daniel G | |
| College: GCOE | |
| Department: ECE | |
| Course: Computer Engineering | |
| Expected Duration of the Project: from: January 4 2025 to: January 4 2026 | |
| Ethical considerations (The Ethics Checklists may be used as guides in determining areas for ethical concern/consideration) | |
| To the best of my knowledge, the ethical issues listed above have been addressed in the research. Dr. Reggie C. Gustilo | |
| Name and Signature of Adviser/Mentor: Date: February 5, 2025 | |
| Noted by: Dr. Argel Bandala | |
| Name and Signature of the Department Chairperson: Date: February 6, 2025 | |

¹ The same form can be used for the reports of completed projects. The appropriate heading need only be used.



De La Salle University

1161

Appendix B ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS TO THIS THESIS

1162



B1 How important is the problem to practice?

A possible answer to this question is the summary of your Significance of the Study, and that portion of the Problem Statement where you describe the ideal scenario for your intended audience.

1163
 1164
 1165
 1166
 1167
 1168
 1169
 1170
 1171
 1172
 1173
 1174
 1175
 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2 How will you know if the solution/s that you will achieve would be better than existing ones?

1176
 1177
 1178
 1179
 1180
 1181
 1182
 1183
 1184
 1185
 1186
 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1 How will you measure the improvement/s?

1187
 1188
 1189
 1190
 1191
 1192
 1193
 1194
 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.



1195 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1196 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.1 What is/are your basis/bases for the improvement/s?

1198 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1199 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1200 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1201 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1202 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1203 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1204 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1205 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1206 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.2 Why did you choose that/those basis/bases?

1208 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1209 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1210 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1211 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1212 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1213 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1214 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1215 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1216 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.3 How significant are your measure/s of the improvement/s?

1218 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1219 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1220 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1221 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1222 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1223 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1224 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1225 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1226 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



1227 **B3 What is the difference of the solution/s from ex-**

1228 **existing ones?**

1229 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.

1230 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec

1231 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus

1232 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.

1233 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla

1234 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue

1235 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.

1236 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit

1237 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1238 **B3.1 How is it different from previous and existing ones?**

1239 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.

1240 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec

1241 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus

1242 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.

1243 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla

1244 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue

1245 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.

1246 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit

1247 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1248 **B4 What are the assumptions made (that are behind**

1249 **for your proposed solution to work)?**

1250 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.

1251 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec

1252 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus

1253 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.

1254 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla

1255 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue

1256 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.

1257 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit

1258 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



1259 **B4.1 Will your proposed solution/s be sensitive to these as-**
 1260 **sump tions?**

1261 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1262 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1263 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1264 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1265 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1266 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1267 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1268 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1269 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1270 **B4.2 Can your proposed solution/s be applied to more general**
 1271 **cases when some assumptions are eliminated? If so, how?**

1272 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1273 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1274 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1275 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1276 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1277 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1278 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1279 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1280 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1281 **B5 What is the necessity of your approach / pro-**
 1282 **posed solution/s?**

1283 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1284 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1285 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1286 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1287 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1288 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1289 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1290 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1291 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



1292 **B5.1 What will be the limits of applicability of your proposed so-**
 1293 **solution/s?**

1294 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1295 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1296 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1297 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1298 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1299 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1300 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1301 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1302 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1303 **B5.2 What will be the message of the proposed solution to**
 1304 **technical people? How about to non-technical managers and**
 1305 **business people?**

1306 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1307 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1308 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1309 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1310 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1311 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1312 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1313 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1314 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1315 **B6 How will you know if your proposed solution/s**
 1316 **is/are correct?**

1317 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1318 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1319 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1320 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1321 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1322 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1323 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.



1324 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1325 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

**B6.1 Will your results warrant the level of mathematics used
(i.e., will the end justify the means)?**

1328 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1329 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1330 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1331 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1332 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1333 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1334 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1335 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1336 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

**B7 Is/are there an/_ alternative way/s to get to the
same solution/s?**

1339 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1340 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1341 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1342 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1343 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1344 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1345 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1346 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1347 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

**B7.1 Can you come up with illustrating examples, or even
better, counterexamples to your proposed solution/s?**

1350 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1351 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1352 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1353 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1354 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1355 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue



1356 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1357 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1358 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B7.2 Is there an approximation that can arrive at essentially the same proposed solution/s more easily?

1361 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1362 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1363 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1364 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1365 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1366 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1367 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1368 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1369 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B8 If you were the examiner of your Thesis, how would you present the Thesis in another way? Give your remarks, especially for your methodology and the results and discussions.

1374 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1375 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1376 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1377 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1378 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1379 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1380 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1381 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1382 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B8.1 What are the weaknesses of your Thesis, specifically your methodology and the results and discussions?

1383 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1384 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec



De La Salle University

- 1387 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
1388 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
1389 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
1390 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
1391 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
1392 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
1393 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



De La Salle University

1394

Appendix C REVISIONS TO THE PROPOSAL

1395

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1396

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions

Zoom Recording:

https://zoom.us/rec/share/mrn9zBtPz3bJ5laVcy2E8-iBno8A6fBRgOCacMrhmzLPCNO0IDxXBHiK_xzdicEb.MzbHGzrD7rL3tVgJ?startTIme=1731326444000

Passcode: +7qL6DZE

| Panelist's Comments and Revisions | Action Taken | Page Number |
|---|---|-------------|
| Capture both two sides of the mango and not just one to remove error | The image capturing system would only capture the two sides of the mango which are the two largest surface areas of the skin. | 18 |
| How will you get large dataset with sweetness and how will you classify it? | Remove Sweetness in the SO | 13 |
| Size and weight are not the same. | Remove Weight in objectives but retained size in the SO4 and SO6 | |
| Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting | SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes. | 13 |
| Add what process will be used to get the size classification | SO6: To classify mango size by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques | 13 |
| Add what process the ripeness classification will be | SO5: To classify mango ripeness using kNN or nearest neighbors algorithm | 13 |
| Get rid of texture in the general objectives | Texture is removed in the SOs | 13 |
| Get rid of CNN in general objectives and replace with machine learning | CNN is removed and replaced with machine learning GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning to assess ripeness, size, and bruises. | 13 |
| Remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to "to create a microcontroller based application" | SO3: To create a microcontroller application to operate and control the prototype. | 13 |
| Remove SO4. No need for user testing | Removed user test and the new SO4 is SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises. | 13 |
| Fix IPO to the correct input and output | Input: Two side image of the Carabao Mango and the User Priority Attributes Process: Machine Learning Algorithm, Grading Formula, and CNN model using a microcontroller Output: Size, Ripeness, and Bruises | 20 |

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1397

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

| | Classification with its Overall Grade | |
|--|---|---|
| Define bruises | The black or brown area of the mango that is visible on the skin of the mango. | 6 |
| Dataset should use at least 10,000 images | Added to expected deliverables SO2: To use a publicly available dataset of at least 10,000 mango images for classification of ripeness, and bruises. | 14 |
| Add to specific objectives the percentage accuracy | SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%. | 14 |
| Weight sensor just adds complexity | removed all mention of load sensor, load cell. removed load cell methodology | 39,40,41, 42,43,44 previousl y |



1398

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions

Zoom Recording:

https://zoom.us/rec/share/mrn9zBtPz3bJ5laVcy2E8-iBno8A6fBRgOCacMrhmzLPCNO0IDxXBHiK_xzdicEb.MzbHGzrD7rL3tVgJ?startTim=e=1731326444000

Passcode: +?qL6DZE

Summary:

- Specific Objectives
- Add:
 - what process will be used to get the sweetness classification
 - what process the ripeness classification will be
 - what process will be used to get the size classification
 - Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting
- Remove:
 - get rid of texture in the general objectives
 - get rid of cnn in general objectives and replace with machine learning
 - remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to “to create a microcontroller based application”
 - remove SO4. No need for user testing

Comments:

*[00-00] time stamps from recording

- [15:00] Why only the top side of the mango? Isn't the point of automation to reduce human error? Then what about the bottom side wouldn't that just introduce another error if the mango happens to have defects on the bottom?
- [16:09] What is the load cell for? Size is not the same as weight. If size is taken from the weight wouldn't size be also taken from the image. If size then adding a load cell would just introduce more complexity, if weight then load cell is fine. reminder that size is not the same as weight.
- [17:36] When computer vision, state input and output parameters. Output parameters in this case would be sweetness, ripeness, size and bruising. Input parameters would be images.
- [18:12] No mention of how the dataset would be gathered. Would you be gather your own dataset or using a publicly available dataset
- [21:38] Fix IPO based on mention input and output parameters.
- [21:50] Dataset is lacking. Usually in machine learning at least 10,000 images. can take more than one image per mango. after taking an image of mango can make more out of the image using data augmentations.
- [22:48] Add to specific Objectives the mentioned 80%
- [23:09] Consultant that would grade the mangoes as a third party to remove biases. For both the testing and the training
- [24:55] How do you detect the sweetness of mangoes? Add these to the specific objectives. What are the categories of sweetness? Add these to specific objectives. How do

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1399

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

you detect the correct categorization of sweetness? How to automate the classification of the sweetness.

- [33:10] Why is the dataset destructive but the testing non destructive? Clarify this further to avoid confusion.
- [35:09] What is the basis of sweetness using images? Clarify this further.
- [35:35] How would you know if the classifier is correct or not? What is your ground truth (for the sweetness)?
- [38:55] When can you say you are getting the top side of the mango? How would you know if the mango images showing the top side or the bottom side of both cheeks of the mango can be captured? If it doesn't matter then any side can be captured so why is it in the limitations that only the top side can be captured. Clarify the limitations.
- [48:10] What classifier would you use here? What features would you extract from the images?
- [52:07] Does it explain what process will be used to get the sweetness classification? Add it to the specific objectives
- [54:00] How will ripeness be classified? Will it use the same dataset as the sweetness classification did? How was ground truth obtained?
- [55:44] Why not the nearest neighbor? It is more fit in this scenario. Do not specify CNN in the objectives. The embedded systems as well, do not specify the Raspberry pi unless truly sure
- [57:30] Table is just image processing. Is there a specific objective that would describe how ripeness classification will be done? Add this to the specific objectives.
- [59:10] How is the weight obtained? Add it to the specific objectives. Remember that size is not proportional to weight. Size could be obtained from the image as the camera is from a fixed distance. Add to specific objectives how to get the size
- [1:00:00] get rid of texture in the general objectives. get rid of cnn in general objectives and replace with machine learning. as each parameter will use a different method.
- [1:04:00] remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to "to create a microcontroller based application"
- [1:04:37] remove SO4. no more user testing
- [1:05:00] The formula used for grading the mangoes, is this used as industry standard? How do they measure the export quality of mango
- [1:07:00] Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting

Here are my comments on my end :)

1. Ensure seamless integration between hardware (sensors, motors, etc.) and software (CNNs, Raspberry Pi). You can consider using a modular approach for easier troubleshooting.
2. How do you gather a comprehensive and diverse dataset for training your CNN. This will enhance the model's robustness and accuracy.
3. Make sure that the weight sensors are calibrated correctly to avoid measurement errors.

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1400

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

4. Implement data augmentation techniques to enhance your image dataset, which can improve model generalization and accuracy.
5. Design an intuitive user interface for the Raspberry Pi application.
6. Besides precision, recall, and F1 score, consider incorporating confusion matrices to better understand model performance and error types.
7. Conduct user testing of the application to gather feedback on usability and functionality. This can lead to improvements in design and user experience. Consider how the system can be scaled or adapted for different fruits or larger processing volumes in the future.

Noted by:



Dr. Donabel de Veas Abuan
Chair of Panel

Date: November 11 2024

Note: Keep a copy of this Appendix. It is a requirement that has to be submitted in order to qualify for PRO3 Defense.



De La Salle University

1401

Appendix D REVISIONS TO THE FINAL

1402



- 1403 Make a table with the following columns for showing the summary of revisions to the proposal based on the comments of the panel of examiners.
- 1404
- 1405 1. Examiner
- 1406 2. Comment
- 1407 3. Summary of how the comment has been addressed
- 1408 4. Locations in the document where the changes have been reflected

TABLE D.1 SUMMARY OF REVISIONS TO THE THESIS

| Examiner | Comment | Summary of how the comment has been addressed | Locations |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| Dr. Reggie C. Gustilo | <p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p> <p>First itemtext</p> <p>Second itemtext</p> <p>Last itemtext</p> <p>First itemtext</p> <p>Second itemtext</p> | <p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p> | <p>Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ??</p> |

Continued on next page



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

| Examiner | Comment | Summary of how the comment has been addressed | Locations |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Dr. Donable de Veas Abuan | 1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext | 1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext First itemtext Second itemtext Last itemtext First itemtext Second itemtext | Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ??? |
| Engr. Jose Martin Maningo | 1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext | 1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext • First itemtext • Second itemtext • Last itemtext • First itemtext • Second itemtext | Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ??? |

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

| Examiner | Comment | Summary of how the comment has been addressed | Locations |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Dr. Alexander Co Abad | 1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext | 1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext | Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ??? |



De La Salle University

1409

Appendix E ARTICLE PAPER(S)

1410

Article/Forum Paper Format

(IEEE LaTeX format)

Michael Shell, *Member, IEEE*, John Doe, *Fellow, OSA*, and Jane Doe, *Life Fellow, IEEE*

1411

Abstract—The abstract goes here. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Index Terms—Computer Society, IEEE, IEEEtran, journal, L^AT_EX, paper, template.

I. INTRODUCTION

THIS demo file is intended to serve as a “starter file” for IEEE article papers produced under L^AT_EX using IEEEtran.cls version 1.8b and later. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

A. Subsection Heading Here

Subsection text here. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis

M. Shell was with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 30332.
E-mail: see <http://www.michaelshell.org/contact.html>

J. Doe and J. Doe are with Anonymous University.



Fig. 1. Simulation results for the network.

TABLE I
AN EXAMPLE OF A TABLE

| | |
|-------|------|
| One | Two |
| Three | Four |

sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1) Subsubsection Heading Here: Subsubsection text here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

II. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra



(a) Case I



(b) Case II

Fig. 2. Simulation results for the network.

sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF THE FIRST ZONKLAR EQUATION

Appendix one text goes here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

APPENDIX B

Appendix two text goes here. [?].

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent

in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...