



1

2 Non-Destructive Carabao Mango Sorter and Grader based on Physical Characteristics
3 using Machine Learning

4

5 A Thesis
6 Presented to the Faculty of the
7 Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering
8 Gokongwei College of Engineering
9 De La Salle University

10

11 In Partial Fulfillment of the
12 Requirements for the Degree of
13 Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering

14

15 by

16 BANAL Kenan A.
17 BAUTISTA Francis Robert Miguel F.
18 HERMOSURA Don Humphrey L.
19 SALAZAR Daniel G.

20 September, 2025



De La Salle University

21

THESIS APPROVAL SHEET

22

This thesis entitled **Non-Destructive Carabao Mango Sorter and Grader based on Physical Characteristics using Machine Learning**, prepared and submitted by:

24

BANAL, Kenan A.

25

BAUTISTA, Francis Robert Miguel F.

26

HERMOSURA, Don Humphrey L.

27

SALAZAR, Daniel G.

28

29

with group number AISL-1-2425-C5 in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering, (BS-CPE)** has been examined and is recommended for acceptance and approval.

30

31

32

33

PANEL OF EXAMINERS

34

Dr. Donable de Veas Abuan

35

Chair

36

37

Engr. Jose Martin Maningo

Member

Dr. Alexander Co Abad

Member

38

39

40

Dr. Reggie C. Gustilo

Adviser

39

40

41

Date: _____



De La Salle University

42
43
44
45

2025

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in an information retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, by photocopying, scanning, recording, or otherwise, except under the terms of the applicable law.



46

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

47

48

49

50

We would like to acknowledge our previous advisor Dr. Ana Antoniette Illahi for helping us with the proposal and the proposal defense. Furthermore, we would like to thank our external advisor Dr. Cecilia Reyes for giving her experienced insight and guidance in the classification and mango grading in the Philippines.



51

ABSTRACT

52

to change *Index Terms*—Machine Learning, Carabao Mangoes, Sorting and Grading

53

Mangoes, Machine Vision, Microcontroller.



54 TABLE OF CONTENTS

55	Thesis Approval Sheet	ii
56	Acknowledgment	iv
57	Abstract	v
58	Table of Contents	vi
59	List of Figures	x
60	List of Tables	xi
61	Abbreviations and Acronyms	xii
62	Notations	xiii
63	Glossary	xiv
64	Listings	xvi
65	Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	1
66	1.1 Background of the Study	2
67	1.2 Prior Studies	4
68	1.3 Problem Statement	5
69	1.4 Objectives and Deliverables	6
70	1.4.1 General Objective (GO)	6
71	1.4.2 Specific Objectives (SOs)	6
72	1.4.3 Expected Deliverables	7
73	1.5 Significance of the Study	9
74	1.5.1 Technical Benefit	10
75	1.5.2 Social Impact	10
76	1.5.3 Environmental Welfare	11
77	1.6 Assumptions, Scope, and Delimitations	11
78	1.6.1 Assumptions	11
79	1.6.2 Scope	12
80	1.6.3 Delimitations	12



81	1.7 Overview of the Thesis	13
82	Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	14
83	2.1 Existing Work	15
84	2.1.1 Sorting Algorithms	18
85	2.2 Lacking in the Approaches	19
86	2.3 Summary	20
87	Chapter 3 THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS	22
88	3.1 Introduction	23
89	3.2 Relevant Theories and Models	23
90	3.3 Technical Background	24
91	3.4 Conceptual Framework Background	24
92	3.5 Software Concepts	25
93	3.5.1 Thresholding	25
94	3.5.2 Object Size Calculation	26
95	3.5.3 Convolutional Neural Network	27
96	3.6 Hardware Concepts	27
97	3.6.1 Camera Module	27
98	3.6.2 4 Channel Relay	28
99	3.6.3 Gear Ratio	29
100	3.7 Summary	29
101	Chapter 4 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	30
102	4.1 Introduction	31
103	4.2 System Architecture	31
104	4.3 Hardware Considerations	33
105	4.3.1 General Prototype Framework	33
106	4.3.2 Prototype Flowchart	34
107	4.3.3 Prototype 3D Model	37
108	4.3.4 Hardware Specifications	37
109	4.3.4.1 Raspberry Pi	37
110	4.3.4.2 Raspberry Pi Camera	39
111	4.3.4.3 DC Motor	40
112	4.3.4.4 MicroSD Card	42
113	4.3.4.5 LED Lights	43
114	4.3.4.6 Power Supply	44
115	4.3.4.7 4 Channel Relay Module	46
116	4.4 Software Considerations	47
117	4.4.1 PyTorch	47



118	4.4.2 OpenCV	48
119	4.4.3 CustomTkinter	48
120	4.5 Security and Reliability Considerations	48
121	4.6 Scalability and Efficiency Considerations	49
122	4.7 User Interface	49
123	4.8 Constraints and Limitations	49
124	4.9 Technical Standards	49
125	4.10 Prototyping and Simulation	50
126	4.11 Design Validation	50
127	4.12 Summary	50
128	Chapter 5 METHODOLOGY	51
129	5.1 Introduction	54
130	5.2 Research Approach	54
131	5.3 Hardware Design	54
132	5.4 Software Design	55
133	5.5 Data Collection Methods	56
134	5.6 Testing and Evaluation Methods	56
135	5.6.1 Classification Report	57
136	5.6.1.1 Confusion Matrix	57
137	5.6.1.2 Precision	58
138	5.6.1.3 Recall	58
139	5.6.1.4 F1 Score	58
140	5.6.1.5 Accuracy	58
141	5.6.2 Ripeness Training and Testing	59
142	5.6.3 Bruises Training and Testing	60
143	5.6.4 Size Determination	61
144	5.7 Mango Formula with User Priority	61
145	5.8 Ethical Considerations	62
146	5.9 Summary	62
147	Chapter 6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	64
148	6.1 Training and Testing Results of the Model	69
149	6.1.1 Ripeness Classification Results	69
150	6.1.2 Bruises Classification Results	72
151	6.2 Size Determination Results	72
152	6.2.1 Method 1: Thresholding	72
153	6.2.2 Method 2: Object Detection	72
154	6.2.2.1 Training and Testing	72
155	6.2.2.2 Calibration to the Prototype	74



156	6.3 Formula with User Priority	74
157	6.4 Physical Prototype	76
158	6.5 Software Application	76
159	6.6 Summary	76
160	Chapter 7 CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIVES	81
162	7.1 Concluding Remarks	82
163	7.2 Contributions	82
164	7.3 Recommendations	83
165	7.4 Future Prospects	83
166	Appendix A STUDENT RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE	85
167	Appendix B ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS TO THIS THESIS	87
168	Appendix C REVISIONS TO THE PROPOSAL	96
169	Appendix D REVISIONS TO THE FINAL	102
170	Appendix E ARTICLE PAPER(S)	106



LIST OF FIGURES

171	LIST OF FIGURES	
172	1.1 Carabao Mangoes at Different Ripeness Stages (?)	2
173	3.1 Theoretical Framework Diagram.	23
174	3.2 Conceptual Framework Diagram.	24
175	4.1 Hardware Schematic	32
176	4.2 Prototype Framework	33
177	4.3 Prototype Main Flowchart	35
178	4.4 Initial 3D Model of the Prototype	36
179	4.5 Raspberry Pi 4 Model B	37
180	4.6 Raspberry Pi Camera Module Version 2	39
181	4.7 12 Volt DC Gear Motor	41
182	4.8 SanDisk Ultra MicroSD Card	42
183	4.9 LED Light Strip	43
184	4.10 Bench Power Supply	45
185	4.11 4 Channel Relay Module	46
186	5.1 Carabao Mango Image Data Collection	56
187	5.2 Carabao Mango Ripeness Stages	60
188	5.3 Different Kinds of Mango Defects	60
189	6.1 Ripeness Confusion Matrix using kNN	70
190	6.2 Ripeness Confusion Matrix using Naive Bayes	71
191	6.3 Bruises Confusion Matrix using CNN	71
192	6.4 Mango Size with Reflective Material	73
193	6.5 Mango Size Best Case	73
194	6.6 Mango Top Side with White Conveyor	74
195	6.7 Mango Bottom Side with White Conveyor	75
196	6.8 Prototype First Iteration	77
197	6.9 Hardware View	78
198	6.10 Improved Prototype	79
199	6.11 User Interface of the Raspberry Pi	79
200	6.12 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 2.1	80
201	6.13 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 2.2	80



202 LIST OF TABLES

203	1.1 Expected Deliverables per Objective	7
204	1.1 Expected Deliverables per Objective	8
205	1.1 Expected Deliverables per Objective	9
206	2.1 Comparison of Existing Studies	17
207	2.2 Comparison of Sorting Algorithm Models	20
208	5.1 Summary of methods for reaching the objectives	52
209	5.2 Confusion Matrix Example	57
210	6.1 Summary of methods for achieving the objectives	65
211	6.2 Performance Metrics for different EfficientNet versions	69
212	6.3 Ripeness Classification Report using kNN	70
213	6.4 Ripeness Classification Report using Naive Bayes	70
214	6.5 Bruises Classification Report using CNN	71
215	6.6 Summarized Classification Report using CNN	72
216	D.1 Summary of Revisions to the Thesis	103



217

ABBREVIATIONS

218	AC	Alternating Current.....	13
219	GUI	Graphical User Interface	49
220	LED	Light Emitting Diode	43
221	UI	User Interface	49



222

NOTATION

223	$B(P)$	Bruises Priority	61
224	$b(p)$	Bruises Prediction.....	61
225	$R(P)$	Ripeness Priority.....	61
226	$r(p)$	Ripeness Prediction	61
227	$S(P)$	Size Priority	61
228	$s(p)$	Size Prediction	61
229	$D(p, d, f)$	Real World Dimension	26
230	p	Pixel Dimension	26
231	d	Distance from Camera to Object.....	26
232	f	Focal Length	26



GLOSSARY

233	bruises	The black or brown area of the mango that is visible on the skin of the mango.
235	Carabao mango	A popular variety of mango grown in the Philippines, known for its sweet and juicy flesh.
236	accuracy score	A performance metric that measures the overall proportion of correct predictions made by a machine learning model.
237	confusion matrix	A table that summarizes the performance of a classification model, showing the number of true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives.
238	CNN	A type of deep neural network that is highly effective in analyzing and processing visual data, such as images.
239	F1-Score	A balanced performance metric that is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, taking both into account.
240	machine learning	A subset of Artificial Intelligence that enables systems to learn and improve from data.
241	computer vision	The use of cameras and algorithms to provide imaging-based inspection and analysis.
242	microcontroller	A small computing device that controls other parts of a system such as sensors.
243	Precision	A performance metric that reflects the percentage of instances classified as positive that are truly positive.
244	recall	A performance metric that measures the proportion of actual positive instances that the model correctly identified.



De La Salle University

245

User Priority-Based Grading

A customizable grading system where users can assign weights to grading factors.



De La Salle University

246

LISTINGS



De La Salle University

247

Chapter 1

248

INTRODUCTION



249 **1.1 Background of the Study**

250 Mangoes, also known as the *Mangifera indica*, are a member of the cashew family. This
251 fruit can often be seen being farmed by countries such as Myanmar, the Philippines, and
252 India as they have a tropical dry season. Being in a tropical country is an important
253 aspect for mango cultivation as it ensures proper growth for mangoes. If aspects such as
temperature and rainfall are not ideal, it may affect the quality of the mango (?). Carabao



254 Fig. 1.1 Carabao Mangoes at Different Ripeness Stages (?)

255 mangoes is a variety of a mango that is found and cultivated in the Philippines. It is known
256 for its sweet signature taste that was recognized sweetest in the world in the Guinness
257 Book of World Records in 1995. The mango was named after the national animal of the
258 Philippines, a native breed of buffalo. On average, it is 12.5 cm in length and 8.5 cm in
259 diameter, having a bright yellow color when ripe as seen in Figure 1.1. It is often cultivated
260 during late May to early July (?).

261 As the Philippines is a tropical country, mangoes are a highly valued fruit as it is not
262 only the country's national fruit but also amongst the leading agricultural exports of the
263 country, ranking only third below bananas and pineapples. This gives the country the 9th
264 slot amongst the leading exporters of Mangoes across the world. Attributed to this ranking



De La Salle University

265 is the country's export of both fresh and dried mangoes, as well as low tariff rates. This
266 allows the country to export a large quantity of the fruit in countries such as Singapore,
267 Japan, and the USA as they can enter duty free markets provided by the World Trade
268 Organization and Japan. Due to this, the mangoes have become a major source of income
269 to an estimated 2.5 million farmers in the country (?).

270 Before mangoes are sold in markets, they first undergo multiple post-harvest processes.
271 This is to ensure that the mangoes that arrive in markets are utmost quality before being
272 sold to consumers. Moreover, it ensures that mangoes are contained and preserved properly
273 such that they do not incur damages and/or get spoiled on its transportation to the market.
274 Processing of the mango involves pre-cooling, cleaning, waxing, classification, grading,
275 ripening, packaging, preservation, storage, packing, and transportation (?) (?).

276 Among the processes that mangoes undergo, classification and grading is important as
277 it allows the manufacturer to separate mangoes with good qualities versus mangoes with
278 poor qualities. According to a study by (?), size, length, width, volume, density, indentation,
279 and grooves are aspects that determine the maturity of mangoes. These traits are being
280 checked along with the ripeness of the mango, sightings of bruise injury, and cracks on the
281 fruit (?) as these aspects affect the sellability of the fruit as well as the chances of it getting
282 spoiled sooner.

283 Previous studies have been made to automate the sortation process of the mangoes.
284 Among these is a research done by ?, which focuses on classification of mangoes using their
285 texture and shape features. They do this by, first, acquiring an image of the mango using
286 a digital camera. Then, these images are fed to the MaZda package, which is a software
287 originally developed for magnetic resonance imaging. Within the MaZda package is the
288 B11 program, which uses Principal Component Analysis, Linear Discriminant Analysis,



289 Nonlinear Discriminant Analysis, and texture classification to extract features from the
290 mango, which in this case are the length, width, and texture. This data is then compared to
291 a database in order to classify any given mango (?).

292 Another study is done by ?, which classifies mangoes based on their color, volume, size,
293 and shape. This is done by making use of Charge Coupled Devices, Complementary Metal-
294 Oxide Semiconductor sensors, and 3-layer Convolutional Neural Network. To classify the
295 mangoes, images are first captured and preprocessed to be used as a data set (?). This data
296 set is then augmented to be used as a model for the 3-layer Convolutional Neural Network.
297 After extracting the features of the mango, the 3-layer Convolutional Neural Network
298 is used as a method for their classification as it can mimic the human brain in pattern
299 recognition, and process data for decision making. This is important as some mangoes have
300 very subtle differences which make it difficult to differentiate them.

301 1.2 Prior Studies

302 A paper written by ?, designed an automated fruit sorting machine based on the quality
303 through an image acquisition system and CNN. Furthermore, the results of the paper show
304 that the image processing detection score was 89% while that of the tomatoes was 92%
305 while the CNN model had higher validity of 95% for mangoes and 93% for tomatoes.
306 15%, while the percentage of distinction between the two groups was reported to be 5%
307 respectively (?). Despite the high accuracy score in detecting mango defects, the fruit
308 sorting system only sorts based on the mango defects and not on ripeness, and weight.

309 Furthermore, the research paper presented by ? designed an Automated Carabao mango
310 classifier, in which the mango image database is used to extract the features like size, area



311 along with the ratio of the spots for grading using Naïve Bayes Model. For the results, the
312 Naïve Bayes' model recognized large and rejected mangoes with 95% accuracy and the
313 large and small/medium difference with a 7% error, suggesting an application for quality
314 differentiation and sorting in the mango business industry. Despite the high accuracy of
315 classifying Carabao mangoes, the researchers used a high quality DSLR camera for the
316 image acquisition system without any microcontroller to control the mangoes (?).

317 **1.3 Problem Statement**

318 As mangoes are among the top exports of the Philippines (?), assessing the physical
319 deformities is a necessity. The physical deformities of the Carabao mango can determine
320 the global competitiveness of the country. Having higher quality exports can often lead to
321 gaining competitive edge, increase in demand, increase export revenues, and becoming less
322 susceptible to low-wage competition (?). In order to increase the quality of mango fruit
323 exports, a key post-harvest process is done, which is sorting and grading. Mango sorting
324 and grading then becomes important to determine which batches are of high quality and can
325 be sold for a higher price, and which batches are of low quality and can only be sold for a
326 low price (?). Traditionally, fruit sorting and grading is inefficient as it is done manually by
327 hand. Some tools are used such as porous ruler to determine fruit size and color palette for
328 color grading (?). However, among the problems encountered in the process of manually
329 sorting and grading mangoes are susceptibility to human error and requiring a number of
330 laborers to do the task.

331 With the current advancements in technology, some researchers have already taken steps
332 to automate the process of sorting and grading mangoes. However, these attempts would



333 often only consider some of the aspects pertaining to size, ripeness, and bruises but not all
334 of them at the same time. Lastly, not all research approaches were able to implement a
335 hardware for their algorithm, limiting their output to only a software implementation and not
336 an embedded system. As such the proposed system would assess the export quality of the
337 Carabao mango based on all the mentioned mango traits, namely size, bruises, and ripeness
338 while also taking into consideration being non-destructive. These aspects are important
339 because, as was previously mentioned, there is a need to develop a Carabao mango sorter
340 that takes into account all these aspects at the same time while being non-destructive.

341 **1.4 Objectives and Deliverables**

342 **1.4.1 General Objective (GO)**

- 343 • GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao man-
344 goes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size,
345 and bruises. ;

346 **1.4.2 Specific Objectives (SOs)**

- 347 • SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting
348 and grading mangoes. ;
- 349 • SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test
350 accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at
351 least 90%;



- 352 • SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition
 353 system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine
 354 learning. ;
- 355 • SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises. ;
- 356 • SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning
 357 algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes. ;
- 358 • SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width
 359 using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques. ;
- 360 • SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning
 361 algorithms.

362 **1.4.3 Expected Deliverables**

363 Table 1.1 shows the outputs, products, results, achievements, gains, realizations, and/or
 364 yields of the Thesis.

TABLE 1.1 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES PER OBJECTIVE

Objectives	Expected Deliverables
GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To develop a Carabao mango grading and sorting system. • To grade Carabao mangoes into three categories based on ripeness, size, and bruises using machine learning. • To integrate sensors and actuators to control the conveyor belt and image acquisition system.

Continued on next page



TABLE 1.1 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES PER OBJECTIVE

Objectives	Expected Deliverables
SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To make an image acquisition system with a camera and LED light source. To build a flat belt conveyor for moving the mangoes.
SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To use a publicly available dataset of at least 10,000 mango images for classification of ripeness and bruises.
SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To develop an intuitive UI where users can start and stop the system. To implement a priority-based grading system with sliders for ripeness, bruises, and size.
SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To utilize a linear combination formula as the overall mango score, where each classification level contributes a grade, weighted by the priority assigned to the three properties. To assign score values for each classification level of the mango.
SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To train a machine learning model such as kNN, k-means, or Naïve Bayes capable of classifying mango ripeness based on the image color. To gather a dataset of annotated images with ripeness labels. To obtain an evaluation report of performance metrics of the model.
SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To develop an image processing algorithm capable of determining mango size using OpenCV, NumPy, and imutils. To classify mangoes based on size into small, medium, and large based on measurements.

Continued on next page



TABLE 1.1 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES PER OBJECTIVE

Objectives	Expected Deliverables
SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To train a machine learning model such as CNN capable of distinguishing bruised and non-bruised mangoes. • To train a machine learning model such as kNN, k-means, and Naïve Bayes capable of assessing the extent of bruising on the mangoes if it is significant or partial. • To gather a dataset of annotated images based on bruises. • To obtain an evaluation report of performance metrics of both CNN and other machine learning models.

1.5 Significance of the Study

Automating the process of sorting and grading mangoes increases efficiency and productivity for the user which would in effect remove human error in sorting and grading and decrease the human labor and time taken to sort and grade the mangoes. This is especially important for farmers with a large amount of fruit such as mangoes and a lesser labor force. A recent study showed that their automated citrus sorter and grader using computer vision can reduce the human labor cost and time to sort and grade when comparing the automated citrus sorter and grader to manual human labor ?.

Another benefit to automating sorting and grading mangoes is the improvement in quality control. This implies that compared to human labor, automating sorting and grading mangoes can uniformly assess the quality of mangoes based on size, color, and bruises, ensuring that the expected grade and high-quality mangoes reach the consumer. By accurately identifying substandard mangoes, the system helps in reducing waste and



378 ensuring that only marketable fruits are processed further.

379 Likewise, the scalability of automating sorting and grading mangoes is simpler, es-
380 pecially for lower labor force farmers with large volumes of mangoes. Because of the
381 possibility of large-scale operations by automating sorting and grading mangoes, farmers
382 can now handle large volumes of mangoes, making them suitable for commercial farms
383 and processing plants. Moreover, it can be adapted to different varieties of mangoes and
384 potentially other fruits with minor modifications.

385 **1.5.1 Technical Benefit**

- 386 1. The development of an automated Carabao mango sorter would increase the quality
387 control of classifying Carabao mango based on ripeness, size, and bruising.
- 388 2. The accuracy in sorting Carabao mangoes will be significantly improved while
389 reducing the errors due to human factors in manual sorting.
- 390 3. The automated Carabao mango sorter carefully sorts the mangoes while ensuring
391 that they remain free from bruising or further damage during the process

392 **1.5.2 Social Impact**

- 393 1. The reduction in manual labor creates opportunities in maintenance and technologies
394 in the automated Carabao mango sorter.
- 395 2. The automated Carabao mango sorter system improves Carabao mango standards
396 and enhances the satisfaction of the buyers and the customers through guaranteeing
397 consistent Carabao mango grade.



- 398 3. Opportunity to increase sales and profit for the farmers through consistent quality
399 and grade Carabao mangoes while reducing the physical labor to sort it.

400 **1.5.3 Environmental Welfare**

- 401 1. With the utilization of non-destruction methods of classifying Carabao mangoes
402 together with an accurate sorting system, overall waste from Carabao mangoes is
403 reduced and the likelihood of improperly sorted mangoes is decreased.
404 2. Automation of sorting and grading Carabao mangoes promotes sustainable farming
405 practices.

406 **1.6 Assumptions, Scope, and Delimitations**

407 **1.6.1 Assumptions**

- 408 1. The Carabao mangoes are from the same source together with the same variation
409 2. The Carabao mangoes do not have any fruit borer and diseases
410 3. All the components do not have any form of defects
411 4. The prototype would have access to constant electricity/power source.
412 5. The Carabao mangoes to be tested would be in the post-harvesting stage and in the
413 grading stage.
414 6. The image-capturing system would only capture the two sides of the mango which
415 are the two largest surface areas of the skin.



416 **1.6.2 Scope**

- 417 1. The prototype would be specifically designed to grade and sort Carabao Mangoes
418 based on only ripeness, size, and visible skin bruises.
- 419 2. The mangoes used as the subject will be solely sourced from markets in the Philip-
420 pines.
- 421 3. The Carabao mangoes would be graded into three levels.
- 422 4. The prototype will be using a microcontroller-based system locally stored on the
423 device itself to handle user interaction.
- 424 5. Computer vision algorithms to be used will include image classification.

425 **1.6.3 Delimitations**

- 426 1. The project would only be able to perform sorting and grading on one specific fruit
427 which is the Carabao mango and will not be able to sort other types of mangoes.
- 428 2. Additionally, the project prototype will only be able to capture, sort, and grade one
429 mango subject at a time which means the mangoes have to be placed in the conveyor
430 belt in a single file line for accurate sorting.
- 431 3. For the bruises, the system will only be able to detect external bruises and may not
432 identify the non-visible and internal bruises.
- 433 4. The system does not load the mangoes onto the conveyor belt itself. Assistance is
434 required to put mangoes into the conveyor belt to start the sorting process



1.7 Overview of the Thesis

438 There are seven succeeding chapters. To recall, chapter 1 involves the introduction of
439 the thesis topic containing the background of the study, previous studies, objectives and
440 deliverables, assumptions, scope, and delimitation, significance of the study, description
441 of the project together with the methodology, and Gantt chart and budget. Chapter 2
442 involves the existing articles, the lacking in their approaches, and the summary of chapter 2.
443 Chapter 3 involves the theoretical considerations of the thesis topic while chapter 4 would
444 consist of the design consideration involving the thesis topic. Chapter 5 would involve the
445 research methodology containing the testing procedure and setup. Chapter 6 would involve
446 the results and discussion based on the methodology while Chapter 7 would involve the
447 conclusion, recommendations, and future suggestions.



De La Salle University

448

Chapter 2

449

LITERATURE REVIEW



450 2.1 Existing Work

451 The research paper written by ? developed a ripeness grader for Carabao mangoes. The
452 Carabao mango ripeness grade calculated based on object and color detection which were
453 written in microcontroller. These are the systems designed by the researchers that consists
454 of Raspberry Pi 4, Arduino Uno, camera, touch screen LCD, MQ3 gas sensor, ventilation
455 system. The proposed system was able to ascertain an overall reliability of 95%: therefore,
456 the specified objective of ascertaining the ripeness level of the mangoes was met with
457 success. However, accuracy and reliability of the software system are there since the
458 hardware design does not seem to be workable when one must deal with the scores of
459 mangoes (?). In addition, the design of the hardware does not integrate any form of physical
460 automating, say like the conveyor belt. Besides, the hardware system only works efficiently
461 when deciding the ripeness grade of mangoes separately.

462 A study done by ? is another research paper that supports and has relevant information
463 concerning the topic. The researchers proposed a fully-perovskite photonic system which
464 has the capability to identify and sort or grade mango based on features such as color,
465 weight and, conversely, signs of damages (?). Some of the techniques in image processing
466 that the researchers used included image enhancement, image deblurring, edge detection
467 using MATLAB and Arduino as well as color image segmentation. By carrying out the
468 multiple trials on the device they achieved a classification speed of 8.132 seconds and an
469 accuracy of 91.2%. The proponents' metrics used for the ratings were speed wherein the
470 results were rated “excellent” while the accuracy rating given was “good”. One of the
471 limitations of the paper is that the researchers were only limited to the color, texture, and
472 size of the Carabao mango



De La Salle University

473 Furthermore, the research paper presented by ? designed an Automated Carabao
474 mango classifier, in which the mango image database is used to extract the features like
475 weight, size, area along with the ratio of the spots for grading using Naïve Bayes Model.
476 Concerning the quantitative test design, one had to control and experiment with various
477 methods of image processing that would improve the likelihood of improved classification.
478 The paper methodology entailed sample collection from 300 Carabao mangoes, picture
479 taking using a DSLR camera, and feature deconstruction for categorization (?). The
480 system prototype and the software were designed with the programming language C# with
481 integration of Aforge. NET routines. The performance of this model was checked with
482 the help of the dataset containing 250 images, precision, recall, F-score key indicators
483 were used. The investigation discovered that the Naïve Bayes' model recognized large and
484 rejected mangoes with 95% accuracy and the large and small/medium difference with a
485 7% error, suggesting an application for quality differentiation and sorting in the mango
486 business industry. The limitations in the researchers' paper include the researchers were
487 able to achieve high accuracy after using a high quality DSLR camera and the fact that the
488 researchers were not able to incorporate the use of microcontrollers.

489 Another study by ? proposed SVM-based system for classifying the maturity stages of
490 bananas, mangoes, and calamansi. With the use of 1729 images of bananas together with
491 711 mango images and 589 calamansi, the researchers were able to achieve a high accuracy
492 score of above 90% for all fruits. Some pre-processing techniques used to get this high
493 accuracy are the change in hue, saturation, and value channels in the mango image (?). To
494 better understand the harvest time of mangoes, the paper by ? examined the association of
495 the harvest season with seasonal heat units, rainfall, and physical fruit attributes for Haden,
496 Kent, Palmer, and Keitt mango varieties to establish export and domestic market maturity



De La Salle University

497 standards. For the results of the paper, it shows that temperature, rainfall, and physical
 498 characteristics have a reliable, non-destructive indicators for determining mango maturity
 499 (?). This shows that physical characteristics and temperature are important when exporting
 500 fruits such as mangoes.

TABLE 2.1 COMPARISON OF EXISTING STUDIES

Existing Study	Limitations	Accuracy Rating
?	No physical automation, not suitable for large amounts of mangoes, only classifies ripeness and only a sample size of 10 mangoes.	95%
?	Focuses only on color and size.	91.2%
?	Relies on high-quality DSLR cameras, and limited automation due to not integrating microcontrollers.	95%
?	No physical automation implemented. Ripeness, size, and shape-based classification achieved 100%, 98.19%, and 99.20% accuracy respectively on their own. However, errors occurred when taking into account all these aspects together for grading mangoes, causing an accuracy rating deduction.	88.88%

501 Previous studies on mango grading have achieved an accuracy rating of up to 95%, as
 502 shown in Table 2.1. However, these studies either relied on a small sample size, which
 503 limits statistical significance, or utilized expensive equipment, which may be impractical.
 504 In light of this, the researchers have set a target accuracy rating of greater than or equal
 505 to 90%. This target ensures that the system being developed is comparable to, or better
 506 than, existing studies that used larger sample sizes or assessed multiple mango traits at the
 507 same time. Furthermore, this research aims to distinguish itself by not only maintaining or
 508 exceeding the 90% accuracy rating but also incorporating a graphical user interface (GUI)



509 for selective priority-based mango classification. The system will integrate both software
510 and hardware components, and it will evaluate a greater number of mango traits for grading
511 purposes.

512 **2.1.1 Sorting Algorithms**

513 In previous studies, researchers have implemented various artificial intelligence algorithms
514 in order to determine the optimal and most effective method for sorting mangoes. One of
515 the algorithms that was used in the classification of mangoes was the CNN or Convolutional
516 Neural Networks. A study done by ? explored the effectiveness of CNN, specifically in
517 classifying mangoes through image processing. The system that the researchers developed
518 graded mangoes into four groups which was based on the Chinese National Standard (?).
519 These mangoes were examined by their shape, color uniformity, and external defects. The
520 system that was developed had an impressive accuracy of 97.37% in correctly classifying
521 the mangoes into these grading categories Support Vector Machine was also one of the
522 classification algorithms that was implemented to detect flaws in mangoes. In that study by
523 ?, SVM was used in the classification of diseases from mangoes. The study used 4 different
524 diseases/defects for testing (?). The diseases were Anthracnose, Powdery Mildew, Black
525 Banded, and Red Rust. and provided 90% accuracy for both the leaves and the fruit

526 In the study done by ?, Simple Linear Regression, Multiple Linear Regression, and
527 Artificial Neural Network models were all studied and compared for the purpose of size-
528 mass estimation for mango fruits. The researchers found that the Artificial Neural Network
529 yielded a high accuracy rating for mass estimation and for mango classification based on
530 size with a success rate of 96.7% (?). This is attributed to the Artificial Neural Network
531 model's ability to learn both linear and nonlinear relationships between the inputs and the



532 outputs. However, a problem can occur with the use of the model, which is overfitting.
533 This issue occurs when the model is overtrained with the data set such that it will start to
534 recognize unnecessary details such as image noise which results in poor generalization
535 when fed with new data. With this in mind, additional steps will be necessary to mitigate the
536 issue. Another research article written by ? implements a method for sorting and grading
537 Carabao mangoes. This research focuses on the use of Probabilistic Neural Network, which
538 is another algorithm that is used for pattern recognition and classification of objects. For
539 this study, the researchers focused on the area, color, and the black spots of the mango
540 for their Probabilistic Neural Network model (?). Their research using the model yielded
541 an accuracy rating of 87.5% for classification of the mangoes which means it is quite
542 accurate for classifying mangoes within the predefined categories. However, problems
543 were encountered with the use of the model when trying to identify mangoes that did not
544 fit the predefined size categories of small, medium, and large. This means that the PNN
545 model may become challenged when presented with a mango with outlying traits or traits
546 that were very different from the data set.

547 2.2 Lacking in the Approaches

548 The majority of past researchers such as ? and ? were able to implement a fruit and
549 mango sorter together with an accurate AI algorithm to detect the ripeness defects. This
550 means that none of the previous research papers were able to integrate an interchangeable
551 user-priority-based grading together with size, ripeness, and bruises using machine learning
552 for Carabao mango sorter and grader. Our research however would implement an automated
553 Carabao mango sorter in terms of size, ripeness, and bruises with its own UI, conveyor



TABLE 2.2 COMPARISON OF SORTING ALGORITHM MODELS

Sorting Algorithm Model	Accuracy Rating	Criteria	Problems Encountered
Convolution Neural Network	97.37%	shape, color, defects	Minor blemishes affected the accuracy.
Support Vector Machine	90%	mango defects and diseases	The model is sensitive to noise, which requires intensive image preprocessing.
Artificial Neural Network	96.7%	for mango size and mass	Overfitting
Probabilistic Neural Network	87.5%	for mango area, color, and black spots	Difficulty in identifying mangoes that have outlying features or did not fit the predefined categories

554 belt, stepper motors, and bins for collecting the different ripeness and defect grade of the
 555 Carabao mango.

2.3 Summary

557 To reiterate, there is an innovative gap that needs to be filled with regards to the process of
 558 sorting and grading Carabao mangoes. The traditional methods for conducting this process
 559 manually by hand, by a porous ruler, by a sugar meter, and by a color palette can be prone
 560 to human error and expensive costs due to the number of laborers required to do the task.
 561 On the other hand, although researchers have already taken steps to automate the process
 562 of mango sorting and grading, there is still a need for an implementation that takes into
 563 account size, ripeness, and bruises altogether whilst being non-destructive and having its
 564 own embedded system. The research articles shown above show the different computer



De La Salle University

565 vision and CNN approaches for sorting and classifying mangoes. For example, a system
566 created by ? was more focused on ripeness detection. ? considered photonic systems
567 for grading mango fruit based on color and weight. On the other hand, ? implemented
568 the Naïve Bayes classification model on mangoes with high accuracy, which thereby did
569 not include any microcontroller. There was an attempt to study each of those parameters
570 separately and that is why the multifactorial approach was not used. With this in mind, the
571 system being proposed does exactly what was mentioned, to implement a non-destructive
572 and automated sorting and grading system for Carabao mangoes that takes into account
573 size, ripeness, and bruises altogether using machine learning, as well as having its own
574 embedded system. This system will be mainly composed of a conveyor belt, servo motors,
575 a camera, microcontrollers, and an LCD display for the user interface. By doing so, the
576 system should be able to improve the efficiency and productivity of mango sorting and
577 grading, remove the effect of human error and reduce time consumption. The studies also
578 provided critical insights regarding the effective algorithms that can be used in classification
579 stages in image processing. The use of CNN had the most accuracy with manageable
580 potential challenges. Lastly, by scaling the implementation, the overall export quality of
581 the Carabao mangoes can be improved.



582

Chapter 3

583

THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS



584 3.1 Introduction

585 Likewise, the purpose of this chapter is to go through the important theories in developing
 586 the prototype together with training and testing the machine learning model.

587 3.2 Relevant Theories and Models




Fig. 3.1 Theoretical Framework Diagram.

588 The theoretical framework seen in figure 3.1 follows the IPO (Input-Process-Output)
 589 Model for a Carabao Mango Sorting System. The Input section includes user-defined
 590 priority values for size, ripeness, and bruises, along with a single mango for analysis. The
 591 Process section highlights the use of a machine learning algorithm and a user-priority-based
 592 formula to classify the mango. The Output consists of the mango's grade, predicted size,
 593 ripeness, and bruises. Below the IPO model, the diagram categorizes variables into three
 594 groups: Independent (mango's size, ripeness, and bruises), Dependent (classification report
 595 with accuracy, precision, recall, and confusion matrix), and Controlled (image dataset,
 596 microcontroller, camera, and LED lights).



597 3.3 Technical Background

598 At its core, the system will be using machine learning concepts pertaining to CNN and
 599 OpenCV, and may use other algorithms such as Naive Bayes and k-Nearest Neighbors
 600 to supplement the classification tasks, particularly for assessing mango ripeness, bruise
 601 detection, and size determination. The system will be built on an embedded framework,
 602 integrating a Raspberry Pi microcontroller to control the RaspberryPi camera, actuators,
 603 LED lights, and motors. A user-friendly GUI will also be utilized to ensure users can
 604 customize the prioritization of the mango sorting system.

605 3.4 Conceptual Framework Background




Fig. 3.2 Conceptual Framework Diagram.

606 The conceptual framework seen in figure 3.2 illustrates the key components involved
 607 in the Carabao Mango Sorter and Grader system. At the center, the system is represented
 608 as the core element, surrounded by six interconnected components: Carabao Mango
 609 (*Mangifera indica L.*), Embedded Systems, Machine Learning, Computer Vision, User



610 Priority-Based Formula, and Training and Testing of the Model. These elements represent
611 the different technologies, methodologies, and considerations required for the development
612 and operation of the sorter and grader. The diagram provides an overview of how various
613 disciplines contribute to the project's functionality.

614 **3.5 Software Concepts**

615 **3.5.1 Thresholding**

616 Thresholding is a computer vision image segmentation technique that is used to separate
617 objects from their surroundings by converting a grayscale image to binary. The conversion
618 is done by choosing a certain threshold intensity value. It is usually done by assigning pixels
619 with an intensity higher than the threshold are mapped to one value (commonly white),
620 and pixels with an intensity lower than the threshold are mapped to another (commonly
621 black). The result of this technique is in a high-contrast image that makes it easy to detect
622 the object's boundary and shape in the image.

623

624 In this project, two types of thresholding were applied:

- 625 • Absolute Difference Thresholding – This method involves computing the absolute
626 difference between two images. The first image is one of the object, and the other
627 of the same background without the object. The result isolates only the pixels that
628 have changed between the two images, thus isolating the mango from its background
629 successfully.
- 630 • Binary Thresholding – Once the difference image has been created, binary threshold-



631 ing is used. A threshold value is employed to threshold the difference image into a
 632 binary image. Values greater than the threshold are made white (foreground), and
 633 values less than that are made black (background). This creates a clear silhouette of
 634 the mango, which is appropriate for size estimation and contour detection.

635 **3.5.2 Object Size Calculation**

636 Object size calculation is the calculation of a certain object's true size from image data. This
 637 is essential in computer vision systems to efficiently process object features in real-time.
 638 In this research, the size of the Carabao mango is estimated through image measurement
 639 techniques based on geometric principles and camera calibration.

640 The size of the mango can be determined given:

$$\text{Real World Dimension} = \frac{\text{Pixel Dimension} \times \text{Distance from Camera to Object}}{\text{Focal Length}} \quad (3.1)$$

$$D(p, d, f) = \frac{p \cdot d}{f} \quad (3.2)$$

641 where $D(p, d, f)$ is the real world dimension of the object, p is the pixel dimension of
 642 the object, d is the distance from the camera to the object, and f is the focal length of the
 643 camera.

644 After capture and preprocessing of the image, the binary image so obtained is processed
 645 with contour detection to find the largest object, which is assumed to be the mango. The
 646 contour is then bounded with a minimum-area bounding box, and pixel-based length and
 647 width are calculated using Euclidean distance between the corner points.



648 This size estimation method offers a consistent and efficient way of taking the mea-
649 surements with only standard camera input, providing consistency in classification and
650 reducing the necessity for physical measuring devices.

651 **3.5.3 Convolutional Neural Network**

652 Convolutional Neural Networks are a class of deep learning models commonly used in
653 analyzing visual data. CNNs are particularly effective in image classification tasks due to
654 their ability to automatically extract and effectively learn the spatial hierarchies of features
655 directly from the pixels of a given image. This makes it highly suitable for functions such
656 as object detection and, in the case of this study, image classification.

657 CNN usually applies filters to input images. These filters are designed to detect local
658 patterns such as edges, textures, and color gradients. The network is able to learn more
659 patterns as the data goes through the layers. This enables it to recognize effectively the
660 characteristics that it is looking for.

661 The use of CNNs in this study allows for accurate, automated classification of mango
662 images which contributes to the development of a reliable, non-destructive grading system
663 that minimizes human error and ensures consistent quality assessment

664 **3.6 Hardware Concepts**

665 **3.6.1 Camera Module**

666 The camera module serves as the main image acquisition tool in the mango sorter and
667 grader system. Its role is to capture clear, high-resolution images of each mango as it moves



668 along the conveyor. These images are critical for analyzing physical traits like ripeness,
669 bruising, and size through computer vision and machine learning techniques.

670 The camera is directly connected to the Raspberry Pi, which manages both image
671 capture and processing. It is fixed in position to ensure consistent distance and angle for
672 all images. It is also paired with a lighting system to provide a consistent lighting for the
673 images. The system captures images of both the top and bottom sides of each mango to
674 ensure a more accurate grading. The prototype integrates the Raspberry Pi Camera Module
675 Version 2. This camera is chosen for its 8MP resolution which is critical in capturing
676 real-time images. Another reason for integrating this camera is because of its compatibility
677 with the Raspberry Pi 4, and reliability in capturing detailed images needed for accurate
678 classification. It is also cost effective and lightweight which is important for the prototype.

679 **3.6.2 4 Channel Relay**

680 The relay module in this project is used to control the direction and movement of the
681 motors that operate the conveyor system and mango sorting mechanism. As an electrically
682 operated switch, the relay allows the low-power signals from the Raspberry Pi to safely
683 manage the higher voltage and current required by the DC motors.

684 For the prototype, the relay module is responsible for changing the polarity of motor
685 connections which enables the motors to rotate in both forward and reverse directions.
686 This will drive the conveyor belt system. This is essential for moving mangoes along the
687 conveyor, rotating them for the top and bottom image capture, and directing them to the
688 appropriate bin based on their grade.

**689 3.6.3 Gear Ratio**

690 In this prototype, gear ratios are used to control the rotational speed of the conveyor belts
691 that move and rotate the mango. A gear ratio of 1:3 was applied, meaning the motor gear
692 completes one full rotation for every three rotations of the driven gear. This is also done in
693 order to avoid overspeeding and make sure that the conveyor belt moves in a controlled
694 manner. This setup slows down one belt relative to the other, creating a differential speed
695 between the left and right belts. As a result, the mango rotates in place while being moved
696 forward. This rotation is essential for capturing both the top and bottom views of the mango
697 for accurate classification and grading.

698 3.7 Summary

699 Overall, chapter 3 establishes key concepts and theoretical considerations that form the
700 foundation of the Carabao mango sorter and grading system. It discusses and connects
701 each component together, explaining how each component such as the RaspberryPi and
702 DC motors work together to create a system that utilizes machine learning and computer
703 vision techniques to classify mangoes based on user priority.



704

Chapter 4

705

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS



706 Likewise, the objective of chapter 4 is to describe the researcher's design consideration
707 when developing and testing the prototype. For an overview of the design of the prototype,
708 the researchers considered different computer vision models in classifying the ripeness
709 and bruises together with other algorithms to determine the size of the mango. Likewise,
710 the hardware design was also taken into consideration where the physical design of the
711 conveyor belt was taken into account.

712 **4.1 Introduction**

713 This chapter discusses the design considerations for the mango sorting and grading system,
714 focusing on the technical and engineering decisions required for its development. The
715 design process aims to create a scalable, efficient, and user-friendly system that leverages
716 machine learning for accurate mango classification.

717 **4.2 System Architecture**

718 The system architecture is represented through a block diagram, showcasing modules
719 such as image acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, machine learning model, and
720 grading output. Each module is described in detail, emphasizing its role in the overall
721 system. For instance, the image acquisition module uses high-resolution cameras to capture
722 mango images, while the preprocessing module enhances image quality for better feature
723 extraction.

724 In figure 4.1 presents the electronic circuit diagram, designed using Proteus. The
725 diagram illustrates a system where a Raspberry Pi 4 serves as the central control unit,




Fig. 4.1 Hardware Schematic

726 managing four motors through a relay mechanism. The Raspberry Pi 4, represented by
 727 a rectangular box on the left, showcases various pin connections, including GPIO pins,
 728 power supply pins (5V and 3V3), ground pins (GND), and communication pins (TXD,
 729 RXD, SDA, SCL).

730 In the center of the diagram, an 18-pin integrated circuit labeled "ULN2803A" is
 731 depicted. This component, a Darlington transistor array, likely functions as a buffer,
 732 providing the necessary current to drive the relays. Four relays, designated as RL1, RL2,
 733 RL3, and RL4, are positioned on the right side of the diagram, each connected to a motor
 734 (represented by a circle with an "M" inside) and a +12V power source. Additionally, four
 735 resistors are placed between the ULN2803A and the relays, serving to limit current. The
 736 circuit section containing these resistors is labeled "4 Channel Relay Driver," indicating its
 737 purpose.

738 The camera module is labeled "PICAMERA" is located in the top center of the diagram.
 739 It is represented by a square with a circle inside, symbolizing the camera lens. The camera



740 module is connected to the Raspberry Pi 4 through the CSI (Camera Serial Interface) pins.
 741 The overall circuit is designed for a 12V system, with the +12V power supply indicated at
 742 various points. The Raspberry Pi 4's GPIO pins are used to control the relays.

743 4.3 Hardware Considerations

744 The hardware components include high-resolution cameras, lighting systems for consistent
 745 image capture, and microcontrollers like Raspberry Pi or Arduino for system control,
 746 actuators like DC and stepper motors to move the mangoes. The choice of hardware is
 747 justified based on cost, performance, and compatibility with the software framework.

748 4.3.1 General Prototype Framework




Fig. 4.2 Prototype Framework



749 The Figure 4.2 presents the overall prototype layout of the automated Carabao mango
750 sorter and grader. The diagram illustrates the flow of operations from mango loading onto
751 the conveyor belt to sorting them. It illustrates the major elements of the system, that is,
752 the image acquisition area, lighting system, camera module, Raspberry Pi controller, and
753 mechanical actuators. The layout illustrates how all the subsystems work together to ensure
754 mangoes are scanned, processed, sorted based on ripeness, size, and bruises, and eventually
755 sorted based on the calculated priority score. The layout served as the basis for actual
756 prototype development.

757 **4.3.2 Prototype Flowchart**

758 The flowchart in Figure 4.3 represents the overall operational logic of the mango grading
759 and sorting system. The process starts with system initialization, where the camera and
760 lighting modules are switched on and the machine learning algorithms are initialised. The
761 input of the user priority values as well as the detection of the mango on the conveyor
762 belt triggers the capture of both the top and bottom cheek of the mango. The captured
763 image is processed using machine learning algorithms to determine its ripeness, size, and
764 bruises. Depending on these classifications along with priority weights given by the user,
765 the system calculates an overall score. Once this calculation is done, the mango is routed to
766 the respective bin through the respective actuator. Having this logical sequence is important
767 to know the system's decision-making and automation process.




Fig. 4.3 Prototype Main Flowchart



De La Salle University




Fig. 4.4 Initial 3D Model of the Prototype



768 **4.3.3 Prototype 3D Model**

769 Figure 4.4 shows the first 3D model of the initial physical prototype developed for the
770 sorting and grading system. This model shows the skeleton of the system and where
771 the conveyor system is going to be placed strategically in order to flip the mango for
772 image acquisition. It is useful for where the hardware components would be arranged
773 and assembled. This 3D model helped the researchers visualize the spacing, alignment,
774 and where to mount parts before assembling the prototype making sure all electronic and
775 mechanical components are effectively integrated.

776 **4.3.4 Hardware Specifications**

777 **4.3.4.1 Raspberry Pi**




Fig. 4.5 Raspberry Pi 4 Model B

778 Figure 4.5 depicts the Raspberry Pi 4 Model B which is the core of the processing unit



De La Salle University

779 of the prototype. It was selected due to its small size, low cost, and high computing power
780 for image processing and machine learning. The image depicts the most critical aspects
781 of the board, such as the GPIO (General Purpose Input/Output) pins for sensor, actuator,
782 and relay connections, and the USB and HDMI ports for other device connections. Its
783 capability to support a full operating system makes it suitable for supporting both the user
784 interface and the control logic of the mango grading system.

785 **Specifications:**

- 786 • SoC: Broadcom BCM2711
- 787 • CPU: Quad-core ARM Cortex-A72 (64-bit)
- 788 • Clock Speed: 1.5 GHz (base, overclockable)
- 789 • RAM: 8GB LPDDR4-3200 SDRAM
- 790 • Wireless: Dual-band 2.4 GHz / 5 GHz Wi-Fi (802.11ac)
- 791 • Bluetooth: Bluetooth 5.0 (BLE support)
- 792 • Ethernet: Gigabit Ethernet (full throughput)
- 793 • USB: 2 x USB 3.0 ports and 2 x USB 2.0 ports
- 794 • Video Output: 2 x micro-HDMI ports (supports 4K @ 60Hz, dual 4K display
795 capability)
- 796 • Audio: 3.5mm audio/video composite jack
- 797 • Storage: MicroSD card slot (supports booting via SD card or USB)



- 798 • GPIO: 40-pin GPIO header (backward-compatible with older models)
- 799 • Camera/Display: CSI (camera) and DSI (display) ports
- 800 • Power Input: USB-C (5V/3A recommended)
- 801 • Power Consumption: 3W idle, up to 7.5W under load

802 **4.3.4.2 Raspberry Pi Camera**




Fig. 4.6 Raspberry Pi Camera Module Version 2

803 The Raspberry Pi Camera Module Version 2 is a high-quality camera module designed
804 for the Raspberry Pi platform. Likewise, it is capable of capturing still images at 8 megapix-
805 els, and supports video recording at 1080p @ 30fps, 720p @ 60fps, and 480p @ 90fps.
806 Moreover, it has a fixed-focus lens with a diagonal field of view of 62.2 degrees, and
807 an optical format of 1/4 inch. Furthermore, it supports various Python libraries such as
808 Picamera and OpenCV for image capture and processing. As such, it was selected for its



De La Salle University

809 compact size, ease of integration, and ability to capture high-resolution images.

810

811 **Specifications:**

812 • Sensor: Sony IMX219PQ 8-megapixel CMOS sensor.

813 • Still Images Resolution: 8 MP (3280 x 2464 pixels).

814 • Video Resolution: Supports up to 1080p @ 30fps, 720p @ 60fps, and 480p @ 90fps.

815 • Focus: Fixed-focus lens (manual focus adjustment not supported without physical
816 modification).

817 • Lens Size: 1/4-inch optical format.

818 • Field of View (FoV): Diagonal 62.2 degrees.

819 • Interface: Connected via 15-pin ribbon cable to the Raspberry Pi's CSI (Camera
820 Serial Interface) port.

821 • APIs/Libraries: Supports Python libraries such as Picamera and OpenCV for image
822 capture and processing.

823 • Dimensions: 25 mm x 24 mm x 9 mm.

824 **4.3.4.3 DC Motor**

825 The 12 Volt DC Gear Motor is a compact, high-torque, and low-noise motor suitable for a
826 wide range of applications, including robotics, automation, and industrial control systems.

827 It features a spur gear design, which provides a high reduction ratio for increased torque
828 output. The motor is designed for continuous operation and has a low power consumption



Fig. 4.7 12 Volt DC Gear Motor

under standard load conditions. Likewise, it is also capable of withstanding high temperatures and has a high reliability. This motor was selected for its high torque output, low power consumption, and compact size, making it ideal for the conveyor system.

833 **Specifications:**

- 834 • Gearbox Type: Spur gear design
- 835 • Operating Voltage: 12V (operational range: 6-12V)
- 836 • No-load Current Consumption: 0.8A
- 837 • Rated Current Draw: 3A (under standard load)
- 838 • No-load Speed: 282 RPM (maximum)
- 839 • Operating Speed: 248 RPM (under rated load)



- 840 • Torque Output: 18 kg-cm (rated)
841 • Stall Torque: 60 kg-cm (maximum)
842 • Power Rating: 50W (maximum)
843 • Unit Weight: 350 grams

844 **4.3.4.4 MicroSD Card**



Fig. 4.8 SanDisk Ultra MicroSD Card

845 The SanDisk Ultra MicroSD Card is a compact, high-capacity, and secure digital
846 memory card that is suitable for a wide range of applications, including digital cameras,
847 smartphones, and tablets. It features a high-speed data transfer rate, making it ideal for
848 storing large files such as images and videos. This card was selected for its high capacity, se-
849 cure data protection, and ease of use, making it ideal for the storage system for the prototype.

850
851 **Specifications:**



- 852 • Capacity: 256GB
853 • Type: MicroSDXC (Secure Digital eXtended Capacity)
854 • Form Factor: MicroSD (11mm x 15mm x 1mm)
855 • File System: Pre-formatted exFAT

856 **4.3.4.5 LED Lights**



Fig. 4.9 LED Light Strip

857 For the Light Emitting Diode (LED), they were used to provide consistent lighting for
858 image capture, ensuring accurate color representation and feature extraction. The LED
859 lights were selected for their energy efficiency, long lifespan, and ability to produce a
860 uniform light output.

861

862 **Specifications:**



- 863 • Power Input: 5V DC (USB-powered, compatible with laptops, power banks, or USB
864 adapters).
- 865 • Waterproof Design: Suitable for indoor/outdoor use.
- 866 • LED Type: SMD 2835 (surface-mount diodes for high brightness and efficiency).
- 867 • Color Type: White (cool white)
- 868 • Length: 1m
- 869 • Beam Angle: 120°
- 870 • Operating Temperature: -25°C to 60°C.
- 871 • Storage Temperature: -40°C to 80°C.

872 **4.3.4.6 Power Supply**

873 The bench power supply is a versatile and adjustable power source used to provide stable
874 voltage and current for various electronic projects. It is designed for testing applications,
875 allowing users to set specific voltage and current levels. This power supply was selected
876 for its versatility, ease of use, and ability to provide accurate voltage and current control for
877 the prototype.

878

879 **Specifications:**

- 880 • Type: SMPS (Switch-Mode Power Supply)
- 881 • Input: 110V AC, 50/60Hz (U.S. Standard)



Fig. 4.10 Bench Power Supply

- 882 • Output Range: 0-30V DC / 0-5A DC
- 883 • Voltage Precision: $\pm 0.010\text{V}$ (10 mV) resolution
- 884 • Current Precision: $\pm 0.001\text{A}$ (1 mA) resolution
- 885 • Power Precision: $\pm 0.1\text{W}$ resolution
- 886 • Weight: 5 lbs (2.27 kg)
- 887 • Dimensions: 11.1" x 4.92" x 6.14" (28.2 cm x 12.5 cm x 15.6 cm)
- 888 • Maximum Power: 195W
- 889 • Power Source: AC input only




Fig. 4.11 4 Channel Relay Module

890 **4.3.4.7 4 Channel Relay Module**

891 The 4 Channel Relay Module is a compact and versatile relay board that allows for the
892 control of multiple devices using a single microcontroller. This module was selected for
893 its compact size, ease of use, and ability to control multiple devices simultaneously. It is
894 designed to be used with microcontrollers such as Arduino and Raspberry Pi, allowing for
895 easy integration into the prototype.

896

897 **Specifications:**

- 898
- 899 • Operating Voltage: 5V DC (compatible with Arduino, Raspberry Pi, and other
microcontrollers).

900

 - Number of Relays: 4 independent channels.

901

 - Relay Type: Electromechanical (mechanical switching).



- 902 • Max AC Load: 10A @ 250V AC (resistive).
- 903 • Max DC Load: 10A @ 30V DC (resistive).
- 904 • Contact Type: SPDT (Single Pole Double Throw) - NO (Normally Open), NC
905 (Normally Closed), COM (Common).
- 906 • Dimensions: 50mm x 70mm x 20mm
- 907 • Weight: 50-80 grams.
- 908 • Status LEDs: Individual LEDs for each relay (indicates ON/OFF state).
- 909 • Input Pins: 4 digital control pins (one per relay).
- 910 • Output Terminals: Screw terminals for connecting loads (NO/NC/COM).

911 **4.4 Software Considerations**

912 The software stack includes Python for programming PyTorch for machine learning and
913 OpenCV for image processing. These tools are selected for their robustness, ease of use,
914 and extensive community support, ensuring efficient system development.

915 **4.4.1 PyTorch**

916 PyTorch is an open-source deep-learning framework used in this project for implementing
917 and running the convolutional neural networks responsible for classifying mango ripeness
918 and detecting bruises. Its dynamic computational graph and GPU acceleration support
919 made it an ideal choice for real-time image classification. Its simplicity and flexibility also



920 allowed for easy integration with the Raspberry Pi which is important as it is the main
921 processing unit for the system.

922 **4.4.2 OpenCV**

923 Open Source Computer Vision Library or OpenCV is utilized in the system for all image
924 processing tasks, particularly in preprocessing steps such as background subtraction, thresh-
925 olding, edge detection, and contour analysis. These operations are essential for calculating
926 the real-world dimensions of the mango. OpenCV was utilized primarily because of its
927 diverse set of functions, performance optimization, and ease of use making it a core tool
928 for enabling accurate and fast computer vision processing within the prototype.

929 **4.4.3 CustomTkinter**

930 CustomTkinter is a modern alternative to the standard Tkinter library, and is used to
931 build the graphical user interface (GUI) of the system. It provides a more polished and
932 customizable visual appearance while retaining the simplicity of Tkinter. With features
933 such as styled buttons, frames, and labels, CustomTkinter allowed for the creation of
934 a user-friendly interface that supports real-time display of classification results, priority
935 scoring inputs, and system status updates.

936 **4.5 Security and Reliability Considerations**

937 Potential vulnerabilities, such as data corruption during image capture, are addressed
938 through redundancy and error-checking mechanisms. Reliability is ensured by implement-
939 ing fault-tolerant designs and rigorous testing protocols.



940 4.6 Scalability and Efficiency Considerations

941 The system is designed to handle large volumes of mangoes by optimizing the machine
942 learning model and using parallel processing techniques. Efficiency is improved through
943 techniques like model quantization and hardware acceleration.

944 4.7 User Interface

945 A User Interface (UI) is designed to display grading results, system status. Wireframes
946 illustrate the layout, ensuring usability and accessibility for operators. Likewise, a Graphical
947 User Interface (GUI) is also used to allow users to customize the system's grading priorities.

948 4.8 Constraints and Limitations

949 Challenges include variations in mango appearance due to lighting and environmental
950 factors. Trade-offs are made between model complexity and real-time performance to
951 balance accuracy and speed.

952 4.9 Technical Standards

953 The system adheres to industry standards for image processing and machine learning,
954 ensuring compatibility and interoperability with other systems.



4.10 Prototyping and Simulation

Prototypes are developed using tools like MATLAB and Simulink to simulate the system's performance. These simulations help identify design flaws and optimize the system before deployment.,

4.11 Design Validation

The design is validated through testing, including unit testing of individual modules and integration testing of the entire system. Peer reviews and iterative improvements ensure the system meets the desired performance metrics.

4.12 Summary

This chapter outlined the key design considerations, including system architecture, hardware and software choices, and validation methods. These decisions are critical for developing a reliable and efficient mango sorting and grading system.



967

Chapter 5

968

METHODOLOGY



TABLE 5.1 SUMMARY OF METHODS FOR REACHING THE OBJECTIVES

Objectives	Methods	Locations
GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hardware design: Build an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt, LED lights, and Raspberry Pi Camera 2. Software design: Coded a Raspberry Pi application to grade and sort the Carabao mangoes 	Sec. 5.2 on p. 54
SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hardware implementation: Design and build an image acquisition system prototype 	Sec. 5.3 on p. 54
SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying bruises and ripeness 2. Data collection: Gather our own Carabao mango dataset together with an online dataset 	Sec. 5.5 on p. 56

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning.	1. Algorithm development: To develop a code for the image acquisition system 2. Hardware design: To design a schematic for the microcontroller based system	Sec. 5.3 on p. 54
SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises.	1. Formula development: Formulated an equation based on the inputted user priority and the predicted mango classification	Sec. 5.7 on p. 61
SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes.	1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying bruises	Sec. 5.6.3 on p. 60
SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques.	1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying ripeness	Sec. 5.6.2 on p. 59
SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms.	1. Accuracy testing: Get the percent accuracy testing for getting the length and width of the Carabao mango	Sec. 5.6.4 on p. 61



969 **5.1 Introduction**

970 The methodology for this research outlines the development of the Carabao Mango sorter
971 using machine learning and computer vision. The sorting system uses a conveyor belt
972 system which delivers the mangoes into the image acquisition system. This system captures
973 the image of the mangoes which will then be going through the various stages of image
974 processing and classification into grades which will depend on the priority of the user.
975 This methodology ensures that the grading of the mangoes will be accurate while being
976 non-destructive.

977 **5.2 Research Approach**

978 This study applies the experimental approach for research in order to develop and properly
979 test the proposed system. The experimental approach of the methodology will allow the
980 researchers to fine-tune the parameters and other factors in the classification of mangoes in
981 order to get optimal results with high accuracy scores while maintaining the quality of the
982 mangoes. This approach will also allow for real-time data processing and classification
983 which will improve the previous static grading systems.

984 **5.3 Hardware Design**

985 The prototype consists of hardware and software components for automated mango sorting
986 and grading purposes. The hardware includes the conveyor belt system used to transfer
987 mangoes from scanning to sorting smoothly. A camera and lighting system are able
988 to collect high-resolution images for analysis. The DC motors and stepper motors are



989 responsible for driving the conveyor belt and sorting actuators. The entire system is
990 controlled by a microcontroller (Raspberry Pi 4b), coordinating actions of all components.
991 Sorting actuators then direct mangoes into selected bins based on their classification to
992 make sorting efficient.

993 5.4 Software Design

994 For the programming language used for the prototype and training and testing the CNN
995 model, Python was used for training and testing the CNN model and it was also used in the
996 microcontroller to run the application containing the UI and CNN model. PyTorch was the
997 main library used in using the EfficientNet model that is used in classifying the ripeness
998 and bruises of the mango. Likewise, tkinter is the used library when designing the UI in
999 Python.

1000 Furthermore, the rest of the software components are of utmost importance to mango
1001 classification. Image processing algorithms in OpenCV and CNN models extract features
1002 such as color, size, and bruises that are known to determine quality parameters of mangoes.
1003 Mangoes are classified based on ripeness and defects by using machine learning algorithms,
1004 which further enhances accuracy using deep learning techniques. A user interface (UI) is
1005 designed for users to control and observe the system in real time. Finally, the interface
1006 programming of the microcontroller provides the necessary synchronization between
1007 sensors, actuators, and motors throughout the sorting operation scenario.



5.5 Data Collection Methods

For the data collection, online available image datasets with Carabao mangoes were used together with the captured Carabao mango images. For the setup of the captured Carabao mangoes, the height of the camera to the white flat surface is 26 cm which can be seen on Figure 5.1. Furthermore, the S24's camera is used for capturing both cheeks of the Carabao mango. Initially, the Carabao mangoes would be unripe and green and each day the Carabao mangoes would be pictured until they are ripe.



Fig. 5.1 Carabao Mango Image Data Collection

5.6 Testing and Evaluation Methods

In a bid to ensure the mango sorting and grading system is accurate and reliable, there is intensive testing conducted at different levels. Unit testing is initially conducted on each component separately, for instance, the conveyor belt, sensors, and cameras, to ensure that



1019 each of the components works as expected when operating separately. After component
 1020 testing on an individual basis, integration testing is conducted to ensure communication
 1021 between hardware and software is correct to ensure the image processing system, motors,
 1022 and sorting actuators work in concert as required. System testing is conducted to con-
 1023 duct overall system performance testing in real-world conditions to ensure mangoes are
 1024 accurately and efficiently sorted and graded.

5.6.1 Classification Report

5.6.1.1 Confusion Matrix

	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
Actual Positive	TP	FN
Actual Negative	FP	TN

TABLE 5.2 CONFUSION MATRIX EXAMPLE

1027 A confusion matrix is a table that visualizes the performance of a classification model.
 1028 For a binary classification problem, it has four components:

- 1030 • True Positives (TP): Cases correctly predicted as positive
- 1031 • True Negatives (TN): Cases correctly predicted as negative
- 1032 • False Positives (FP): Cases incorrectly predicted as positive. (Type I error)
- 1033 • False Negatives (FN): Cases incorrectly predicted as negative (Type II error)



1034

5.6.1.2 Precision

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (5.1)$$

1035

Precision measures how many of the predicted positives are actually positive. It answers the question: "When the model predicts the positive class, how often is it correct?" High precision means low false positives.

1038

5.6.1.3 Recall

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (5.2)$$

1039

Recall, which is also called sensitivity, measures how many of the actual positives were correctly identified. It answers the question: "Of all the actual positive cases, how many did the model catch?" High recall means low false negatives.

1042

5.6.1.4 F1 Score

$$F_1 = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad (5.3)$$

1043

The F1 score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall. It provides a single metric that balances both concerns. This is particularly useful when you need to find a balance between precision and recall, as optimizing for one often decreases the other.

1046

5.6.1.5 Accuracy

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \quad (5.4)$$



1047 Accuracy measures the proportion of correct predictions (both true positives and true
1048 negatives) among the total cases. While intuitive, accuracy can be misleading with imbal-
1049 anced datasets.

1050 To test system performance, various measures of performance are used to evaluate.
1051 As seen on equation 5.4, accuracy score is used to measure the percentage of correctly
1052 classified mangoes to ensure the system maintains high precision levels. Precision as seen
1053 on equation 5.1 and recall as seen on equation 5.2 are used to measure consistency of
1054 classification to determine if the system classifies different ripeness levels and defects
1055 correctly. Furthermore, the F1 score formula as seen on equation 5.3 is used to evaluate the
1056 performance of the model's classification.

1057 A confusion matrix is used to measure correct and incorrect classification to ensure the
1058 machine learning model is optimized and that minimum errors are achieved. Throughput
1059 analysis is also used to determine the rate and efficiency of sorting to ensure that the
1060 system maintains high capacity without bottlenecks to sort mangoes. Using these methods
1061 of testing, the system is constantly optimized to ensure high-quality and reliable mango
1062 classification.

1063 **5.6.2 Ripeness Training and Testing**

1064 For the testing of the ripeness classification, the Carabao mangoes are classified into three
1065 ripeness stages which are Green, green yellow, and yellow. Likewise, The green would
1066 represent the ripe mangoes while the green yellow would represent the semi ripe while the
1067 yellow would represent the ripe mangoes. As reference, Figure 5.3 shows the different
1068 ripeness stages for Carabao/Pico mangoes.



Annex A

Stages of ripeness of 'carabao' and 'pico' mango fruits

Stage of ripeness	Peel color	Flesh color
Green	Completely light green	Yellowish white or light yellow green
Breaker	Traces of yellow	Middle area and fruit outline yellowish; other areas, white to yellowish white
Turning	More green than yellow	More yellow than white
Semi-ripe	More yellow than green	Yellow for 'carabao'; yellow orange for 'pico'
Ripe	80-100% yellow ('carabao') or yellow orange ('pico')	Middle area yellow for 'carabao'; yellow orange for 'pico'
Overripe	Yellow for 'carabao'; yellow orange for 'pico'	100% yellow for 'carabao' and yellow orange for 'pico'

Fig. 5.2 Carabao Mango Ripeness Stages

1069 5.6.3 Bruises Training and Testing

1070 For the testing of the bruise classification of the Carabao mangoes, it would classified into
 1071 two categories which are bruised and not bruised. To define what bruise and not bruise
 1072 mangoes looked like Figure 5.3 is used as reference to categorize which mangoes are
 1073 bruised and not bruised.




Fig. 5.3 Different Kinds of Mango Defects



1074 **5.6.4 Size Determination**

1075 To get the size of the mangoes, computer vision techniques such as Gaussian Blur and
 1076 Thresholding are used to get the length and width of the mangoes.

1077 **5.7 Mango Formula with User Priority**

1078 The linear equation used to calculate the Carabao mango grade is shown below. Likewise,
 1079 the variables $B(P)$, $R(P)$, and $S(P)$ represent the user-defined priority weightings for
 1080 bruising, ripeness, and size characteristics in the User Priority-Based Grading system.
 1081 Additionally, $b(p)$, $r(p)$, and $s(p)$ correspond to the machine learning model's predicted
 1082 values for the bruising, ripeness, and size attributes of the Carabao mango.

$$\text{Mango Grade} = b(P)B(P) + r(P)R(P) + s(P)S(P) \quad (5.5)$$

1083 The machine learning predictions are assigned the following numerical values:

1084 **Ripeness Scores:**

$$r(\text{yellow}) = 1.0 \quad (5.6)$$

$$r(\text{yellow-green}) = 2.0 \quad (5.7)$$

$$r(\text{green}) = 3.0 \quad (5.8)$$

1085 **Bruises Scores:**

$$b(\text{bruised}) = 1.0 \quad (5.9)$$

$$b(\text{unbruised}) = 2.0 \quad (5.10)$$

1086 **Size Scores:**

$$s(\text{small}) = 1.0 \quad (5.11)$$

$$s(\text{medium}) = 2.0 \quad (5.12)$$

$$s(\text{large}) = 3.0 \quad (5.13)$$

5.8 Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations ensure that the system is operated safely and responsibly. Data privacy is ensured by securely storing and anonymizing extracted images and classification data so that unauthorized access becomes impossible. The system is also eco-friendly through non-destructive testing, saving mangoes while also ensuring that they are of good quality. Safety in operations is also ensured by protecting moving parts to prevent mechanical harm and incorporating fail-safes to securely stop operation in case of malfunction. Addressing these concerns, the system is not only accurate and efficient but also secure, eco-friendly, and safe for operators, thus a sustainable solution to automated mango sorting and grading.

5.9 Summary

This chapter explained how to create an automatic Carabao mango sorter and grader using machine learning and computer vision. The system integrates hardware and software resources, including a conveyor belt, cameras, sensors, and actuators, to offer accurate, real-time sorting by ripeness, size, and bruises. Various testing and evaluation processes ensure its performance to offer reliability. Ethical issues are data privacy, environmental



De La Salle University

1103 sustainability, and operation safety. With enhanced efficiency, reduced human error, and
1104 enhanced quality, this system provides an affordable, scalable, and non-destructive solution
1105 to post-harvest mango classification in agricultural industries.



1106

Chapter 6

1107

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS



TABLE 6.1 SUMMARY OF METHODS FOR ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES

Objectives	Methods	Locations
GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises.	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully developed a user-priority-based grading and sorting system using machine learning and computer vision which can assess the mangoes' ripeness, size and bruises. <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More work needs to be done to fine tune the software components to achieve higher accuracy such as changing hyperparameters or using a newer version of EfficientNet 2. More work needs to be done to make the hardware component more robust such as by fixing the camera and LED lights in place 	Sec. 6.6 on p. 76
SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes.	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully integrated a conveyor belt with the image acquisition in order to achieve efficient flow of automated sorting and grading of the mangoes. 2. Successfully integrated LED strips to provide optimal lighting for image capturing of the mangoes. 3. Successfully fixed the hardware components in place <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully integrated a conveyor belt with the image acquisition in order to achieve efficient flow of automated sorting and grading of the mangoes. 2. Successfully integrated LED strips to provide optimal lighting for image capturing of the mangoes. 3. Need to fix the hardware components in place 	Sec. 6.4 on p. 76

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
<p>SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.</p>	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for bruises classification of Carabao mangoes <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully achieved at least 93% accuracy for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes 2. Successfully achieved at least 73% accuracy for bruise classification of Carabao Mangoes 	<p>Sec. 6.1 on p. 69</p>
<p>SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning.</p>	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully made a conveyor belt system to move the mangoes through the image acquisition system to the sorting system 2. Successfully mounted the image acquisition system on the prototype 3. Successfully made the frame for the conveyor belt and image acquisition system to sit on <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully made a conveyor belt system to move the mangoes through the image acquisition system to the sorting system 2. Temporarily mounted the image acquisition system on the prototype 3. Successfully made the frame for the conveyor belt and image acquisition system to sit on 	<p>Sec. 6.4 on p. 76</p>

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises.	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Successfully grade mangoes based on the user priorities on the physical characteristics of the mango2. Successfully verified with qualified individual the results3. Successfully utilize the weighted equation to evaluate mango grade based on user priorities <p>Actual Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Successfully grade mangoes based on the user priorities on the physical characteristics of the mango2. Successfully utilize the weighted equation to evaluate mango grade based on user priorities3. Need to look for a qualified person to evaluate the graded mango for ground truth	Sec. 6.3 on p. 74

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
<p>SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes.</p>	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Achieve at least 90% accuracy on performance metrics 2. Obtain performance metrics for kNN, k-mean, and Naive Bayes methods for comparison and show the superior performance of using CNN 3. Successfully fine tuned the CNN model to achieve the highest accuracy possible, choosing the best performing among EfficientNet b0-b7, and testing other CNN hyperparameters <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully trained a CNN model using EfficientNet-b0 and Adam Optimizer to detect ripeness based on color 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes 	<p>Sec. 6.1.1 on p. 69</p>
<p>SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques.</p>	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully classified mango size using computer vision techniques 2. Successfully tuned to have an accurate size with an 80 percent accuracy rating <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully classified mango size using computer vision techniques 2. Calculation of mango size is somewhat inaccurate and needs more fine tuning 	<p>Sec. 6.2 on p. 72</p>

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms.	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Achieve at least 90% accuracy on performance metrics 2. Successfully fine tuned the CNN model to achieve the highest accuracy possible, choosing the best performing among EfficientNet b0-b7, and testing other CNN hyperparameters <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully trained a CNN model using EfficientNet-b0 and Adam Optimizer to bruises 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for bruise classification of Carabao mangoes 	Sec. 6.1.2 on p. 72

1108 6.1 Training and Testing Results of the Model

1109 6.1.1 Ripeness Classification Results

1110 Add the F1-Score and etc here

EfficientNet Version	Precision	Recall	F1	Test Accuracy
b0	0.9841	0.9838	0.9838	0.98
b1	0.9876	0.9876	0.9876	0.99
b2	0.9802	0.9801	0.9801	0.98
b3	0.9709	0.968	0.9684	0.97
b4	0.9716	0.9699	0.9699	0.97

TABLE 6.2 PERFORMANCE METRICS FOR DIFFERENT EFFICIENTNET VERSIONS



	Precision	Recall	F1	Support
Green	0.95	0.94	0.95	135
Green Yellow	0.77	0.78	0.77	81
Yellow	0.70	0.71	0.71	80
Accuracy			0.83	296
Macro Avg	0.81	0.81	0.81	296
Weighted Avg	0.84	0.83	0.84	296

TABLE 6.3 RIPENESS CLASSIFICATION REPORT USING KNN




Fig. 6.1 Ripeness Confusion Matrix using kNN

	Precision	Recall	F1	Support
Green	0.96	0.76	0.85	135
Yellow Green	0.75	0.30	0.42	81
Yellow	0.45	0.88	0.59	80
Accuracy			0.67	296
Macro Avg	0.72	0.64	0.62	296
Weighted Avg	0.76	0.67	0.66	296

TABLE 6.4 RIPENESS CLASSIFICATION REPORT USING NAIVE BAYES




Fig. 6.2 Ripeness Confusion Matrix using Naive Bayes

	Precision	Recall	F1	Support
Bruised	0.97	0.90	0.93	1515
Not Bruised	0.88	0.97	0.92	1146
Accuracy			0.93	2661
Macro Avg	0.93	0.93	0.93	2661
Weighted Avg	0.93	0.93	0.93	2661

TABLE 6.5 BRUISES CLASSIFICATION REPORT USING CNN




Fig. 6.3 Bruises Confusion Matrix using CNN



Metrics	Results
Precision	0.9318
Recall	0.9275
F1 Score	0.9278

TABLE 6.6 SUMMARIZED CLASSIFICATION REPORT USING CNN

1111 **6.1.2 Bruises Classification Results**

1112 **6.2 Size Determination Results**

1113 **6.2.1 Method 1: Thresholding**


1114 To get the length and width of the mango. An initial image without the mango is taken
 1115 which would be the background image. After that another image is taken with the mango
 1116 which would be the foreground image.

1117 **6.2.2 Method 2: Object Detection**


1118 For the second method, the researchers train an object detection which is a faster RCNN
 1119 specifically the MobileNetV3. This was used because of its lightweight properties for the
 1120 RaspberryPi deployment.

1121 **6.2.2.1 Training and Testing**

1122 For the training of the object detection, the researchers annotated 488 images to detect the
 1123 mango.



(a) Original



(b) Foreground Masking




(c) Thresholding

Fig. 6.4 Mango Size with Reflective Material



(a) Original




(b) Thresholding


Fig. 6.5 Mango Size Best Case



(a) Original



(b) Foreground Masking



(c) Background



(d) Thresholding

Fig. 6.6 Mango Top Side with White Conveyor

6.2.2.2 Calibration to the Prototype


To calibrate the model to measure the real world length and width of the mango, the researchers calibrated the model using a Philippine peso coin which has a diameter of 2.4 cm.

```
1128     self.reference_box = [815, 383, 999, 556]
```


```
1129     self.reference_size_cm = 2.4
```

6.3 Formula with User Priority


$B(P)$ and $R(P)$ and $S(P)$ are the User Priority-Based Grading for bruises, ripeness,



(a) Original View



(b) Foreground Masking



(c) Background



(d) Thresholding

Fig. 6.7 Mango Bottom Side with White Conveyor

and size of the Carabao mango. Furthermore, $b(p)$ and $r(p)$ and $s(p)$ are the machine learning's predictions for bruises, ripeness, and size of the Carabao mango. The formula for the user priority is given by:

$$\text{Mango Grade} = b(P)B(P) + r(P)R(P) + s(P)S(P) \quad (6.1)$$

The machine learning predictions are assigned the following numerical values:

Ripeness Scores:

$$r(\text{yellow}) = 1.0 \quad (6.2)$$

$$r(\text{yellow_green}) = 2.0 \quad (6.3)$$

$$r(\text{green}) = 3.0 \quad (6.4)$$



1137 **Bruises Scores:**

$$b(\text{bruised}) = 1.0 \quad (6.5)$$

$$b(\text{unbruised}) = 2.0 \quad (6.6)$$

1138 **Size Scores:**

$$s(\text{small}) = 1.0 \quad (6.7)$$

$$s(\text{medium}) = 2.0 \quad (6.8)$$

$$s(\text{large}) = 3.0 \quad (6.9)$$

1139 **6.4 Physical Prototype**

1140 Add pictures of the hardware prototype here with description

1141 **6.5 Software Application**


1142 Show the raspberry pi app UI and demonstrate it here

1143 **6.6 Summary**

1144 Provide the gist of this chapter such that it reflects the contents and the message. This is a

1145 compile test


1146



(a) Prototype Top View




(b) Entrance Conveyor Belt View



(c) Side Conveyor Belt View


Fig. 6.8 Prototype First Iteration



(a) Prototype Main Hardware



(b) DC Motor and Pulley



(c) LED Lights and Camera Module

Fig. 6.9 Hardware View

6. Results and Discussions




De La Salle University



(a) Side View of Improved Prototype


(b) Top View of Improved Prototype

Fig. 6.10 Improved Prototype



(a) Version 1

(b) Version 2



(c) Version 3

Fig. 6.11 User Interface of the Raspberry Pi

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University




Fig. 6.12 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 2.1




Fig. 6.13 Raspberry Pi App UI Version 2.2



1147 **Chapter 7**

1148 **CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND**
1149 **FUTURE DIRECTIVES**



7.1 Concluding Remarks

In this Thesis, the prototype is successful in grading and sorting Carabao mangoes based on the user priority and machine learning algorithm. More specifically, the prototype is successful in classifying Carabao mangoes based on ripeness (Green, Green Yellow, and Yellow), size (Large, Medium, Small), and bruises (bruised and not bruised).

Likewise, the researchers were successful in getting a training and testing accuracy of at least 90% for ripeness and bruises classification.

7.2 Contributions

The contributions of each group member are as follows:

- BANAL Kenan A.: Scrum Master (Project manager in charge of the hardware and software integration)
- BAUTISTA Francis Robert Miguel F.: Front End Engineer (UI/UX Designer in charge of software interface and hardware assistant of the Scrum Master)
- HERMOSURA Don Humphrey L. : Back End Engineer (Software Engineer in charge of the machine learning algorithm and software assistant of the Scrum Master)
- SALAZAR Daniel G.: Product Engineer (Software Engineer in charge of training and testing of the machine learning algorithm)



1167 **7.3 Recommendations**

1168 The researchers recommend that the prototype be improved in the optimization of the
1169 machine learning algorithm and the hardware design. The researchers also recommend that
1170 the prototype be tested in the actual grading and sorting of Carabao mangoes in the market.

1171 **7.4 Future Prospects**

1172 Future researchers may consider the following recommendations for future work:

- 1173 1. User testing of the prototype in the actual grading and sorting of Carabao mangoes
1174 in the Philippine market.
- 1175 2. Additional of weight measurement to the prototype to improve the grading and
1176 sorting of Carabao mangoes.
- 1177 3. Integration of a custom PCB to improve the hardware design of the prototype.

7. Conclusions, Recommendations, and Future Directives



De La Salle University

1178

Produced: September 3, 2025, 20:18



De La Salle University

1179

Appendix A STUDENT RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE

1180

A. Student Research Ethics Clearance



De La Salle University

1181

RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE FORM ¹ For Thesis Proposals	
Names of Student Researcher(s): BANAL, Kenan A. BAUTISTA, Francis Robert Miguel F. HERMOSURA, Don Humphrey L. SALAZAR, Daniel G	
College: GCOE	
Department: ECE	
Course: Computer Engineering	
Expected Duration of the Project: from: January 4 2025 to: January 4 2026	
Ethical considerations (The Ethics Checklists may be used as guides in determining areas for ethical concern/consideration)	
 To the best of my knowledge, the ethical issues listed above have been addressed in the research. Dr. Reggie C. Gustilo	
Name and Signature of Adviser/Mentor: Date: February 5, 2025 Dr. Argel Bandala	
Name and Signature of the Department Chairperson: Date: February 6, 2025	

¹ The same form can be used for the reports of completed projects. The appropriate heading need only be used.



De La Salle University

1182

Appendix B ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS TO THIS THESIS

1183



1184

B1 How important is the problem to practice?

1185

1186

1187

A possible answer to this question is the summary of your Significance of the Study, and that portion of the Problem Statement where you describe the ideal scenario for your intended audience.

Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1197

B2 How will you know if the solution/s that you will achieve would be better than existing ones?

1199

1200

Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1208

B2.1 How will you measure the improvement/s?

Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.



1216 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1217 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.1 What is/are your basis/bases for the improvement/s?

1219 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1220 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1221 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1222 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1223 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1224 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1225 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1226 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1227 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.2 Why did you choose that/those basis/bases?

1229 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1230 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1231 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1232 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1233 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1234 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1235 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1236 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1237 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.3 How significant are your measure/s of the improvement/s?

1239 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1240 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1241 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1242 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1243 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1244 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1245 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1246 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1247 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



1248 **B3 What is the difference of the solution/s from ex-**

1249 **existing ones?**

1250 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.

1251 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec

1252 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus

1253 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.

1254 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla

1255 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue

1256 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.

1257 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit

1258 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1259 **B3.1 How is it different from previous and existing ones?**

1260 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.

1261 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec

1262 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus

1263 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.

1264 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla

1265 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue

1266 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.

1267 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit

1268 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1269 **B4 What are the assumptions made (that are behind**

1270 **for your proposed solution to work)?**

1271 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.

1272 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec

1273 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus

1274 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.

1275 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla

1276 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue

1277 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.

1278 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit

1279 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



B4.1 Will your proposed solution/s be sensitive to these assumptions?

1280 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1281 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1282 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1283 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1284 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1285 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1286 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1287 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1288 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.
 1289
 1290

B4.2 Can your proposed solution/s be applied to more general cases when some assumptions are eliminated? If so, how?

1291 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1292 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1293 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1294 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1295 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1296 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1297 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1298 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1299 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.
 1300
 1301

B5 What is the necessity of your approach / proposed solution/s?

1302 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1303 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1304 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1305 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1306 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1307 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1308 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1309 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1310 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.
 1311
 1312



1313 **B5.1 What will be the limits of applicability of your proposed so-**
 1314 **solution/s?**

1315 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1316 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1317 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1318 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1319 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1320 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1321 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1322 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1323 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1324 **B5.2 What will be the message of the proposed solution to**
 1325 **technical people? How about to non-technical managers and**
 1326 **business people?**

1327 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1328 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1329 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1330 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1331 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1332 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1333 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1334 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1335 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1336 **B6 How will you know if your proposed solution/s**
 1337 **is/are correct?**

1338 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1339 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1340 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1341 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1342 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1343 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1344 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.



1345 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1346 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

**B6.1 Will your results warrant the level of mathematics used
(i.e., will the end justify the means)?**

1349 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1350 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1351 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1352 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1353 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1354 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1355 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1356 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1357 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

**B7 Is/are there an/_ alternative way/s to get to the
same solution/s?**

1360 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1361 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1362 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1363 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1364 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1365 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1366 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1367 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1368 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

**B7.1 Can you come up with illustrating examples, or even
better, counterexamples to your proposed solution/s?**

1371 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1372 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdier mi nec ante. Donec
 1373 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1374 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1375 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1376 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue



1377 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1378 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1379 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B7.2 Is there an approximation that can arrive at essentially the same proposed solution/s more easily?

1380 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1381 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1382 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1383 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1384 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1385 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1386 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1387 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1388 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B8 If you were the examiner of your Thesis, how would you present the Thesis in another way? Give your remarks, especially for your methodology and the results and discussions.

1391 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1392 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1393 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1394 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1395 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1396 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1397 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1398 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1399 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B8.1 What are the weaknesses of your Thesis, specifically your methodology and the results and discussions?

1404 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1405 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec



De La Salle University

- 1408 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
1409 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
1410 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
1411 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
1412 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
1413 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
1414 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



De La Salle University

1415

1416

Appendix C REVISIONS TO THE PROPOSAL

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1417

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions

Zoom Recording:

https://zoom.us/rec/share/mrn9zBtPz3bJ5laVcy2E8-iBno8A6fBRgOCacMrhmzLPCNO0IDxXBHiK_xzdicEb.MzbHGzrD7rL3tVgJ?startTIme=1731326444000

Passcode: +7qL6DZE

Panelist's Comments and Revisions	Action Taken	Page Number
Capture both two sides of the mango and not just one to remove error	The image capturing system would only capture the two sides of the mango which are the two largest surface areas of the skin.	18
How will you get large dataset with sweetness and how will you classify it?	Remove Sweetness in the SO	13
Size and weight are not the same.	Remove Weight in objectives but retained size in the SO4 and SO6	
Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting	SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes.	13
Add what process will be used to get the size classification	SO6: To classify mango size by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques	13
Add what process the ripeness classification will be	SO5: To classify mango ripeness using kNN or nearest neighbors algorithm	13
Get rid of texture in the general objectives	Texture is removed in the SOs	13
Get rid of CNN in general objectives and replace with machine learning	CNN is removed and replaced with machine learning GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning to assess ripeness, size, and bruises.	13
Remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to "to create a microcontroller based application"	SO3: To create a microcontroller application to operate and control the prototype.	13
Remove SO4. No need for user testing	Removed user test and the new SO4 is SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises.	13
Fix IPO to the correct input and output	Input: Two side image of the Carabao Mango and the User Priority Attributes Process: Machine Learning Algorithm, Grading Formula, and CNN model using a microcontroller Output: Size, Ripeness, and Bruises	20

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1418

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

	Classification with its Overall Grade	
Define bruises	The black or brown area of the mango that is visible on the skin of the mango.	6
Dataset should use at least 10,000 images	Added to expected deliverables SO2: To use a publicly available dataset of at least 10,000 mango images for classification of ripeness, and bruises.	14
Add to specific objectives the percentage accuracy	SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.	14
Weight sensor just adds complexity	removed all mention of load sensor, load cell. removed load cell methodology	39,40,41, 42,43,44 previousl y



1419

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions

Zoom Recording:

https://zoom.us/rec/share/mrn9zBtPz3bJ5laVcy2E8-iBno8A6fBRgOCacMrhmzLPCNO0IDxXBHiK_xzdicEb.MzbHGzrD7rL3tVgJ?startTim=e=1731326444000
 Passcode: +?qL6DZE

Summary:

- Specific Objectives
- Add:
 - what process will be used to get the sweetness classification
 - what process the ripeness classification will be
 - what process will be used to get the size classification
 - Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting
- Remove:
 - get rid of texture in the general objectives
 - get rid of cnn in general objectives and replace with machine learning
 - remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to “to create a microcontroller based application”
 - remove SO4. No need for user testing

Comments:

- *[00-00] time stamps from recording
 - [15:00] Why only the top side of the mango? Isn't the point of automation to reduce human error? Then what about the bottom side wouldn't that just introduce another error if the mango happens to have defects on the bottom?
 - [16:09] What is the load cell for? Size is not the same as weight. If size is taken from the weight wouldn't size be also taken from the image. If size then adding a load cell would just introduce more complexity, if weight then load cell is fine. reminder that size is not the same as weight.
 - [17:36] When computer vision, state input and output parameters. Output parameters in this case would be sweetness, ripeness, size and bruising. Input parameters would be images.
 - [18:12] No mention of how the dataset would be gathered. Would you be gather your own dataset or using a publicly available dataset
 - [21:38] Fix IPO based on mention input and output parameters.
 - [21:50] Dataset is lacking. Usually in machine learning at least 10,000 images. can take more than one image per mango. after taking an image of mango can make more out of the image using data augmentations.
 - [22:48] Add to specific Objectives the mentioned 80%
 - [23:09] Consultant that would grade the mangoes as a third party to remove biases. For both the testing and the training
 - [24:55] How do you detect the sweetness of mangoes? Add these to the specific objectives. What are the categories of sweetness? Add these to specific objectives. How do

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1420

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

you detect the correct categorization of sweetness? How to automate the classification of the sweetness.

- [33:10] Why is the dataset destructive but the testing non destructive? Clarify this further to avoid confusion.
- [35:09] What is the basis of sweetness using images? Clarify this further.
- [35:35] How would you know if the classifier is correct or not? What is your ground truth (for the sweetness)?
- [38:55] When can you say you are getting the top side of the mango? How would you know if the mango images showing the top side or the bottom side of both cheeks of the mango can be captured? If it doesn't matter then any side can be captured so why is it in the limitations that only the top side can be captured. Clarify the limitations.
- [48:10] What classifier would you use here? What features would you extract from the images?
- [52:07] Does it explain what process will be used to get the sweetness classification? Add it to the specific objectives
- [54:00] How will ripeness be classified? Will it use the same dataset as the sweetness classification did? How was ground truth obtained?
- [55:44] Why not the nearest neighbor? It is more fit in this scenario. Do not specify CNN in the objectives. The embedded systems as well, do not specify the Raspberry pi unless truly sure
- [57:30] Table is just image processing. Is there a specific objective that would describe how ripeness classification will be done? Add this to the specific objectives.
- [59:10] How is the weight obtained? Add it to the specific objectives. Remember that size is not proportional to weight. Size could be obtained from the image as the camera is from a fixed distance. Add to specific objectives how to get the size
- [1:00:00] get rid of texture in the general objectives. get rid of cnn in general objectives and replace with machine learning. as each parameter will use a different method.
- [1:04:00] remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to "to create a microcontroller based application"
- [1:04:37] remove SO4. no more user testing
- [1:05:00] The formula used for grading the mangoes, is this used as industry standard? How do they measure the export quality of mango
- [1:07:00] Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting

Here are my comments on my end :)

1. Ensure seamless integration between hardware (sensors, motors, etc.) and software (CNNs, Raspberry Pi). You can consider using a modular approach for easier troubleshooting.
2. How do you gather a comprehensive and diverse dataset for training your CNN. This will enhance the model's robustness and accuracy.
3. Make sure that the weight sensors are calibrated correctly to avoid measurement errors.

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1421

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

4. Implement data augmentation techniques to enhance your image dataset, which can improve model generalization and accuracy.
5. Design an intuitive user interface for the Raspberry Pi application.
6. Besides precision, recall, and F1 score, consider incorporating confusion matrices to better understand model performance and error types.
7. Conduct user testing of the application to gather feedback on usability and functionality. This can lead to improvements in design and user experience. Consider how the system can be scaled or adapted for different fruits or larger processing volumes in the future.

Noted by:



Dr. Donabel de Veas Abuan
Chair of Panel

Date: November 11 2024

Note: Keep a copy of this Appendix. It is a requirement that has to be submitted in order to qualify for PRO3 Defense.



De La Salle University

1422

Appendix D REVISIONS TO THE FINAL

1423



- 1424 Make a table with the following columns for showing the summary of revisions to the
 1425 proposal based on the comments of the panel of examiners.
- 1426 1. Examiner
- 1427 2. Comment
- 1428 3. Summary of how the comment has been addressed
- 1429 4. Locations in the document where the changes have been reflected

TABLE D.1 SUMMARY OF REVISIONS TO THE THESIS

Examiner	Comment	Summary of how the comment has been addressed	Locations
Dr. Reggie C. Gustilo	<p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p> <p>First itemtext</p> <p>Second itemtext</p> <p>Last itemtext</p> <p>First itemtext</p> <p>Second itemtext</p>	<p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p>	<p>Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ??</p>

Continued on next page



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

Examiner	Comment	Summary of how the comment has been addressed	Locations
Dr. Donable de Veas Abuan	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext First itemtext Second itemtext Last itemtext First itemtext Second itemtext	Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ???
Engr. Jose Martin Maningo	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext • First itemtext • Second itemtext • Last itemtext • First itemtext • Second itemtext	Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ???

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

Examiner	Comment	Summary of how the comment has been addressed	Locations
Dr. Alexander Co Abad	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext	Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ???



De La Salle University

1430

Appendix E ARTICLE PAPER(S)

1431

Article/Forum Paper Format

(IEEE LaTeX format)

Michael Shell, *Member, IEEE*, John Doe, *Fellow, OSA*, and Jane Doe, *Life Fellow, IEEE*

1432

Abstract—The abstract goes here. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Index Terms—Computer Society, IEEE, IEEEtran, journal, L^AT_EX, paper, template.

I. INTRODUCTION

THIS demo file is intended to serve as a “starter file” for IEEE article papers produced under L^AT_EX using IEEEtran.cls version 1.8b and later. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

A. Subsection Heading Here

Subsection text here. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis

M. Shell was with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 30332.
E-mail: see <http://www.michaelshell.org/contact.html>

J. Doe and J. Doe are with Anonymous University.



Fig. 1. Simulation results for the network.

TABLE I
AN EXAMPLE OF A TABLE

One	Two
Three	Four

sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1) Subsubsection Heading Here: Subsubsection text here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

II. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra




Fig. 2. Simulation results for the network.

sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF THE FIRST ZONKLAR EQUATION

Appendix one text goes here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

APPENDIX B

Appendix two text goes here. [?].

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent

in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...