



1

2 Non-Destructive Carabao Mango Sorter and Grader based on Physical Characteristics
3 using Machine Learning

4

5 A Thesis
6 Presented to the Faculty of the
7 Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering
8 Gokongwei College of Engineering
9 De La Salle University

10

11 In Partial Fulfillment of the
12 Requirements for the Degree of
13 Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering

14

15 by

16 BANAL Kenan A.
17 BAUTISTA Francis Robert Miguel F.
18 HERMOSURA Don Humphrey L.
19 SALAZAR Daniel G.

20 March, 2025



21

ORAL DEFENSE RECOMMENDATION SHEET

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

This thesis, entitled **Non-Destructive Carabao Mango Sorter and Grader based on Physical Characteristics using Machine Learning**, prepared and submitted by thesis group, AISL-1-2425-C5, composed of:

BANAL, Kenan A.

BAUTISTA, Francis Robert Miguel F.

HERMOSURA, Don Humphrey L.

SALAZAR, Daniel G.

30

31

32

33

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering (BS-CPE)** has been examined and is recommended for acceptance and approval for **ORAL DEFENSE**.

34

35

36

Dr. Reggie C. Gustillo
Adviser

37

March 28, 2025



38

ABSTRACT

39 Carabao Mangoes are one of the sweetest mangoes in the world and one of the major pro-
40 ducers of this is the Philippines. However, mangoes go through many screening processes,
41 one of them being sorting and grading during post harvesting which is labor intensive,
42 prone to human error, and can be inefficient if done manually. Previous researchers have
43 taken steps to automate the process, however, their works often focus on only specific traits,
44 and do not try to encapsulate all the physical traits of the mangoes altogether. Furthermore,
45 previous researchers made the grading system static or unchangeable to the user. In this
46 study, the researchers will develop an automated Carabao mango grader and sorter based
47 on ripeness, size, and bruises with an interchangeable mango attribute priority through
48 non-destructive means. Using machine vision, image processing, Machine Learning, mi-
49 crocontrollers and sensors the mangoes will be physically sorted into designated bins via a
50 conveyor belt system which can be controlled and monitored via a graphical user interface.
51 The approach will streamline the post-harvest process and cut down on human errors and
52 labor costs, helping maintain the high quality of Carabao mango exports.

53
54

Index Terms—Machine Learning, Carabao Mangoes, Sorting and Grading Mangoes, Ma-
chine Vision, Microcontroller.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

55	Oral Defense Recommendation Sheet	ii
56	Abstract	iii
57	Table of Contents	iv
58	List of Figures	viii
59	List of Tables	ix
60	Abbreviations and Acronyms	x
61	Notations	xi
62	Glossary	xii
63	Listings	xiv
64	 	
65	Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	1
66	1.1 Background of the Study	2
67	1.2 Prior Studies	4
68	1.3 Problem Statement	5
69	1.4 Objectives and Deliverables	6
70	1.4.1 General Objective (GO)	6
71	1.4.2 Specific Objectives (SOs)	7
72	1.4.3 Expected Deliverables	7
73	1.5 Significance of the Study	9
74	1.5.1 Technical Benefit	10
75	1.5.2 Social Impact	11
76	1.5.3 Environmental Welfare	11
77	1.6 Assumptions, Scope, and Delimitations	11
78	1.6.1 Assumptions	11
79	1.6.2 Scope	12
80	1.6.3 Delimitations	12
81	1.7 Estimated Work Schedule and Budget	13
82	1.8 Overview of the Thesis	14



83	Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	15
84	2.1 Existing Work	16
85	2.1.1 Sorting Algorithms	19
86	2.2 Lacking in the Approaches	20
87	2.3 Summary	21
88	Chapter 3 THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS	23
89	3.1 Introduction	24
90	3.2 Relevant Theories and Models	24
91	3.3 Technical Background	25
92	3.4 Conceptual Framework Background	25
93	3.5 Software Concepts	26
94	3.5.1 Thresholding	26
95	3.5.2 Object Size Calculation	26
96	3.5.3 Convolutional Neural Network	26
97	3.6 Hardware Concepts	26
98	3.6.1 DC Motor	26
99	3.6.2 4 Channel Relay	26
100	3.6.3 1:3 Pulley Belt	26
101	3.7 Summary	26
102	Chapter 4 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	27
103	4.1 Introduction	28
104	4.2 System Architecture	28
105	4.3 Hardware Considerations	29
106	4.3.1 General Prototype Framework	29
107	4.3.2 Prototype Block Diagram	29
108	4.3.3 Prototype Flowchart	29
109	4.3.4 Hardware Specifications	29
110	4.3.4.1 Raspberry Pi	29
111	4.3.4.2 Raspberry Pi Camera	32
112	4.3.4.3 DC Motor	32
113	4.3.4.4 MicroSD Card	32
114	4.3.4.5 LED Lights	32
115	4.3.4.6 Power Supply	32
116	4.3.4.7 4 Channel Relay Module	33
117	4.4 Software Considerations	33
118	4.4.1 PyTorch	34
119	4.4.2 OpenCV	34
120	4.4.3 Tkinter	34



121	4.4.4 CustomTkinter	34
122	4.5 Security and Reliability Considerations	34
123	4.6 Scalability and Efficiency Considerations	34
124	4.7 User Interface	34
125	4.8 Constraints and Limitations	35
126	4.9 Technical Standards	35
127	4.10 Prototyping and Simulation	35
128	4.11 Design Validation	35
129	4.12 Summary	36
130	Chapter 5 METHODOLOGY	37
131	5.1 Introduction	40
132	5.2 Research Approach	40
133	5.3 Hardware Design	40
134	5.4 Software Design	41
135	5.5 Data Collection Methods	42
136	5.6 Testing and Evaluation Methods	42
137	5.6.1 Ripeness Training and Testing	44
138	5.6.2 Bruises Training and Testing	44
139	5.6.3 Size Determination	44
140	5.7 Formula for User Priority	44
141	5.8 Ethical Considerations	44
142	5.9 Summary	44
143	Chapter 6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	46
144	6.1 Training and Testing Results of the Model	51
145	6.1.1 Ripeness Classification Results	51
146	6.1.2 Bruises Classification Results	52
147	6.2 Size Determination Results	52
148	6.3 User Priority Formula	52
149	6.4 Physical Prototype	52
150	6.5 Software Application	52
151	6.6 Summary	52
152	Chapter 7 CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIVES	53
153	7.1 Concluding Remarks	54
154	7.2 Contributions	54
155	7.3 Recommendations	54
156	7.4 Future Prospects	54



De La Salle University

158	References	56
159	Appendix A STUDENT RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE	58
160	Appendix B ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS TO THIS THESIS	60
161	Appendix C REVISIONS TO THE PROPOSAL	69
162	Appendix D REVISIONS TO THE FINAL	75
163	Appendix E VITA	79
164	Appendix F ARTICLE PAPER(S)	80



LIST OF FIGURES

166	1.1	Carabao Mangoes at Different Ripeness Stages (Guillermo et al., 2019)	2
167	1.2	Gantt Chart	13
168	3.1	Theoretical Framework Diagram.	24
169	3.2	Conceptual Framework Diagram.	25
170	4.1	Raspberry Pi 4 Model B	30
171	4.2	Raspberry Pi Camera Module Version 2	30
172	4.3	12 Volt DC Gear Motor	31
173	4.4	SanDisk Ultra MicroSD Card	31
174	4.5	Bench Power Supply	32
175	4.6	4 Channel Relay Module	33



176 LIST OF TABLES

177	1.1	Expected Deliverables per Objective	8
178	1.1	Expected Deliverables per Objective	9
179	2.1	Comparison of Existing Studies	18
180	2.2	Comparison of Sorting Algorithm Models	21
181	5.1	Summary of methods for reaching the objectives	38
182	6.1	Summary of methods for achieving the objectives	47
183	D.1	Summary of Revisions to the Thesis	76



184

ABBREVIATIONS

185	AC	Alternating Current	13
186	CNN	Convolution Neural Network	14
187	DC	Direct Current	24
188	GUI	Graphical User Interface	34
189	LED	Light Emitting Diode	32
190	UI	User Interface	34



191

NOTATION

192	$B(P)$	Bruises Priority	52
193	$b(p)$	Bruises Prediction	52
194	$R(P)$	Ripeness Priority	52
195	$r(p)$	Ripeness Prediction	52
196	$S(P)$	Size Priority	52
197	$s(p)$	Size Prediction	52



GLOSSARY

198		
199	accuracy score	A performance metric that measures the overall proportion of correct predictions made by a machine learning model.
200	bruises	The black or brown area of the mango that is visible on the skin of the mango.
201	Carabao mango	A popular variety of mango grown in the Philippines, known for its sweet and juicy flesh.
202	CNN	A type of deep neural network that is highly effective in analyzing and processing visual data, such as images.
203	computer vision	The use of cameras and algorithms to provide imaging-based inspection and analysis.
204	confusion matrix	A table that summarizes the performance of a classification model, showing the number of true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives.
205	F1-Score	A balanced performance metric that is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, taking both into account.
206	machine learning	A subset of Artificial Intelligence that enables systems to learn and improve from data.
207	microcontroller	A small computing device that controls other parts of a system such as sensors.
208	Precision	A performance metric that reflects the percentage of instances classified as positive that are truly positive.
209	recall	A performance metric that measures the proportion of actual positive instances that the model correctly identified.



De La Salle University

210

User Priority-Based Grading

A customizable grading system where users can assign weights to grading factors.



De La Salle University

211

LISTINGS



De La Salle University

212

Chapter 1

213

INTRODUCTION



214 **1.1 Background of the Study**

215 Mangoes, also known as the *Mangifera indica*, are a member of the cashew family. This
216 fruit can often be seen being farmed by countries such as Myanmar, the Philippines, and
217 India as they have a tropical dry season. Being in a tropical country is an important
218 aspect for mango cultivation as it ensures proper growth for mangoes. If aspects such as
219 temperature and rainfall are not ideal, it may affect the quality of the mango (Britannica,
nd). Carabao mangoes is a variety of a mango that is found and cultivated in the Philippines.



220 Fig. 1.1 Carabao Mangoes at Different Ripeness Stages (Guillermo et al., 2019)

221 It is known for its sweet signature taste that was recognized sweetest in the world in the
222 Guinness Book of World Records in 1995. The mango was named after the national animal
223 of the Philippines, a native breed of buffalo. On average, it is 12.5 cm in length and 8.5
224 cm in diameter, having a bright yellow color when ripe as seen in Figure 1.1. It is often
225 cultivated during late May to early July (DBpedia, nd).

226 As the Philippines is a tropical country, mangoes are a highly valued fruit as it is not
227 only the country's national fruit but also amongst the leading agricultural exports of the
228 country, ranking only third below bananas and pineapples. This gives the country the 9th
229 slot amongst the leading exporters of Mangoes across the world. Attributed to this ranking



De La Salle University

230 is the country's export of both fresh and dried mangoes, as well as low tariff rates. This
231 allows the country to export a large quantity of the fruit in countries such as Singapore,
232 Japan, and the USA as they can enter duty free markets provided by the World Trade
233 Organization and Japan. Due to this, the mangoes have become a major source of income
234 to an estimated 2.5 million farmers in the country (Centino et al., 2020).

235 Before mangoes are sold in markets, they first undergo multiple post-harvest processes.
236 This is to ensure that the mangoes that arrive in markets are utmost quality before being
237 sold to consumers. Moreover, it ensures that mangoes are contained and preserved properly
238 such that they do not incur damages and/or get spoiled on its transportation to the market.
239 Processing of the mango involves pre-cooling, cleaning, waxing, classification, grading,
240 ripening, packaging, preservation, storage, packing, and transportation (Patel et al., 2019)
241 (Rizwan Iqbal and Hakim, 2022).

242 Among the processes that mangoes undergo, classification and grading is important as
243 it allows the manufacturer to separate mangoes with good qualities versus mangoes with
244 poor qualities. According to a study by (Lacap et al., 2021), size, length, width, volume,
245 density, indentation, and grooves are aspects that determine the maturity of mangoes. These
246 traits are being checked along with the ripeness of the mango, sightings of bruise injury,
247 and cracks on the fruit (Lacap et al., 2021) as these aspects affect the sellability of the fruit
248 as well as the chances of it getting spoiled sooner.

249 Previous studies have been made to automate the sortation process of the mangoes.
250 Among these is a research done by Abbas et al. (2018), which focuses on classification
251 of mangoes using their texture and shape features. They do this by, first, acquiring an
252 image of the mango using a digital camera. Then, these images are fed to the MaZda
253 package, which is a software originally developed for magnetic resonance imaging. Within



254 the MaZda package is the B11 program, which uses Principal Component Analysis, Linear
255 Discriminant Analysis, Nonlinear Discriminant Analysis, and texture classification to
256 extract features from the mango, which in this case are the length, width, and texture. This
257 data is then compared to a database in order to classify any given mango (Abbas et al.,
258 2018).

259 Another study is done by Rizwan Iqbal and Hakim (2022), which classifies mangoes
260 based on their color, volume, size, and shape. This is done by making use of Charge Coupled
261 Devices, Complementary Metal-Oxide Semiconductor sensors, and 3-layer Convolutional
262 Neural Network. To classify the mangoes, images are first captured and preprocessed to
263 be used as a data set (Rizwan Iqbal and Hakim, 2022). This data set is then augmented
264 to be used as a model for the 3-layer Convolutional Neural Network. After extracting the
265 features of the mango, the 3-layer Convolutional Neural Network is used as a method for
266 their classification as it can mimic the human brain in pattern recognition, and process
267 data for decision making. This is important as some mangoes have very subtle differences
268 which make it difficult to differentiate them.

269 1.2 Prior Studies

270 A paper written by Amna et al. (2023), designed an automated fruit sorting machine based
271 on the quality through an image acquisition system and CNN. Furthermore, the results
272 of the paper show that the image processing detection score was 89% while that of the
273 tomatoes was 92% while the CNN model had higher validity of 95% for mangoes and
274 93% for tomatoes. 15%, while the percentage of distinction between the two groups was
275 reported to be 5% respectively (Amna et al., 2023). Despite the high accuracy score in



276 detecting mango defects, the fruit sorting system only sorts based on the mango defects
277 and not on ripeness, and weight.

278 Furthermore, the research paper presented by Guillergan et al. (2024) designed an
279 Automated Carabao mango classifier, in which the mango image database is used to extract
280 the features like size, area along with the ratio of the spots for grading using Naïve Bayes
281 Model. For the results, the Naïve Bayes' model recognized large and rejected mangoes with
282 95% accuracy and the large and small/medium difference with a 7% error, suggesting an
283 application for quality differentiation and sorting in the mango business industry. Despite
284 the high accuracy of classifying Carabao mangoes, the researchers used a high quality
285 DSLR camera for the image acquisition system without any microcontroller to control the
286 mangoes (Guillergan et al., 2024).

287 **1.3 Problem Statement**

288 As mangoes are among the top exports of the Philippines (Centino et al., 2020), assessing
289 the physical deformities is a necessity. The physical deformities of the Carabao mango
290 can determine the global competitiveness of the country. Having higher quality exports
291 can often lead to gaining competitive edge, increase in demand, increase export revenues,
292 and becoming less susceptible to low-wage competition (D'Adamo, 2018). In order to
293 increase the quality of mango fruit exports, a key post-harvest process is done, which is
294 sorting and grading. Mango sorting and grading then becomes important to determine
295 which batches are of high quality and can be sold for a higher price, and which batches are
296 of low quality and can only be sold for a low price (Co., nd). Traditionally, fruit sorting
297 and grading is inefficient as it is done manually by hand. Some tools are used such as



298 porous ruler to determine fruit size and color palette for color grading (Co., nd). However,
299 among the problems encountered in the process of manually sorting and grading mangoes
300 are susceptibility to human error and requiring a number of laborers to do the task.

301 With the current advancements in technology, some researchers have already taken steps
302 to automate the process of sorting and grading mangoes. However, these attempts would
303 often only consider some of the aspects pertaining to size, ripeness, and bruises but not all
304 of them at the same time. Lastly, not all research approaches were able to implement a
305 hardware for their algorithm, limiting their output to only a software implementation and not
306 an embedded system. As such the proposed system would assess the export quality of the
307 Carabao mango based on all the mentioned mango traits, namely size, bruises, and ripeness
308 while also taking into consideration being non-destructive. These aspects are important
309 because, as was previously mentioned, there is a need to develop a Carabao mango sorter
310 that takes into account all these aspects at the same time while being non-destructive.

311 **1.4 Objectives and Deliverables**

312 **1.4.1 General Objective (GO)**

- 313 • GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao man-
314 goes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size,
315 and bruises. ;



316 **1.4.2 Specific Objectives (SOs)**

- 317 • SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting
318 and grading mangoes. ;
- 319 • SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test
320 accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at
321 least 90%.;
- 322 • SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition
323 system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine
324 learning. ;
- 325 • SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises. ;
- 326 • SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning
327 algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes. ;
- 328 • SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width
329 using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques. ;
- 330 • SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning
331 algorithms.

332 **1.4.3 Expected Deliverables**

333 Table 1.1 shows the outputs, products, results, achievements, gains, realizations, and/or
334 yields of the Thesis.



TABLE 1.1 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES PER OBJECTIVE

Objectives	Expected Deliverables
GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To develop a Carabao mango grading and sorting system. • To grade Carabao mangoes into three categories based on ripeness, size, and bruises using machine learning. • To integrate sensors and actuators to control the conveyor belt and image acquisition system.
SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To make an image acquisition system with a camera and LED light source. • To build a flat belt conveyor for moving the mangoes.
SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To use a publicly available dataset of at least 10,000 mango images for classification of ripeness and bruises.
SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To develop an intuitive UI where users can start and stop the system. • To implement a priority-based grading system with sliders for ripeness, bruises, and size.
SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To utilize a linear combination formula as the overall mango score, where each classification level contributes a grade, weighted by the priority assigned to the three properties. • To assign score values for each classification level of the mango.

Continued on next page



TABLE 1.1 EXPECTED DELIVERABLES PER OBJECTIVE

Objectives	Expected Deliverables
SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To train a machine learning model such as kNN, k-means, or Naïve Bayes capable of classifying mango ripeness based on the image color. To gather a dataset of annotated images with ripeness labels. To obtain an evaluation report of performance metrics of the model.
SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To develop an image processing algorithm capable of determining mango size using OpenCV, NumPy, and imutils. To classify mangoes based on size into small, medium, and large based on measurements.
SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To train a machine learning model such as CNN capable of distinguishing bruised and non-bruised mangoes. To train a machine learning model such as kNN, k-means, and Naïve Bayes capable of assessing the extent of bruising on the mangoes if it is significant or partial. To gather a dataset of annotated images based on bruises. To obtain an evaluation report of performance metrics of both CNN and other machine learning models.

335

1.5 Significance of the Study

336

Automating the process of sorting and grading mangoes increases efficiency and productivity for the user which would in effect remove human error in sorting and grading and decrease the human labor and time taken to sort and grade the mangoes. This is especially important for farmers with a large amount of fruit such as mangoes and a lesser labor force.



340 A recent study showed that their automated citrus sorter and grader using computer vision
341 can reduce the human labor cost and time to sort and grade when comparing the automated
342 citrus sorter and grader to manual human labor Chakraborty et al. (2023).

343 Another benefit to automating sorting and grading mangoes is the improvement in
344 quality control. This implies that compared to human labor, automating sorting and
345 grading mangoes can uniformly assess the quality of mangoes based on size, color, and
346 bruises, ensuring that the expected grade and high-quality mangoes reach the consumer.
347 By accurately identifying substandard mangoes, the system helps in reducing waste and
348 ensuring that only marketable fruits are processed further.

349 Likewise, the scalability of automating sorting and grading mangoes is simpler, es-
350 pecially for lower labor force farmers with large volumes of mangoes. Because of the
351 possibility of large-scale operations by automating sorting and grading mangoes, farmers
352 can now handle large volumes of mangoes, making them suitable for commercial farms
353 and processing plants. Moreover, it can be adapted to different varieties of mangoes and
354 potentially other fruits with minor modifications.

355 **1.5.1 Technical Benefit**

- 356 1. The development of an automated Carabao mango sorter would increase the quality
357 control of classifying Carabao mango based on ripeness, size, and bruising.
- 358 2. The accuracy in sorting Carabao mangoes will be significantly improved while
359 reducing the errors due to human factors in manual sorting.
- 360 3. The automated Carabao mango sorter carefully sorts the mangoes while ensuring
361 that they remain free from bruising or further damage during the process



- 362 **1.5.2 Social Impact**
- 363 1. The reduction in manual labor creates opportunities in maintenance and technologies
364 in the automated Carabao mango sorter.
- 365 2. The automated Carabao mango sorter system improves Carabao mango standards
366 and enhances the satisfaction of the buyers and the customers through guaranteeing
367 consistent Carabao mango grade.
- 368 3. Opportunity to increase sales and profit for the farmers through consistent quality
369 and grade Carabao mangoes while reducing the physical labor to sort it.
- 370 **1.5.3 Environmental Welfare**
- 371 1. With the utilization of non-destruction methods of classifying Carabao mangoes
372 together with an accurate sorting system, overall waste from Carabao mangoes is
373 reduced and the likelihood of improperly sorted mangoes is decreased.
- 374 2. Automation of sorting and grading Carabao mangoes promotes sustainable farming
375 practices.
- 376 **1.6 Assumptions, Scope, and Delimitations**
- 377 **1.6.1 Assumptions**
- 378 1. The Carabao mangoes are from the same source together with the same variation
- 379 2. The Carabao mangoes do not have any fruit borer and diseases



- 380 3. All the components do not have any form of defects
- 381 4. The prototype would have access to constant electricity/power source.
- 382 5. The Carabao mangoes to be tested would be in the post-harvesting stage and in the
383 grading stage.
- 384 6. The image-capturing system would only capture the two sides of the mango which
385 are the two largest surface areas of the skin.

386 **1.6.2 Scope**

- 387 1. The prototype would be specifically designed to grade and sort Carabao Mangoes
388 based on only ripeness, size, and visible skin bruises.
- 389 2. The mangoes used as the subject will be solely sourced from markets in the Philip-
390 pines.
- 391 3. The Carabao mangoes would be graded into three levels.
- 392 4. The prototype will be using a microcontroller-based system locally stored on the
393 device itself to handle user interaction.
- 394 5. Computer vision algorithms to be used will include image classification.

395 **1.6.3 Delimitations**

- 396 1. The project would only be able to perform sorting and grading on one specific fruit
397 which is the Carabao mango and will not be able to sort other types of mangoes.



- 398 2. Additionally, the project prototype will only be able to capture, sort, and grade one
 399 mango subject at a time which means the mangoes have to be placed in the conveyor
 400 belt in a single file line for accurate sorting.
- 401 3. For the bruises, the system will only be able to detect external bruises and may not
 402 identify the non-visible and internal bruises.
- 403 4. The system does not load the mangoes onto the conveyor belt itself. Assistance is
 404 required to put mangoes into the conveyor belt to start the sorting process
- 405 5. The prototype will be powered using alternating current (ac) power and will be
 406 plugged into a wall socket which is only suitable for indoor use.

407 1.7 Estimated Work Schedule and Budget

TASKS	THSCP4A				THSCP4B				THSCP4C			
	Week 1-3	Week 4-6	Week 7-9	Week 10-13	Week 1-3	Week 4-6	Week 7-9	Week 10-13	Week 1-3	Week 4-6	Week 7-9	Week 10-13
Topic Proposal and Defense	BANAL, BAUTISTA, HERMOSURA, SALAZAR				HERMOSURA AND SALAZAR							
Buying and Collecting of Materials					BANAL AND BAUTISTA							
Training and Testing the CNN model						HERMOSURA AND SALAZAR						
Integrating the sensors and actuators to the Arduino Uno						BANAL AND BAUTISTA						
Coding of the Application with CNN model to the Raspberry Pi and connecting it to the Arduino Uno							BANAL AND BAUTISTA					
Polishing and Revising the UI App							BANAL AND BAUTISTA					
Testing and Surviving of the System with the Carabao Mangoes							BANAL, BAUTISTA, HERMOSURA, SALAZAR					
Data Gathering								BANAL, BAUTISTA, HERMOSURA, SALAZAR				

Fig. 1.2 Gantt Chart

408 As seen above, Table 1.2 shows the Gantt Chart together with the assigned task. For
 409 the first part of the THSCP4A, the group would primarily revise and fine tune Chapters
 410 1 and 2 while also preparing for the defense. After that for THSCP4B, the yellow team
 411 which consists of two members, Hermosura and Salazar, would start buying and collecting



412 the materials needed for assembling the prototype. While team yellow is doing that,
413 team purple which consists of Banal and Baustista would start training and validating the
414 convolution neural network (cnn) model based on the Carabao mango image dataset. After
415 that integration of the sensors and actuators together with the integration of the cnn model
416 and beginning of coding of the Application to the Raspberry Pi would be done. Once that
417 cnn model is deployed and the Application works testing of the Carabao mangoes to the
418 prototype would be done. During THSCP4C, data gathering would be done together with
419 polishing and revising of the final paper.

420 **1.8 Overview of the Thesis**

421 There are seven succeeding chapters. To recall, chapter 1 involves the introduction of
422 the thesis topic containing the background of the study, previous studies, objectives and
423 deliverables, assumptions, scope, and delimitation, significance of the study, description
424 of the project together with the methodology, and Gantt chart and budget. Chapter 2
425 involves the existing articles, the lacking in their approaches, and the summary of chapter 2.
426 Chapter 3 involves the theoretical considerations of the thesis topic while chapter 4 would
427 consist of the design consideration involving the thesis topic. Chapter 5 would involve the
428 research methodology containing the testing procedure and setup. Chapter 6 would involve
429 the results and discussion based on the methodology while Chapter 7 would involve the
430 conclusion, recommendations, and future suggestions.



431

Chapter 2

432

LITERATURE REVIEW



433 2.1 Existing Work

434 The research paper written by Adam et al. (2022) developed a ripeness grader for Carabao
435 mangoes. The Carabao mango ripeness grade calculated based on object and color detection
436 which were written in microcontroller. These are the systems designed by the researchers
437 that consists of Raspberry Pi 4, Arduino Uno, camera, touch screen LCD, MQ3 gas sensor,
438 ventilation system. The proposed system was able to ascertain an overall reliability of 95%:
439 therefore, the specified objective of ascertaining the ripeness level of the mangoes was
440 met with success. However, accuracy and reliability of the software system are there since
441 the hardware design does not seem to be workable when one must deal with the scores of
442 mangoes (Adam et al., 2022). In addition, the design of the hardware does not integrate
443 any form of physical automating, say like the conveyor belt. Besides, the hardware system
444 only works efficiently when deciding the ripeness grade of mangoes separately.

445 A study done by Samaniego et al. (2023) is another research paper that supports and
446 has relevant information concerning the topic. The researchers proposed a fully-perovskite
447 photonic system which has the capability to identify and sort or grade mango based on
448 features such as color, weight and, conversely, signs of damages (Samaniego et al., 2023).
449 Some of the techniques in image processing that the researchers used included image
450 enhancement, image deblurring, edge detection using MATLAB and Arduino as well as
451 color image segmentation. By carrying out the multiple trials on the device they achieved a
452 classification speed of 8.132 seconds and an accuracy of 91.2%. The proponents' metrics
453 used for the ratings were speed wherein the results were rated "excellent" while the accuracy
454 rating given was "good". One of the limitations of the paper is that the researchers were
455 only limited to the color, texture, and size of the Carabao mango



456 Furthermore, the research paper presented by Guillergan et al. (2024) designed an
457 Automated Carabao mango classifier, in which the mango image database is used to extract
458 the features like weight, size, area along with the ratio of the spots for grading using
459 Naïve Bayes Model. Concerning the quantitative test design, one had to control and
460 experiment with various methods of image processing that would improve the likelihood
461 of improved classification. The paper methodology entailed sample collection from 300
462 Carabao mangoes, picture taking using a DSLR camera, and feature deconstruction for
463 categorization (Guillergan et al., 2024). The system prototype and the software were
464 designed with the programming language C# with integration of Aforge. NET routines.
465 The performance of this model was checked with the help of the dataset containing 250
466 images, precision, recall, F-score key indicators were used. The investigation discovered
467 that the Naïve Bayes' model recognized large and rejected mangoes with 95% accuracy
468 and the large and small/medium difference with a 7% error, suggesting an application for
469 quality differentiation and sorting in the mango business industry. The limitations in the
470 researchers' paper include the researchers were able to achieve high accuracy after using a
471 high quality DSLR camera and the fact that the researchers were not able to incorporate the
472 use of microcontrollers.

473 Another study by Tomas et al. (2022) proposed SVM-based system for classifying
474 the maturity stages of bananas, mangoes, and calamansi. With the use of 1729 images of
475 bananas together with 711 mango images and 589 calamansi, the researchers were able to
476 achieve a high accuracy score of above 90% for all fruits. Some pre-processing techniques
477 used to get this high accuracy are the change in hue, saturation, and value channels in the
478 mango image (Tomas et al., 2022). To better understand the harvest time of mangoes, the
479 paper by Abu et al. (2021) examined the association of the harvest season with seasonal



De La Salle University

480 heat units, rainfall, and physical fruit attributes for Haden, Kent, Palmer, and Keitt mango
 481 varieties to establish export and domestic market maturity standards. For the results of
 482 the paper, it shows that temperature, rainfall, and physical characteristics have a reliable,
 483 non-destructive indicators for determining mango maturity (Abu et al., 2021). This shows
 484 that physical characteristics and temperature are important when exporting fruits such as
 485 mangoes.

TABLE 2.1 COMPARISON OF EXISTING STUDIES

Existing Study	Limitations	Accuracy Rating
Adam et al. (2022)	No physical automation, not suitable for large amounts of mangoes, only classifies ripeness and only a sample size of 10 mangoes.	95%
Samaniego et al. (2023)	Focuses only on color and size.	91.2%
Guillergan et al. (2024)	Relies on high-quality DSLR cameras, and limited automation due to not integrating microcontrollers.	95%
Supekar and Wakode (2020)	No physical automation implemented. Ripeness, size, and shape-based classification achieved 100%, 98.19%, and 99.20% accuracy respectively on their own. However, errors occurred when taking into account all these aspects together for grading mangoes, causing an accuracy rating deduction.	88.88%

486 Previous studies on mango grading have achieved an accuracy rating of up to 95%, as
 487 shown in Table 2.1. However, these studies either relied on a small sample size, which
 488 limits statistical significance, or utilized expensive equipment, which may be impractical.
 489 In light of this, the researchers have set a target accuracy rating of greater than or equal
 490 to 90%. This target ensures that the system being developed is comparable to, or better
 491 than, existing studies that used larger sample sizes or assessed multiple mango traits at the



492 same time. Furthermore, this research aims to distinguish itself by not only maintaining or
493 exceeding the 90% accuracy rating but also incorporating a graphical user interface (GUI)
494 for selective priority-based mango classification. The system will integrate both software
495 and hardware components, and it will evaluate a greater number of mango traits for grading
496 purposes.

497 **2.1.1 Sorting Algorithms**

498 In previous studies, researchers have implemented various artificial intelligence algorithms
499 in order to determine the optimal and most effective method for sorting mangoes. One of
500 the algorithms that was used in the classification of mangoes was the CNN or Convolutional
501 Neural Networks. A study done by Zheng and Huang (2021) explored the effectiveness of
502 CNN, specifically in classifying mangoes through image processing. The system that the
503 researchers developed graded mangoes into four groups which was based on the Chinese
504 National Standard (Zheng and Huang, 2021). These mangoes were examined by their
505 shape, color uniformity, and external defects. The system that was developed had an
506 impressive accuracy of 97.37% in correctly classifying the mangoes into these grading
507 categories Support Vector Machine was also one of the classification algorithms that was
508 implemented to detect flaws in mangoes. In that study by Veling (2019), SVM was used in
509 the classification of diseases from mangoes. The study used 4 different diseases/defects for
510 testing (Veling, 2019). The diseases were Anthracnose, Powdery Mildew, Black Banded,
511 and Red Rust. and provided 90% accuracy for both the leaves and the fruit

512 In the study done by Schulze et al. (2015), Simple Linear Regression, Multiple Linear
513 Regression, and Artificial Neural Network models were all studied and compared for
514 the purpose of size-mass estimation for mango fruits. The researchers found that the



515 Artificial Neural Network yielded a high accuracy rating for mass estimation and for mango
516 classification based on size with a success rate of 96.7% (Schulze et al., 2015). This is
517 attributed to the Artificial Neural Network model's ability to learn both linear and nonlinear
518 relationships between the inputs and the outputs. However, a problem can occur with the
519 use of the model, which is overfitting. This issue occurs when the model is overtrained
520 with the data set such that it will start to recognize unnecessary details such as image noise
521 which results in poor generalization when fed with new data. With this in mind, additional
522 steps will be necessary to mitigate the issue. Another research article written by Alejandro
523 et al. (2018) implements a method for sorting and grading Carabao mangoes. This research
524 focuses on the use of Probabilistic Neural Network, which is another algorithm that is used
525 for pattern recognition and classification of objects. For this study, the researchers focused
526 on the area, color, and the black spots of the mango for their Probabilistic Neural Network
527 model (Alejandro et al., 2018). Their research using the model yielded an accuracy rating
528 of 87.5% for classification of the mangoes which means it is quite accurate for classifying
529 mangoes within the predefined categories. However, problems were encountered with
530 the use of the model when trying to identify mangoes that did not fit the predefined size
531 categories of small, medium, and large. This means that the PNN model may become
532 challenged when presented with a mango with outlying traits or traits that were very
533 different from the data set.

534 **2.2 Lacking in the Approaches**

535 The majority of past researchers such as Amna et al. (2023) and Guillermo et al. (2019)
536 were able to implement a fruit and mango sorter together with an accurate AI algorithm



TABLE 2.2 COMPARISON OF SORTING ALGORITHM MODELS

Sorting Algorithm Model	Accuracy Rating	Criteria	Problems Encountered
Convolution Neural Network	97.37%	shape, color, defects	Minor blemishes affected the accuracy.
Support Vector Machine	90%	mango defects and diseases	The model is sensitive to noise, which requires intensive image preprocessing.
Artificial Neural Network	96.7%	for mango size and mass	Overfitting
Probabilistic Neural Network	87.5%	for mango area, color, and black spots	Difficulty in identifying mangoes that have outlying features or did not fit the predefined categories

537 to detect the ripeness defects. This means that none of the previous research papers were
 538 able to integrate an interchangeable user-priority-based grading together with size, ripeness,
 539 and bruises using machine learning for Carabao mango sorter and grader. Our research
 540 however would implement an automated Carabao mango sorter in terms of size, ripeness,
 541 and bruises with its own UI, conveyor belt, stepper motors, and bins for collecting the
 542 different ripeness and defect grade of the Carabao mango.

543 2.3 Summary

544 To reiterate, there is an innovative gap that needs to be filled with regards to the process of
 545 sorting and grading Carabao mangoes. The traditional methods for conducting this process
 546 manually by hand, by a porous ruler, by a sugar meter, and by a color palette can be prone
 547 to human error and expensive costs due to the number of laborers required to do the task.



548 On the other hand, although researchers have already taken steps to automate the process
549 of mango sorting and grading, there is still a need for an implementation that takes into
550 account size, ripeness, and bruises altogether whilst being non-destructive and having its
551 own embedded system. The research articles shown above show the different computer
552 vision and CNN approaches for sorting and classifying mangoes. For example, a system
553 created by Adam et al. (2022) was more focused on ripeness detection. Samaniego et al.
554 (2023) considered photonic systems for grading mango fruit based on color and weight.
555 On the other hand, Guillermo et al. (2019) implemented the Naïve Bayes classification
556 model on mangoes with high accuracy, which thereby did not include any microcontroller.
557 There was an attempt to study each of those parameters separately and that is why the
558 multifactorial approach was not used. With this in mind, the system being proposed does
559 exactly what was mentioned, to implement a non-destructive and automated sorting and
560 grading system for Carabao mangoes that takes into account size, ripeness, and bruises
561 altogether using machine learning, as well as having its own embedded system. This system
562 will be mainly composed of a conveyor belt, servo motors, a camera, microcontrollers, and
563 an LCD display for the user interface. By doing so, the system should be able to improve
564 the efficiency and productivity of mango sorting and grading, remove the effect of human
565 error and reduce time consumption. The studies also provided critical insights regarding the
566 effective algorithms that can be used in classification stages in image processing. The use
567 of CNN had the most accuracy with manageable potential challenges. Lastly, by scaling
568 the implementation, the overall export quality of the Carabao mangoes can be improved.



569

Chapter 3

570

THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS



571 3.1 Introduction

572 Likewise, the purpose of this chapter is to go through the important theories in developing
 573 the prototype together with training and testing the machine learning model.

574 3.2 Relevant Theories and Models




Fig. 3.1 Theoretical Framework Diagram.

575 The theoretical framework seen in figure x revolves around the concepts that revolve
 576 around the research topic. Embedded systems include the Raspberry Pi, which is the
 577 microcontroller that will be the brain of the system, direct current (dc) motors, 4 channel
 578 relays, and the conveyor belt. The machine learning portion includes a neural network
 579 model, namely the Convolutional Neural Network, which will use computer vision as a
 580 method of seeing and classifying the mangoes based on their physical traits. The image
 581 processing will include methods such as size calculation and background removal using
 582 OpenCV. Lastly, the Carabao mango will be the test subject of the system.



583 3.3 Technical Background

584 At its core, the system will be using machine learning concepts pertaining to CNN and
 585 OpenCV, and may use other algorithms such as Naive Bayes and k-Nearest Neighbors
 586 to supplement the classification tasks, particularly for assessing mango ripeness, bruise
 587 detection, and size determination. The system will be built on an embedded framework,
 588 integrating a Raspberry Pi microcontroller to control the RaspberryPi camera, actuators,
 589 LED lights, and motors. A user-friendly GUI will also be utilized to ensure users can
 590 customize the prioritization of the mango sorting system.

591 3.4 Conceptual Framework Background




Fig. 3.2 Conceptual Framework Diagram.



592 **3.5 Software Concepts**

593 **3.5.1 Thresholding**

594 **3.5.2 Object Size Calculation**

595 **3.5.3 Convolutional Neural Network**

596 **3.6 Hardware Concepts**

597 **3.6.1 DC Motor**

598 **3.6.2 4 Channel Relay**

599 **3.6.3 1:3 Pulley Belt**

600 **3.7 Summary**

601 Overall, chapter 3 establishes key concepts and theoretical considerations that form the
602 foundation of the Carabao mango sorter and grading system. It discusses and connects
603 each component together, explaining how each component such as the RaspberryPi and
604 DC motors work together to create a system that utilizes machine learning and computer
605 vision techniques to classify mangoes based on user priority.



606

Chapter 4

607

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS



608 Likewise, the objective of chapter 4 is to describe the researcher's design consideration
609 when developing and testing the prototype. For an overview of the design of the prototype,
610 the researchers considered different computer vision models in classifying the ripeness
611 and bruises together with other algorithms to determine the size of the mango. Likewise,
612 the hardware design was also taken into consideration where the physical design of the
613 conveyor belt was taken into account.

614 **4.1 Introduction**

615 This chapter discusses the design considerations for the mango sorting and grading system,
616 focusing on the technical and engineering decisions required for its development. The
617 design process aims to create a scalable, efficient, and user-friendly system that leverages
618 machine learning for accurate mango classification.

619 **4.2 System Architecture**

620 The system architecture is represented through a block diagram, showcasing modules
621 such as image acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, machine learning model, and
622 grading output. Each module is described in detail, emphasizing its role in the overall
623 system. For instance, the image acquisition module uses high-resolution cameras to capture
624 mango images, while the preprocessing module enhances image quality for better feature
625 extraction.



626 **4.3 Hardware Considerations**

627 The hardware components include high-resolution cameras, lighting systems for consistent
628 image capture, and microcontrollers like Raspberry Pi or Arduino for system control,
629 actuators like motors and servo motors to move the mangoes. The choice of hardware is
630 justified based on cost, performance, and compatibility with the software framework.

631 **4.3.1 General Prototype Framework**

632 **4.3.2 Prototype Block Diagram**

633 **4.3.3 Prototype Flowchart**

634 **4.3.4 Hardware Specifications**

635 **4.3.4.1 Raspberry Pi**

636 The Raspberry Pi 4 Model B is a compact, low-cost computer that serves as the system's
637 main processing unit. It is chosen for its balance of performance and affordability, making
638 it suitable for real-time image processing tasks.



De La Salle University



Fig. 4.1 Raspberry Pi 4 Model B




Fig. 4.2 Raspberry Pi Camera Module Version 2



De La Salle University



Fig. 4.3 12 Volt DC Gear Motor



Fig. 4.4 SanDisk Ultra MicroSD Card



639 **4.3.4.2 Raspberry Pi Camera**

640 **4.3.4.3 DC Motor**

641 **4.3.4.4 MicroSD Card**

642 **4.3.4.5 LED Lights**

643 light emitting diode (led) lights are used to provide consistent lighting for image capture,
644 ensuring accurate color representation and feature extraction.

645 **4.3.4.6 Power Supply**



Fig. 4.5 Bench Power Supply




Fig. 4.6 4 Channel Relay Module

646 **4.3.4.7 4 Channel Relay Module**

647 **4.4 Software Considerations**

648 The software stack includes Python for programming PyTorch for machine learning and
649 OpenCV for image processing. These tools are selected for their robustness, ease of use,
650 and extensive community support, ensuring efficient system development.

**4.4.1 PyTorch****4.4.2 OpenCV****4.4.3 Tkinter****4.4.4 CustomTkinter****4.5 Security and Reliability Considerations**

Potential vulnerabilities, such as data corruption during image capture, are addressed through redundancy and error-checking mechanisms. Reliability is ensured by implementing fault-tolerant designs and rigorous testing protocols.

4.6 Scalability and Efficiency Considerations

The system is designed to handle large volumes of mangoes by optimizing the machine learning model and using parallel processing techniques. Efficiency is improved through techniques like model quantization and hardware acceleration.

4.7 User Interface

A user interface (ui) is designed to display grading results, system status. Wireframes illustrate the layout, ensuring usability and accessibility for operators. Likewise, a graphical user interface (gui) is also used to allow users to customize the system's grading priorities.



667 **4.8 Constraints and Limitations**

668 Challenges include variations in mango appearance due to lighting and environmental
669 factors. Trade-offs are made between model complexity and real-time performance to
670 balance accuracy and speed.

671 **4.9 Technical Standards**

672 The system adheres to industry standards for image processing and machine learning,
673 ensuring compatibility and interoperability with other systems.

674 **4.10 Prototyping and Simulation**

675 Prototypes are developed using tools like MATLAB and Simulink to simulate the system's
676 performance. These simulations help identify design flaws and optimize the system before
677 deployment.,,

678 **4.11 Design Validation**

679 The design is validated through testing, including unit testing of individual modules and
680 integration testing of the entire system. Peer reviews and iterative improvements ensure the
681 system meets the desired performance metrics.



682

4.12 Summary

683

This chapter outlined the key design considerations, including system architecture, hardware and software choices, and validation methods. These decisions are critical for developing a reliable and efficient mango sorting and grading system.

684

685



686

Chapter 5

687

METHODOLOGY



TABLE 5.1 SUMMARY OF METHODS FOR REACHING THE OBJECTIVES

Objectives	Methods	Locations
GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hardware design: Build an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt, LED lights, and Raspberry Pi Camera 2. Software design: Coded a Raspberry Pi application to grade and sort the Carabao mangoes 	Sec. 5.2 on p. 40
SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hardware implementation: Design and build an image acquisition system prototype 	Sec. 5.3 on p. 40
SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying bruises and ripeness 2. Data collection: Gather our own Carabao mango dataset together with an online dataset 	Sec. 5.5 on p. 42

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Algorithm development: To develop a code for the image acquisition system 2. Hardware design: To design a schematic for the microcontroller based system 	Sec. 5.3 on p. 40
SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Formula development: Formulated an equation based on the inputted user priority and the predicted mango classification 	Sec. 5.7 on p. 44
SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying bruises 	Sec. 5.6.2 on p. 44
SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Performance testing: Train and test the machine learning algorithm for classifying ripeness 	Sec. 5.6.1 on p. 44
SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accuracy testing: Get the percent accuracy testing for getting the length and width of the Carabao mango 	Sec. 5.6.3 on p. 44



688 5.1 Introduction

689 The methodology for this research outlines the development of the Carabao Mango sorter
690 using machine learning and computer vision. The sorting system uses a conveyor belt
691 system which delivers the mangoes into the image acquisition system. This system captures
692 the image of the mangoes which will then be going through the various stages of image
693 processing and classification into grades which will depend on the priority of the user.
694 This methodology ensures that the grading of the mangoes will be accurate while being
695 non-destructive.

696 5.2 Research Approach

697 This study applies the experimental approach for research in order to develop and properly
698 test the proposed system. The experimental approach of the methodology will allow the
699 researchers to fine-tune the parameters and other factors in the classification of mangoes in
700 order to get optimal results with high accuracy scores while maintaining the quality of the
701 mangoes. This approach will also allow for real-time data processing and classification
702 which will improve the previous static grading systems.

703 5.3 Hardware Design

704 The prototype consists of hardware and software components for automated mango sorting
705 and grading purposes. The hardware includes the conveyor belt system used to transfer
706 mangoes from scanning to sorting smoothly. A camera and lighting system are able
707 to collect high-resolution images for analysis. The DC motors and stepper motors are



708 responsible for driving the conveyor belt and sorting actuators. The entire system is
709 controlled by a microcontroller (Raspberry Pi 4b), coordinating actions of all components.
710 Sorting actuators then direct mangoes into selected bins based on their classification to
711 make sorting efficient.

712 **5.4 Software Design**

713 For the programming language used for the prototype and training and testing the CNN
714 model, Python was used for training and testing the CNN model and it was also used in the
715 microcontroller to run the application containing the UI and CNN model. PyTorch was the
716 main library used in using the EfficientNet model that is used in classifying the ripeness
717 and bruises of the mango. Likewise, tkinter is the used library when designing the UI in
718 Python.

719 Furthermore, the rest of the software components are of utmost importance to mango
720 classification. Image processing algorithms in OpenCV and CNN models extract features
721 such as color, size, and bruises that are known to determine quality parameters of mangoes.
722 Mangoes are classified based on ripeness and defects by using machine learning algorithms,
723 which further enhances accuracy using deep learning techniques. A user interface (UI) is
724 designed for users to control and observe the system in real time. Finally, the interface
725 programming of the microcontroller provides the necessary synchronization between
726 sensors, actuators, and motors throughout the sorting operation scenario.



727 **5.5 Data Collection Methods**

728 The system acquires high-resolution images of mangoes under pre-specified lighting conditions through systematic acquisition. Apart from that, this corpus of data is based on the real-time images acquired from the camera system, where classification operations are carried out based on real-time data. Pre-processing image operations such as flipping, rotating, resizing, normalization, and Gaussian blur are also carried out in order to enhance image clarity and feature detection. Then, the feature extraction process is carried out, where the intensity of color, shape, and texture are analyzed for the detection of characteristic features in terms of the mango. All these aspects lead to the creation of a reliable dataset for the machine learning algorithm that will allow the system to classify and grade mangoes more accurately.

738 **5.6 Testing and Evaluation Methods**

739 In a bid to ensure the mango sorting and grading system is accurate and reliable, there is intensive testing conducted at different levels. Unit testing is initially conducted on each component separately, for instance, the conveyor belt, sensors, and cameras, to ensure that each of the components works as expected when operating separately. After component testing on an individual basis, integration testing is conducted to ensure communication between hardware and software is correct to ensure the image processing system, motors, and sorting actuators work in concert as required. System testing is conducted to conduct overall system performance testing in real-world conditions to ensure mangoes are accurately and efficiently sorted and graded.



$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (5.2)$$

748 To test system performance, various measures of performance are used to evaluate.
 749 As seen on equation 5.4, accuracy score is used to measure the percentage of correctly
 750 classified mangoes to ensure the system maintains high precision levels. Precision as seen
 751 on equation 5.1 and recall as seen on equation 5.2 are used to measure consistency of
 752 classification to determine if the system classifies different ripeness levels and defects
 753 correctly. Furthermore, the F1 score formula as seen on equation 5.3 is used to evaluate the
 754 performance of the model's classification.

$$F_1 = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \quad (5.4)$$

755 A confusion matrix is used to measure correct and incorrect classification to ensure the
 756 machine learning model is optimized and that minimum errors are achieved. Throughput
 757 analysis is also used to determine the rate and efficiency of sorting to ensure that the
 758 system maintains high capacity without bottlenecks to sort mangoes. Using these methods
 759 of testing, the system is constantly optimized to ensure high-quality and reliable mango
 760 classification.



761 **5.6.1 Ripeness Training and Testing**

762 **5.6.2 Bruises Training and Testing**

763 **5.6.3 Size Determination**

764 **5.7 Formula for User Priority**

765 **5.8 Ethical Considerations**

766 Ethical considerations ensure that the system is operated safely and responsibly. Data
767 privacy is ensured by securely storing and anonymizing extracted images and classification
768 data so that unauthorized access becomes impossible. The system is also eco-friendly
769 through non-destructive testing, saving mangoes while also ensuring that they are of good
770 quality. Safety in operations is also ensured by protecting moving parts to prevent mechani-
771 cal harm and incorporating fail-safes to securely stop operation in case of malfunction.
772 Addressing these concerns, the system is not only accurate and efficient but also secure,
773 eco-friendly, and safe for operators, thus a sustainable solution to automated mango sorting
774 and grading.

775 **5.9 Summary**

776 This chapter explained how to create an automatic Carabao mango sorter and grader using
777 machine learning and computer vision. The system integrates hardware and software
778 resources, including a conveyor belt, cameras, sensors, and actuators, to offer accurate,
779 real-time sorting by ripeness, size, and bruises. Various testing and evaluation processes



De La Salle University

780 ensure its performance to offer reliability. Ethical issues are data privacy, environmental
781 sustainability, and operation safety. With enhanced efficiency, reduced human error, and
782 enhanced quality, this system provides an affordable, scalable, and non-destructive solution
783 to post-harvest mango classification in agricultural industries.



784

Chapter 6

785

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS



TABLE 6.1 SUMMARY OF METHODS FOR ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES

Objectives	Methods	Locations
GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning and computer vision techniques to assess ripeness, size, and bruises.	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully developed a user-priority-based grading and sorting system using machine learning and computer vision which can assess the mangoes' ripeness, size and bruises. <p>Actual Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More work needs to be done to fine tune the software components to achieve higher accuracy such as changing hyperparameters or using a newer version of EfficientNet 2. More work needs to be done to make the hardware component more robust such as by fixing the camera and LED lights in place 	Sec. 6.6 on p. 52
SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes.	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully integrated a conveyor belt with the image acquisition in order to achieve efficient flow of automated sorting and grading of the mangoes. 2. Successfully integrated LED strips to provide optimal lighting for image capturing of the mangoes. 3. Successfully fixed the hardware components in place <p>Actual Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully integrated a conveyor belt with the image acquisition in order to achieve efficient flow of automated sorting and grading of the mangoes. 2. Successfully integrated LED strips to provide optimal lighting for image capturing of the mangoes. 3. Need to fix the hardware components in place 	Sec. 6.4 on p. 52

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
<p>SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.</p>	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for bruises classification of Carabao mangoes <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully achieved at least 93% accuracy for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes 2. Successfully achieved at least 73% accuracy for bruise classification of Carabao Mangoes 	<p>Sec. 6.1 on p. 51</p>
<p>SO3: To create a microcontroller-based system to operate the image acquisition system, control the conveyor belt, and process the mango images through machine learning.</p>	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully made a conveyor belt system to move the mangoes through the image acquisition system to the sorting system 2. Successfully mounted the image acquisition system on the prototype 3. Successfully made the frame for the conveyor belt and image acquisition system to sit on <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully made a conveyor belt system to move the mangoes through the image acquisition system to the sorting system 2. Temporarily mounted the image acquisition system on the prototype 3. Successfully made the frame for the conveyor belt and image acquisition system to sit on 	<p>Sec. 6.4 on p. 52</p>

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises.	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully grade mangoes based on the user priorities on the physical characteristics of the mango 2. Successfully verified with qualified individual the results 3. Successfully utilize the weighted equation to evaluate mango grade based on user priorities <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully grade mangoes based on the user priorities on the physical characteristics of the mango 2. Successfully utilize the weighted equation to evaluate mango grade based on user priorities 3. Need to look for a qualified person to evaluate the graded mango for ground truth 	Sec. 6.3 on p. 52

Continued on next page

6. Results and Discussions



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
<p>SO5: To classify mango ripeness based on image data using machine learning algorithms such as kNN, k-mean, and Naïve Bayes.</p>	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Achieve at least 90% accuracy on performance metrics 2. Obtain performance metrics for kNN, k-mean, and Naive Bayes methods for comparison and show the superior performance of using CNN 3. Successfully fine tuned the CNN model to achieve the highest accuracy possible, choosing the best performing among EfficientNet b0-b7, and testing other CNN hyperparameters <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully trained a CNN model using EfficientNet-b0 and Adam Optimizer to detect ripeness based on color 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for ripeness classification of Carabao mangoes 	<p>Sec. 6.1.1 on p. 51</p>
<p>SO6: To classify mango size based on image data by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques.</p>	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully classified mango size using computer vision techniques 2. Successfully tuned to have an accurate size with an 80 percent accuracy rating <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully classified mango size using computer vision techniques 2. Calculation of mango size is somewhat inaccurate and needs more fine tuning 	<p>Sec. 6.2 on p. 52</p>

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

Objectives	Methods	Locations
SO7: To classify mango bruises based on image data by employing machine learning algorithms.	<p>Expected Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Achieve at least 90% accuracy on performance metrics 2. Successfully fine tuned the CNN model to achieve the highest accuracy possible, choosing the best performing among EfficientNet b0-b7, and testing other CNN hyperparameters <p>Actual Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Successfully trained a CNN model using EfficientNet-b0 and Adam Optimizer to bruises 2. Successfully achieved at least 90 percent accuracy, precision, recall, f1 score for bruise classification of Carabao mangoes 	Sec. 6.1.2 on p. 52

786

6.1 Training and Testing Results of the Model

787

6.1.1 Ripeness Classification Results

788

Add the F1-Score and etc here

789 **6.1.2 Bruises Classification Results**790 **6.2 Size Determination Results**791 **6.3 User Priority Formula**

792 $B(P)$ and $R(P)$ and $S(P)$ are the User Priority-Based Grading for bruises, ripeness,
793 and size of the Carabao mango. Furthermore, $b(p)$ and $r(p)$ and $s(p)$ are the machine
794 learning's predictions for bruises, ripeness, and size of the Carabao mango. The formula
795 for the user priority is given by:

$$\text{User Priority} = \frac{b(P) + r(P) + s(P)}{3} \quad (6.1)$$

797 **6.4 Physical Prototype**

798 Add pictures of the hardware prototype here with description

799 **6.5 Software Application**

800 Show the raspberry pi app UI and demonstrate it here

801 **6.6 Summary**

802 Provide the gist of this chapter such that it reflects the contents and the message.



803

Chapter 7

804

CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIVES

805



806 **7.1 Concluding Remarks**

807 In this Thesis, ...

808 Put here the main points that should be known and learned about the work topic.
809 Summarize or give the gist of the essential principles and inferences drawn from your
810 results.

811 **7.2 Contributions**

812 The interrelated contributions and supplements that have been developed by the author(s)
813 in this Thesis are listed as follows. Only those that are unique to the authors' work are
814 included.

- 815 • the ;
- 816 • the ;
- 817 • the ;

818 **7.3 Recommendations**

819 The researchers recommend...

820 **7.4 Future Prospects**

821 There are several prospects that may be extended for further studies. ... So the suggested
822 topics are listed in the following.



823 1. the

824 2. the

825 3. the

826 Note that for ECE undergraduate theses, as per the directions of the thesis adviser,
827 Recommendations and Future Directives will be removed for the hardbound copy but will
828 be retained for database storage.



829

REFERENCES

- 830 Abbas, Q., Niazi, S., Iqbal, M., and Noureen, M. (2018). Mango Classification Using Texture &
831 Shape Features. *IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 18(8).
- 832 Abu, M., Olympio, N. S., and Darko, J. O. (2021). Determination of Harvest Maturity for Mango
833 (*Mangifera indica*) Fruit by Non-Destructive Criteria. *Agricultural Sciences*,
834 12(10):1103–1118.
- 835 Adam, J. A. P., Dato, K. S., Impelido, M. C. D., Tobias, R. G., and Pilueta, N. U. (2022). Non-
836 destructive microcontroller-based carabao mango ripeness grader.
- 837 Alejandro, A. B., Gonzales, J. P., Yap, J. P. C., and Linsangan, N. B. (2018). Grading and sorting of
838 Carabao mangoes using probabilistic neural network. page 020065, Bandung, Indonesia.
- 839 Amna, M. W. A., Guiqiang, L., and Muhammad Zuhair AKRAM, M. F. (2023). Machine vision-
840 based automatic fruit quality detection and grading. *Frontiers of Agricultural Science and*
841 *Engineering*, 0(0):0.
- 842 Britannica (n.d.). Mango history cultivation and facts.
- 843 Centino, M. F., Castano, M. C. N., and Ebo, J. B. F. (2020). The Current Status of Philippine Mango
844 in the Global Value Chain.
- 845 Chakraborty, S. K., Subeesh, A., Dubey, K., Jat, D., Chandel, N. S., Potdar, R., Rao, N. G., and
846 Kumar, D. (2023). Development of an optimally designed real time automatic citrus fruit grading-
847 sorting machine leveraging computer vision-based adaptive deep learning model. *Engineering*
848 *Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 120:105826.
- 849 Co., Z. F. I. (n.d.). What is fruit sorting.
- 850 D'Adamo, G. (2018). The determinants of export quality in the euro area. *Quarterly Report on the*
851 *Euro Area (QREA)*, 17(1):23–31. Publisher: Directorate General Economic and Financial Affairs
852 (DG ECFIN), European Commission.
- 853 DBpedia (n.d.). About: Carabao.
- 854 Guillergan, G., Sabay, R., Madrigal, D., and Bual, J. (2024). Naive Bayes Classifier in Grading
855 Carabao Mangoes. *Technium: Romanian Journal of Applied Sciences and Technology*, 22:14–32.
- 856 Guillermo, M. C. S., Naciongayo, D. S., and Galela, M. G. C. (2019). Determining ‘Carabao’
857 Mango Ripeness Stages Using Three Image Processing Algorithms.
- 858 Lacap, A., Bayogan, E. R., Secretaria, L., Joyce, D., Ekman, J., and Goldwater, A. (2021). Bruise
859 Injury and Its Effect on ‘Carabao’ Mango Fruit Quality. *Philippine Journal of Science*, 150(6B).
- 860 Patel, K. K., Khan, M. A., Kumar, Y., and Yadav, A. K. (2019). Novel Techniques in Post Harvest
861 Management of Mango- An Overview. *South Asian Journal of Food Technology and Environment*,
862 05(02):821–835.



De La Salle University

- 863 Rizwan Iqbal, H. M. and Hakim, A. (2022). Classification and Grading of Harvested Mangoes
864 Using Convolutional Neural Network. *International Journal of Fruit Science*, 22(1):95–109.
- 865 Samaniego, L. A., De Jesus, L. C. M., Apostol, J. D., Betonio, D. C., Medalla, J. D. B., Peruda Jr,
866 S. R., Brucal, S. G. E., and Yong, E. D. (2023). Carabao mango export quality checker using
867 matlab image processing. *International Journal of Computing Sciences Research*, 7:2080–2094.
- 868 Schulze, K., Nagle, M., Spreer, W., Mahayothee, B., and Müller, J. (2015). Development and
869 assessment of different modeling approaches for size-mass estimation of mango fruits (*Mangifera*
870 *indica* L., cv. ‘Nam Dokmai’). *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 114:269–276.
- 871 Supekar, A. D. and Wakode, M. (2020). Multi-Parameter Based Mango Grading Using Image
872 Processing and Machine Learning Techniques. *INFOCOMP Journal of Computer Science*,
873 19(2):175–187. Number: 2.
- 874 Tomas, M. C., Celino, J. P. L., Escalambre, I. E., and Secreto, B. P. (2022). Detection of Overall
875 Fruit Maturity of Local Fruits Using Support Vector Machine through Image Processing. In *2022*
876 *12th International Conference on Software Technology and Engineering (ICSTE)*, pages 96–102.
- 877 Veling, P. S. (2019). Mango Disease Detection by using Image Processing. *International Journal*
878 *for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 7(4):3717–3726.
- 879 Zheng, B. and Huang, T. (2021). Mango Grading System Based on Optimized Convolutional Neural
880 Network. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2021:1–11.



De La Salle University

882

Appendix A STUDENT RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE

883

A. Student Research Ethics Clearance



De La Salle University

884

RESEARCH ETHICS CLEARANCE FORM ¹ For Thesis Proposals	
Names of Student Researcher(s): BANAL, Kenan A. BAUTISTA, Francis Robert Miguel F. HERMOSURA, Don Humphrey L. SALAZAR, Daniel G	
College: GCOE	
Department: ECE	
Course: Computer Engineering	
Expected Duration of the Project: from: January 4 2025 to: January 4 2026	
Ethical considerations (The Ethics Checklists may be used as guides in determining areas for ethical concern/consideration)	
 To the best of my knowledge, the ethical issues listed above have been addressed in the research. Dr. Reggie C. Gustilo	
Name and Signature of Adviser/Mentor: Date: February 5, 2025	
Noted by: Dr. Argel Bandala	
Name and Signature of the Department Chairperson: Date: February 6, 2025	

¹ The same form can be used for the reports of completed projects. The appropriate heading need only be used.



De La Salle University

885

Appendix B ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS TO THIS THESIS

886



887 **B1 How important is the problem to practice?**

888 A possible answer to this question is the summary of your Significance of the Study, and
 889 that portion of the Problem Statement where you describe the ideal scenario for your
 890 intended audience.

891 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 892 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 893 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 894 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 895 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 896 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 897 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 898 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 899 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

900 **B2 How will you know if the solution/s that you will 901 achieve would be better than existing ones?**

902 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 903 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 904 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 905 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 906 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 907 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 908 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 909 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 910 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

911 **B2.1 How will you measure the improvement/s?**

912 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 913 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 914 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 915 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 916 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 917 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 918 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.



919 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 920 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.1 What is/are your basis/bases for the improvement/s?

922 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 923 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 924 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 925 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 926 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 927 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 928 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 929 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 930 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.2 Why did you choose that/those basis/bases?

932 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 933 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 934 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 935 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 936 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 937 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 938 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 939 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 940 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B2.1.3 How significant are your measure/s of the improvement/s?

942 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 943 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 944 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 945 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 946 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 947 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 948 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 949 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 950 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



B3 What is the difference of the solution/s from existing ones?

951 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 952 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 953 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 954 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 955 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 956 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 957 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 958 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 959 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.
 960
 961

B3.1 How is it different from previous and existing ones?

962 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 963 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 964 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 965 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 966 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 967 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 968 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 969 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 970 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.
 971

B4 What are the assumptions made (that are behind for your proposed solution to work)?

972 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 973 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 974 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 975 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 976 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 977 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 978 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 979 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 980 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.
 981
 982



983 **B4.1 Will your proposed solution/s be sensitive to these as-**
 984 **sump tions?**

985 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 986 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 987 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 988 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 989 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 990 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 991 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 992 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 993 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

994 **B4.2 Can your proposed solution/s be applied to more general**
 995 **cases when some assumptions are eliminated? If so, how?**

996 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 997 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 998 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 999 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1000 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1001 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1002 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1003 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1004 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1005 **B5 What is the necessity of your approach / pro-**
 1006 **posed solution/s?**

1007 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1008 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1009 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1010 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1011 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1012 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1013 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1014 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1015 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



1016 **B5.1 What will be the limits of applicability of your proposed so-**
 1017 **lution/s?**

1018 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1019 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1020 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1021 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1022 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1023 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1024 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1025 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1026 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1027 **B5.2 What will be the message of the proposed solution to**
 1028 **technical people? How about to non-technical managers and**
 1029 **business people?**

1030 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1031 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1032 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1033 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1034 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1035 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1036 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1037 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1038 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1039 **B6 How will you know if your proposed solution/s**
 1040 **is/are correct?**

1041 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1042 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1043 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1044 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1045 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1046 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1047 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.



1048 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1049 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1050 **B6.1 Will your results warrant the level of mathematics used**
 1051 **(i.e., will the end justify the means)?**

1052 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1053 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1054 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1055 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1056 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1057 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1058 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1059 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1060 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1061 **B7 Is/are there an/_ alternative way/s to get to the**
 1062 **same solution/s?**

1063 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1064 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1065 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1066 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1067 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1068 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1069 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1070 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1071 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1072 **B7.1 Can you come up with illustrating examples, or even**
 1073 **better, counterexamples to your proposed solution/s?**

1074 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1075 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1076 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1077 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1078 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1079 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue



1080 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1081 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1082 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B7.2 Is there an approximation that can arrive at essentially the same proposed solution/s more easily?

1085 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1086 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1087 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1088 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1089 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1090 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1091 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1092 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1093 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B8 If you were the examiner of your Thesis, how would you present the Thesis in another way? Give your remarks, especially for your methodology and the results and discussions.

1098 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1099 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec
 1100 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
 1101 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
 1102 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
 1103 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
 1104 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
 1105 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
 1106 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

B8.1 What are the weaknesses of your Thesis, specifically your methodology and the results and discussions?

1107 Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem.
 1108 Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec



De La Salle University

- 1111 ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus
1112 placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor.
1113 Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla
1114 tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue
1115 a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris.
1116 Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit
1117 amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.



De La Salle University

1118

Appendix C REVISIONS TO THE PROPOSAL

1119

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1120

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions

Zoom Recording:

https://zoom.us/rec/share/mrn9zBtPz3bJ5laVcy2E8-iBno8A6fBRgOCacMrhmzLPCNO0IDxXBHiK_xzdicEb.MzbHGzrD7rL3tVgJ?startTIme=1731326444000

Passcode: +7qL6DZE

Panelist's Comments and Revisions	Action Taken	Page Number
Capture both two sides of the mango and not just one to remove error	The image capturing system would only capture the two sides of the mango which are the two largest surface areas of the skin.	18
How will you get large dataset with sweetness and how will you classify it?	Remove Sweetness in the SO	13
Size and weight are not the same.	Remove Weight in objectives but retained size in the SO4 and SO6	
Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting	SO1: To make an image acquisition system with a conveyor belt for automatic sorting and grading mangoes.	13
Add what process will be used to get the size classification	SO6: To classify mango size by getting its length and width using OpenCV, geometry, and image processing techniques	13
Add what process the ripeness classification will be	SO5: To classify mango ripeness using kNN or nearest neighbors algorithm	13
Get rid of texture in the general objectives	Texture is removed in the SOs	13
Get rid of CNN in general objectives and replace with machine learning	CNN is removed and replaced with machine learning GO: To develop a user-priority-based grading and sorting system for Carabao mangoes, using machine learning to assess ripeness, size, and bruises.	13
Remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to "to create a microcontroller based application"	SO3: To create a microcontroller application to operate and control the prototype.	13
Remove SO4. No need for user testing	Removed user test and the new SO4 is SO4: To grade mangoes based on user priorities for size, ripeness, and bruises.	13
Fix IPO to the correct input and output	Input: Two side image of the Carabao Mango and the User Priority Attributes Process: Machine Learning Algorithm, Grading Formula, and CNN model using a microcontroller Output: Size, Ripeness, and Bruises	20

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1121

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

	Classification with its Overall Grade	
Define bruises	The black or brown area of the mango that is visible on the skin of the mango.	6
Dataset should use at least 10,000 images	Added to expected deliverables SO2: To use a publicly available dataset of at least 10,000 mango images for classification of ripeness, and bruises.	14
Add to specific objectives the percentage accuracy	SO2: To get the precision, recall, F1 score, confusion matrix, and train and test accuracy metrics for classifying the ripeness and bruises with an accuracy score of at least 90%.	14
Weight sensor just adds complexity	removed all mention of load sensor, load cell. removed load cell methodology	39,40,41, 42,43,44 previousl y



1122

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions

Zoom Recording:

https://zoom.us/rec/share/mrn9zBtPz3bJ5laVcy2E8-iBno8A6fBRgOCacMrhmzLPCNO0IDxXBHiK_xzdicEb.MzbHGzrD7rL3tVgJ?startTim=e=1731326444000

Passcode: +?qL6DZE

Summary:

- Specific Objectives
- Add:
 - what process will be used to get the sweetness classification
 - what process the ripeness classification will be
 - what process will be used to get the size classification
 - Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting
- Remove:
 - get rid of texture in the general objectives
 - get rid of cnn in general objectives and replace with machine learning
 - remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to “to create a microcontroller based application”
 - remove SO4. No need for user testing

Comments:

*[00-00] time stamps from recording

- [15:00] Why only the top side of the mango? Isn't the point of automation to reduce human error? Then what about the bottom side wouldn't that just introduce another error if the mango happens to have defects on the bottom?
- [16:09] What is the load cell for? Size is not the same as weight. If size is taken from the weight wouldn't size be also taken from the image. If size then adding a load cell would just introduce more complexity, if weight then load cell is fine. reminder that size is not the same as weight.
- [17:36] When computer vision, state input and output parameters. Output parameters in this case would be sweetness, ripeness, size and bruising. Input parameters would be images.
- [18:12] No mention of how the dataset would be gathered. Would you be gather your own dataset or using a publicly available dataset
- [21:38] Fix IPO based on mention input and output parameters.
- [21:50] Dataset is lacking. Usually in machine learning at least 10,000 images. can take more than one image per mango. after taking an image of mango can make more out of the image using data augmentations.
- [22:48] Add to specific Objectives the mentioned 80%
- [23:09] Consultant that would grade the mangoes as a third party to remove biases. For both the testing and the training
- [24:55] How do you detect the sweetness of mangoes? Add these to the specific objectives. What are the categories of sweetness? Add these to specific objectives. How do



1123

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

you detect the correct categorization of sweetness? How to automate the classification of the sweetness.

- [33:10] Why is the dataset destructive but the testing non destructive? Clarify this further to avoid confusion.
- [35:09] What is the basis of sweetness using images? Clarify this further.
- [35:35] How would you know if the classifier is correct or not? What is your ground truth (for the sweetness)?
- [38:55] When can you say you are getting the top side of the mango? How would you know if the mango images showing the top side or the bottom side of both cheeks of the mango can be captured? If it doesn't matter then any side can be captured so why is it in the limitations that only the top side can be captured. Clarify the limitations.
- [48:10] What classifier would you use here? What features would you extract from the images?
- [52:07] Does it explain what process will be used to get the sweetness classification? Add it to the specific objectives
- [54:00] How will ripeness be classified? Will it use the same dataset as the sweetness classification did? How was ground truth obtained?
- [55:44] Why not the nearest neighbor? It is more fit in this scenario. Do not specify CNN in the objectives. The embedded systems as well, do not specify the Raspberry pi unless truly sure
- [57:30] Table is just image processing. Is there a specific objective that would describe how ripeness classification will be done? Add this to the specific objectives.
- [59:10] How is the weight obtained? Add it to the specific objectives. Remember that size is not proportional to weight. Size could be obtained from the image as the camera is from a fixed distance. Add to specific objectives how to get the size
- [1:00:00] get rid of texture in the general objectives. get rid of cnn in general objectives and replace with machine learning. as each parameter will use a different method.
- [1:04:00] remove Raspberry Pi on the SO's and generalize to "to create a microcontroller based application"
- [1:04:37] remove SO4. no more user testing
- [1:05:00] The formula used for grading the mangoes, is this used as industry standard? How do they measure the export quality of mango
- [1:07:00] Specify in the specific objectives that it will be automatic sorting

Here are my comments on my end :)

1. Ensure seamless integration between hardware (sensors, motors, etc.) and software (CNNs, Raspberry Pi). You can consider using a modular approach for easier troubleshooting.
2. How do you gather a comprehensive and diverse dataset for training your CNN. This will enhance the model's robustness and accuracy.
3. Make sure that the weight sensors are calibrated correctly to avoid measurement errors.

C. Revisions to the Proposal



De La Salle University

1124

PRO1 Panel Comments and Revisions – Appendix Z

4. Implement data augmentation techniques to enhance your image dataset, which can improve model generalization and accuracy.
5. Design an intuitive user interface for the Raspberry Pi application.
6. Besides precision, recall, and F1 score, consider incorporating confusion matrices to better understand model performance and error types.
7. Conduct user testing of the application to gather feedback on usability and functionality. This can lead to improvements in design and user experience. Consider how the system can be scaled or adapted for different fruits or larger processing volumes in the future.

Noted by:



Dr. Donabel de Veas Abuan
Chair of Panel

Date: November 11 2024

Note: Keep a copy of this Appendix. It is a requirement that has to be submitted in order to qualify for PRO3 Defense.



De La Salle University

1125

Appendix D REVISIONS TO THE FINAL

1126



- 1127 Make a table with the following columns for showing the summary of revisions to the
 1128 proposal based on the comments of the panel of examiners.
- 1129 1. Examiner
- 1130 2. Comment
- 1131 3. Summary of how the comment has been addressed
- 1132 4. Locations in the document where the changes have been reflected

TABLE D.1 SUMMARY OF REVISIONS TO THE THESIS

Examiner	Comment	Summary of how the comment has been addressed	Locations
Dr. Reggie C. Gustillo	<p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p> <p>First itemtext</p> <p>Second itemtext</p> <p>Last itemtext</p> <p>First itemtext</p> <p>Second itemtext</p>	<p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p>	<p>Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ??</p>

Continued on next page

D. Revisions to the Final



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page

Examiner	Comment	Summary of how the comment has been addressed	Locations
Dr. Donable de Veas Abuan	<p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p>	<p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p> <p>First itemtext</p> <p>Second itemtext</p> <p>Last itemtext</p> <p>First itemtext</p> <p>Second itemtext</p>	<p>Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ??</p>
Engr. Jose Martin Maningo	<p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p>	<p>1. First itemtext</p> <p>2. Second itemtext</p> <p>3. Last itemtext</p> <p>4. First itemtext</p> <p>5. Second itemtext</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First itemtext • Second itemtext • Last itemtext • First itemtext • Second itemtext 	<p>Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ??</p>

Continued on next page



De La Salle University

Continued from previous page


Examiner	Comment	Summary of how the comment has been addressed	Locations
Dr. Alexander Co Abad	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext	Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ???
Dr. Rafael W. Sison	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext	1. First itemtext 2. Second itemtext 3. Last itemtext 4. First itemtext 5. Second itemtext	Sec. ?? on p. ??, Sec. ?? on p. ??, Fig. ?? on p. ???



1133

Appendix E VITA

1134




1135

Kenan A. Banal is currently taking up his B.Sc. Computer Engineering studies. He is passionate about software and hardware systems such as Vivado, Arduino, C, and Python.

1136

1137




Francis Robert Miguel F. BAUTISTA is currently taking up his B.Sc. Computer Engineering studies. He is passionate about software and hardware systems such as Vivado, Arduino, C, and Python.

1138

1139

1140




Don Humphrey L. HERMOSURA is currently taking up his B.Sc. Computer Engineering studies. He is passionate about software and hardware systems such as Vivado, Arduino, C, and Python.

1141

1142

1143



Daniel G. SALAZAR is currently taking up his B.Sc. Computer Engineering studies. He is passionate about software and hardware systems such as Vivado, Arduino, C, and Python.

1144

1145

1146



De La Salle University

1147

Appendix F ARTICLE PAPER(S)

1148

Article/Forum Paper Format

(IEEE LaTeX format)

Michael Shell, *Member, IEEE*, John Doe, *Fellow, OSA*, and Jane Doe, *Life Fellow, IEEE*

1149

Abstract—The abstract goes here. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Index Terms—Computer Society, IEEE, IEEEtran, journal, L^AT_EX, paper, template.

I. INTRODUCTION

THIS demo file is intended to serve as a “starter file” for IEEE article papers produced under L^AT_EX using IEEEtran.cls version 1.8b and later. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

A. Subsection Heading Here

Subsection text here. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

M. Shell was with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 30332.
E-mail: see <http://www.michaelshell.org/contact.html>

J. Doe and J. Doe are with Anonymous University.



Fig. 1. Simulation results for the network.

TABLE I
AN EXAMPLE OF A TABLE

One	Two
Three	Four

sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

1) Subsubsection Heading Here: Subsubsection text here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

II. CONCLUSION

The conclusion goes here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra

1150



(a) Case I



(b) Case II

Fig. 2. Simulation results for the network.

sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF THE FIRST ZONKLAR EQUATION

Appendix one text goes here.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

APPENDIX B

Appendix two text goes here. [?].

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent

in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...