Day 5 Basic time-series analysis

- 5.1 Curve-fitting
- 5.2 Stochastic time-series models
- 5.3 Summary and additional questions

Recap of last week

Frequentist approach

- Consider all possible results of an experiment
- Ask how likely a result as extreme as that seen was
- P-values, significance and confidence intervals
- Probability of data given hypothesis

Bayesian approach

- Update prior views using data
- Resulting posterior can be used as future prior
- Direct evaluation of probability of hypothesis given data
- Credible intervals etc more naturally defined.

5.1 Curve-fitting

- 5.1.1 Preprocessing data
- 5.1.2 Linear regression
- 5.1.3 Polynomial regression

5.1.1 Preprocessing data

- Identify any "bad" entries in the data: NaN or similar
- Cut-out or replace with principled replacement for example: mean of neighbouring points, linear extrapolation, etc
- Plot out the data and take a good look do this before applying any statistical tests
- Smooth data and remove outliers if necessary

5.1.1 Preprocessing: smoothing

• Many different approaches to smoothing a data set x_k could be an issue the soft for the Boxcar smoothing $x_k' = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{j=-n}^n x_{k+j}$ sequentially/containly like a convolution where we is some three is some three is some which of sequentially/containly like a convolution where we have the sequentially containly like a convolution of smooth using why where $\sum w_j = 1$.

Iterative $x_k' = x_k(1-2\alpha) + \alpha(x_{k-1} + x_{k+1})$ where α is small.

Repeat many times as Repeat many times as required: effectively diffusive $\frac{s_0 \, \omega_0 \, \epsilon \, 1 - 2 \, \sigma^2}{\omega_0 \, \epsilon \, \omega_0 \, \epsilon \, 1}$ smoothing. Useful for 2-D takes 1-2d of the original data

• Remove smoothed data from original data to get at high-drap it either side of frequency components.

Z	L	d
2	1-82	2
L	d	L

frey consoy

5.1.2 Linear regression

- Consider first trivial case of fitting a straight line.
- Imagine N data points data $\{t_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$
- Want to find the best fit $f_n = \kappa_1 t_n + \kappa_0$ in the least-squares sense.

 $\frac{\alpha^{\gamma} + b^{\gamma} + b^$

• Question: What κ_0 and κ_1 minimise E? $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\kappa_1 t_{n+k_0} - \kappa_n \right)^t = 2 \left(\kappa_2 t_{n+k_0} - \kappa_n \right)^t + \kappa_0 - \kappa_0 + \kappa_0 +$

$$\bullet \ \, \text{HINT Introduce quantities like} \, \langle xt \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n t_n \ \, \text{etc} \\ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}} \, \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}_{k_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}} \underbrace{\kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle i}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle$$

5.1.3 Polynomial regression (an also use to smooth dista

- Straightforward to generalise to an Mth order polynomial.
- Now $f_n = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \kappa_m t_n^m$ with error still $E = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (f_n x_n)^2$.
- ullet Minimising E with respect to $\kappa_{\mathit{m'}}$ gives

$$\kappa_0 \langle t^{m'} \rangle + \kappa_1 \langle t^{m'+1} \rangle + \dots + \kappa_M \langle t^{m'+M} \rangle = \langle xt^{m'} \rangle$$

- Question: Confirm this!
- There are (M+1) of these equalities, so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \langle t \rangle & \langle t^2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle t^M \rangle \\ \langle t \rangle & \langle t^2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle t^{M+1} \rangle \\ \langle t^2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle t^{M+2} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle t^M \rangle & \langle t^{M+1} \rangle & \langle t^{M+2} \rangle & \cdots & \langle t^{2M} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_0 \\ \kappa_1 \\ \kappa_2 \\ \vdots \\ \kappa_M \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle x \rangle \\ \langle xt \rangle \\ \langle xt^2 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle xt^M \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

 Which can be solved numerically by multiplying by the inverse matrix.

$$E = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(k_0 + k_1 t_n - x_n \right)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial k_0} = 0 = \frac{1}{2N} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2 \left(k_0 + k_1 t_n - x_n \right) \cdot 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(k_0 + k_1 t_n - x_n \right) \cdot t_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2 \left(k_0 + k_1 t_n - x_n \right) \cdot t_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(k_0 + k_1 t_n - x_n \right) \cdot t_n$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \langle t \rangle & K_{\circ} \\ \langle t \rangle & \langle t \rangle & K_{\circ} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \chi \rangle \\ \langle \chi t \rangle & \langle \chi t \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

5.1.3 Question: Code a polynomial fit

Write functions that accept N data pairs (t_n, x_n) and return:

- The polynomial fit parameters for a M+1 polynomial.
- The error of the fit
- The best fit line

Then...

- Generate some data for a given polynomial (say linear, or cubic)
- Add some zero-mean noise to the data points.
- Fit with polynomials of different order.
- Plot error as a function of polynomial order.

5.2 Stochastic time-series models

- 5.2.1 Auto-regressive models
- 5.2.2 First-order model
- 5.2.3 Autocovariance

5.2.1 Auto-regressive models

- Widespread use to model stochastic data
- Model is discrete, writing current state as function of previous need to take care with discretized timesters
- An order-p model

$$X_t = c + \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i X_{t-i} + \epsilon_t$$

ullet where ϵ_t are uncorrelated normal random numbers with

$$\langle \epsilon \rangle = 0$$
 and $\langle \epsilon^2 \rangle = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$.

• Here we will examine an order-1 model

$$X_t = c + \phi X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

5.2.2 First-order auto-regressive model

• First-order model, where we assume $|\phi| < 1$

$$X_t = c + \phi X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$
 where $\langle \epsilon \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \epsilon^2 \rangle = \sigma_\epsilon^2$.

Questions: $\langle x_{\ell} \rangle = \langle c_{\ell} \phi x_{\ell-1} + \langle \epsilon_{\ell} \rangle$ $\langle x_{\ell} \rangle = \langle x_{\ell-1} \rangle \langle x_{\ell} \rangle \langle x_{\ell} \rangle = c_{\ell} \phi \langle x_{\ell-1} \rangle \langle x_{\ell} \rangle$ • What is the mean of $\langle X \rangle$ $\langle x_{\ell} \rangle = c_{\ell} \phi \langle x_{\ell-1} \rangle + \langle \epsilon_{\ell} \rangle$

- $\langle X \rangle = C + \phi \langle X \rangle \Rightarrow \langle X \rangle = \frac{1-4}{C}$ • What is Var(X)?
- What is the general solution, if the process has always be

ongoing?
$$Vor=\left\langle (X-u)^2\right\rangle \times_{t} - \left\langle X_{t}\right\rangle = \varphi \times_{t-1} * \left\langle t \right\rangle \text{ in Stehmary State (initial brasicals die own)}$$

$$Vor(X_{t}) = Vor(X_{t-1}) \text{ in Stehmary State (initial brasicals die own)}$$

$$Vor(X) = \varphi^2 Vor(X) + 2\varphi Vor(X_{t-1} \ell_{\ell}) * Vor(\ell_{\ell})^2$$

$$Vor(X) = \varphi^2 Vor(X) + \sigma_{\ell}^2$$

$$Vor(X) = \frac{\sigma_{\ell}^2}{1-\varphi^2}$$

$$= \left\langle c^2 + \varphi^2 X_{t-1}^2 + \ell_{\ell}^2 + 2c\varphi X_{t-1} + 2c\ell_{\ell} + 2\varphi X_{t-1} \ell_{\ell} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle c^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \varphi^2 X_{t-1}^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \ell_{\ell}^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle 2c\varphi X_{t-1} \right\rangle + \left\langle 2c\ell_{\ell} \right\rangle + \left\langle 2\varphi X_{t-1} \ell_{\ell} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle c^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \varphi^2 X_{t-1}^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \ell_{\ell}^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle 2c\varphi X_{t-1} \right\rangle + \left\langle 2c\ell_{\ell} \right\rangle + \left\langle 2\varphi X_{t-1} \ell_{\ell} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \chi_{t-1}^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \chi_{t-$$

Auto-Regressive Model
$$\langle \chi \rangle = \frac{c}{1-\phi}, \langle \chi^2 \rangle = \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + 2\frac{c^2\phi}{1-\phi^2}}{1-\phi^2}$$

$$Var(\chi) = \langle \chi^2 \rangle - \langle \chi \rangle^2 = \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}}{1-\phi^2} + \frac{2\frac{c^2\phi}{1-\phi}}{1-\phi^2} - \frac{c^2}{(1-\phi)^2}$$

Want
$$\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}$$
 $1-\phi^{2}$

Solve for
$$X_t = c + \phi X_{t-1}$$
 is $X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$
Then add together

$$X^{f} = C + \phi X^{f}$$

$$X^{f} = C + \phi X^{f}$$

$$X^{f} = C + \phi X^{f}$$

$$N_{ow}$$
 $X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$

Cansay
$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + g(t)$$

homog

 $\chi_{+} = \phi \chi_{t-1}$ $\chi_{+} = \phi \chi_{t-1} + g(t)$

 $X_{t} = \phi^{t} X.$ $X_{t} = \phi(\phi X_{t-2} + g(t-1)] + g(t)$ $= \phi^{2} X_{t-2} + \phi g(t-1) + g(t)$ $= \phi^{2} X_{t-2} + [\phi' g(t-1) + \phi'' g(t)]$

$$= \phi^{2}(\phi \chi_{t-3} + g(t)) + [\phi'g(t) + \phi'g(t)]$$

$$= \phi^{3} \chi_{t-3} + [\phi^{2}g(t-2) + \phi'g(t-1) + \phi'g(t)]$$

$$X_{t} = \phi_{x}^{\dagger} + \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\xi} \phi_{\kappa} \delta(f-\kappa)$$

for steady state, let $t \rightarrow \infty$ also $g(t-i) = \epsilon_{t-k}$ note $|\phi| < 1$

 $X = \sum_{K=0}^{\infty} \oint_{k} \xi_{k,K}$ This also a convolution

weight term $-a = l_{m}(\phi) \qquad (l_{m}(\phi) \leq 0)$

$$\phi^{K} = e^{K \ln(\phi)} = e^{-Kg} - g = \ln(\phi) \quad (\ln(\phi) \cos)$$

Add all back together

5.2.3 Autocovariance

• First-order autoregressive model, in steady state.

$$X_t = c + \phi X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$
 where $\langle \epsilon \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \epsilon^2 \rangle = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$.

Derived mean, variance and general solution

$$\langle X \rangle = \frac{c}{1-\phi}$$
, $Var(x) = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{(1-\phi^2)}$ and $X_t = \frac{c}{1-\phi} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi^k \epsilon_{t-k}$

• Examine temporal structure given by autocovariance:

$$\langle (X_{t+n} - \langle X \rangle)(X_t - \langle X \rangle) \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi^{j+k} \langle \epsilon_{t+n-j} \epsilon_{t-k} \rangle$$

• **Question:** Assume n > 0. What is the autocovariance?

5.3 Summary and additional questions

Day 5 Basic time-series analysis

- 5.1 Curve-fitting
- 5.2 Stochastic time-series models
- 5.3 Summary and additional questions

Questions

Make sure you have understood and done all the questions in the lectures.

The questions below are to be handed in for marking by 10am Monday 3rd December 2018.

Q5.1 Analysis of exo-planet data

Q5.2 Simulation of an autoregressive model

O except when t+n-j=t-k

 $n-j=-k \Rightarrow k=j-n$

i k

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{K=0}^{2} \phi^{n+K} \phi^{k}$$

$$\langle X_{t+n} | X_t \rangle = \Phi^n V_{or}(X) \qquad n > 0$$
for $n < 0$,
$$Only communtes blc X both$$

$$\langle X \times Y \rangle \neq \langle Y \times X \rangle$$

$$Inverient$$

$$|et \leq = 1 + n$$

$$= \langle X_{s-n} | X_s \rangle$$

$$= \Phi^n V_{or}(X) \qquad remember n < 0$$

Q5.1 Analysis of exo-planet data

- Download the exoplanet-data.txt file from the course website.
- The x-axis is in days, the y-axis is raw light intensity from the star.
- The dips are caused by a planet passing in front of the star.
- It can be assumed that this is a single-planet system.
- Some cleaning of the data will be required.
- Part (a) Estimate the orbital period of the planet, with a figure showing method.
- Part (b) Assume the flux from the sum is proportional to its area.
 - Estimate the ratios of the planetary and solar radii. Provide a figure showing method.
- Part (c) Estimate the transit time in days, with a figure showing method.

NOTE There is no need to provide ranges for data.

Q5.2 Simulation of an autoregressive model

- Simulate a first order autoregressive model with your parameter choice for c, ϕ and σ_{ϵ} (but with $|\phi| < 1$).
- Run the simulation for 5000 steps measure $\langle X \rangle$ and Var(X). How do they compare to theory?
- Extract the autocovariance from the data.
 Provide a plot comparing it to the theoretical prediction.