Problem Set 7

QTM 200: Applied Regression Analysis

Due: May 6, 2020

Instructions

- Please show your work! You may lose points by simply writing in the answer. If the problem requires you to execute commands in R, please include the code you used to get your answers. Please also include the .R file that contains your code. If you are not sure if work needs to be shown for a particular problem, please ask.
- Your homework should be submitted electronically on the course GitHub page in .pdf form.
- This problem set is due before midnight on Wednesday, May 6, 2020. No late assignments will be accepted.
- Total available points for this homework is 100.

Question 1 (50 points): Political Science

Consider the data set MexicoMuniData.csv, which includes municipal-level information from Mexico. The outcome of interest is the number of times the winning PAN presidential candidate in 2006 (PAN.visits.06) visited a district leading up to the 2009 federal elections, which is a count. Our main predictor of interest is whether the district was highly contested, or whether it was not (the PAN or their opponents have electoral security) in the previous federal elections during 2000 (competitive.district), which is binary (1=close/swing district, 0="safe seat"). We also include marginality.06 (a measure of poverty) and PAN.governor.06 (a dummy for whether the state has a PAN-affiliated governor) as additional control variables.

(a) Run a Poisson regression because the outcome is a count variable. Is there evidence that PAN presidential candidates visit swing districts more? Provide a test statistic and p-value.

- (b) Interpret the marginality.06 and PAN.governor.06 coefficients.
- (c) Provide the estimated mean number of visits from the winning PAN presidential candidate for a hypothetical district that was competitive (competitive.district=1), had an average poverty level (marginality.06 = 0), and a PAN governor (PAN.governor.06=1).

Question 2 (50 points): Biology

We'll be using data from a longitudinal sleep study of under 20 undergraduate students (n=18), which took place over the course of 10 days to see if sleep deprivation has any effect on participants' reaction time. Load the data through the lmer package.

- 1. Create a "pooled" linear model where you regress Days on the outcome Reaction. Make sure to run regression diagnostics to check if the variance around the regression line is equal for every year.
- 2. Fit an "un-pooled" regression model with varying intercepts for patient (include an additive factor for patient) and save the fitted values.
- 3. Fit a "un-pooled" regression model with varying slopes of time (days) for patients (include only the interaction Days:Subject) and save the fitted values.
- 4. Fit an "un-pooled" regression model with varying intercepts for patients with varying slopes of time (days) by patient (include the interaction and constituent terms of Days and Subject, Days + Subject + Days:Subject) and save the fitted values.
- 5. Fit a "semi-pooled" multi-level model with varying-intercept for subject and varying-slope of day by subject. Is it worthwhile for us to run a multi-level model with varying effects of time by subject? Why? Compare your model from part 5 to the other completely "pooled" or "un-pooled models".