

## Problem: Resource Management

Resource management (file handling, network protocols, memory, ...) is a common problem in systems programming. Some difficulties:

- *Time dependence* — need to reason about a given state while it is valid
- *Aliasing* — must not retain references to earlier invalid states
- *Errors* — some operations (e.g. opening a file) may not execute correctly

Of course, resource management is also a problem in other, non Computer Science, contexts.

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.1

## Domain Specific Languages by Overloading

Edwin Brady

eb@cs.st-andrews.ac.uk

University of St Andrews

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011



GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.2

## Why do we care about correctness?

- On the desktop, we can, and usually do, tolerate software failures:

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.3

## Introduction

A constant problem:

- Writing a **correct** computer program is hard
- **Proving** that a program is correct is even harder

Using strong type systems, we aim to write programs and *know* they are correct before running them.

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.4

## Why do we care about correctness?

- However, software is everywhere, not just the desktop. In other contexts incorrect programs can be:
  - **Dangerous**
    - Control systems: aircraft, nuclear reactors, ...
  - **Costly**
    - Intel Pentium bug (estimated \$475 million)
    - Ariane 5 failure (more than \$370 million)
  - **Inconvenient** on a large scale
    - February 2009 Gmail failure
    - Debian OpenSSL bug

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.!

## Correctness, using DSLs

An *Embedded Domain Specific Language* (EDSL) is a DSL implemented by embedding in a *host* language, IDRIIS.

- Identify the general properties, requirements and operations in the domain
- Using a *dependently typed* host, give precise constraints on valid programs

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.!

## Why do we care about correctness?

- On the desktop, we can, and usually do, tolerate software failures:



GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.!

## Why do we care about correctness?

- On the desktop, we can, and usually do, tolerate software failures:



GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.!

## Classic example: The well-typed interpreter

We use the IDRIS type checker to check `Expr` programs, e.g.:

```
add : Expr G (TyFun TyInt (TyFun TyInt TyInt));
add = Lam (Lam (Op (+) (Var (fS fo)) (Var fo)));

double : Expr G (TyFun TyInt TyInt);
double = Lam (App (App add (Var fo)) (Var fo));
```

Unfortunately, this approach is not entirely suitable for an EDSL  
— we have to know how to construct syntax trees explicitly!

## Dependent Types

*Dependent types* are types which are parameterised by *values*.  
For example:

- `Fin n` — finite set with `n` elements
- `Vect a n` — a vector of types `a` with `n` elements

By parameterising types by values, we can give more precise types (hence specifications) to programs. e.g.

```
lookup : Fin n -> Vect a n -> a;
append : Vect a n -> Vect a m -> Vect a (n + m);
```

## Syntax overloading: ds1 notation

To make such languages usable, we provide a *syntax overloading* construct:

```
ds1 expr {
  lambda      = Lam
  variable    = Var -- de Bruijn indexed variable
  index_first = fO -- most recently bound variable
  index_next  = fS -- earlier bound variable
  apply       = App
  pure        = id
}
```

This allows IDRIS syntactic constructs to be used to build `Expr` programs.

## Classic example: The well-typed interpreter

```
data Ty = TyInt | TyFun Ty Ty;

evalTy : Ty -> Set;

using (G:Vect Ty n) {
  data Expr : Vect Ty n -> Ty -> Set where
    Var : (i:Fin n)      -> Expr G (vlookup i G)
  | Val : (x:Int)        -> Expr G TyInt
  | Lam : Expr (A :: G) T -> Expr G (TyFun A T)
  | App : Expr G (TyFun A T) -> Expr G A -> Expr G T
  | Op  : (evalTy A -> evalTy B -> evalTy C) -> Expr G A -> Expr G B -> Expr G C;
}
```

## Resource Aware EDSL

We define an EDSL which defines when resources are valid indexed over a set of *input* and *output* resources.

```
data Ty = R Set | Val Set | Choice Set Set;  
  
data Res : Vect Ty n -> Vect Ty n -> Ty n -> Set where  
  Let      : Creator (evalTy a) ->  
    Res (a :: gam) (Val () :: gam') (R t) ->  
    Res gam gam' (R t)  
  | Update : (a -> Updater b) ->  
    (p:HasType gam i (Val a)) ->  
    Res gam (update gam p (Val b)) (R ())  
  | Use    : (a -> Reader b) -> HasType gam i (Val a) ->  
    Res gam gam (R b)  
  ...
```

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.1:

## Syntax overloading: DSL notation

Some *Expr* programs, revisited:

```
test  = expr (\x, y => Op (+) x y);  
double = expr (\x => [| test x x |]);
```

The *idiom brackets* [| · |] allow an alternative form of application.

```
fact : Expr G (TyFun TyInt TyInt);  
fact = expr (\x =>  
  If (Op (==) x (Val 0))  
    (Val 1)  
    (Op (*) x [| fact (Op (-) x (Val 1)) |]) )
```

Can we apply the well-typed interpreter approach to more interesting problems?

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.1:

## Resource Aware EDSL

We define an EDSL which defines when resources are valid indexed over a set of *input* and *output* resources.

```
data Ty = R Set | Val Set | Choice Set Set;  
  
data Res : Vect Ty n -> Vect Ty n -> Ty n -> Set where  
  Let      : Creator (evalTy a) ->  
    Res (a :: gam) (Val () :: gam') (R t) ->  
    Res gam gam' (R t)  
  | Update : (a -> Updater b) ->  
    (p:HasType gam i (Val a)) ->  
    Res gam (update gam p (Val b)) (R ())  
  | Use    : (a -> Reader b) -> HasType gam i (Val a) ->  
    Res gam gam (R b)  
  ...
```

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.1:

## Resource Aware EDSL

A File is an instance of a *resource*, with a state, which can be:

- *Created*: by an *open* (which might fail)
- *Updated*: changing the state, e.g. by closing the file
- *Used*: accessing without updating, e.g. by reading

File operations conform to a *resource usage protocol* which explain which operations are valid, and when.

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.1:

## Resource Aware EDSL

Example:

```
syntax RES x      = {gam:Vect Ty n} -> Res gam gam (R x);
syntax rclose h = Update close h;
...

dumpFile : String -> RES ();
dumpFile filename
  = res do { let h = open filename Reading;
             Check h
             (rputStrLn "File open error")
             (do { rreadH h;
                   rclose h;
                   rputStrLn "DONE"; });
             };
}
```

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.11

## Resource Aware EDSL

We define an EDSL which defines when resources are valid indexed over a set of *input* and *output* resources.

```
data Ty = R Set | Val Set | Choice Set Set;

data Res : Vect Ty n -> Vect Ty n -> Ty -> Set where
  Let      : Creator (evalTy a) ->
    Res (a :: gam) (Val () :: gam') (R t) ->
    Res gam gam' (R t)
  | Update : (a -> Updater b) ->
    (p:HasType gam i (Val a)) ->
    Res gam (update gam p (Val b)) (R ())
  | Use    : (a -> Reader b) -> HasType gam i (Val a) ->
    Res gam gam (R b)
  ...
```

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.12

## Conclusion

Using *dependent types*, and implementing domain specific languages by *syntax overloading*, we can construct programs with guaranteed resource consumption properties.

- Express *pre-conditions* and *post-conditions* on resource operations.
- Ensure *composability* of resource operations.

I'm a Computer Scientist, so for me, resources are:

- Files, network sockets, locks, memory ...

But of course, “resource” has a more general meaning.

- Over to you! What to model, what properties are needed?

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.11

## Resource Aware EDSL

We can give this language some usable syntax with a `ds1` declaration:

```
ds1 res {
  bind      = Bind
  return    = Return
  variable  = id
  let       = Let -- as lambda overloading, plus value
  index_first = stop
  index_next  = pop
}
```

(Note that we also use the `ds1` construct to overload `do`-notation – `Bind` composes DSL operations, `Return` injects values into a resource.)

GSDP Conference, 11th October 2011 – p.12