# Findings Report: Titanic Survival Analysis

### 1. Missing Data

- Age had missing values → Filled using the median age.
- **Embarked** had missing entries → Filled with most frequent value 'S' (Southampton).
- **Cabin** had too many missing values → **Dropped** from the dataset.

#### 2. Key Factors Influencing Survival

#### Gender:

o **Females** had a much higher survival rate compared to males.

#### Passenger Class (Pclass):

- o **1st Class** passengers had the highest survival chances.
- o 3rd Class passengers had the lowest.

#### Family Size:

 Passengers traveling with family (siblings/spouses/parents/children) had higher survival rates compared to those traveling alone.

# • Embarkation Port (Embarked):

o Passengers who embarked from **Cherbourg (C)** had better survival rates.

# 3. Feature Engineering Insights

- New features like FamilySize and IsAlone were important.
  - o Passengers traveling alone had lower chances of survival.
- Encoding of categorical variables (Sex, Embarked) improved model understanding.

# 4. Modeling and Results

Model	Accuracy	Key Notes
Logistic Regression	~81%	Good baseline model.
Decision Tree Classifier	~78%	Slightly overfit to training data.
Random Forest Classifier ~83%		Best model, handles feature interactions well.

• Random Forest Classifier had the highest accuracy (~83%) and is the recommended model for Titanic survival prediction.

#### 5. Conclusion

- **Survival** strongly depended on:
  - o Gender (Females had priority),
  - o Social Class (Wealthier passengers survived more),
  - o **Travel Group** (Families over solo travelers).
- Random Forest can be effectively used for predictive tasks on similar structured datasets.

# **Bonus Recommendation:**

- Try **Hyperparameter Tuning** (Grid Search or Random Search) on the Random Forest to push accuracy even higher.
- Explore **feature importance plots** to visually confirm which features mattered the most.