

Master of Technology

Computational Intelligence II

GA Workshop 2: Rule Induction

Dr. Zhu Fangming
Institute of Systems Science,
National University of Singapore
Email: isszfm@nus.edu.sg

© 2018 NUS. The contents contained in this document may not be reproduced in any form or by any means,
without the written permission of ISS, NUS other than for the purpose for which it has been supplied.

Problem Description

- A supermarket chain has just completed a customer survey and would like to understand customer spending behaviour.
- Your team has been assigned to review the survey results for carpet cleaners.
- Data available
 - **Name** of product
 - **Design** of the package
 - **Price**
 - **Seal** of approval (for safety)
 - 30-day money-back guarantee (**MBG**)

Problem Description

No	Product Name	Package Design	Product Price	Seal	MBG	Acceptable?
1	K2R	A	119	No	No	No
2	Glory	A	139	No	Yes	No
3	Bissell	A	159	Yes	No	No
4	K2R	B	119	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	Glory	B	139	No	No	No
6	Bissell	B	159	No	No	Yes
7	K2R	C	119	No	Yes	No
8	Glory	C	139	Yes	No	No
9	Bissell	C	159	No	No	No
10	K2R	A	119	Yes	No	No
11	Glory	A	139	No	Yes	No
12	Bissell	A	159	No	No	No
13	K2R	B	119	No	No	Yes
14	Glory	B	139	Yes	No	Yes
15	Bissell	B	159	No	Yes	Yes
16	K2R	C	119	No	No	No
17	Glory	C	139	No	No	Yes
18	Bissell	C	159	Yes	Yes	No

Problem Model

1. Representation of solution

- It really depends on how sophisticated we want our rule to be. In this exercise, we'll keep it simple.
- The solution will comprise one chromosome representing the parameterisable fields of this rule.
- Let's decide the lower-level details a little later.

Problem Model

2. Constraints

- Application-specific
 - Each field has its own set of constraints
e.g. $\text{name} \in \{\text{Glory, Bissell, K2R}\}$
money back guarantee $\in \{\text{yes, no}\}$
- Model-specific
 - Depends on how precise / general you want the rule to be
e.g. can some fields be ignored?

Problem Model

3. Fitness function

- Our goal is to find a rule that matches our survey results most closely.
- We can characterise our fitness function as the number of matches our proposed rule has against the survey results.

Rule Form

Let's start with an example:

if name = Glory *and*

package-design = B *and*

price \geq 159 *and*

seal of approval = yes *and*

MBG = yes

then product is acceptable to customer

Rule Form

Two levels of parameterisation: operators and values

- Operators
 - Discrete values e.g. name, MBG → equality
e.g. name = **or** != Glory
 - Continuous values e.g. price → inequality
e.g. price <= **or** >= 159
- Values
 - Dependent on application-specific requirements
e.g. name ∈ {Glory, Bissell, K2R}

Rule Form

The simplest form of the rule is

if name (= *or* !=) (Glory, Bissell *or* K2R) *and*
package-design (= *or* !=) (A, B *or* C) *and*
price (= *or* <= *or* >=) (119, 139 *or* 159) *and*
seal of approval (= *or* !=) (yes *or* no) *and*
MBG (= *or* !=) (yes *or* no)
then product is acceptable to customer

Tabular Rule Form

Attribute	Operator	Value
Name	= <i>or</i> !=	Glory, Bissell <i>or</i> K2R
Design	= <i>or</i> !=	A, B <i>or</i> C
Price	= <i>or</i> <= <i>or</i> >=	119, 139 <i>or</i> 159
Seal	= <i>or</i> !=	yes <i>or</i> no
MBG	= <i>or</i> !=	yes <i>or</i> no

Problem with This Approach

- Requiring the rule to make a statement about *every* attribute is unrealistic.
- For example, brand-conscious car buyers will ignore price e.g. Rolls-Royce or Bentley, whereas price conscious buyers will not care for the brand at all.
- We will allow a “don’t care” symbol written *.

Updated Tabular Rule Form

Attribute	Operator	Value
Name	= <i>or</i> !=	Glory, Bissell, K2R <i>or</i> *
Design	= <i>or</i> !=	A, B, C <i>or</i> *
Price	= <i>or</i> <= <i>or</i> >=	119, 139, 159 <i>or</i> *
Seal	= <i>or</i> !=	yes, no <i>or</i> *
MBG	= <i>or</i> !=	yes, no <i>or</i> *

Model-Specific Constraints

- One model-specific consideration we highlighted earlier was how precise /general we wanted our rule to be.
- We can set a hard constraint to restrict a maximum number of “don’t care” symbols in our rule – otherwise, it’s so general it tells us absolutely nothing!

Value Mapping

- As we need a chromosome representation using integer values, we should map the values (e.g. Glory, yes, !=) into the space of non-negative integers (e.g. 0, 1 or 2).

Equality operators -	1:equal, 2:not equal	(for non-numerical values)
Inequality operators -	1:equal, 2: <=, 3: >=	(only for numerical values)
Problem value -	0:don't care, 1:A, 2:B, 3:C	
	0:don't care, 1:K2R, 2:glory,3:bissell	
	0:don't care, 1:119, 2:139, 3:159	
	0:don't care, 1:yes, 2:no	(for seal and MBG)
	0: no, 1:yes	(for acceptable)