# Mathematics of Machine Learning

#### 1 Introduction

- $-(X,Y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  with joint distribution  $P_0$
- classification setting  $\mathcal{Y} \in \{-1, 1\}$
- regression setting  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$

Assumption 1.  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ 

- hypothesis  $h: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$
- loss function  $l: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$
- Classification setting
- misclassification error  $l(h(x), y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h(x) = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- classifier h
- Regression setting
- squared error  $l(h(x), y) = (h(x) y)^2$
- risk  $R(h) = \int_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} l(h(x),y) dP_0(x,y)$

**Fact.**  $R(h) = \mathbb{E}l(h(X), Y)$  for deterministic h

Setting 1. l misclassification error, R risk

- Bayes classifier  $h_0$  minimises misclassification risk
- Bayes risk  $R(h_0)$
- regression function  $\eta(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = x)$

**Proposition 1.1.** Bayes classifier  $h_0$ , then  $h_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) > \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Proof.  $R(h) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(Y - h(X))^2 = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y|X))^2 + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X) - h(X))^2$ 

Setting 2.  $P_0$  unknown

- training data  $(X_i, Y_i)$  —— i.i.d. of (X, Y)

 $-R(\hat{h})$ 

**Fact.**  $R(\hat{h}) = \mathbb{E}(l(h(X), Y) \mid X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n)$ 

- class  $\mathcal{H}$  of hypotheses

Example. (i)  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : h(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\mu + x^{\top}\beta)\}$ 

(ii)  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : h(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\mu + \sum \phi_j(x)\beta_j)\}$  with dictionary  $\phi_i : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

**Setting 3.** sgn(0) = -1

– conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}(Z \mid W)$ 

#### Proposition 1.2.

- (i) Role of independence  $\mathbb{E}(Z|W) = \mathbb{E}Z$
- (ii) Tower property  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(Z|W) \mid f(W)] = \mathbb{E}[Z \mid f(W)]$
- $\textbf{(iii)} \ \ \textbf{Taking out what is known} \ \mathbb{E}(f(W)Z|W) = f(W)\mathbb{E}(Z|W)$
- (iv) Conditional Jensen  $\mathbb{E}(f(Z)|W) \geq f(\mathbb{E}(Z|W))$  f convex, f(Z) integrable
- empirical risk / training error  $\hat{R}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum l(h(X_i), Y_i)$
- empirical risk minimiser (ERM)  $\hat{h} \in \arg\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{R}(h)$  (multiple minimiser)
- generalisation error  $R(\hat{h})$
- $-h^* \in \arg\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} R(h)$
- stochastic error / excess risk  $R(\hat{h}) R(h^*)$  —— increase with complexity of  $\mathcal{H}$
- approximation error  $R(h^*) R(h_0)$  —— decrease with complexity of  $\mathcal{H}$

Fact.  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h_0) = excess \ risk + approximation \ error$ 

# 2 Statistical learning theory

**Fact.** 
$$R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) = \left(R(\hat{h}) - \hat{R}(\hat{h})\right) + \left(\hat{R}(\hat{h}) - \hat{R}(h^*)\right) + \left(\hat{R}(h^*) - R(h^*)\right)$$

- concentration inequalities

**Fact** (Markov's inequality). W non-negative,  $\phi$  strictly increasing, then  $\mathbb{P}(W \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\phi(W)}{\phi(t)}$ 

Fact (Chernoff bound).  $\phi(t) = e^{\alpha t}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(W \ge t) \le \inf_{\alpha > 0} e^{-\alpha t} \mathbb{E} e^{\alpha W}$ 

– sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma$  ——  $\mathbb{E} e^{\alpha(W-EW)} \leq e^{\frac{\alpha^2\sigma^2}{2}}$ 

**Proposition 2.1.** W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(W \geq t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ 

#### Proof. Chernoff bound

**Fact.** W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma$ , then

- (i) W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma'$  for all  $\sigma' \geq \sigma$
- (ii) -W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma$

Fact.  $\mathbb{P}(|W - \mathbb{E}W| \ge t) \le 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ 

**Proposition 2.2.**  $W_i$  independent, sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma_i$ , mean  $\mu_i$ , then  $\gamma^{\top}W$  sub-Gaussian with  $\sqrt{\sum_i \gamma_i \sigma_i}$ 

### *Proof.* expand

**Fact.** same setting, pick  $\gamma = (1, ..., 1)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(\sum_i (W_i - \mu_i) \ge t) \le \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sum_i \sigma_i^2}\right)$ 

**Proposition 2.3.**  $W_i \mod 0$ , sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma$  (non necessarily independent), then  $\mathbb{E} \max_j W_j \leq \sigma \sqrt{2 \log(d)}$ 

*Proof.*  $\exp(\alpha \mathbb{E} \max W_j) \leq \mathbb{E} \exp(\alpha \max W_j) \leq \sum \exp(\alpha W_j) \leq de^{\frac{\alpha^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$ , then maximise over  $\alpha$ 

- Rademacher r.v.  $\epsilon$  — take  $\{-1,1\}$  with equal prob

**Fact.** Rademacher  $\epsilon$  sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma = 1$ 

**Lemma 2.4** (Hoeffding's lemma). W mean 0, take values in [a, b], then W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma = \frac{b-a}{2}$ 

*Proof.* weaker result  $\sigma = b - a$ : consider independent W', conditional Jensen, Rademacher sub-Gaussian,  $\mathbb{E}e^{\alpha W} \leq \mathbb{E}e^{\alpha\epsilon(W-W')} \leq \mathbb{E}e^{\alpha^2(W-W')^2/2} \leq \mathbb{E}e^{\alpha^2(b-a)^2/2}$ 

- symmetrisation argument

Fact (Hoeffding's inequality).  $W_i$  independent, mean 0,  $a_i \leq W_i \leq b_i$  a.s., then  $\mathbb{P}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i W_i \geq t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2n^2t^2}{\sum_i (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)$ 

**Theorem 2.5.**  $\mathcal{H}$  finite, l take values in [0, M], then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) \leq M\sqrt{\frac{2(\log |\mathcal{H}| + \log \frac{1}{\delta})}{n}}$ 

*Proof.* decomposition  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*)$ , then Hoeffding's inequality

 $-G(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} R(h) - \hat{R}(h)$ 

**Fact.** l takes values [0, M], then  $G(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n) - G(x'_1, y'_1, x_2, y_2, \ldots, x_n, y_n) \leq \frac{M}{n}$ 

- $a_{j:k}$  ------ subsequence  $a_j, \ldots, a_k$
- bound differences property:  $f(w_1, ..., w_{i-1}, w_i, w_{i+1}, ..., w_n) f(w_1, ..., w_{i-1}, w_i', w_{i+1}, ..., w_n) \le L_i$

**Theorem 2.6** (Bounded differences inequality). f bound differences property,  $W_i$  independent, then  $\mathbb{P}(f(W_{1:n}) - \mathbb{E}f(W_{1:n}) \ge t) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_i L_i^2}\right)$ 

Proof.  $(D_i)$  martingale difference wrt Doob martingale,  $F_i(w_{1:i}) = \mathbb{E}(f(W_{1:n}|W_{1:i} = w_{1:i}))$   $\begin{cases} A_i = \inf_{w_i} F_i(W_{1:(i-1)}, w_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(W_{1:n}|W_{1:i-1})) \\ B_i = \sup_{w_i} F_i(W_{1:(i-1)}, w_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(W_{1:n}|W_{1:i-1})) \end{cases}$ , then use  $W_{(i+1:n)}$  independent to  $W_i$ , then Azuma-Hoeffding

- martingale sequence  $(Z_i)_{i\geq 0}$  wrt  $(W_i)_{i\geq 0}$  ——
  - (i)  $\mathbb{E}|Z_i| < \infty$
  - (ii)  $Z_i \sigma(W_{0:i})$ -measurable
  - (iii)  $\mathbb{E}(Z_i|W_{0:(i-1)}) = Z_{i-1}$
- martingale difference sequence  $D_i = Z_i Z_{i-1}$
- Doob martingale  $Z_i = \mathbb{E}f(W_{1:n})|W_{1:i}$  martingale provided  $\mathbb{E}|f(W_{1:n})| < \infty$

**Lemma 2.7.**  $(D_i)$  martingale difference sequence wrt  $(W_i)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha D_i}|W_{0:i-1}) \leq e^{\frac{\alpha^2 \sigma_i^2}{2}}$ , then  $\gamma^{\top}D$  sub-Gaussian with  $\sqrt{\sum \gamma_i^2 \sigma_i^2}$ 

*Proof.* Tower property with 
$$\sigma(W_{1:i})$$
 for  $i = n - 1, n - 2, \ldots, 1$ 

**Theorem 2.8** (Azuma-Hoeffding).  $(D_i)$  martingale difference sequence wrt  $(W_i)$ ,  $\exists \sigma(W_{0:(i-1)})$ -measurable  $A_i, B_i$ , constant  $L_i$  st

(i) 
$$A_i \leq D_i \leq B_i$$

(ii) 
$$B_i - A_i \leq L_i$$

, then 
$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i} D_{i} \geq t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^{2}}{\sum_{i} L_{i}^{2}}\right)$$

*Proof.* Hoeffding's Lemma conditionally on  $W_{0:(i-1)}$ , then lemma, then Gaussian tail bound

**Setting 4.**  $\mathcal{H}$  (possibly infinite) hypothesis class, l takes values in [0, M]

**Fact.** 
$$R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) \le (G - \mathbb{E}G) + \mathbb{E}G + \hat{R}(h^*) - R(h^*)$$

$$- Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$$

$$- \mathcal{F} = \{(x, y) \mapsto -l(h(x), y) : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

Fact.  $G = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum (f(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}f(Z_i))$ 

– 
$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \epsilon_i f(Z_i)\right)$$
 ——  $\epsilon_i$  i.i.d. Rademacher independent of  $Z_{1:n}$ 

**Intuition.** capture how closely  $f(Z_i)$  align with random label  $\epsilon_i$  (dot product)

**Theorem 2.9.**  $\mathcal{F}$  class of real functions,  $Z_i$  i.i.d., then  $\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\frac{1}{n}\sum(f(Z_i)-\mathbb{E}f(Z_i))\right)\leq 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ 

Proof. 
$$Z_i'$$
 i.i.d. copy of  $Z_i$ , symmetrisation technique: 
$$\sup \frac{1}{n} \sum f(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}f(Z_i) \le \mathbb{E}\left(\sup \frac{1}{n} \sum f(Z_i) - f(Z_i')|Z_{1:n}\right)$$

$$- \mathcal{F}(z_{1:n}) = \{ (f(z_1), \dots, f(z_n)) : f \in \mathcal{F} \}$$

- empirical Rademacher complexity  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} f(z_{i})\right)$ 

$$- \hat{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{F}(Z_{1:n})) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} f(Z_{i}) \mid Z_{1:n}\right)$$

Fact.  $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{F}(Z_{1:n}))$ 

**Theorem 2.10** (Generalisation bound based on Rademacher complexity).  $\mathcal{F} = \{(x,y) \mapsto l(h(x),y)\}, \ l \ takes \ values \ in \ [0,M],$  then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) \leq 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) + M\sqrt{\frac{2\log(\frac{2}{\delta})}{n}}$ 

Proof. decomposition:  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) \leq (G - \mathbb{E}G) + \mathbb{E}G + \hat{R}(h^*) - R(h^*)$ Bounded differences inequality:  $\mathbb{P}\left(G - \mathbb{E}G \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2n}{2M^2}\right)$ , Hoeffding's inequality:  $\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{R}(h^*) - R(h^*) \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2n}{2M^2}\right)$  $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{R}_n(-\mathcal{F})$ , so  $\mathbb{E}G \leq 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $t = M\sqrt{\frac{2\log\frac{1}{\delta}}{n}}$ 

**Setting 5.** classification setting, misclassification loss,  $\mathcal{F} = \{(x,y) \mapsto l(h(x),y) : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ 

**Fact.**  $|\mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})| = |\mathcal{H}(x_{1:n})|$ 

**Lemma 2.11.** 
$$\hat{R}(\mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\log|\mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})|}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\log|\mathcal{H}(x_{1:n})|}{n}}$$

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{F}' = \{f_1, \ldots, f_d\}$  st  $\mathcal{F}'(z_{1:n}) = \mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})$ ,  $W_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum \epsilon_i f_j(z_i)$ , then  $W_j$  sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , then apply max bound

**Setting 6.**  $\mathcal{F}$  class of functions  $f: \mathcal{X} \mapsto \{a, b\}, \ \mathcal{F} \geq 2$ 

- $\mathcal{F}$  shatters  $x_{1:n} |\mathcal{F}(x_{1:n})| = 2^n$
- shattering coefficient  $s(\mathcal{F}, n) = \max_{x_{1:n}} |\mathcal{F}(x_{1:n})|$
- VC dimension  $VC(\mathcal{F}) = \sup\{n : s(\mathcal{F}, n) = 2^n\}$

**Lemma 2.12** (Sauer-Shelah).  $VC(\mathcal{F}) = d$ , then  $s(\mathcal{F}, n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d} {n \choose i} \leq (n+1)^d$ 

*Proof.* non-empty  $Q \subset [n]$ , stronger statement: at least  $|\mathcal{F}(x_{1:n})| - 1$  non-empty Q st  $\mathcal{F}$  shatters  $x_Q$ , then induction on  $|\mathcal{F}(x_{1:n})|$ 

Fact.  $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2VC(\mathcal{F})\log(n+1)}{n}}$ 

**Setting 7.**  $\mathcal{F}$  vector space of functions,  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : h(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(f(x)), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ 

**Example.**  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{x \mapsto x^{\top}\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p\}$ 

**Proposition 2.13.** Under above setting,  $VC(\mathcal{H}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{F})$ 

Proof.  $d = \dim(\mathcal{F}) + 1$ , linear map  $L(f) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_d))$ , then  $\sum_{\gamma_i > 0} \gamma_i f(x_i) + \sum_{\gamma_i} f(x_i) = 0$ , then pick h forcing contradiction, so  $x_{1:d}$  cannot be shattered

# 3 Computation for empirical risk minimisation

- convex set  $C \longrightarrow x, y \in C$ , then  $(1-t)x + ty \in C$  for all  $t \in (0,1)$
- convex function f ——  $f: C \to \mathbb{R}, f((1-t)x+ty) \le (1-t)f(x)+tf(y)$  for all  $x, y \in C, t \in (0,1)$
- strictly convex

Fact (Local to global phenomenon).  $local minimum \Rightarrow global minimum$ 

- Hessian matrix at x H(x)

### **Proposition 3.1.** C convex set, f convex function, then

- (i) g convex,  $a, b \ge 0$ , then af + bg convex function
- (ii) A matrix, b vector,  $C = R^d$ , then g(x) = f(Ax b) convex function
- (iii) I index set,  $f_{\alpha}$  convex for  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $g(x) = \sup_{\alpha \in I} f_{\alpha}(x)$ , then
  - (a)  $D = \{x : g(x) < \infty\}$  convex
  - (b) g restricted to D convex
- (iv) f differentiable at  $x \in int(C)$ , then  $f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^{\top} (y-x)$
- (v) f twice differentiable, then
  - (a) f convex  $\iff$  H(x) positive semi-definite
  - (b) f stricty convex  $\iff$  H(x) positive definite

# Setting 8. $Classification\ framework:$

- (i) family  $\mathcal{H}$  of h
- (ii) each h determine classifier by  $x \mapsto \operatorname{sgn}(h(x))$
- (iii) loss function  $l(h(x), y) = \phi(yh(x))$  where  $\phi$  convex and aim to approximate  $\mathbb{1}_{(\infty,0]}$
- (iv)  $\phi$ -risk  $R_{\phi} = \mathbb{E}(\phi(Yh(X)))$

Example (Surrogate loss).

- (i) Hinge loss:  $\phi(u) = \max(1 u, 0)$
- (ii) Exponential loss:  $\phi(u) = e^{-u}$
- (iii) Logistic loss:  $\phi(u) = \log_2(1 + e^{-u})$ 
  - $h_{\phi,0}$  ERM of surrogate loss
  - $\eta(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X = x)$

**Idea.** want  $x \mapsto \operatorname{sgn}(h_{\phi,0}(x))$  mimics Bayes classifier  $x \mapsto \operatorname{sgn}(\eta(x) - \frac{1}{2})$ 

- conditional  $\phi$ -risk  $\mathbb{E}(\phi(Yh(X))|X=x) = \eta(x)\phi(h(x)) + (1-\eta(x))\phi(-h(x))$
- $C_n(\alpha) = \eta(x)\phi(\alpha) + (1 \eta(x))\phi(-\alpha) = \mathbb{E}(\phi(Y\alpha))$
- classification calibrated  $\inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} C_{\eta}(\alpha) < \inf_{\alpha (2\eta 1) < 0} C_{\eta}(\alpha)$  for all  $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \bigcup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

**Theorem 3.2.**  $\phi$  convex, then  $\phi$  classification calibrated  $\iff$  differentiable at 0,  $\phi'(0) < 0$ 

*Proof.* 
$$C'_{\eta}(0) = (2\eta - 1)\phi'(0)$$
, assume  $\eta > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $C_{\eta}(\alpha) \ge C_{\eta}(0)$  for  $\alpha \le 0$ , then find  $\alpha^* > 0$  st  $C_{\eta}(\alpha^*) < C_{\eta}(0)$ 

Setting 9.  $\mathcal{F} = \{(x,y) \mapsto \phi(yh(x)) : h \in \mathcal{H}\}\$ 

**Lemma 3.3** (Contraction lemma).  $r = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}, h \in \mathcal{H}} |h(x)|, \exists L \geq 0, |\phi(u) - \phi(u')| \leq L|u - u'|$  for  $u, u' \in [-r, r]$  (Lipschitz with L on [-r, r]), then  $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq L\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{H})$ 

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{E} \sup_h \left(\frac{1}{n} \epsilon_i \phi(y_i h(x_i)) + A(h, \epsilon_{-i})\right) \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_h \left(\frac{L}{n} \epsilon_i h(x_i) + A(h, \epsilon_{-i})\right)$ , then stepwise argument inequality from conditioning  $\epsilon_{-i}$  and expand  $\epsilon_i$ 

Corollary 3.4. setup of contration lemma, r finite,  $\phi$  non-incresing,  $M = \phi(-r)$ , then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $R_{\phi}(\hat{h}) - R_{\phi}(h^*) \leq 2L\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{H}) + M\sqrt{\frac{2\log(\frac{2}{\delta})}{n}}$ 

Example ( $l_2$ -constraint).

Setting 10. 
$$\mathcal{X} = \{\|x\|_2 \leq C\}, \ \mathcal{H} = \{x \mapsto x^\top \beta : \|\beta\|_2 \leq \lambda\}$$

Fact.  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{H}(x_{1:n})) \leq \frac{\lambda C}{\sqrt{n}}$  (Cauchy-Schwarz, Jensen)

**Fact.**  $\sup_{x,h} |h(x)| = \lambda C$ 

Example ( $l_1$ -constraint).

Setting 11. 
$$\mathcal{X} = \{ \|x\|_{\infty} \leq C \}, \ \mathcal{H} = \{ x \mapsto x^{\top} \beta : \|\beta\|_{1} \leq \lambda \}$$

- convex hull conv S —— intersection of all convex sets containing S
- convex combination  $v = \sum \alpha_i v_i$  ——  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$

**Lemma 3.5.**  $v \in \text{conv } S \iff v \text{ convex combination of points in } S$ 

*Proof.* induction

#### **Lemma 3.6.** L linear map, then conv L(S) = L(conv S)

# Lemma 3.7. $\hat{\mathcal{R}}(A) = \hat{\mathcal{R}}(\text{conv } A)$

$$-S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} \{\lambda e_j, -\lambda e_j\}$$

$$-L(\beta) = (x_1^{\top}\beta, \dots, x_n^{\top}\beta)^{\top}$$

Fact.  $\{\|\beta\|_1 \le \lambda\} = \text{conv } S$ 

Fact.  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{H}(x_{1:n})) = \hat{\mathcal{R}}(L(S)) = \frac{\lambda}{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\max|\sum \epsilon_i x_{ij}|\right) \leq \frac{\lambda C}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{2\log(2p)}$  (sub-Gaussian bound for max)

Fact.  $\sup |h(x)| = \lambda C$ 

#### Proposition 3.8. C closed convex set, then

- (i) minimiser of  $||x-z||_2$  exists and unique
- (ii) let  $\pi_C(x) = \arg\min_{z \in C} \|x z\|_2$ , then

$$-(x - \pi_C(x))^{\top}(z - \pi_C(x)) \le 0 \text{ for all } z \in C$$

$$-\|\pi_C(x) - \pi_C(y)\|_2 \le \|x - y\|_2 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

*Proof.* (i) Existence: bounded set  $B = \{w : ||w - x||_2 \le \inf ||x - z||_2 + 1\}$ 

(ii) Uniqueness:  $z \mapsto ||x - z||_2^2$  convex

- projection  $\pi_C(x)$ 

**Proposition 3.9.** C closed convex set,  $x \notin C$ , then  $\exists v, \epsilon > 0$  st  $v^{\top}z \leq v^{\top}x - \epsilon$ 

Proof. 
$$v = x - \pi_C(x)$$

- subgradient g – f convex,  $f(z) \ge f(x) + g^{\top}(z x)$
- subdifferential  $\partial f(x)$  —— set of subgradients
- epigraph  $C = \{(z, y) : y \ge f(z)\}$

### **Proposition 3.10.** f convex, then $\partial f(x)$ non-empty for all x

*Proof.* epigraph closed, convex,  $w_k \notin C \rightarrow (x, f(x))$ , apply prop, BW

**Proposition 3.11.** f convex, f differentiablea at x, then  $\partial f(x) = {\nabla f(x)}$ 

*Proof.* g subgradient, then  $\lim \frac{f(x+tz)-f(x)}{t} \geq g^{\top}z$ 

**Proposition 3.12** (Subgradient calculus).  $f, f_1, f_2$  convex, h(x) = f(Ax + b), then

- (i)  $\partial(\alpha f)(x) = {\alpha g : g \in \partial f(x)}$
- (ii)  $\partial (f_1 + f_2)(x) = \{g_1, g_2 : g_i \in \partial f_i(x)\}$
- (iii)  $\partial h(x) = A^{\top} \partial f(Ax + b)$

#### Gradient descent

- Parameters:
  - $\beta_1 \in C$ , k, step sizes  $(\eta_s)$
- Procedures:

For s = 1, ..., k - 1:

- (i) compute  $g_s \in \partial f(\beta_s)$
- (ii)  $z_{s+1} = \beta_s \eta_s g_s$
- (iii)  $\beta_{s+1} = \pi_C(z_{s+1})$
- Return:
  - $\bar{\beta} = \frac{1}{k} \sum \beta_s$

**Theorem 3.13.** f convex function, C closed convex,  $\hat{\beta}$  minimiser of f over C,  $\sup_{\beta \in C} \|\beta\|_2 \le R$ ,  $\sup_{\beta \in C} \sup_{g \in \partial f(\beta)} \|g\|_2 \le L$ , step size  $\eta_s = \eta = \frac{2R}{L\sqrt{k}}$ , then  $f(\bar{\beta}) - f(\hat{\beta}) \le \frac{2LR}{\sqrt{k}}$ 

Proof. Jensen

Stochastic gradient descent

**Setting 12.**  $f(\beta) = \mathbb{E}\tilde{f}(\beta; U), \ \beta \mapsto \tilde{f}(\beta; u) \ convex \ for \ all \ u$ 

- Parameters:
  - $\beta_1 \in C$ , k, step sizes  $(\eta_s)$ ,  $U_i$  i.i.d.
- Procedures: For  $s = 1, \dots, k-1$ :

- (i) compute  $\tilde{g}_s \in \partial \tilde{f}(\beta_s; U_s)$
- (ii)  $z_{s+1} = \beta_s \eta_s \tilde{g}_s$
- (iii)  $\beta_{s+1} = \pi_C(z_{s+1})$

**Theorem 3.14.** f convex function, C closed convex,  $\hat{\beta}$  minimiser of f over C,  $\sup_{\beta \in C} \|\beta\|_2 \le R$ ,  $\sup_{\beta \in C} \mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{\tilde{g} \in \partial \tilde{f}(\beta;U)} \|\tilde{g}\|_2^2 \right) \le L^2$ , step size  $\eta_s = \eta = \frac{2R}{L\sqrt{k}}$ , then  $\mathbb{E} f(\bar{\beta}) - f(\hat{\beta}) \le \frac{2LR}{\sqrt{k}}$ 

Proof. 
$$g_s = \mathbb{E}(\tilde{g}_s \mid \beta_s) \in \partial f(\beta_s)$$

# 4 Popular machine learning methods

- cross validation minimise  $CV(j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{v} \sum_{i \in A_k} l(H_{-k}^j(X_i), Y_i)$  over j
- stacking minimise  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{v} \sum_{i \in A_k} l(\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j H_{-k}^j(X_i), Y_i)$  over w on  $\{w : w_j \ge 0\}$
- Adaboost

**Setting 13.** 
$$h: \mathcal{X} \to \{-1, 1\}, \ \mathcal{H}^M = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_m h_m \right\}$$

 $\bullet$  tuning parameters M

Procedures:

- (i)  $\hat{f}_0 \longrightarrow x \mapsto 0$
- (ii)  $(\hat{\beta}_m, \hat{h}_m) = \arg\min \frac{1}{n} \sum \exp \left[ -Y_i(\hat{f}_{m-1}(X_i) + \beta h(X_i)) \right]$
- (iii)  $\hat{f}_m = \hat{f}_{m-1} + \hat{\beta}_m \hat{h}_m$

Return:

•  $\operatorname{sgn} \circ \hat{f}_M$ 

$$- err_m(h) = \frac{\sum w_i^{(m)} \mathbb{1}_{h(X_i \neq Y_i)}}{\sum w_i^{(m)}}$$

Fact.  $\hat{h}_m = \arg\min err_m(h), \ \hat{\beta}_m = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1 - err_m(\hat{h}_m)}{err_m(\hat{h}_m)}\right)$ 

**Example.** - decision stumps  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_{a,j,1}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x_j - a), h_{a,j,2}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(a - x_j)\}$