Principle of Statistics

0 Introduction

- distribution
- p.m.f.
- p.d.f.
- samples
- sample size
- statistical model $\{f(\theta,\cdot)\}$
- law
- parameter space Θ
- correctly specified

Goal.

- (i) Estimation
- (ii) Testing Hypothesis
- (iii) Inference
 - estimator
 - test
 - confidence

1 Likelihood Principle

Setting 1. $\{f(\cdot,\theta):\theta\in\Theta\}$ statistical model, X_i i.i.d. copy of X

- likelihood function $L_n(\theta) = \prod f(x_i, \theta)$
- log-likelihood function $l_n(\theta) = \log L_n(\theta)$
- normalized log-likelihood function $\bar{l}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} l_n(\theta)$
- maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_{MLE}$

- score function $S_n(\theta) = \nabla_{\theta} l_n(\theta)$

Fact.
$$S_n(\hat{\theta}) = 0$$

Setting 2. model $\{f(\cdot,\theta)\}, X \sim P$

$$- l(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\log(f(X, \theta)))$$

Theorem 1.1. $\mathbb{E}|\log(f(X,\theta))| < \infty$, well specified with $f(x,\theta_0)$, then $l(\theta)$ maximised at θ_0

- sample approximation $\bar{l}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \log(f(x_i, \theta))$
- strict identifiability —— $f(\cdot, \theta) = f(\cdot, \theta') \iff \theta = \theta'$

Fact. With strict identifiability, maximizer unique hence must be the true value θ_0

– Kullback-Leibler divergence $KL(P_{\theta_0}, P_{\theta}) = l(\theta_0) - l(\theta)$

Setting 3. regular — integration and differentiation can be interchanged

Theorem 1.2. regular, then $\forall \theta \in int(\Theta), \mathbb{E}[\nabla_{\theta} \log(f(X, \theta))] = 0$

Fact. $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[\nabla_{\theta} \log(f(X, \theta))] = 0$

- Fisher information matrix $I(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\nabla_{\theta} \log f(X, \theta) \nabla_{\theta} \log f(X, \theta)^{\top}]$

Fact. 1-d case, $I(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\log f(X,\theta)\right)^2\right] = Var_{\theta}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\log f(X,\theta)\right]$

Theorem 1.3. regularity assumptions, $\forall \theta \in int(\Theta), \ \underline{I(\theta)} = -\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\nabla_{\theta}^2 \log f(X, \theta)]$

Fact. 1-d case, relation between variance of score and curvature of l

$$-I_n(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\nabla_{\theta} \log f(X_1, \dots, X_n, \theta) \nabla \log f(X_1, \dots, X_n, \theta)^{\top}]$$

Proposition 1.4 (Tensorize). X_i i.i.d , $I_n(\theta) = nI(\theta)$

Theorem 1.5 (Cramer-Rao lower bound (1-d)). model $\{f(\cdot,\theta)\}$, regular, $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$, unbiased estimator $\tilde{\theta}(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$, then $\forall \theta \in int(\Theta)$, $\underbrace{Var_{\theta}(\tilde{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{\theta}-\theta)^2] \geq \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}}$

Corollary 1.6.
$$Var_{\theta}(\tilde{\theta}) \geq \frac{(\frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\tilde{\theta}))^2}{nI(\theta)}$$

Proposition 1.7. Φ differentiable functional, $\tilde{\Phi}$ unbiased estimator of $\Phi(\theta)$, then $\forall \theta \in int(\Theta)$, $Var_{\theta}(\tilde{\Phi}) \geq \frac{1}{n} \nabla_{\theta} \Phi(\theta)^{\top} I^{-1}(\theta) \nabla_{\theta} \Phi(\theta)$

Fact. $Var_{\theta}(\alpha^{\top}\tilde{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{n}\alpha^{\top}I^{-1}(\theta)\alpha$

Fact. $Cov_{\theta}(\tilde{\theta}) \succeq \frac{1}{n}I^{-1}(\theta)$ (positive semi-definite)

2 Asymptotic Theory for MLE

- convergence almost surely
- convergence in probability
- convergence in distribution

Proposition 2.1. convergence $a.s. \Rightarrow in \ prob \Rightarrow in \ distribution$

Proposition 2.2 (Continuous mapping theorem). g continuous, then $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s./P/d} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{a.s./P/d} g(X)$

Proposition 2.3 (Slutsky's lemma). $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} c$ deterministic, then

- (i) $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} c$
- (ii) $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$
- (iii) $X_nY_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} cX$
- (iv) $\frac{X_n}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{X}{c}$ if $c \neq 0$

Random matrices $(A_n)_{ij} \xrightarrow{P} A_{ij}$ deterministic, then

- (i) $A_n X_n \xrightarrow{d} AX$
- bounded in probability $O_P(1)$ $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M(\epsilon), \sup_n \mathbb{P}(\|X_n\| > M(\epsilon)) < \epsilon$

Proposition 2.4. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, then (X_n) bounded in probability

Proposition 2.5 (Weak law of large numbers). X_i i.i.d., $Var(X) < \infty$ (unnecessary), then $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}(X)$

Theorem 2.6 (Strong law of large numbers). X_i i.i.d. $|\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$, then $\overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{E}(X)$

Theorem 2.7 (Central limit theorem(1-d)). X_i i.i.d., $Var(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$, then $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mathbb{E}(X)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

-
$$\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$$
 ----- p.d.f. $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2}|\det(\Sigma)|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$

Fact. $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$, then $\alpha^{\top} X \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha^{\top} \mu, \alpha^{\top} \Sigma \alpha)$

Proposition 2.8. $AX + b \sim \mathcal{N}(A\mu + b, A\Sigma A^{\top})$

Proposition 2.9. Σ diagonal, $X_{(j)}$ independent

Theorem 2.10 (Central limit theorem(n-d)). X_i i.i.d., $Cov(X) = \Sigma$ positive definite, then $\sqrt{n} \left(\bar{X}_n - \mathbb{E}(X) \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$

– asymptotic efficiency — $nVar_{\theta_0}(\tilde{\theta}_0) \to I^{-1}(\theta_0)$

Fact. Under suitable assumptions, $\theta_{MLE} \approx \mathcal{N}(\theta, I^{-1}(\theta_0)/n)$

Example (Confidence interval).

- confidence region $C_n = \left\{ |\mu \bar{X}| \le \frac{\sigma z_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$
- asymptotic level $1-\alpha$ confidence set

Setting 4. X_i i.i.d., arising from $\{P_{\theta}\}$

- consistency — $\tilde{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P_{\theta}} \theta_0$

Assumption 1 (Usual regularity assumptions). $\{f(\cdot,\theta)\}$ statistical model of p.d.f. or p.m.f. st

- (*i*) $f(x, \theta) > 0$
- (ii) $\int_{Xf(x,\theta)} dx = 1$
- (iii) $f(x,\cdot)$ continuous
- (iv) Θ compact
- (v) $f(\cdot, \theta) = f(\cdot, \theta') \Rightarrow \theta = \theta'$
- (vi) $\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \sup_{\theta} |\log f(X, \theta)| < \infty$

Theorem 2.11 (Consistency of the MLE). Usual regularity assumptions, X_i i.i.d., then

- (i) MLE exists
- (ii) MLE consistent i.e. $\tilde{\theta}_{MLE} \xrightarrow{P_{\theta}} \theta_0$

Fact. proof can be simplified when l_n differentiable, in this case Θ compact not needed

Theorem 2.12 (Uniform law of large numbers). Θ compact, $q(x, \cdot)$ continuous, $\mathbb{E} \sup_{\Theta} |q(X, \theta)| < \infty$, then $\sup_{\Theta} |\frac{1}{n} \sum q(X_i, \theta) - \mathbb{E}(q(X, \theta))| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$

Assumption 2. In addition to usual regularity assumption,

- (i) true $\theta_0 \in int(\Theta)$
- (ii) $\exists U \text{ open } nbhd \text{ of } \theta_0 \text{ st } f(x,\cdot) \in C^2$
- (iii) $I(\theta_0)$ non-singular, $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \|\nabla_{\theta} \log f(X, \theta_0)\| < \infty$
- (iv) $\exists K \subset U$ compact, non-empty interior containing θ_0 st

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0} \sup_{K} \left\| \nabla_{\theta}^2 \log f(X, \theta) \right\| < \infty$$
$$\int_{X} \sup_{K} \left\| \nabla_{\theta} \log f(X, \theta) \right\| dx < \infty$$
$$\int_{X} \sup_{K} \left\| \nabla_{\theta}^2 \log f(X, \theta) \right\| dx < \infty$$

Theorem 2.13. Further usual assumption, $\hat{\theta}_n$ MLE of i.i.d. $X_i \sim P_{\theta_0}$, then $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1})$

- asymptotic efficiency $nVar_{\theta_0}(\tilde{\theta}_n) \to I(\theta_0)^{-1}$
- Hodge estimator $\tilde{\theta}_n = \begin{cases} \hat{\theta}_n & \text{if } |\hat{\theta}_n| > n^{-1/4} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- profile likelihood $L^{(p)}(\theta_1) = \sup_{\Theta_2} L((\theta_1, \theta_2))$
- plug-in MLE $\Phi(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$

Fact. under new parametrization $\{f(\cdot,\phi):\phi=\Phi(\theta)\},\ \hat{\phi}_{MLE}=\Phi(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$

Theorem 2.14 (Delta method). $\Phi \in C^1$ at θ_0 , $\nabla_{\theta}\Phi(\theta_0) \neq 0$, let $(\hat{\theta}_n)$ st $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} Z$, then $\sqrt{n}(\Phi(\hat{\theta}_n) - \Phi(\theta_0)) \xrightarrow{d} \nabla_{\theta}\Phi(\theta_0)^{\top}Z$

Fact. if $\hat{\theta}_n$ MLE with asymptotic normality, then $\sqrt{n}(\Phi(\hat{\theta}_n) - \Phi(\theta_0)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \nabla_{\theta}\Phi(\theta_0)^{\top}I^{-1}(\theta_0)\nabla_{\theta}\Phi(\theta_0))$

Fact. plug in MLE asymptotically efficient

- observed Fisher information $i_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \nabla_{\theta} \log f(X_i, \theta) \nabla_{\theta} \log f(X_i, \theta)^{\top}$
- $-\hat{i}_n = i_n(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$

Proposition 2.15. Under further assumption, $\hat{i}_n \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} I(\theta_0)$

$$-j_n(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum \nabla_{\theta}^2 \log f(X_i, \theta)$$

$$-\hat{j}_n = j_n(\hat{\theta}_{MLE})$$

– Wald statistic
$$W_n(\theta) = n(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta)^{\top} \hat{i}_n(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta)$$

$$-\xi_{\alpha} - \mathbb{P}(\chi_{p}^{2} \le \xi_{\alpha}) = 1 - \alpha$$

Proposition 2.16 (Confidence ellipsoids). Under further assumption, define $C_n = \{\theta : W_n(\theta) \leq \xi_{\alpha}\}$, then C_n α -level asymptotic confidence region

Setting 5. hypothesis testing: $\begin{cases} H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0 \\ H_1: \theta \in \Theta \backslash \Theta_0 \end{cases}$

- decision rule ψ_n
- type-one error (false positive) $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(reject\ H_0) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\psi_n)$ for $\theta \in \Theta_0$
- type-two error (false negative) —— $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(accept\ H_0) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(1-\psi_n)$ for $\theta \in \Theta_1$
- likelihood ratio test $\Lambda_n(\Theta, \Theta_0) = 2\log \frac{\sup_{\Theta} \prod f(X_i, \theta)}{\sup_{\Theta} \prod f(X_i, \theta)} = 2\log \frac{\prod f(X_i, \hat{\theta}_{MLE})}{\prod f(X_i, \hat{\theta}_{MLE}, 0)}$

Theorem 2.17 (Wilks theorem). Under further assumption, hypothesis test with $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$, $\theta_0 \in int(\Theta)$, then $\Lambda_n(\Theta, \Theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_p^2$

Fact. test $\psi_n = \mathbb{1} \{ \Lambda_n(\Theta, \Theta_0) \ge \xi_\alpha \}$ controls type-one error at symptotic level $1 - \alpha$

Fact. Θ_0 dimension $p_0 < p$, then $\Lambda_n(\Theta, \Theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{p-p_0}$

3 Bayesian Inference

Setting 6. \mathcal{X} sample space, probability measure $Q(x,\theta) = f(x,\theta)\pi(\theta)$

- prior distribution π
- posterior distribution $\Pi(\theta|X)$
- conjugate prior $\pi(\theta)$ and $\Pi(\theta|X)$ same family of distributions

Example.

- (i) normal prior, normal sampling, normal posterior
- (ii) Beta prior, binomial sampling, Beta posterior

(iii) Gamma prior, Poisson sampling, Gamma posterior

- improper prior —— infinite integral over Θ
- Jeffreys prior $\pi(\theta)$ proportional to $\sqrt{\det I(\theta)}$

Goal.

- (i) Estimation
- (ii) Uncertainty Quantification
- (iii) Hypothesis Testing

– posterior mean
$$\bar{\theta}$$
 — $\bar{\theta}(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}(\theta|X_1,\ldots,X_n)$

- credible set
$$C_n \longrightarrow \Pi(C_n|X_1,\ldots,X_n) = 1 - \alpha$$

- Bayes factor
$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_1,\dots,X_n|\Theta_0)}{\mathbb{P}(X_1,\dots,X_n|\theta_1)} = \frac{\Pi(\Theta_0|X_1,\dots,X_n)}{\Pi(\Theta_1|X_1,\dots,X_n)}$$

Fact. Bayesian inference not based on asymptotic distribution, but posterior distribution

- credible set
$$-- C_n = \left\{ |\nu - \hat{\theta}_n| \le \frac{R_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$
 st $\Pi(C_n | X_1, \dots, X_n) = 1 - \alpha$

$$-\phi_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\hat{\theta}_n, \frac{I(\theta_0)^{-1}}{n}\right)$$

Theorem 3.1 (Bernstein-von Mises). Under further assumptions, prior with continuous density π at θ_0 , $\pi(\theta_0) > 0$, then $\|\Pi_n - \phi_n\|_{L^1} = \int_{\Theta} |\Pi_n(\theta) - \phi_n(\theta)| d\theta \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$

Fact.
$$\Pi_n(A) - \phi_n(A) \to 0$$
, so $\phi_n(\mathcal{C}_n) \to 1 - \alpha$

-
$$\Phi_0(t) = \mathbb{P}(|Z_0| \le t)$$
 - $Z_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1})$

Lemma 3.2. Under assumptions, $R_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \Phi_0^{-1}(1-\alpha)$

Theorem 3.3. Under assumptions, $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 \in \mathcal{C}_n) \to 1 - \alpha$

Fact. similar result with posterior mean $\bar{\theta}_n$ instead of $\hat{\theta}_n$

4 Decision Theory

Setting 7. sample space \mathcal{X}

- decision problems
- action space \mathcal{A}
- decision rules δ —— $\delta: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$
- loss function $L \longrightarrow L : \mathcal{A} \times \Theta \to [0, \infty)$

Example.

- hypothesis testing —— $A = \{0,1\}, \delta(X)$ test
- estimation problem —— $A = \Theta$, $\delta(X) = \hat{\theta}(X)$
- inference problem —— $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\Theta), \ \delta(X) = \mathcal{C}(X)$
- misclassification error —— $L(a, \theta) = \mathbb{1}_{\{a \neq \theta\}}$
- absolute error $L(a, \theta) = |a \theta|$
- squared error —— $L(a, \theta) = |a \theta|^2$
- risk / average loss $R(\delta,\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(L(\delta(X),\theta)) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} L(\delta(x),\theta) f(x;\theta) dx$
- quadratic risk / mean squared error (MSE) $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[(\delta(X) \theta)^2]$
- π -Bayes risk $R_{\pi}(\delta) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R(\delta, \theta)] = \int_{\Theta} R(\delta, \theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta$ —— prior π
- π -Bayes decision rule δ_{π} minimizer of $R_{\pi}(\delta)$
- posterior risk R_{Π} —— $R_{\Pi}(\delta) = \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[L(\delta(x), \theta)|x]$, expectation over θ
- δ_{Π} minimise R_{Π} —— $\mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[L(\delta_{\Pi}(x), \theta)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[L(\delta(x), \theta)]$ for all x

Proposition 4.1. δ minimizes $R_{\Pi} \Rightarrow$ minimizes R_{π}

Fact. For quadratic risk, $\delta_{\Pi}(X) = \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[\theta|X]$

- unbiased decision rule —— $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[\delta(X)] = \theta$
- $Q(x,\theta) = f(x,\theta)\pi(\theta)$

Proposition 4.2. δ unbiased, π -Bayes rule under quadratic risk, then $\mathbb{E}_Q[(\delta(X) - \theta)^2] = 0$

Fact. unbiased estimator typically disjoint from Bayes estimators

- prior λ least favorable —— $R_{\lambda}(\delta_{\lambda}) \geq R_{\lambda'}(\delta_{\lambda'})$ for all prior λ'
- maximal risk $R_m(\delta, \Theta) = \sup_{\Theta} R(\delta, \theta)$
- minimax risk $\inf_{\delta} R_m(\delta, \Theta)$
- minimax —
— δ attain minimax risk

Proposition 4.3. any prior λ , δ then $R_{\lambda}(\delta) \leq R_m(\delta, \Theta)$

Proposition 4.4. λ prior, δ_{λ} Bayes rule, $R_{\lambda}(\delta_{\lambda}) = R_m(\delta_{\lambda}, \Theta)$, then

- (i) δ_{λ} minimax
- (ii) if δ_{λ} unique Bayes rule, then unique minimax
- (iii) prior λ least favorable

Corollary 4.5. Bayes rule δ_{λ} constant risk in θ , then minimax

 $-\delta$ inadmissible — $\exists \delta'$ st $R(\delta', \theta) \leq R(\delta, \theta)$ for all θ , strict inequality for some θ

Proposition 4.6.

- (i) unique Bayes rule admissible
- (ii) δ admissible, constant risk, then minimax

Proposition 4.7. $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ i.i.d. ,known σ^2 , then $\theta_{MLE} = \bar{X}_n$ admissible, minimax in quadratic risk

Fact. all minimax rules are limits of Bayes rule (dimension p = 1, 2, false for $p \ge 3$)

– James-Stein estimator $\delta^{JS}(X) = \left(1 - \frac{p-2}{\|X\|^2}\right)X$

Setting 8. $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, I_p)$

Fact. $R(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}, \theta) = p$

Lemma 4.8 (Stein's lemma). $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$, g bounded, differentiable, $\mathbb{E}|g'(X)| < \infty$, then $\mathbb{E}[(X - \theta)g(X)] = \mathbb{E}[g'(X)]$

Proposition 4.9. $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, I_p), p \geq 3, \text{ then } R(\delta^{JS}, \theta)$

Fact. δ^{JS} , $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ same maximal risk

Fact. δ^{JS} dominated by $\delta^{JS+}(X) = \left(1 - \frac{p-2}{\|X\|^2}\right)^{+X}$

Fact. admissible must be smooth

$$-\begin{cases} X|Y=0 \sim f_0(x) \\ X|Y=1 \sim f_1(x) \end{cases}$$

- classification rule
$$\delta_{\mathcal{R}}(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{R}^c \end{cases}$$

$$- \mathbb{P}_1(X \in \mathcal{R}^c) = \mathbb{P}(X \in \mathcal{R}^c | Y = 1)$$

$$- \mathbb{P}_0(X \in \mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{P}(X \in \mathcal{R}|Y = 0)$$

- risk function
$$R_{\pi}(\delta_{\mathcal{R}}) = \pi_0 \mathbb{P}_0(X \in \mathcal{R}) + \pi_1 \mathbb{P}_1(X \in \mathcal{R}^c)$$

- marginal distribution P_X

$$- \eta(x) = \Pi(1|X=x)$$

$$- Q(x,y) = f(x,y)\pi(x)$$

Proposition 4.10.
$$R_{\pi}(\delta) = \mathbb{P}_Q(\delta(X) \neq Y) = \mathbb{E}_Q[\mathbb{1}\{\delta(X) \neq Y\}] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \Pi(\delta^c(x)|x) dP_X(x)$$

Setting 9. prior $\pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1)$

- Bayes classifier
$$\delta_{\pi} = \delta_{\mathcal{R}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{R}^c \end{cases}$$

$$- \mathcal{R} = \{ \eta(x) \ge 1 - \eta(x) \}$$

Proposition 4.11.

- (i) δ_{π} minimizes Bayes classification risk
- (ii) If $\mathbb{P}(\eta(x) = 1 \eta(x)) = 0$, then Bayes rule unique
- discriminant function $D(X) = X^{\top} \Sigma (\mu_1 \mu_0)$

Example (Linear discriminant analysis). $\begin{cases} f_0 = \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \Sigma) \\ f_1 = \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \Sigma) \end{cases}$ can show Bayes rule only depends on D(X)

5 Multivariate analysis & PCA

– covariance
$$Cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}X)(Y - \mathbb{E}Y)]$$

– correlation
$$\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{Cov(X,Y)}{\sqrt{Var(X)\sqrt{Var(Y)}}}$$

– sample correlation coefficient
$$\hat{\rho}_{X,Y} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum(X_i - \bar{X}_n)(Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum(X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2\frac{1}{n}\sum(Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)^2}}$$

Fact. Var(X), Var(Y) positive, finite, then sample version of Var(X), Var(Y), Cov(X, Y) and $\hat{\rho}_{X,Y}$ consistent

Fact. $[\rho]_{ij} = \rho_{X^{(i)}, X^{(j)}}$

- (i) coefficients in [-1, 1]
- (ii) diagonal coefficients equals to 1
- (iii) positive semidefinite

Proposition 5.1.

- (i) Σ positive semidefinite, then $\exists X \text{ st } \mathbb{E}X = 0, \ \Sigma = Cov(X)$
- (ii) ρ positive semidefinite, 1 on the diagonal, then $\exists X \text{ st } \mathbb{E}X = 0, \ \Sigma = [\rho]$

Proposition 5.2. (????) Under $X, Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_p)$ independent, density of $\hat{\rho}_{X,Y}$ $f_{\hat{\rho}}(r) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n-1))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n-2))} (1-r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(n-4)}$

Setting 10. $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma), \ X = (X^{(1)}, X^{(2)})$

- covariance matrix $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$
- partial correlation of $X^{(1)(i)}, X^{(1)(j)} \rho_{i,j|2} = \frac{(\Sigma_{11|2})_{ij}}{\sqrt{(\Sigma_{11|2})_{ii}(\Sigma_{11|2})_{jj}}}$

Example (PCA).

Method: projecting the observations on directions with maximum variance

Idea: recover information about leading eigenspaces of the covariance matrix Σ

Setting 11. $E[X] = 0, \Sigma = \mathbb{E}[XX^{\top}]$

–
$$\Sigma = V\Lambda V^{\top}$$
 —— $\Lambda = diag(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$

$$-\ U = V^\top X$$

Fact. $\mathbb{E}[UU^{\top}] = \Lambda$

Proposition 5.3. $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$, then

- (i) $U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Lambda)$
- (ii) U_i independent

Fact. $X = V(V^{\top}X) = \sum v_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} Z_i$ where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_p)$

- $-T_{(-i)}$
- jacknife bias estimate $\hat{B}_n = (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum T_{(-i)} T_n\right)$
- jacknife bias corrected estimate $\tilde{T}_{JACK} = T_n \hat{B}_n$

Proposition 5.4. regular bias $B(\theta)$, then $|\mathbb{E}(\tilde{T}_{JACK}) - \theta| = O(\frac{1}{n^2})$

Example (Bootstrap).

Setting 12. discrete probability distribution $\mathbb{P}_n(\cdot|X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ generate $(X_{n,i}^b:1\leq i\leq n)$

$$- \mathbb{P}_n(X_n^b = X_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

Proposition 5.5. $\mathbb{E}(X_n^b) = \bar{X}_n$

– bootstrap sample mean \bar{X}_n^b

Idea: $\bar{X}_n^b - \bar{X}_n$ approximates $\bar{X}_n - \mu$

- Φ c.d.f. of $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

Theorem 5.6. X_i i.i.d., mean μ , finite variance σ^2 , then $\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}_n \left(\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n^b - \bar{X}_n) \le t | X_1, \dots, X_n \right) - \Phi(t)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$

Fact. $\mathbb{P}(\mu \in \mathcal{C}_n^b) \to 1 - \alpha$

Lemma 5.7.

- (i) $A_n \sim f_n$ with c.d.f. F_n
- (ii) $A \sim f$ with continous c.d.f. F

Then, $f_n \xrightarrow{d} f \Rightarrow \sup |F_n(t) - F(t)| \to 0$

- triangular array $(Z_{n,i}:i\leq n)$ — $Z_{n,1},\ldots,Z_{n,n}$ i.i.d. from distribution Q_n

Assumption 3.

- (i) $\forall \delta > 0, \ n\mathbb{P}_n(|Z_{n,1}| > \delta\sqrt{n}) \to 0$
- (ii) $Var(Z_{n,1}\mathbb{1}\{|Z_{n,1}| \leq \sqrt{n}\}) \to \sigma^2$
- (iii) $\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}(Z_{n,1}\mathbb{1}\{|Z_{n,1}| > \sqrt{n}\}) \to 0$

Proposition 5.8 (CLT for triangular arrays). Under assumption above, $(Z_{n,i})$ triangular array, finite variance, $Var(Z_{n,i}) = \sigma_n^2 \to \sigma^2$, then $\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum Z_{n,i} - \mathbb{E}_n(Z_{n,i}) \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

- nonparametric bootstrap
- parametric bootstrap
- pseudo-random uniform sample $\mathbb{P}(U_1^* \leq u_1, \dots, U_N^* \leq u_N) = u_1 \dots u_N$

Proposition 5.9. $U_i \sim U[0,1]$ *i.i.d.*, $X_i = \sum x_j \mathbb{1}\left\{U_i \in \left(\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right]\right\}$, then X_i i.i.d uniform over $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$

- generalized inverse $F^- \longrightarrow F^-(u) = \inf \{x : u \leq F(x)\}$

Proposition 5.10. distribution P with c.d.f. F, U uniform over [0,1], then $X = F^-(U) \sim P$

Example (Importance sampling). density f, h, $supp f \subset supp h$, then $\mathbb{E}_h\left(\frac{g(X)}{h(X)}f(X)\right) = \mathbb{E}_f(g(X))$

Fact. (X_1^*, \ldots, X_N^*) with density h, then $\frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{g(X_i^*)}{h(X_i^*)} f(X_i^*) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{E}_f(g(X))$

Example (Accept/reject algorithm). $f \leq Mh$

Step 1 generate $X \sim h$, $U \sim U[0,1]$

Step 2 if
$$U \leq \frac{f(X)}{Mh(X)}$$
, $Y = X$

Example (Gibbs sampler). bivariate (X,Y), $X_0 = x$, $\begin{cases} Y_t \sim f_{Y|X}(\cdot|X = X_{t-1}) \\ X_t \sim f_{X|Y}(\cdot|Y = Y_t) \end{cases}$

By ergodic theorem, $\frac{1}{N} \sum g(X_t, Y_t) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{E}_{X,Y}(g(X, Y))$

– empirical distribution function F_n —— $F_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,t]}(X_i) = \frac{\#\{i: X_i \leq t\}}{n}$

Theorem 5.11 (Glivenko-Cantelli). F, sup $|F_n(t) - F(t)| \to 0$

- Brownian motion $\begin{cases} W_0 = 0 \\ W_t W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t s) \end{cases}$
- Brownian bridge (B_t) Brownian motion conditioned $B_1 = 0$ $\begin{cases} B_0 = B_1 = 0 \\ B_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t(1-t)), Cov(B_s, B_t) = s(1-t) \end{cases}$

Fact. can be constructed by taking $B_t = W_t - tW_1$

Theorem 5.12 (Donsker-Kolmogorov-Doob). \mathcal{G}_F Gaussian process, $\mathcal{G}_F(t) = B_{F(t)}$, $Cov(\mathcal{G}_F(s), \mathcal{G}_F(t)) = F(s)(1 - F(t))$, $\sqrt{n}(F_n - F) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{G}_F$

Theorem 5.13 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov). $\sqrt{n} \|F_n - F\|_{\infty} \stackrel{d}{\to} \|\mathcal{G}_F\|_{\infty} = \sup_{[0,1]} |B_t|$