# Mathematics of Machine Learning

## 1 Introduction

- $-(X,Y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  with joint distribution  $P_0$
- classification setting  $\mathcal{Y} \in \{-1, 1\}$
- regression setting  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$

Assumption 1.  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ 

- hypothesis  $h: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$
- loss function  $l: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$
- Classification setting
- misclassification error  $l(h(x), y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h(x) = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- classifier h
- Regression setting
- squared error  $l(h(x), y) = (h(x) y)^2$
- risk  $R(h) = \int_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} l(h(x),y) dP_0(x,y)$

**Fact.**  $R(h) = \mathbb{E}l(h(X), Y)$  for deterministic h

Setting 1. l misclassification error, R risk

- Bayes classifier  $h_0$  minimises misclassification risk
- Bayes risk  $R(h_0)$
- regression function  $\eta(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = x)$

**Proposition 1.1.** Bayes classifier  $h_0$ , then  $h_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) > \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Proof.  $R(h) = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(Y - h(X))^2 = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y|X))^2 + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X) - h(X))^2$ 

Setting 2.  $P_0$  unknown

- training data  $(X_i, Y_i)$  — i.i.d. of (X, Y)

 $-R(\hat{h})$ 

**Fact.**  $R(\hat{h}) = \mathbb{E}(l(h(X), Y) \mid X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n)$ 

- class  $\mathcal{H}$  of hypotheses

Example. (i)  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : h(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\mu + x^{\top}\beta)\}$ 

(ii)  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : h(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(\mu + \sum \phi_j(x)\beta_j)\}$  with dictionary  $\phi_i : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

**Setting 3.** sgn(0) = -1

– conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}(Z \mid W)$ 

### Proposition 1.2.

- (i) Role of independence  $\mathbb{E}(Z|W) = \mathbb{E}Z$
- (ii) Tower property  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(Z|W) \mid f(W)] = \mathbb{E}[Z \mid f(W)]$
- $\textbf{(iii)} \ \ \textbf{Taking out what is known} \ \mathbb{E}(f(W)Z|W) = f(W)\mathbb{E}(Z|W)$
- (iv) Conditional Jensen  $\mathbb{E}(f(Z)|W) \geq f(\mathbb{E}(Z|W))$  f convex, f(Z) integrable
- empirical risk / training error  $\hat{R}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum l(h(X_i), Y_i)$
- empirical risk minimiser (ERM)  $\hat{h} \in \arg\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{R}(h)$  (multiple minimiser)
- generalisation error  $R(\hat{h})$
- $-h^* \in \arg\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} R(h)$
- stochastic error / excess risk  $R(\hat{h}) R(h^*)$  —— increase with complexity of  $\mathcal{H}$
- approximation error  $R(h^*) R(h_0)$  —— decrease with complexity of  $\mathcal{H}$

Fact.  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h_0) = excess \ risk + approximation \ error$ 

# 2 Statistical learning theory

**Fact.** 
$$R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) = \left(R(\hat{h}) - \hat{R}(\hat{h})\right) + \left(\hat{R}(\hat{h}) - \hat{R}(h^*)\right) + \left(\hat{R}(h^*) - R(h^*)\right)$$

- concentration inequalities

**Fact** (Markov's inequality). W non-negative,  $\phi$  strictly increasing, then  $\mathbb{P}(W \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\phi(W)}{\phi(t)}$ 

Fact (Chernoff bound).  $\phi(t) = e^{\alpha t}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(W \ge t) \le \inf_{\alpha > 0} e^{-\alpha t} \mathbb{E} e^{\alpha W}$ 

– sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma$  ——  $\mathbb{E} e^{\alpha(W-EW)} \leq e^{\frac{\alpha^2\sigma^2}{2}}$ 

**Proposition 2.1.** W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(W \geq t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ 

#### Proof. Chernoff bound

**Fact.** W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma$ , then

- (i) W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma'$  for all  $\sigma' \geq \sigma$
- (ii) -W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma$

Fact.  $\mathbb{P}(|W - \mathbb{E}W| \ge t) \le 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ 

**Proposition 2.2.**  $W_i$  independent, sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma_i$ , mean  $\mu_i$ , then  $\gamma^{\top}W$  sub-Gaussian with  $\sqrt{\sum_i \gamma_i \sigma_i}$ 

### Proof. expand

**Fact.** same setting, pick  $\gamma = (1, ..., 1)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(\sum_i (W_i - \mu_i) \ge t) \le \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\sum_i \sigma_i^2}\right)$ 

**Proposition 2.3.**  $W_i \mod 0$ , sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma$  (non necessarily independent), then  $\mathbb{E} \max_j W_j \leq \sigma \sqrt{2 \log(d)}$ 

*Proof.*  $\exp(\alpha \mathbb{E} \max W_j) \leq \mathbb{E} \exp(\alpha \max W_j) \leq \sum \exp(\alpha W_j) \leq de^{\frac{\alpha^2 \sigma^2}{2}}$ , then maximise over  $\alpha$ 

– Rademacher r.v.  $\epsilon$  — take  $\{-1,1\}$  with equal prob

**Fact.** Rademacher  $\epsilon$  sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma = 1$ 

**Lemma 2.4** (Hoeffding's lemma). W mean 0, take values in [a, b], then W sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma = \frac{b-a}{2}$ 

*Proof.* weaker result  $\sigma = b - a$ : consider independent W', conditional Jensen, Rademacher sub-Gaussian,  $\mathbb{E}e^{\alpha W} \leq \mathbb{E}e^{\alpha\epsilon(W-W')} \leq \mathbb{E}e^{\alpha^2(W-W')^2/2} \leq \mathbb{E}e^{\alpha^2(b-a)^2/2}$ 

- symmetrisation argument

Fact (Hoeffding's inequality).  $W_i$  independent, mean 0,  $a_i \leq W_i \leq b_i$  a.s., then  $\mathbb{P}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i W_i \geq t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2n^2t^2}{\sum_i (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)$ 

**Theorem 2.5.**  $\mathcal{H}$  finite, l take values in [0, M], then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) \leq M\sqrt{\frac{2(\log |\mathcal{H}| + \log \frac{1}{\delta})}{n}}$ 

*Proof.* decomposition  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*)$ , then Hoeffding's inequality

 $-G(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} R(h) - \hat{R}(h)$ 

**Fact.** l takes values [0, M], then  $G(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n) - G(x'_1, y'_1, x_2, y_2, \ldots, x_n, y_n) \leq \frac{M}{n}$ 

- $a_{j:k}$  ------ subsequence  $a_j, \ldots, a_k$
- bound differences property:  $f(w_1, ..., w_{i-1}, w_i, w_{i+1}, ..., w_n) f(w_1, ..., w_{i-1}, w_i', w_{i+1}, ..., w_n) \le L_i$

**Theorem 2.6** (Bounded differences inequality). f bound differences property,  $W_i$  independent, then  $\mathbb{P}(f(W_{1:n}) - \mathbb{E}f(W_{1:n}) \ge t) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_i L_i^2}\right)$ 

 $\begin{cases} Proof. \ (D_i) \ \text{martingale difference wrt Doob martingale,} \ F_i(w_{1:i}) = \mathbb{E}(f(W_{1:n}|W_{1:i} = w_{1:i})) \\ A_i = \inf_{w_i} F_i(W_{1:(i-1)}, w_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(W_{1:n}|W_{1:i-1})) \\ B_i = \sup_{w_i} F_i(W_{1:(i-1)}, w_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(W_{1:n}|W_{1:i-1})) \end{cases}, \text{ then use } W_{(i+1:n)} \text{ independent to } W_i, \text{ then } \text{ Azuma-Hoeffding}$ 

- martingale sequence  $(Z_i)_{i\geq 0}$  wrt  $(W_i)_{i\geq 0}$  ——
  - (i)  $\mathbb{E}|Z_i| < \infty$
  - (ii)  $Z_i \sigma(W_{0:i})$ -measurable
  - (iii)  $\mathbb{E}(Z_i|W_{0:(i-1)}) = Z_{i-1}$
- martingale difference sequence  $D_i = Z_i Z_{i-1}$
- Doob martingale  $Z_i = \mathbb{E}f(W_{1:n})|W_{1:i}$  martingale provided  $\mathbb{E}|f(W_{1:n})| < \infty$

**Lemma 2.7.**  $(D_i)$  martingale difference sequence wrt  $(W_i)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha D_i}|W_{0:i-1}) \leq e^{\frac{\alpha^2 \sigma_i^2}{2}}$ , then  $\gamma^{\top}D$  sub-Gaussian with  $\sqrt{\sum \gamma_i^2 \sigma_i^2}$ 

*Proof.* Tower property with 
$$\sigma(W_{1:i})$$
 for  $i = n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1$ 

**Theorem 2.8** (Azuma-Hoeffding).  $(D_i)$  martingale difference sequence wrt  $(W_i)$ ,  $\exists \sigma(W_{0:(i-1)})$ -measurable  $A_i, B_i$ , constant  $L_i$  st

(i) 
$$A_i \leq D_i \leq B_i$$

(ii) 
$$B_i - A_i \leq L_i$$

, then 
$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i} D_{i} \geq t\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^{2}}{\sum_{i} L_{i}^{2}}\right)$$

*Proof.* Hoeffding's Lemma conditionally on  $W_{0:(i-1)}$ , then lemma, then Gaussian tail bound

**Setting 4.**  $\mathcal{H}$  (possibly infinite) hypothesis class, l takes values in [0, M]

**Fact.** 
$$R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) \le (G - \mathbb{E}G) + \mathbb{E}G + \hat{R}(h^*) - R(h^*)$$

$$-Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$$

$$- \mathcal{F} = \{(x, y) \mapsto -l(h(x), y) : h \in \mathcal{H}\}\$$

Fact.  $G = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum (f(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}f(Z_i))$ 

– 
$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \epsilon_i f(Z_i)\right)$$
 ——  $\epsilon_i$  i.i.d. Rademacher independent of  $Z_{1:n}$ 

**Intuition.** capture how closely  $f(Z_i)$  align with random label  $\epsilon_i$  (dot product)

**Theorem 2.9.**  $\mathcal{F}$  class of real functions,  $Z_i$  i.i.d., then  $\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\frac{1}{n}\sum(f(Z_i)-\mathbb{E}f(Z_i))\right)\leq 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ 

Proof. 
$$Z_i'$$
 i.i.d. copy of  $Z_i$ , symmetrisation technique: 
$$\sup \frac{1}{n} \sum f(Z_i) - \mathbb{E}f(Z_i) \le \mathbb{E}\left(\sup \frac{1}{n} \sum f(Z_i) - f(Z_i')|Z_{1:n}\right)$$

$$- \mathcal{F}(z_{1:n}) = \{ (f(z_1), \dots, f(z_n)) : f \in \mathcal{F} \}$$

- empirical Rademacher complexity  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} f(z_{i})\right)$ 

$$- \hat{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{F}(Z_{1:n})) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} f(Z_{i}) \mid Z_{1:n}\right)$$

Fact.  $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{F}(Z_{1:n}))$ 

**Theorem 2.10** (Generalisation bound based on Rademacher complexity).  $\mathcal{F} = \{(x,y) \mapsto l(h(x),y)\}, \ l \ takes \ values \ in \ [0,M],$  then with probability at least  $1-\delta$ ,  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) \leq 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) + M\sqrt{\frac{2\log(\frac{2}{\delta})}{n}}$ 

Proof. decomposition:  $R(\hat{h}) - R(h^*) \leq (G - \mathbb{E}G) + \mathbb{E}G + \hat{R}(h^*) - R(h^*)$ Bounded differences inequality:  $\mathbb{P}\left(G - \mathbb{E}G \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2n}{2M^2}\right)$ , Hoeffding's inequality:  $\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{R}(h^*) - R(h^*) \geq \frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2n}{2M^2}\right)$  $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{R}_n(-\mathcal{F})$ , so  $\mathbb{E}G \leq 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $t = M\sqrt{\frac{2\log\frac{1}{\delta}}{n}}$ 

**Setting 5.** classification setting, misclassification loss,  $\mathcal{F} = \{(x,y) \mapsto l(h(x),y) : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ 

**Fact.**  $|\mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})| = |\mathcal{H}(x_{1:n})|$ 

**Lemma 2.11.** 
$$\hat{R}(\mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\log|\mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})|}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\log|\mathcal{H}(x_{1:n})|}{n}}$$

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{F}' = \{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$  st  $\mathcal{F}'(z_{1:n}) = \mathcal{F}(z_{1:n})$ ,  $W_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum \epsilon_i f_j(z_i)$ , then  $W_j$  sub-Gaussian with  $\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , then apply max bound

**Setting 6.**  $\mathcal{F}$  class of functions  $f: \mathcal{X} \mapsto \{a, b\}, \mathcal{F} \geq 2$ 

- $\mathcal{F}$  shatters  $x_{1:n} - |\mathcal{F}(x_{1:n})| = 2^n$
- shattering coefficient  $s(\mathcal{F}, n) = \max_{x_{1:n}} |\mathcal{F}(x_{1:n})|$
- VC dimension  $VC(\mathcal{F}) = \sup\{n : s(\mathcal{F}, n) = 2^n\}$

**Lemma 2.12** (Sauer-Shelah). 
$$VC(\mathcal{F}) = d$$
, then  $s(\mathcal{F}, n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d} {n \choose i} \leq (n+1)^d$ 

*Proof.* non-empty  $Q \subset [n]$ , stronger statement: at least  $|\mathcal{F}(x_{1:n})| - 1$  non-empty Q st  $\mathcal{F}$  shatters  $x_Q$ , then induction on  $|\mathcal{F}(x_{1:n})|$ 

Fact.  $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2VC(\mathcal{F})\log(n+1)}{n}}$ 

**Setting 7.**  $\mathcal{F}$  vector space of functions,  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : h(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(f(x)), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ 

**Example.**  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^p, \ \mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \mapsto x^\top \beta : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\}$ 

### **Proposition 2.13.** Under above setting, $VC(\mathcal{H}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{F})$

*Proof.*  $d = \dim(\mathcal{F}) + 1$ , linear map  $L(f) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_d))$ , then  $\sum_{\gamma_i > 0} \gamma_i f(x_i) + \sum_{\gamma_i} f(x_i) = 0$ , then pick h forcing contradiction, so  $x_{1:d}$  cannot be shattered