

# Wasserman: All of Statistics

Kenny Workman

March 22, 2025

## 1 Probability

### 1.1 Basics

**Definition 1.1.** The **sample space**  $\Omega$  is the set of outcomes from an experiment. Each point is denoted  $\omega$  and subsets, eg.  $A \subset \Omega$  are called **events**.

**Definition 1.2** (Axioms of Probability). A function  $\mathbb{P} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that assigns a real number to each event  $A \subset \Omega$  is called a **probability function** or **probability measure** if it satisfies these three axioms:

1. **Non-negativity.**  $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 0$  for every event  $A$
2. **Normalization.**  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$ .
3. **Additivity.**  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$  if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

It is incredible, and not obvious, that much of probability is built up from these only these three axioms

**Example 1.3.** It's actually tricky to show  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$  with these three facts:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) &= \mathbb{P}(AB^c \cup AB \cup A^cB) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(AB^c) + \mathbb{P}(AB) + \mathbb{P}(A^cB) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(AB^c) + \mathbb{P}(AB) + \mathbb{P}(A^cB) + \mathbb{P}(AB) - \mathbb{P}(AB) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(AB^c \cup AB) + \mathbb{P}(A^cB \cup AB) - \mathbb{P}(AB) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(AB)\end{aligned}$$

Another simple idea is that events that are identical at the limit should have identical probabilities.

**Theorem 1.4** (Continuity of Events). *If  $A_n \rightarrow A$  then  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A_n$  be monotone increasing:  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ . Let  $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ .

Construct disjoint sets  $B_i$  from each  $A_i$  where  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n, \omega \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i\}$ . It will be shown that (1) each pair of  $B_i$  are disjoint, (2)  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$  and (3)  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  (Exercise 1.1).

$$\text{From Axiom 3: } \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(B_i).$$

$$\text{Then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_i) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \mathbb{P}(A)$$

□

**Definition 1.5** (Conditional Probability). If  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$ , then the probability of  $A$  given  $B$  is

$$\mathbb{P}(A | B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(AB)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

**Exercise 1.1.** Fill in the details for Theorem 1.2 and extend to the case where  $A_n$  is monotone decreasing.

*Proof.* For any pair  $B_{n+1}$  and  $B_n$ , because  $B_n \subset A_n$  and  $B_{n+1} \cap A_n = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $B_{n+1} \cap B_n = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i = (A_{n+1} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i$ .

For the monotone decreasing case, let  $A_n$  be a sequence where  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \dots$ .

Observe  $A_1^c \subset A_2^c \dots$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c$ . Construct disjoint  $B_n^c$  from  $A^c$  in the same way.

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_i^c) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A^c) = \mathbb{P}(A)$   $\square$

**Exercise 1.3.** Let  $\Omega$  be a sample space and  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be events. Define  $B_n = \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i$  and  $C_n = \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i$ .

(a) Show  $B_1 \supset B_2 \supset B_3 \dots$  and  $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset C_3 \dots$

(b) Show  $\omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  iff  $\omega$  is in an infinite number of the events

(c) Show  $\omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$  iff  $\omega$  belongs to all of the events, except possibly a finite number of those events.

*Proof.* (a) Certainly  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \supset \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i \dots$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subset \bigcap_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i \dots$

(b) Forward. Assume  $\omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ . If  $\omega$  does not belong to an infinite number of events  $A_i$ , there exists some index  $j$  past which  $\omega \notin B_j$ . Then certainly  $\omega \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ . Reverse.  $\omega$  belonging to infinite events means there cannot exist such a  $j$  described previously so  $\omega \in B_n$  for all  $n$ . Indeed  $\omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$

(c) Forward. Assume  $\omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . Then  $\omega \in C_j = \bigcap_{i=j}^{\infty} A_i$  for some  $j$ . This is another way of saying  $\omega$  is in every single event except for perhaps a finite number in  $A_{i < j}$ . Reverse. Let  $j$  be the index of the largest event that  $\omega$  is not in. Then  $\omega \in C_{n > j}$  and certainly  $\omega \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ .  $\square$

**Note.** The key idea above is this notion of "infinitely often" (i.o.) and "all but finitely often" (eventually) which are two distinct structures of infinite occurrence in sequences. Consider an  $\omega$  that exists in every other event (eg. just the odd indices) for infinite events and revisit its inclusion in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$ .

**Note.**  $\lim \bigcap \cup A_n$  is also referred to as the limit infimum of  $A_n$ . Similarly,  $\lim \bigcup \cap A_n$  is referred to as the limit supremum of  $A_n$ .

**Exercise 1.7.** Let  $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i)$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(A_{n+1} \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_{n+1}) + (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i)) - \mathbb{P}(A_{n+1} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_i)$

**Note.** Expand a bit on the Boole inequality.

**Exercise 1.9.** For fixed  $B$  s.t.  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$ , show  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | B)$  satisfies the three axioms of probability.

*Proof.* • **Non-negativity.** If  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(AB) > 0$  for any  $A \subset \Omega$ , certainly  $\frac{\mathbb{P}(AB)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} > 0$ .

• **Normalization.**  $\frac{\mathbb{P}(\Omega \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = 1$

• **Additivity.** Let  $AB \cap CB = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(AB \cap CB) = \mathbb{P}(AB) + \mathbb{P}(CB)$ . Indeed  $\frac{\mathbb{P}(AB \cap CB)}{B} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(AB)}{B} + \frac{\mathbb{P}(CB)}{B}$   $\square$

**Exercise 1.11.** Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are independent events. Show that  $A^c$  and  $B^c$  are also independent.

*Proof.* We are given  $\mathbb{P}(AB) = \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B)$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(A^c)\mathbb{P}(B^c) = (1 - \mathbb{P}(A))(1 - \mathbb{P}(B)) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A) - \mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A^c B^c)$ . The second to last equality uses independence of  $P(AB)$ . The last equality uses the property of set complements  $P(A \cup B) = P(A^c \cap B^c)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.13.** Suppose a fair coin is tossed repeatedly until heads and tails is each encountered exactly once. Describe  $\Omega$  and compute the probability exactly three tosses are needed.

*Proof.* • The sample space is the set of binary strings with exactly one 0 and 1. For strings of length greater than 2, these are repeated strings of 0 or 1 capped with a 1 or 0 respectively.

- By independence, each n-string has an identical probability  $\frac{1}{2}^n$ . There are two such 3-strings: 001 and 110. Using additivity,  $\mathbb{P}(3 \text{ tosses}) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4}$

□

**Exercise 1.15.** The probability a child has blue eyes is  $\frac{1}{4}$ . Assume independence between children. Consider a family with 3 children.

- If it is known that at least one of the children have blue eyes, what is the probability that at least two of the children have blue eyes?
- If it is known that the youngest child has blue eyes, what is the probability that at least two of the children have blue eyes?

*Proof.* • Straightforward conditional probability. Let  $A$  be the event where at least one child has blue eyes and  $B$  be the event where at least two children have blue eyes. Consider first,  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\text{no child has blue eyes}) = 1 - \frac{27}{64} = \frac{37}{64}$ . Compute  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$  by enumerating events 101, 111, 110 and using additivity:  $2 \cdot \frac{1}{4}^2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}^3 = \frac{10}{64}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(B | A) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} = \frac{10}{64} \cdot \frac{64}{37} = \frac{10}{37}$

- Similar procedure. Let  $A$  be the event where the youngest child has blue eyes and  $B$  be as before. Using independence,  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{1}{4}$ . (To see this rigorously, enumerate the sample space and see  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega | \text{first child blue}) = 1$ ). Now  $\mathbb{P}(B \cap A)$  describe events 110, 101, 111 only.  $\frac{7}{64} \cdot \frac{4}{1} = \frac{7}{16}$ .

□

**Exercise 1.17.** Show  $\mathbb{P}(ABC) = \mathbb{P}(A | BC)\mathbb{P}(B | C)\mathbb{P}(C)$

*Proof.* By straightforward application of the definition of conditional probability:  $\frac{\mathbb{P}(ABC)}{\mathbb{P}(BC)} \frac{\mathbb{P}(BC)}{\mathbb{P}(C)} \mathbb{P}(C) = \mathbb{P}(ABC)$

□

**Theorem 1.6** (Total Probability). If  $A_1 \cdots A_k$  partition  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}(B | A_i)\mathbb{P}(A_i)$

**Exercise 1.19.** Suppose 50% of computer users are Windows. 30% are Mac. 20% are Linux. Suppose 65% of Mac users, 82% of Windows users and 50% of Linux users get a virus. We select a person at random and learn they have the virus. What is the probability they are a Windows user?

*Proof.* Let each  $\omega \in \Omega$  be a distinct user. Then  $W, M, L \subset \Omega$  are the users with Windows, Mac + Linux machines.  $V, N \subset \Omega$  are the users with and without viruses.

We want  $\mathbb{P}(W | V) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(W \cap V)}{\mathbb{P}(V)}$ . Compute  $\mathbb{P}(V) = \sum_{X=\{W,M,L\}} \mathbb{P}(V | X)\mathbb{P}(X) = 0.705$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(W | V) = \frac{0.82 \cdot 0.50}{0.705} = 0.581$ .

□

**Exercise 1.20.** A box contains 5 coins, each with a different probability of heads: 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1. Let  $C_i$  be the event with coin  $i$  and  $H_i$  be the event that heads is recovered on toss  $i$ . Suppose you select a coin at random and flip it.

- What is the posterior probability  $\mathbb{P}(C_i | H_1)$  for each coin?
- What is  $\mathbb{P}(H_2 | H_1)$ ?
- Let  $B_i$  be the event that the first heads is recovered on flip  $i$ . What is  $\mathbb{P}(C_i | B_i)$  for each coin?

*Proof.* •  $\mathbb{P}(H_1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . For each coin,  $\mathbb{P}(C_i | H) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(H | C_i)\mathbb{P}(C_i)}{\mathbb{P}(H)}$ .  $\mathbb{P}(H)$  can be worked out using total probability:  $\sum_i \mathbb{P}(H | C_i)\mathbb{P}(C_i) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then eg. the posterior  $\mathbb{P}(C_4 | H) = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{1} = \frac{3}{10}$ .

- Note that both tosses are conditionally independent:  $\mathbb{P}(H_2 H_1 | C_i) = \mathbb{P}(H_2 | C_i)\mathbb{P}(H_1 | C_i)$ .  $\mathbb{P}(H_2 | H_1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(H_2 H_1)}{\mathbb{P}(H_1)} = \frac{\sum_i \mathbb{P}(H_2 H_1 | C_i)\mathbb{P}(C_i)}{\sum_i \mathbb{P}(H_1 | C_i)\mathbb{P}(C_i)}$ . Because  $\mathbb{P}(C_i)$  is uniform, we can simply to  $\frac{\sum_i \mathbb{P}(H_2 H_1 | C_i)}{\sum_i \mathbb{P}(H_1 | C_i)}$ . The result is  $\frac{\sum_i p_i^2}{\sum_i p_i}$ .

- Similar idea to (a).

□

**Note.** Important to see that independent events are not conditionally independent in general. Try to construct an example.

## 1.2 Random Variables

**Definition 1.7** (random variable).

**Definition 1.8** (cumulative distribution function).

The CDF contains "all the information" in a random variable. This is articulated by the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.9.** For random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  with CDFs  $F$  and  $G$ , if  $F(x) = G(x) \forall x \in [0, 1]$ , then  $X = Y$  ( $\mathbb{P}(X \in A) = \mathbb{P}(Y \in A)$  for each  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ).

And the behavior of the CDF, including "all of its information" is uniquely determined by just three properties:

**Theorem 1.10.** A function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a CDF iff it satisfies three properties:

- *Non-decreasing.*  $x_2 > x_1 \implies F(x_2) \geq F(x_1)$
- *Normalization.*  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} F(y) = 0$  and  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 1} F(y) = 1$
- *Right-continuous.* For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(x) = F^+(x)$  where  $F^+(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x, y > x} F(y)$

*Proof.* Starting with (iii) from the text, let  $A = (-\infty, x]$  and  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  be a sequence where  $y_1 < y_2 < \dots$  and  $\lim_i y_i = x$ . By the definition of the CDF,  $F(y_i) = \mathbb{P}(A_i)$  and  $F(x) = \mathbb{P}(A)$ , where  $\lim_i F(y_i)$  is equivalent to  $\lim_{y \rightarrow x, y > x} F(y)$ . Observe  $\cap_i A_i = A$  so  $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(\cap_i A_i) = \lim_i \mathbb{P}(A_i) = \lim_i F(y_i) = F(x)$  as desired.

To see (ii),  $\lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} F(y) = 0$ , define a sequence  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  where  $y_1 > y_2 > \dots$  as before and  $y_1 = y$ . Let  $A_i = (-\infty, y_i]$ . Then  $\cap_i A_i = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\cap_i A_i) = \mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$ . Indeed  $\lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} F(y) = \lim_i \mathbb{P}(A_i) = \mathbb{P}(\cap_i A_i) = 0$ . A similar argument shows the limit to the other direction.

For (iii), if  $x_2 > x_1$  then  $P((-\infty, x_2]) \geq P((-\infty, x_1])$  and  $F(x_2) \geq F(x_1)$ . □

The interesting direction is the reverse: a function satisfying these properties uniquely determines a probability function. It is difficult to show in general. A concrete example is the Cantor function (**Devil's staircase**) which satisfies non-decreasing, normality and right-continuous properties but from which is difficult to derive a measure that satisfies eg. countable additivity.

**Note.** A deeper measure theory course will approach this problem by defining the probability function on an algebra of subsets rather than on each subset directly. Refer to tools like **Caratheodory's extension theorem**.