

Memory usage in Python

There is nothing obvious in how Python consumes memory.

We'll demonstrate this below.

See [reference on how Python uses memory \(<https://towardsdatascience.com/the-strange-size-of-python-objects-in-memory-ce87bdfbb97f>\)](https://towardsdatascience.com/the-strange-size-of-python-objects-in-memory-ce87bdfbb97f) for more details.

In [1]: `import sys`

Get size of empty list (i.e., overhead for list object)

In [2]: `sys.getsizeof([])`

Out[2]: 72

Get size of list with 1 element

```
In [3]: sys.getsizeof([0])
```

```
Out[3]: 80
```

Seems to be an extra 8 bytes for 1 extra element

Let's verify by adding a second element

```
In [4]: sys.getsizeof([0,1])
```

```
Out[4]: 88
```

Yes: 8 extra bytes per element

Let's try an "equivalent" construction, using `range` instead of manual enumeration

```
In [5]: sys.getsizeof(range(2))
```

```
Out[5]: 48
```

Whoa ! Even smaller than an empty list !

Let's expand the range.

```
In [6]: sys.getsizeof(range(1000))
```

```
Out[6]: 48
```

NO change in memory, even though we "added" many more elements.

What's going on here ?

Maybe the *type* of `range` is not a simple list ?

```
In [7]: type(range(2))
```

```
Out[7]: range
```

Yes, it is of type `range`.

So the following is `False`

```
In [8]: range(2) == [0, 1]
```

```
Out[8]: False
```

To make this true, we need to convert `range` into a `list`

```
In [9]: list( range(2) ) == [ 0, 1 ]
```

```
Out[9]: True
```

What about list comprehension ?

```
In [10]: sys.getsizeof( [i for i in range(2)])
```

```
Out[10]: 104
```

```
In [11]: sys.getsizeof( [i for i in range(4)])
```

```
Out[11]: 104
```

No change in size by adding even 2 elements.

What about adding 3 elements

```
In [12]: sys.getsizeof( [i for i in range(5)])
```

```
Out[12]: 136
```

```
In [13]: sys.getsizeof( [i for i in range(6)])
```

```
Out[13]: 136
```

```
In [14]: sys.getsizeof( [i for i in range(9)])
```

```
Out[14]: 200
```

Memory doesn't seem to increase until you add more than 4 elements

Let's try a different way of enumeration: a generator

```
In [15]: def gen(num_elements):
          for element in range(num_elements):
              yield element

          print( gen(4))
```

```
<generator object gen at 0x7f174849ef50>
```

You can see that, rather than returning a list, a `generator` object is returned

To convert this into a list, use the `list` operator

```
In [16]: print( list( gen(4) ) )
```

```
[0, 1, 2, 3]
```

Let's examine the memory used

```
In [17]: sys.getsizeof( gen(4) )
```

```
Out[17]: 128
```

```
In [18]: sys.getsizeof( list(gen(4)) )
```

```
Out[18]: 128
```

It seems that taking the size implicitly causes enumeration to occurs

```
In [19]: for elem in gen(4):
    print(f"Size of {elem} is {sys.getsizeof(elem)}")
```

```
Size of 0 is 24
Size of 1 is 28
Size of 2 is 28
Size of 3 is 28
```

```
In [20]: sys.getsizeof(0), sys.getsizeof(1)
```

```
Out[20]: (24, 28)
```

It seems that the size of integer 0 is smaller than other integers !

But we also see that enumerating the elements one at a time (rather than all simultaneously) might use much less memory

- constant size, assuming memory is freed in each iteration

Generators: Lazy evaluation

The answer is that generators (and their like) are "promises to the future"

- They have the *ability* to enumerate
- But only do so "on demand"
- You can force complete enumeration via the `list` operator

You probably *don't want* to force complete enumeration

- Will consume the maximum amount of memory

In Python, you can create a generator using a *generator function* (line `gen`, above) or inline

- *generator comprehension* using parentheses

```
In [35]: num_elements = 100000

print( "Generator comprehension: ", sys.getsizeof( (i for i in range(num_elements)) ) )
print( "Generator function: ", sys.getsizeof( gen(num_elements) ) )
print( "Range: ", sys.getsizeof( range(num_elements) ) )
```

```
Generator comprehension: 128
Generator function: 128
Range: 48
```

This is in contrast to *list comprehension* which uses brackets

```
In [34]: print( "List comprehension: ", sys.getsizeof( [i for i in range(num_elements)] ) )
```

```
List comprehension: 824472
```

List comprehension uses *more memory* (full length of list) but, often, less time: (Example from [article \(https://realpython.com/introduction-to-python-g/\)](https://realpython.com/introduction-to-python-g/))

```
In [ ]: import cProfile
```

```
In [48]: cProfile.run( "sum( [i for i in range(num_elements)] )", sort=0)
```

5 function calls in 0.020 seconds

Ordered by: call count

ncalls	tottime	percall	cumtime	percall	filename:lineno(function)
1	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.020	{built-in method builtins.exec}
1	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	{built-in method builtins.sum}
1	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	<string>:1(<listcomp>)
1	0.002	0.002	0.020	0.020	<string>:1(<module>)
1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	{method 'disable' of '_lsprof.Profile' objects}

The profile shows list comprehension is called a single time.

What about generator comprehension ?

```
In [50]: cProfile.run( "sum( (i for i in range(num_elements)) )", sort=0)
```

100005 function calls in 0.033 seconds

Ordered by: call count

ncalls	tottime	percall	cumtime	percall	filename:lineno(function)
100001	0.018	0.000	0.018	0.000	<string>:1(<genexpr>)
1	0.000	0.000	0.033	0.033	{built-in method builtins.exec}
1	0.015	0.015	0.033	0.033	{built-in method builtins.sum}
1	0.000	0.000	0.033	0.033	<string>:1(<module>)
1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	{method 'disable' of '_lsprof.Profiler' objects}

The generator is called *once per element*.

It seems that the `sum` function takes longer because the elements are being generated on demand during the summation.

So the "trick" in being able to use **big data** is to enumerate on demand

- Training loop consumes memory for only one mini-batch of data per iteration
- Rather than having complete training set in memory at once

