

# Interpreting the Components/Synthetic Features

We have shown that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}V$$

This means that the  $j^{th}$  Component (Synthetic feature)  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_j$

- is a linear combination of the  $n$  original features  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$
- combined with weights  $V_j$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}V \quad \text{from the inverse transformation}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_j = (\mathbf{X}V)_j \quad \text{focus on synthetic feature } j$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{(1)} \cdot V_j \\ \mathbf{X}^{(2)} \cdot V_j \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}^{(m)} \cdot V_j \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{definition of matrix multiplication}$$

We can try to interpret the meaning of  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_j$  by looking at the weights  $V_j$

- It is often the case that, for the first component  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1$ :
  - all  $n$  elements of  $V_1$  are approximately equal
  - leading to an interpretation of  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1$  as being an *average* across features
    - equally weighted market index when the features are the returns of different equities

It is also often the case that  $V_j$

- contains a subset of indices  $P = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$  with high positive values
- contains a subset of indices  $N = \{i'_1, i'_2, \dots\}$  with high negative values
- leading to an interpretation of  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_j$  as expressing a *dichotomy* between the features in  $P$  and those in  $N$ 
  - For example: the returns of large-cap equities versus small-cap equities

Similarly, we can examine the relationship

$$\mathbf{X} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{V}^T$$

Let's examine the sensitivity of raw feature  $\mathbf{X}_j$  to a change in synthetic feature  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{j'}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_j}{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{j'}}$$

Let  $\Delta(j')$  be the length  $n$  vector of all 0's except at index  $j'$

$$\Delta(j')_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq j' \\ 1 & \text{if } k = j' \end{cases}$$

That is,  $\Delta(j')$  represents a unit change to synthetic feature  $j'$  while having 0 change to all other features

So a *unit change* in synthetic feature  $j'$  results in a change of  $V_{j'}^{(j)}$  in feature  $\mathbf{X}_j$ .

Recall

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = U\Sigma$$

By examining the sensitivity of raw feature  $\mathbf{X}_j$  to a change in *standardized* synthetic feature  $U_{j'}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}_j}{\partial U_{j'}}$$

we instead find the change in raw feature  $\mathbf{X}_j$  for a *one standard deviation change* in  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{j'}$ .

Given the index  $j'$  of one component/synthetic feature

- We can vary the index  $j$  of raw features
- To see how much a unit change in component  $j'$  changes each raw feature  $j$

We can try to interpret component/synthetic feature  $j'$  in terms of how it affects raw features.

For example, it is often the case that (indices of) raw feature  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

- contains a subset of indices  $P = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$  with positive response to a change in component/synthetic feature  $j'$
- contains a subset of indices  $N = \{i'_1, i'_2, \dots\}$  with negative response to a change in component/synthetic feature  $j'$

We can then interpret component/synthetic feature  $j'$  as a feature that creates a dichotomy of behavior among raw features  $P$  and  $N$

We will see such dichotomies in our examples for PCA in Finance

- component/synthetic feature 2 affects the short end of the Yield Curve in an opposite manner from the long end of the Yield Curve
- component/synthetic feature 2 affects the returns of Large-Cap equities in an opposite manner from Small-Cap equities



To find a component/synthetic feature  $j'$  that expresses a dichotomy, one needs to find sets  $P$  and  $N$  that have some "natural" meaning

- Each raw feature (e.g., equity) may possess a set of "attributes"
  - Market Cap
  - Cyclical/Non-Cyclical
  - Industry
- By partitioning/sorting raw feature indices according to one such attribute, we might observe a dichotomy

## Bottom line

- There is not automatic method to find a good interpretation
- Form a theory as to what attributes each raw feature possesses
- See whether a recognizable pattern of responses to unit change in component/synthetic feature  $j'$  emerges
  - When grouping raw features according to common values of an attribute
  - When sorting features according to the level of an attribute