

# Correlated features

Consider the following set of examples with 2 features

Two features: perfect correlation

As you can see

- $\mathbf{x}_2$  is perfectly correlated with  $\mathbf{x}_1$   
$$\mathbf{x}_2^{(i)} = 2 * \mathbf{x}_1^{(i)}$$

## Linear algebra

A way to conceptualize  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$

- As a point in the space spanned by unit basis vectors parallel to the horizontal and vertical axes.

$$\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = (1, 0)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{(2)} = (0, 1)$$

- With  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  having exposure

$$\mathbf{x}_1^{(i)} \text{ to } \mathbf{u}_{(1)}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_2^{(i)} \text{ to } \mathbf{u}_{(2)}$$

So example  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  is

$$\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \sum_{j'=1}^2 \mathbf{x}_{j'}^{(i)} * \mathbf{u}_{(j')}$$

That is:

- Our feature space is defined by the basis vectors ("axes")

$$\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = (1, 0)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{(2)} = (0, 1)$$

- $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  describes a point in the span of the basis vectors
  - $\mathbf{x}_1^{(i)}$  is the displacement of observation  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  along basis vector  $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$
  - $\mathbf{x}_2^{(i)}$  is the displacement of observation  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  along basis vector  $\mathbf{u}_{(2)}$
- In general, for any length  $n$  vector of features

$$\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \sum_{j'=1}^n \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{j'}^{(i)} * \mathbf{u}_{(j')}$$

One could easily imagine a *different* set of basis vectors to describe the feature space

- For example: a rotation of basis vectors  $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(n)}$
- Let this alternate set of basis vectors be denoted by  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(n)}$
- The basis vectors are mutually orthogonal

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(1)} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(2)} = 0$$

- The displacements  $\mathbf{x}_j^{(i)}$  need to be adjusted relative to the alternate basis

$$\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \sum_{j'=1}^n \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{j'}^{(i)} * \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(j')}$$

PCA is a technique for finding particularly interesting alternate basis vectors.

The alternate basis is motivated by the fact that, for a given set of examples, there may be pairwise correlation among features.

- If the correlation is *perfect* for some pair of features, they are redundant
  - May drop one feature

Consider the set of examples above. Features 1 and 2 are perfectly correlated.

$$\mathbf{x}_2^{(i)} = 2 * \mathbf{x}_1^{(i)}$$

We can create an *alternate* basis vector (no longer parallel to the axes)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(1)} = (1, 2)$$

such that example  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  is

$$\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1^{(i)} * \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(1)}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1^{(i)} = \mathbf{x}_1^{(i)}$



That is,  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  has exposure  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1^{(i)}$  to the new, single basis vector.

So

- Rather than representing  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  as a vector with 2 features (in the original basis)
- We can represent it as  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)}$ , a vector with 1 feature (in the new basis)

This is the essence of dimensionality reduction

- Changing bases to one with fewer basis vectors

It is rarely the case for features to be perfectly correlated

Let's modify the set of examples just a bit.

Two features: imperfect correlation

We can still find an alternate basis of 2 vectors to perfectly describe the set of examples.

$$\mathbf{x}^{(i)} = \sum_{j'=1}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{j'}^{(i)} * \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(j')}$$

- The dark black line is the first alternate basis vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(1)}$

Two features: imperfect correlation, alternate basis

As you can see:

- The variation along  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(1)}$  is much greater than that around  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(2)}$
- Capturing the notion that the "main" relationship is along  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{(1)}$

In fact, if we dropped  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(2)}$  such that  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\| = 1$

- The examples would be projected onto the line  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{(1)}$
- With little information being lost

PCA finds alternate basis vectors and *orders them* in order of decreasing variation.

# Subsets of correlated features

It may not be the case that a group of features is correlated across *all* examples

Consider the MNIST digits

- The subset of examples corresponding to the digit "1"
- Have a particular set of correlated features (forming a vertical column of pixels near the middle of the image)
- Which *may not* be correlated with the same features in examples corresponding to *other* digits



Thus, a synthetic feature encodes a "concept" that occurs in many but not all examples

We will present a method to *discover* "concepts"

- It may not necessarily be the pattern of features that corresponds to an entire digit
- It may be a partial pattern common to several digits
  - Vertical band (0, 1, 4, 7)
  - Horizontal band at top (5, 7, 9)

In [5]: `print("Done")`

Done