In model I and Hodel of we have assumed that we have lexical translation probabilities. But dictioneries do not provide this miformation and we do not have corpora aliqued would be avoid to estimate the lexical Aranslation probabilities based on corpus counts.

So what do we do? We take our sentence-by-sentence digned corpora and pretend we have corpus counts.

Let's imagine the following situation: we have a corpus of sentences aligned would by would. Then we can collect the lexical translation probabilities by first collecting the wlative frequencies of thouses train of the words.

For example, assume the following corpus

They we could collect lexical translation probabilities as follows

$$\star (y|b) = \frac{\text{counts}(y,b)}{\text{counts}(b)b} = \frac{2}{4}$$

$$t(x|b) = \frac{counts(x,b)}{counts(\cdot,b)} = \frac{2}{4}$$

$$t(y|c) = \frac{counts(y,c)}{countr(c)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$t(x|c) = \frac{counts(x,c)}{counts(c)} = 0$$

Notice Most Nese du proper probability distributions.

But let's suppose that we know that slighement @ is twice as likely as slighement @ below



Then we don't want to collect a whole unit of count for each of its occurrences. Notice that these see alignments between the same two strings, so the fact that they slight differently indicates that there is uncertainty on how the two strings should be aligned.

Then, we don't now to count each word-by-word alignment as being certain, but we want to consider it a fractional count, weighted by the pubblicity of the slignment $(p(0) = \frac{3}{3}, p(0) = \frac{1}{3}, \text{ for example})$. So $P(x16) = C(x16) \cdot P(0) = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{3}$

In both cases, we discount the full count by a probability coefficient. It's as if we distributed the single count of C(x|b) across two alignments, mutually exclusive.

This is called collecting FRACTIONAL COUNTS

We collect fractional counts to estimate the lexical translation probabilities às follous.

Let's assume the probabilities of alignment below (notice that this is a true probability distribution as it sums to 1).

$$t (y | b) = \frac{counts (y, b)}{counts (b)} = \frac{(1.0.25) + (1.0.15)}{(1.0.5) + (1.0.25) + (1.0.15)}$$

$$= \frac{0.4}{1} = 0.4$$

Compare

to PULL COUNT

But where do we get the slignment probabilities?

we can write P(a|e,f) for the probability of the digerment of a given sentence pair. How do we calculationers quantity? Bourider the two following sligaments

das Haus ut klein the house is suisle

clas Hous ish klein the house is suise

You probably chose the which of the two sligureuts is more likely? first one becouse you think that

Are poo P (the das) > P (the | Wein)

and P (house / House / olas)

and P(is | ist) > P(is | Haus)

and finally P (small (klein) > P (small (ist)

That is: if we had word translation probabilities then we could estimate the probabilities of different alignments Au alignment has high probability of it connects words Most one likely translations of each other, it has low probabilities if it connects would with very low t whies.

We cove show this instrementically as follows.

$$P(a|e,f) = P(a,e,f) = P(a,f|e) P(e) = P(a,f|e)$$

$$P(e,f) = P(e,f) = P(e,f|e) P(e) = P(f|e)$$

The numerator of this formula is the probability we set out to colculate in Model I or bhodel I, so we know how to colculate it ui Meony, based on lexical translation probabilities and a miniform alignment (ni Model S).

P(fle) = 2 P(a, fle) which is the same formula as above

How do we bootstrap this process? We use EH.

- We start by assuming that lexical translation peobabilities are the same for all evonal pairs. So $t(f|e) = \frac{1}{N}$, N the number of woods that e translates into.
- Now we can stage compute all genericant probabilities for each pair of sentences, because we know that, in Hooleft, $P(a|e,f) = P(a,f|e) = \frac{P(a,f|e)}{P(a,f|e)} = \frac{P(a,f|e$

so we know how to calculate alignment peobabilities as a normalized count of a weighted product of translation probabilities.

- Now we have alignment probabilities. So eve con redo the calculation of the fractional counts for the translation probabilities weighed by the alignments. Since the alignments are based on the corpus thus will give us better translation probabilities.
- · We now use the new franslation peobabilities to compute aliquement probabilities.
- we continue deternating between estimating new Arous lation probabilities and new dignements until the probabilities converge, that is they reach a stable state.