

Homework 4

Kensuke Suzuki

October 20, 2018

Problem 1: Dart-throwing method using Quasi-Monte Carlo

First define the function `pi_ind.m` which is the indicator function $\mathbb{1}\{x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$.

```

1 function val = pi_ind(x,y)
2
3 ind = x.^2 + y.^2;
4 val = zeros(length(x),1);
5
6 for sim = 1:length(x)
7     if ind(sim,1) <= 1
8         val(sim,1) = 1;
9     else
10        val(sim,1) = 0;
11    end
12 end

```

Using `rand()` command, I draw 10,000 two-dimensional random numbers between 0 and 1. Specify the seed for random number generator. Using the quasi-Monte Carlo approach, I approximate the integration as:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}\{x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} dy dx \approx \frac{(1-0)(1-0)}{10000} \sum_{j=1}^{10000} \mathbb{1}\{x_j^2 + y_j^2 \leq 1\} \quad (1)$$

where x_j and y_j is the sequence of random draws. Our result is:

$$\pi \approx 3.1676$$

Problem 2: Dart-throwing method using Newton-Cotes

We use the function `pi_ind.m` and employ the Newton-Cotes approach. First define the width of partition h as

$$h = \frac{1 - 0}{10000}$$

where 10,000 is same as the number of draws we used in problem 1. Using this partition, we creates the sequences of x and y as

$$\begin{aligned}x_j &= 0 + (j - 1/2) \times h \\y_j &= 0 + (j - 1/2) \times h\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, 10000$. I first integrate over y for given x_k as follows:

$$f(x_k) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}\{x_k^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} dy \approx h \times \sum_{j=1}^{10000} \mathbb{1}\{x_k^2 + y_j^2 \leq 1\} \equiv \tilde{f}(x_k) \quad (2)$$

Then we integrate over x as:

$$\int_0^1 f(x_k) dx_k \approx h \times \sum_{k=1}^{10000} \tilde{f}(x_k) \quad (3)$$

The result is:

$$\pi \approx 3.1416$$

Problem 3: Pythagorean formula with Quasi-Monte Carlo

Define the function `pi_root.m` which is returns $\sqrt{1 - x^2}$. Now we only need to draw random numbers for x . We again use `rand()` command to draw 1. Numerical integration is given by

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx \approx \frac{1 - 0}{10000} \sum_{j=1}^{10000} \sqrt{1 - x_j^2} \quad (4)$$

The result is

$$\pi = 3.1485$$

Problem 4: Pythagorean formula with Newton-Cotes

We use the same width of partition h as in the problem 2 and create the sequence of x analogously. Numerical integration is given by

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{1-x^2} dx \approx h \times \sum_{j=1}^{1000} \sqrt{1-x_j^2}$$

The result is

$$\pi = 3.1416$$

Problem 5: Comparison

I computed the mean squared errors for the quasi-Monte Carlo method for each number of random draws (1000, 10000, and 100000 times) and the correspondence squared error for the Newton-Cotes method. Errors are computed by subtracting the approximation values (obtained using each method) from the real π value (computed using matlab pi command).

	1,000	10,000	100,000
Quasi-Monte Carlo (MSE)	$1.0\text{e-}03 \times 0.1711$	$1.0\text{e-}03 \times 0.0016$	$1.0\text{e-}03 \times 0.0000$
Newton-Cotes (Sq'd error)	$1.0\text{e-}09 \times 0.1186$	$1.0\text{e-}09 \times 0.0001$	$1.0\text{e-}09 \times 0.0000$

Errors are, in general, smaller for Newton-Cotes method. If we make the number of draws (nodes) for 100,000, then the (mean) squared errors are 0 for both methods.

Matlab Code

```

1 % Empirical method HW4
2 % Kensuke Suzuki
3 % Penn State
4 % October 19
5
6 %% Question 1: Quasi-Monte Carlo method
7
8 % Number of random draw
9 numsim = 10000;
10
11 seed = 1534561;
12 rng(seed);
13
14 % Define function: pi_ind
15 % returns 1 if x^2 + y^2 <= 1 and 0 otherwise
16

```

```
17 % Generate random sequence for x and y using rand
18 seq = rand(numsim,2);
19 x = seq(:,1);
20 y = seq(:,2);
21
22 % We now compute the sequence of values of indicator function using the
23 % random sequence generated above
24 pi_QMC_Q1 = pi_ind(x,y);
25
26 % Compute numerical integration
27 display('Problem 1: Quasi-Monte Carlo method')
28 pi_Q1 = ((1-0)*(1-0))/numsim * 4 * sum(pi_QMC_Q1)
29
30 clear x y
31 %% Question 2: Newton-Cotes approach
32 % Here I use the midpoint rule to compute the integration
33
34 % define the width of partition h
35 h = (1-0)/numsim;
36
37 % Define vector of x and y (later filled)
38 x = zeros(numsim,1);
39 y = zeros(numsim,1);
40
41 % x_j = 0 + (j-1/2)h for j=1,...,numsim
42 for ind = 1:numsim
43     x(ind,1) = 0 + (ind- 1/2)*h;
44     y(ind,1) = 0 + (ind- 1/2)*h;
45 end
46
47 % Compute the sequence of values of indicator function for given x_j
48 % and sum over with weight h, which yields the approximation of
49 % integration
50 % over y (for given x_j)
51 pi_NC_Q2 = ones(numsim,1);
52 for ind = 1:numsim
53     x_1 = x(ind,1)*ones(numsim,1);
54     pi_NC_x = pi_ind(x_1,y);
55     pi_NC_Q2(ind,1) = h * sum(pi_NC_x);
56 end
57
58 % Next we integrate over x by summing over with weight h
59 display('Problem 2: Newton-Cotes approach')
60 pi_Q2 = 4 * h * sum(pi_NC_Q2)
61
62 %% Question 3: Newton-Cotes approach: another functional form
63 % I use Halton sequence to generate random draws
```

```
64
65 % Define function: pi_root
66 % returns  $(1-x^2)^{(1/2)}$ 
67
68 seed = 1534561;
69 rng(seed);
70 % Generate random sequence for x
71 x = rand(numsim,1);
72
73 % We now compute the sequence of values of indicator function using the
74 % random sequence generated above
75 pi_QMC_Q3 = pi_root(x);
76
77 % Compute numerical integration
78 display('Problem 3: Pythagorean fomula with Quasi-Monte Carlo method')
79 pi_Q3 = ((1-0))/numsim * 4 * sum(pi_QMC_Q3)
80
81 %% Question 4: Newton-Cotes approach: another functional form
82 % Again I use the midpoint rule to compute the integration
83
84 % define the width of partition h
85 h = (1-0)/numsim;
86
87 % Define vector of x and y (later filled)
88 x = zeros(numsim,1);
89
90 %  $x_j = 0 + (j-1/2)h$  for  $j=1, \dots, \text{numsim}$ 
91 for ind = 1:numsim
92     x(ind,1) = 0 + (ind- 1/2)*h;
93 end
94
95 % Compute the sequence of values of the function pi_root and
    approximate
96 % the integration
97 display('Problem 3: Pythagorean fomula with Newton-Cotes method')
98 pi_NC_Q4 = 4 * h * sum(pi_root(x))
99
100
101 %% question 5:
102
103 numsim_list= [1000,10000,100000];
104 realpi = pi;
105
106
107
108 % Implement numerical integration using QMC with different number of
    draws
109 % We simulate 200 times and compute the squared error
```

```

110 ErrQMC_200 = ones(200,3);
111 for i = 1:length(numsim_list)
112     numsim = numsim_list(1,i);
113     seed = 1534561;
114     for sim = 1:200
115         seed = seed + sim ;
116         rng(seed);
117         % compute squared residual for QMC
118         x = rand(numsim,1);
119         pi_QMC = pi_root(x);
120         ErrQMC_200(sim,i) = (realpi - ((1-0))/numsim * 4 * sum(pi_QMC))
            ^2;
121     end
122     clear x
123 end
124 % Mean squared error is obtained as
125 MErrQMC = sum(ErrQMC_200)./numsim_list;
126
127
128 % We then use Newton–Coates
129 ErrNC = ones(1,3);
130 % Implement numerical integration using NC and compute the squared
    error
131 for i = 1:length(numsim_list)
132     numsim = numsim_list(1,i);
133     h = (1-0)/numsim;
134     x = zeros(numsim,1);
135     for ind = 1:numsim
136         x(ind,1) = 0 + (ind- 1/2)*h;
137     end
138     NC = 4* h * sum(pi_root(x));
139     ErrNC(1,i) = (realpi - (4* h * sum(pi_root(x))))^2;
140     clear x
141
142 end
143 % Mean squared error is obtained as
144 ErrNC;
145
146 display('Problem 5: Comparison')
147 display('Monte–Carlo mean squared error (1000, 10000, and 100000 draws)
    ')
148 MErrQMC
149 display('Newton–Cotes squared error (1000, 10000, and 100000 nodes)')
150 ErrNC

```