

Homework 4

Kensuke Suzuki

October 20, 2018

Problem 1: Dart-throwing method using Quasi-Monte Carlo

First define the function `pi_ind.m` which is the indicator function $\mathbb{1}\{x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$.

```

1 function val = pi_ind(x,y)
2
3 ind = x.^2 + y.^2;
4 val = zeros(length(x),1);
5
6 for sim = 1:length(x)
7     if ind(sim,1) <= 1
8         val(sim,1) = 1;
9     else
10        val(sim,1) = 0;
11 end
12 end

```

Using `rand()` command, I draw 10,000 two-dimensional random numbers between 0 and 1. Specify the seed for random number generator. Using the quasi-Monte Carlo approach, I approximate the integration as:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}\{x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} dy dx \approx \frac{(1-0)(1-0)}{10000} \sum_{j=1}^{10000} \mathbb{1}\{x_j^2 + y_j^2 \leq 1\} \quad (1)$$

where x_j and y_j is the sequence of random draws. Our result is:

$$\pi \approx 3.1676$$

Problem 2: Dart-throwing method using Newton-Cotes

We use the function `pi_ind.m` and employ the Newton-Cotes approach. First define the width of partition h as

$$h = \frac{1 - 0}{10000}$$

where 10,000 is same as the number of draws we used in problem 1. Using this partition, we creates the sequences of x and y as

$$\begin{aligned}x_j &= 0 + (j - 1/2) \times h \\y_j &= 0 + (j - 1/2) \times h\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, 10000$. I first integrate over y for given x_k as follows:

$$f(x_k) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}\{x_k^2 + y^2 \leq 1\} dy \approx h \times \sum_{j=1}^{10000} \mathbb{1}\{x_k^2 + y_j^2 \leq 1\} \equiv \tilde{f}(x_k) \quad (2)$$

Then we integrate over x as:

$$\int_0^1 f(x_k) dx_k \approx h \times \sum_{k=1}^{10000} \tilde{f}(x_k) \quad (3)$$

The result is:

$$\pi \approx 3.1416$$

Problem 3: Pythagorean formula with Quasi-Monte Carlo

Define the function `pi_root.m` which is returns $\sqrt{1 - x^2}$. Now we only need to draw random numbers for x . We again use `rand()` command to draw 1. Numerical integration is given by

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{1 - x^2} dx \approx \frac{1 - 0}{10000} \sum_{j=1}^{10000} \sqrt{1 - x_j^2} \quad (4)$$

The result is

$$\pi = 3.1485$$

Problem 4: Pythagorean formula with Newton-Cotes

We use the same width of partition h as in the problem 2 and create the sequence of x analogously. Numerical integration is given by

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{1-x^2} dx \approx h \times \sum_{j=1}^{1000} \sqrt{1-x_j^2}$$

The result is

$$\pi = 3.1416$$

Problem 5: Comparison

I computed the mean squared errors for the quasi-Monte Carlo method for each number of random draws (1000, 10000, and 100000 times) and the correspondence squared error for the Newton-Cotes method. Errors are computed by subtracting the approximation values (obtained using each method) from the real π value (computed using matlab pi command).

	1,000	10,000	100,000
Quasi-Monte Carlo (MSE)	$1.0\text{e-}03 \times 0.1711$	$1.0\text{e-}03 \times 0.0016$	$1.0\text{e-}03 \times 0.0000$
Newton-Cotes (Sq'd error)	$1.0\text{e-}09 \times 0.1186$	$1.0\text{e-}09 \times 0.0001$	$1.0\text{e-}09 \times 0.0000$

Errors are, in general, smaller for Newton-Cotes method. If we make the number of draws (nodes) for 100,000, then the (mean) squared errors are 0 for both methods.

Matlab Code

```
1 % Empirical method HW4
2 % Kensuke Suzuki
3 % Penn State
4 % October 20
5
6 clear all
7 delete HW4log.txt
8 diary('HW4log.txt')
9 diary on
10
11 disp('ECON512 HOMEWORK4: Ken Suzuki')
12
13 %% Question 1: Quasi-Monte Carlo method
14
15 % Number of random draw
16 numsim = 10000;
```

```
17
18 seed = 1534561;
19 rng(seed);
20
21 % Define function: pi_ind
22 % returns 1 if  $x^2 + y^2 \leq 1$  and 0 otherwise
23
24 % Generate random sequence for x and y using rand
25 seq = rand(numsim,2);
26 x = seq(:,1);
27 y = seq(:,2);
28
29 % We now compute the sequence of values of indicator function using the
30 % random sequence generated above
31 pi_QMC_Q1 = pi_ind(x,y);
32
33 % Compute numerical integration
34 display('Problem 1: Quasi-Monte Carlo method')
35 pi_Q1 = ((1-0)*(1-0))/numsim * 4 * sum(pi_QMC_Q1)
36
37 clear x y
38 %% Question 2: Newton-Cotes approach
39 % Here I use the midpoint rule to compute the integration
40
41 % define the width of partition h
42 h = (1-0)/numsim;
43
44 % Define vector of x and y (later filled)
45 x = zeros(numsim,1);
46 y = zeros(numsim,1);
47
48 %  $x_j = 0 + (j-1/2)h$  for  $j=1, \dots, \text{numsim}$ 
49 for ind = 1:numsim
50     x(ind,1) = 0 + (ind- 1/2)*h;
51     y(ind,1) = 0 + (ind- 1/2)*h;
52 end
53
54 % Compute the sequence of values of indicator function for given  $x_j$ 
55 % and sum over with weight h, which yields the approximation of
    integration
56 % over y (for given  $x_j$ )
57 pi_NC_Q2 = ones(numsim,1);
58 for ind = 1:numsim
59     x_1 = x(ind,1)*ones(numsim,1);
60     pi_NC_x = pi_ind(x_1,y);
61     pi_NC_Q2(ind,1) = h * sum(pi_NC_x);
62 end
63
```

```
64 % Next we integrate over x by summing over with weight h
65 display('Problem 2: Newton–Cotes approach')
66 pi_Q2 = 4 * h * sum(pi_NC_Q2)
67
68
69 %% Question 3: Newton–Cotes approach: another functional form
70 % I use Halton sequence to generate random draws
71
72 % Define function: pi_root
73 % returns  $(1-x^2)^{1/2}$ 
74
75 seed = 1534561;
76 rng(seed);
77 % Generate random sequence for x
78 x = rand(numsim,1);
79
80 % We now compute the sequence of values of indicator function using the
81 % random sequence generated above
82 pi_QMC_Q3 = pi_root(x);
83
84 % Compute numerical integration
85 display('Problem 3: Pythagorean fomula with Quasi–Monte Carlo method')
86 pi_Q3 = ((1-0))/numsim * 4 * sum(pi_QMC_Q3)
87
88 %% Question 4: Newton–Cotes approach: another functional form
89 % Again I use the midpoint rule to compute the integration
90
91 % define the width of partition h
92 h = (1-0)/numsim;
93
94 % Define vector of x and y (later filled)
95 x = zeros(numsim,1);
96
97 %  $x_j = 0 + (j-1/2)h$  for  $j=1, \dots, \text{numsim}$ 
98 for ind = 1:numsim
99     x(ind,1) = 0 + (ind- 1/2)*h;
100 end
101
102 % Compute the sequence of values of the function pi_root and
    approximate
103 % the integration
104 display('Problem 3: Pythagorean fomula with Newton–Cotes method')
105 pi_NC_Q4 = 4 * h * sum(pi_root(x))
106
107
108 %% question 5:
109
110 numsim_list= [1000,10000,100000];
```

```

111 realpi = pi;
112
113
114
115 % Implement numerical integration using QMC with different number of
    draws
116 % We simulate 200 times and compute the squared error
117 ErrQMC_200 = ones(200,3);
118 for i = 1:length(numsim_list)
119     numsim = numsim_list(1,i);
120     seed = 1534561;
121     for sim = 1:200
122         seed = seed + sim ;
123         rng(seed);
124         % compute squared residual for QMC
125         x = rand(numsim,1);
126         pi_QMC = pi_root(x);
127         ErrQMC_200(sim,i) = (realpi - ((1-0))/numsim * 4 * sum(pi_QMC))
            ^2;
128     end
129     clear x
130 end
131 % Mean squared error is obtained as
132 MErrQMC = sum(ErrQMC_200)./numsim_list;
133
134
135 % We then use Newton–Coates
136 ErrNC = ones(1,3);
137 % Implement numerical integration using NC and compute the squared
    error
138 for i = 1:length(numsim_list)
139     numsim = numsim_list(1,i);
140     h = (1-0)/numsim;
141     x = zeros(numsim,1);
142     for ind = 1:numsim
143         x(ind,1) = 0 + (ind- 1/2)*h;
144     end
145     NC = 4* h * sum(pi_root(x));
146     ErrNC(1,i) = (realpi - (4* h * sum(pi_root(x))))^2;
147     clear x
148
149 end
150 % Mean squared error is obtained as
151 ErrNC;
152
153 display('Problem 5: Comparison')
154 display('Monte–Carlo mean squared error (1000, 10000, and 100000 draws)
    ')

```

```
155 MErrQMC
156 display('Newton–Cotes squared error (1000, 10000, and 100000 nodes)')
157 ErrNC
158
159 diary off
```