Lecture 5

1)

Last time I listed

the following abstract properties  $a(u,u) = \alpha \|u\|_{1}^{2} \quad \forall \quad u \in \mathcal{H}^{1}$   $a(u,v) \leq C \|u\|_{1} \|v\|_{1}$ 

When

| Inll = | (u + (vn) 2) dx

I said that I would relate ox, C to Reynolds number I also said

that

a(n,n) = x Hully was like x x x > 0

and that

a(n,v) & Chullyllvly was "like" x x x x x d

Let us the consider  $U_{xx} = f$  on (0,1)  $U_{xx} = 0$  on U(0) = u(1) = 0

We know that in

this case we can express

the solution as a Fourier series

h = Zursin (kTx) (\*)

When Uk = (LT)2 If sin (LTX)

Let us assume (x)

and check if

XA > 0

and XAX < 3

$$a(u,u) = \int -\nabla u \cdot \nabla V = \int -\Delta u \cdot \nabla V$$

= 
$$\int \left( \left( kT \right)^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \sin(kTx) \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \sin(kTx) \right) \right)$$

We can conclude that

However

$$a(u,u) \rightarrow \infty$$

4

However,

our condition is slightly different. We want a (., .) to be bounded relative to the 11.11, norm, i.e.,

alu, v) & chully lively

Let us re-do the
exercise to chech the
abstract conditions

Consider

 $-bu = f \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{R}$   $u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \mathcal{R}.$ 

Variational form

1. multiply by test function 2. integrate (by parts)

 $\int -\Delta u \cdot v \, dx = \int f \cdot v \, dx$ U integration by parts  $= \int f \cdot v \, dx$ Jou-ov dx Hence, the desta variational/weak. problem is Find a eHo (2) such that a(u, v) = L(v) + vetlo(v) , L (v) = [fv dx  $a(u,v) = \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$ 

The abstract condition alund & c Hully livly Shown as follows  $a(u,v) = \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$  $(\nabla u)^2 \times (u)^2 + (\nabla u)^2$ 

 Due to Poincare, we get

10)

 $a(u,u) = \int vu)^2$   $= \int vu)^2 + \int vu^2$   $= \int vu)^2 + \int vu^2$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  min  $\left(1,\frac{1}{C^2}\right)$   $\int \nabla u^2 + u^2$ 

= \frac{1}{2} min(1, \frac{1}{c^2}) 11 ull 1

We have now done a 11)
lot of abstract math.

How does this relate
to the Reynolds number?

Consider then the convections diffusion to problem

 $-\mu\Delta u + w.\nabla u = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega$   $u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega$ 

N viscosity
W fhid relocity.

Reynolds number relate

p, w and ska length

of J.

Weak form of the problem Find u eHo(1) such that  $a(u,v) = L(v) + veH'(\Omega)$  $a(u,v) = \int (v \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + w \nabla u \cdot v) dx$ Here  $\Gamma(\Lambda) = \sum_{v \in V} \chi_{v}$ You check

$$a(u,v) = \int_{\mathcal{N}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\mathcal{N}} \nabla u \cdot v$$

SNIVNIII>VII 2 + Willmax

+ || W || 10 | | Dull - || M | 12

< (N+ 11 N1 1 0 ( 11 DN 11 2 11 DV 11 2 + 11 DUIL 11 N1 12)

< (n + 11 w 11/2) (11 w 11 +1/2)