- 1a) FALSE: Strassen's method is $\Theta(n^{\log_2 7})$
- b) TRUE: each man is allowed to propose to each of *n* women.
- or FALSE: possible to show that in worst case only n²-n+1 proposals will be made.
- c) FALSE: both are $\Theta(n^{2014})$
- 2a) $7n^2 3n \le 7n^2$ for all $n \ge 0$ so $O(n^2)$, c=7, $n_0=0$ $7n^2 - 3n \ge 7n^2 - 3n^2 = 4n^2$ for all $n \ge 0$ so $O(n^2)$, c=4, $n_0=0$
- b) $27n^{\sqrt{5}} \approx 27n^{2.236}$ $42(1/\sqrt{n})7^{\log_2 n} = 42n^{-0.5}n^{\log_2 7} \approx 42n^{2.807-0.5} = 42n^{2.307}$ $\Theta(n^{2.307}) \text{ or } \Theta(n^{\log_2 7-0.5})$
- 3a) a = 5, b = 6: $x = log_6 5 < 1$ (highest power of f(n)) Case 1: $\Theta(n)$
- b) Initial assignment statements run in $\Theta(1)$ time.

One execution of the body of the while loop runs in $\Theta(1)$ time.

Complexity is then based on the number of executions of the while loop.

At end of iteration i of the while loop, we have $j = i^2$ and k = 2i + 1.

Number of iterations of while loop is $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ so $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$.

4. Basis. n=1: $T(1) = 1 \le cn = c$ so true for all $c \ge 1$.

Assume $T(k) \le c(k)$ for $1 \le k \le n-1$. Prove for k = n.

Let $\Theta(1)$ be some constant amount of work d.

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + T\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + T\left(\frac{7n}{18}\right) + d$$

$$\leq c\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + c\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + c\left(\frac{7n}{18}\right) + d$$

$$= c\left(\frac{16n}{18}\right) + d$$

 $\leq cn + d$ for all $n \geq 0$ since 16/18 < 1

Out by a constant d.

- Asymptotic notation would simply drop drop the constant term here.
- Definition of big-O: we could choose $n_0 > d$ and $c \ge 1$.
- We could redo the induction and instead prove something stronger such as $T(n) \le cn d$.
- 5a) Note: $n = 5^k$

Level 0 has 1 node of 5^k/log5^k

Level 1 has 5 nodes of 5^{k-1}/log5^{k-1}

Level 2 has 25 nodes of 5^{k-2}/log5^{k-2}

- b) n or 5^k
- c) k+1
- d) Assume log base 5. Level i work sums to 5^k/log5^{k-i}

$$3(5^{k}) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 5k / \log 5^{k-i} = 3n + n \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\log 5^{k-i}} = 3n + \frac{n}{\log 5} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k-i} = 3n + n \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{i}$$

$$\in \Theta(n + n \log k) = \Theta(n \log \log n)$$

6a) Sort and relabel customer locations so that $c_1 \le c_2 \le ... \le c_n$.

```
\begin{array}{l} x_1 = c_1 + D \\ i = 1 \\ j = 2 \\ \text{while } j \leq n \\ & \text{if } c_j \text{ is in range of } x_i \text{ // check if } |x_i - c_j| \leq D \\ & \text{j++} \\ & \text{else} \\ & \text{i++} \\ & x_i = c_j + D \\ & \text{j++} \end{array}
```

b) Exchange Proof. Since \mathbf{O} is an optimal solution, $p \le k$.

Claim: $o_1 \le g_1, o_2 \le g_2, ..., o_k \le g_k$.

Proof by math induction. Assume the first ℓ greedy choices can be the start of an optimal solution.

i.e. $\{g_1, ..., g_{\ell, 0\ell+1, ..., 0p}\}$ is an optimal solution for all ℓ upto k.

Basis, $\ell = 0$: { o_1 , ..., o_p } is an optimal solution.

Assume $\{g_1, ..., g_{\ell-1}, o_{\ell}, ..., o_{p}\}$ is an optimal solution.

Prove $\{g_1, ..., g_{\ell-1}, g_{\ell}, o_{\ell+1}, ..., o_p\}$ is an optimal solution; i.e. we can replace o_{ℓ} with g_{ℓ} for all ℓ upto k and it will remain an optimal solution.

The first ℓ -1 locations match the greedy solution. Next, the greedy algorithm finds the first customer out of range of the first ℓ -1 locations and places a new store at this location + D (i.e. it places a store as far away as possible but making sure all customers have a store they can get to) so $g_{\ell} \ge o_{\ell}$ and $\{g_1, ..., g_{\ell}\}$ covers all of the customers (and possibly more than) $\{g_1, ..., g_{\ell-1}, o_{\ell}\}$. Thus, $\{g_1, ..., g_k, o_{k+1}, ..., o_p\}$ is an optimal solution.

Also, k = p since if after the kth choice there were still customers without a store in range, the greedy algorithm would continue to make choices.

b)

7.



