

# Cloud Identification in Satellite Images using Artificial Intelligence

Viva

Kenza Tazi

18<sup>th</sup> March 2019

Supervisors: Ulrik Egede,  
Helen Brindley.

**Imperial College  
London**

# Summary

1. Introduction and motivation
2. Methodology
  - 2.1. Data and collocation
  - 2.2. Neural networks
3. Results
4. Conclusion
5. References
6. Appendix




# 1. Introduction and motivation

Clouds:

- 70% of the Earth's surface area [1]
- Water cycle and energy budget [2]
- Largest uncertainty in weather and climate forecasting [3]
- If misidentified, incorrect measurements [4].

Problematic conditions (see Fig. 1):

- Snow and sea ice
- Edges (e.g. coastlines)
- Reflective surfaces (e.g. lakes, rivers)
- Twilight
- Particulate matter.



*Fig. 1: Example of problematic scene: false colour image created from SLSTR data taken on the 31<sup>st</sup> May 2018 at (73.36, 170.62).*

# 3. Methodology

Use Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) for classification.

## Why ANN?

- Non-linear functions
- Fast to apply
- Limited knowledge of the system
- Flexible input types

## Requirements:

- Lots of relevant input data
- Corresponding truth data.



## Solution:

Satellite Data Collocation between Sentinel 3 and CALIPSO (= finding data taken at the same place and time by both satellites)

# 3. Methodology – Data and collocation

## SLSTR:


- Sentinel 3A and Sentinel 3B
- Passive, poor at identifying clouds
- Swath width = 1200km

## CALIOP:

- CALIPSO
- Active, good at identifying clouds
- Swath width = 70 m

## Collocation:

- 3.4 million collocated pixels within 353m, and less than 20 minutes apart
- Data entirely over polar regions



*Fig. 2: Map illustrating CALIOP track (green) over an SLSTR scene (red).*






Fig. 3: Average model agreement with CALIPSO plotted over a) the Arctic and b) the Antarctic.

# 3. Methodology – Neural networks

- Focus on our most successful ANN: Feed Forward Neural Network (FFN)
- Steps :
  1. Sum inputs into neurons
  2. Repeat multiple times to form layers
  3. Apply optimisation algorithm to set the weights of the inputs so the output matches the truth data
  4. Update weights with each new data point





Fig 4: Simplified diagram of FFN [5].

⇒ By showing the model SLSTR data but also the correct classification (CALIOP data) it can learn to recognise clouds

- **Inputs** (individual pixel):
  - Radiance in 9 wavelength channels
  - Latitude and longitude
  - Solar and satellite zenith angle
  - Surface type information
- **Truth data:**
  - 1 bit (1 for cloud, 0 for clear)
- **Output:**
  - Confidence from 0 to 1, where:
    - $1 \Leftrightarrow$  100% confident pixel is cloudy
    - $0 \Leftrightarrow$  100% confident pixel is clear
    - $0.5 \Leftrightarrow$  model is unsure



# 4. Results

89% average accuracy on unseen data




Fig. 6: Model accuracies as function of surface type.

Receiver Operator Curve (ROC)  $\Leftrightarrow$   
performance for all thresholds

Performance metric = area under  
the curve (AUC)

$tp$  = number of true positives

$tn$  = number of true negatives

$fp$  = number of false positives

$fn$  = number of false negatives

$$\text{False positive rate} = \frac{fp}{tn+fp}$$

$$\text{True positive rate} = \frac{tp}{tp+fn}$$






Fig. 7: FFN model ROC with comparisons to empirical and Bayesian masks.



*Fig. 1: Example of problematic scene: false colour image created from SLSTR data taken on the 31<sup>st</sup> May 2018 at (73.36, 170.62).*

FFN model mask



Bayesian mask





Fig. 8: Scene superimposed with FFN model mask and the Bayesian mask included with the SLSTR files.

FFN model mask



Bayesian mask





Fig. 8: Scene superimposed with FFN model mask and the Bayesian mask included with the SLSTR files.

FFN model mask



Bayesian mask





Fig. 8: Scene superimposed with FFN model mask and the Bayesian mask included with the SLSTR files.

FFN model mask



Bayesian mask




Fig. 8: Scene superimposed with FFN model mask and the Bayesian mask included with the SLSTR files.

# 5. Conclusion

**Summary of results:**


- Better performance in all conditions.

**Research implications:**

- Better weather and climate predictions,
- More usable Earth Observation data.

**Future developments:**

- Train model on lower latitude data,
- Multi-categorical classification (determine cloud types),
- Regression (calculate cloud optical depths).



# 6. References

## Inline references

- [1] Stubenrauch CJ et al. Assessment of global cloud datasets from satellites: Project and database initiated by the GEWEX radiation panel. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 2013 Jul;94(7):1031-49.
- [2] Kiehl JT, Trenberth KE. Earth's annual global mean energy budget. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 1997 Feb;78(2):197-208.
- [3] Sus O et al. The Community Cloud retrieval for CLimate (CC4CL)-Part 1: A framework applied to multiple satellite imaging sensors. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*. 2018 Jun 1;11(6).
- [4] Anze Zupanc. Improving Cloud Detection with Machine Learning. Dec 2017. Available at: <https://medium.com/sentinel-hub/improving-cloud-detection-with-machine-learning-c09dc5d7cf13>
- [5] Christoph Burgmer. Diagram of an artificial neuron. Jul 2005. Available at: [https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:ArtificialNeuronModel\\_english.png](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:ArtificialNeuronModel_english.png)

## False colour images



Page 1: 29<sup>th</sup> May 2018 at 11:30:03 UTC

Page 2: 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2018 at 00:06:19 UTC


Page 3, 9, 10: 31<sup>st</sup> May 2018 at 22:30:36 UTC

Page 11: 29<sup>th</sup> August 2018 at 20:09:50 UTC


# 7. Appendix




Accuracy as a function of cloud type




FFN model output




Difference of the  
FFN binary mask  
and the Bayesian  
mask.




## Model sensitivity — Shuffling — Radiance channels, position, angles




## Model sensitivity — Shuffling — Surface types



## Model structure — Neurons and layers



## Model structure — Activation functions and dropouts



## Model structure — Other hyperparameters

- Optimisation algorithm : Adaptive moment estimation optimisation algorithm (ADAM)
- Learning rate =  $10^{-4}$
- Loss function : categorical cross-entropy,

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^N y_{i,o} \log(p_{i,o})$$

where  $N$  is the number of classes,  $y_{i,o}$  binary indicator (0 or 1) if class label  $i$  is the correct classification for observation  $o$ ,  $p_{i,o}$  predicted probability observation  $o$  is of class  $i$ .

- Epoch numbers = 160
- Batch size = 64