EXTENSIONS TO SIMPLY-TYPED LAMBDA CALCULUS (II)

RECALL SUMS (SEMANTICS)

$$\frac{case (inl v) \text{ of inl } x_1 => e_1 | inr x_2 => e_2 \rightarrow e_1 [v/x_1]}{case (inr v) \text{ of inl } x_1 => e_1 | inr x_2 => e_2 \rightarrow e_2 [v/x_2]} (E-CaseInr)$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{case e \text{ of inl } x_1 => e_1 | inr x_2 => e_2} (E-Case)$$

$$\rightarrow case e' \text{ of inl } x_1 => e_1 | inr x_2 => e_2$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{inl e \rightarrow inl e'} (E-Inl)$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{inr e \rightarrow inr e'} (E-Inr)$$

SUMS (TYPING)

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid -e:t_1}{\Gamma \mid -\text{ inl } e:t_1+t_2} \qquad \text{(T-Inl)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \mid -e:t_2}{\Gamma \mid -\text{ inr } e:t_1+t_2} \qquad \text{(T-Inr)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma | -e: t_1 + t_2}{\Gamma, x_1: t_1 | -e_1: t \quad \Gamma, x_2: t_2 | -e_2: t}
\frac{\Gamma, x_1: t_1 | -e_1: t \quad \Gamma, x_2: t_2 | -e_2: t}{\Gamma | -\text{case e of inl } x_1 => e_1 | \text{inr } x_2 => e_2: t}$$
(T-Case)

- (T-Inl) and (T-Inr) is problematic! Why?
- Given e of a fixed type, inl e is of type t_1+t_2 , for any t_2 !
- This breaks the "uniqueness lemma".

SUMS (WITH UNIQUE TYPING)

• We can annotate sums with a unique type:

• The typing rules are modified as:

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid -e:t_1}{\Gamma \mid -\operatorname{inl}[t_1+t_2]e:t_1+t_2} \quad \text{(T-Inl)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \mid -e:t_2}{\Gamma \mid -\operatorname{inr}[t_1+t_2]e:t_1+t_2} \quad \text{(T-Inr)}$$

More Complex Example: Addresses

- Types:
 - userid = string
 - ip = int * int * int * int
 - host = {machine: string, org: string, country: string}
 - domain = host + ip
 - email_address = userid * domain
 - home_address = {number: int, street: string, city : string, state : string, country: string}
 - address = email_address + home_address
 - Examples:
 - o john@gala.amazon.cn
 - o ben@192.168.1.1
 - o 123 Main Street, Seattle, WA, USA.
- Function to extract the country from an address:

VARIANTS

- Binary sums generalizes to variants just like pairs generalized to labeled records.
- Instead of using $inl[t_1+t_2]$ e, we use $in_1[t_1+t_2]$ e.
- \circ e ::= .. | $in_i e_i$
- Detailed rules left as an exercise.

RECURSIVE FUNCTIONS

- Divergent combinator:
 - omega = $(\x. x x) (\x. x x)$ $\rightarrow (\x. x x) (\x. x x)$ $\rightarrow \dots$
 - Infinite loop and no normal form: hence the term divergent.
- More generally, fix-point combinator (a.k.a. call-by-value Y-combinator):
 - fix = f. (x. f (y. x x y)) (x. f (y. x x y))
 - We explain how it works by factorial example

FIX-POINT COMBINATOR (FACTORIAL EXAMPLE)

• A naïve definition of factorial function:

```
factorial = \setminus n. if n=0 then 1
else n * (if n-1=0 then 1
else (n-1) * (if n-2=0 then 1)
else (n-2) * ...
```

• We can use the fix-point combinator instead: $g = \footnote{T}$ for f = 0 then 1 else n * (fct (n-1))

factorial = fix g

factorial: int \rightarrow int fct: int \rightarrow int

g: $(int \rightarrow int) \rightarrow int \rightarrow int$

which is equivalent to: $(int \rightarrow int) \rightarrow (int \rightarrow int)$

FIX-POINT COMBINATOR (FACTORIAL EXAMPLE)

→* 6

```
\circ g = \fct. \n. if n=0 then 1 else n * (fct (n-1))
            factorial = fix g (Recall: fix = \floor f. (\x. f (\y. x x y)) (\x. f (\y. x x y)))
         • E.g., factorial 3 =
                       fix g 3
                      \rightarrow h h 3
                      -- where h = \langle x, g (\langle y, x x y \rangle) \rangle
                      \rightarrowg fct 3
                      -- where fct = \y. h h y (Notice we abuse "fct" a bit here.)
Recursion
happens!
                      \rightarrow \n. if n=0 then 1 else n * (fct (n-1)) 3
                      \rightarrow if 3=0 then 1 else 3 * (fct (3-1))
                      \rightarrow* 3 * (fct 2)
                      \rightarrow 3 * (h h 2)
                      \rightarrow 3 * (g fct 2)
                      \rightarrow* 3 * 2 * (g fct 1)
                      \rightarrow* 3 * 2 * 1 * (g fct 0)
```

GENERAL RECURSION

Syntax:

Evaluation:

fix
$$(\x: t. e) \rightarrow e [(fix (\x: t. e)) / x] (E-FixBeta)$$

$$\frac{e \to e'}{\text{fix } e \to \text{fix } e'} \quad (E - \text{Fix})$$

Typing:
$$\frac{\Gamma | -e: t_1 \to t_1}{\Gamma | -\text{fix } e: t_1} \quad (T-\text{Fix})$$

Another Recursive Example: iseven

```
• ff = \setminus ie: int \rightarrow bool.
              \x: int.
                      if x = 0 then true
                      else if x > 0 then
                                 if x = 1 then false
                                 else ie (x-2)
                            else
                                 if x = (\sim 1) then false
                                  else ie (x + 2)
    • ff: (int \rightarrow bool) \rightarrow int \rightarrow bool
\circ iseven = fix ff
    • iseven: int \rightarrow bool
\circ iseven 7 \rightarrow * false
• iseven (\sim6) \rightarrow* true
```

LISTS

List is a common recursive data structure

Every list ends with nil.

Syntax:

```
expressions:
e ::= ...
   | nil[t]
                                                          empty list
   | e1::e2
                                                          list constructor
   | case e of nil => e1
              | x1::x2 => e2
                                                          list destructor
                                                          values:
v ::= ...
     nil
                                                          empty list
    | v1 :: v2
                                                          list constructor
t ::= ...
                                                          types:
    | t list
                                                          type of lists
   [1, 2, 3, 4] is written as 1::(2::(3::(4::nil))).
```

In above list, 1 is the head of list, (2::(3::(4::nil))) is the tail.

12

LIST (EVALUATION)

$$\frac{\text{case nil of nil} \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x_1 :: x_2 \Rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow e_1}{\text{case Nil}}$$
 (E-CaseNil)

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\text{case e of nil} \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x_1 :: x_2 \Rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow} \quad (E-\text{ListCase})$$

$$\text{case e' of nil} \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x_1 :: x_2 \Rightarrow e_2$$

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e_1'}{e_1 :: e_2 \rightarrow e_1' :: e_2} \quad (E-Cons1) \qquad \frac{e_2 \rightarrow e_2'}{v_1 :: e_2 \rightarrow v_1 :: e_2'} \quad (E-Cons2)$$

LIST (TYPING)

$$\frac{\Gamma|-\text{nil}[t]: \text{t list}}{\Gamma|-\text{nil}[t]: \text{t list}} \quad \text{(T-nil)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma|-e_1: \text{t} \quad e_2: \text{t list}}{\Gamma|-e_1:: \text{e}_2: \text{t list}} \quad \text{(T-Cons)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma |-e:t_1 \text{ list } \Gamma |-e_1:t \quad \Gamma, x_1:t_1, x_2:t_1 \text{ list } |-e_2:t}{\Gamma |-\text{case e of nil}[t_1] => e_1 |x_1::x_2 => e_2:t}$$
 (T-Case)

• Note that only nil needs to be annotated with an explicit type. Types of other expressions can be inferred from the typing rules.

EXAMPLE: SUM A LIST OF NUMBERS

• ff = \sl : int list \rightarrow int. \(\lambda \text{! : int list.} \)
\(\text{case l of nil} => 0 \)
\(\lambda \text{x::l} => \text{x} + (\sl l) \)
\(\text{ff : (int list} \rightarrow \text{int)} \rightarrow \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int} \)

- \circ sum = fix ff
 - sum: int list \rightarrow int
- \circ E.g. sum (4::3::2::1) →* 10

ANOTHER EXAMPLE: REVERSE A LIST

```
\circ gg = \ap: int list → int list.
                 case l of nil => n::nil
                           | x :: l => x :: (ap l n)
• append = fix gg : int list \rightarrow int \rightarrow int list
• ff = let append = fix gg in
         \rev: int list \rightarrow int list.
                 \label{list.}
                  case l of nil => nil
                           | x :: l \Rightarrow append (rev l) x
\circ reverse = fix ff : int list \rightarrow int list
• reverse (4::3::2::1::nil) \rightarrow * 1::2::3::4::nil
```

FUNCTION IMPLEMENTATIONS

• Function application is implemented by "substitution" so far:

$$(x.e1)$$
 e2 \rightarrow e1 [e2/x]

- This is not efficient because:
 - Search through e1 for free occurrences of x during substitution
 - Go though e1 again to evaluate it: e1 →* v1
 - That's double the work!
- There's an alternate way using "environment."
- Be extremely lazy!
- This is closer to how PL interpreters actually work.

ENVIRONMENT MODEL

• An environment is a (variable, value) mapping (set of bindings):

$$E := . | E, x v$$

• Define E[x v] (add a binding into the environment):

$$\begin{aligned} .[x \ v] &= x \ v \\ (E, \ x' \ v')[x \ v] &= E, \ x \ v \qquad & \text{if } x = x' \\ & \text{or } E, \ x' \ v', \ x \ v \qquad & \text{if } x \neq x' \end{aligned}$$

- We define values to be either constants (e.g., true, false, 5, etc.) or *closures*.
- A *closure* is a pair of a function and its environment.

$$v ::= ... \mid \{ x.e, E \}$$

• The new multi-step evaluation judgment:

$$(E, e) \rightarrow v$$

Environment Model (Evaluation)

$$\frac{E(x) = v}{(E, x) \to^* v} \quad \text{(E-var)} \qquad \frac{(E, \lambda x.e) \to^* \{\lambda x.e, E\}}{(E, \lambda x.e) \to^* \{\lambda x.e, E\}}$$

$$\frac{(E, e_1) \to^* \{\lambda x.e, E_1\} \quad (E, e_2) \to^* v_2 \quad (E_1[x \mapsto v_2], e) \to^* v,}{(E, (e_1 \ e_2)) \to^* v} \quad (E-app)$$

$$\frac{(E, \mathbf{e}_1) \to^* v_1 \quad (E[x \mapsto v_1], \mathbf{e}_2) \to^* v_2}{(E, \text{let } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_1 \text{ in } \mathbf{e}_2) \to^* v_2} \quad (E - \text{let})$$

o Subtlety: for nested function applications, e.g.

$$(\x.\y.\z.\x + y + z) 1 2 3$$
,

the environment for each function application is organized in a stack, i.e. the call stack. Items on the call stack are called "stack frames" or "activation records."

A Non-Trivial Example

```
let x = 1 in

let f = \y. y + x in

let g = (\x) + 1 in

g(f x)
```

$$C_f = \{ \y. y+x, x\mapsto 1 \}$$

$$C_g = \{ \x. (f x) + 1, x\mapsto 1, f\mapsto C_f \}$$

$$(x\mapsto 1, f\mapsto C_f, g\mapsto C_g, g (f x)) \Rightarrow^* \dots$$

$$(x\mapsto 1, f\mapsto C_f, g\mapsto C_g, f x) \Rightarrow^* \dots$$

$$(x\mapsto 1, f\mapsto C_f, g\mapsto C_g, x) \Rightarrow^* 1$$

$$(x\mapsto 1, y\mapsto 1, y+x) \Rightarrow^* 2$$

$$(x\mapsto 1, f\mapsto C_f, g\mapsto C_g, g 2) \Rightarrow^* \dots$$

$$(x\mapsto 2, f\mapsto C_f, (f x) + 1) \Rightarrow^* \dots$$

$$(x\mapsto 2, f\mapsto C_f, f x) \Rightarrow^* \dots$$

$$(x\mapsto 2, f\mapsto C_f, x) \Rightarrow^* 2$$

$$(x\mapsto 2, f\mapsto C_f, x) \Rightarrow^* 2$$

$$(x\mapsto 2, f\mapsto C_f, f 2) \Rightarrow^* \dots$$

$$(x\mapsto 2, f\mapsto C_f, f 2) \Rightarrow^* \dots$$

$$(x\mapsto 1, y\mapsto 2, y+x) \Rightarrow^* 3$$

$$(x\mapsto 2, f\mapsto C_f, 3+1) \Rightarrow^* 4$$

Exercise: Think of a better way of presenting the evaluation process?

Environment Model (Capturing)

- Environment automatically fixes capturing problem:
- By substitution without alpha conversion:

$$(\z.\x.\z + x) \x 5 \rightarrow (\x.\x + x) 5 \rightarrow 10$$

• By environment:

$$(., (\z.\x. z + x) x 5) \rightarrow$$

$$(z \mapsto x, (\x.z + x) 5) \rightarrow$$

$$(z \mapsto x, x \mapsto 5, z + x) \rightarrow$$

$$x + 5$$