# Untyped Lambda Calculus

# Original $\lambda$ -CALCULUS SYNTAX

e is a *lambda expression*, or *lambda term*.

```
e := x (a variable)

| x.e  (a nameless function/lambda abstraction)

| e_1 e_2  (function application)
```

v := x.e (only functions can be values)

Above is a BNF (Backus Naur Form) that specifies the abstract syntax of the language

["\" will be written " $\lambda$ " in a nice font]

Note the above is *inductive* definition: e, x are *meta-variables* 

# QUIZ

• In the following definition, list all the symbols that are meta variables

- Suppose we define a judgment form:
  - e term

Can you re-define the lambda-term using the above judgment form and a few inference rules (using our good old axiom/proper rule format)?

#### **FUNCTIONS**

- Essentially every full-scale programming language has some notion of function
  - the (pure) lambda calculus is a language composed entirely of functions
  - we use the lambda calculus to study the essence of computation
  - it is just as fundamental as *Turing Machines*

#### MORE SYNTAX

- the identity function:
  - \X.X
    - Mathematically equivalent to: f(x) = x.
- 2 notational conventions:
  - applications associate to the left (like in):
    - o "y z x" is "(y z) x"
  - the body of a lambda abstraction extends as far as possible to the right:
    - $\circ$  "\x.x \z.x z x" is "\x.(x \z.(x z x))"

#### NAMES AND DENOTABLE OBJECTS

- Name is a sequence of characters used to represent or *denote* an syntactic object.
- "Object" is used in the general sense. The most common object we see in this course is a variable.
- E.g.,

\foo.foo \bar.foo bar foo

#### NAMES AND DENOTABLE OBJECTS

- A name and the object it denotes are NOT the same thing!
- A name is merely a "character string".
- An object can have multiple names "aliasing".
- A name can denote different objects at different times.
- "variable *bar*" means "the variable with the name *bar*".
- "function foo" means "the function with the name foo".

## QUIZ

• Name one thing/object in computing, or in life that is NOT denotable?

#### BINDING

- *Binding* is an association between a name and the denotable object it represents
  - Static binding: during language design, compile time
  - Dynamic binding: during run time
- The *scope* of a name is the region of a program which can access the name binding.
- The *lifetime* of a name refers to the time interval (at runtime) during which the name remains *bound*.

#### SCOPES IN $\lambda$ -CALCULUS

o \x.e ←

x is the formal param of the function. the scope of x is the term e (e is a meta-variable, meaning you can replace e with any valid lambda expression)

O X.X Y

y is *free* in the term  $\xx$  y i.e., y is not declared but used.

x is bound in the term  $\xspace x.x.y.$ 

 $\circ$   $\lambda$ -calculus uses static binding

### FREE VARIABLES

 $\circ$  free (x) = x

• free(e1 e2) = free(e1)  $\bigcup$  free(e2)

• free  $(\x.e)$  = free(e) -  $\{x\}$ 

Judgement form?

free (e) =  $\{x\}$ 

## FREE VARIABLES (INFERENCE RULES)

$$\overline{free(x) = \{x\}}$$

$$\underline{free(e1) = S1 \quad free(e2) = S2}$$

$$free(e1 e2) = S1 \cup S2$$

$$\underline{free(e) = S}$$

$$free(\x.e) = S-\{x\}$$

### ALL VARIABLES

$$Vars(x) = \{x\}$$

$$Vars(e1 \ e2) = Vars(e1) \ U \ Vars(e2)$$

$$Vars(x.e) = Vars(e) \cup \{x\}$$

#### SUBSTITUTION

- $\circ e[v/x]$  is the term in which all *free* occurrences of x in e are replaced with v.
- this replacement operation is called *substitution*.

$$(\x.\y.z\z)[\w.w/z] = \x.\y.(\w.w) (\w.w)$$

$$(\x.\z.z\z)[\w.w/z] = \x.\z.z\z$$

$$(\x.x\z)[x/z] = \x.x\x$$

$$(\x.x\z)[x/z] = (\y.y\z)[x/z] = \y.y\x$$

alpha-equivalent expressions = the same except for consistent renaming of bound variables

This process is also called alpha-renaming or alpha-reduction

# "SPECIAL" SUBSTITUTION (IGNORING CAPTURE ISSUES)

Definition of e1 [[e/x]] assuming  $FV(e) \cap Vars(e1) = \emptyset$ :

```
x [[e/x]] = e
y [[e/x]] = y 	 (if y \neq x)
e1 e2 [[e/x]] = (e1 [[e/x]]) (e2 [[e/x]])
(\x.e1) [[e/x]] = \x.e1
(\y.e1) [[e/x]] = \y.(e1 [[e/x]]) (if y \neq x)
```

## ALPHA-EQUIVALENCE

In order to avoid variable clashes, it is very convenient to alpha-rename expressions so that bound variables don't get in the way.

eg: to alpha-rename \x.e we:

- 1. pick z such that z not in Vars(x.e)
- 2. return  $\z.(e[[z/x]])$

We previously defined e[[z/x]] in such a way that it is a total function when z is not in  $Vars(\x.e)$ 

Terminology: Expressions e1 and e2 are called alpha-equivalent when they are the same after alpha-converting some of their bound variables

## SUBSTITUTION (OFFICIAL)

```
x [e/x]
               = e
y [e/x] = y
                                       (if y \neq x)
e1 \ e2 \ [e/x] = (e1 \ [e/x]) (e2 \ [e/x])
(x.e1)[e/x] = x.e1
(y.e1)[e/x] = y.(e1[e/x])
                                       (if y \neq x \& y \notin FV(e))
               = \langle z.(e1[[z/y]][e/x])
                  pick z \notin FV(e) (if y \neq x \& y \in FV(e))
```

### OPERATIONAL SEMANTICS

o single-step evaluation (judgment form): e → e'

• primary rule (beta reduction):

(x.e1) e2  $\rightarrow$  e1 [e2/x]

• A term of the form (\x.e1) e2 is called redex (reducible expression).

#### **EVALUATION STRATEGIES**

• let id =  $\xspace x$ , consider following exp with 3 redexes:

```
\frac{id (id (\z. id z))}{id (\underline{id (\z. id z)})}
id (id (\z. \underline{id z}))
```

- Each strategy defines which redex in an expression gets reduced (fired) on the *next* step of evaluation
- Full beta-reduction: any redex id (id ( $\z$ . id z))
- $\rightarrow$  id (id (\z. z))
- $\rightarrow$  id (\z. z)
- $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Z

### **EVALUATION STRATEGIES**

- o Normal order: leftmost, outermost redex first id (id (\z. id z))
- $\rightarrow$  id (\z. id z)
- $\rightarrow \$ z. id z
- $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Z
- Call-by-name: similar to normal order except NO reduction inside lambda abstractions id (id (\z. id z))
- $\rightarrow$  id (\z. id z)
- $\rightarrow \$ z. id z

#### **EVALUATION STRATEGIES**

- Call-by-value: only outermost redex, whose RHS must be a value, no reduction inside abstraction
  - values are  $v := \x.e$  (lambda abstractions) id (id (\\\z. id z))
- $\rightarrow$  id (\z. id z)
- $\rightarrow \$ z. id z

# ANOTHER EXAMPLE (DIFF BETWEEN CALL BY NAME AND CALL BY VALUE)

• Call by name:

```
(\x) (\x) (\x) (\x) (\x)
```

 $\rightarrow$  y

• Call by value:

```
(\x. y) (\x. x x) (\x. x x)
```

- $\rightarrow$  (\x. y) ((\x. x x) (\x. x x))
- $\rightarrow$  (\x. y) ((\x. x x) (\x. x x))

 $\rightarrow \dots$ 

Infinite Loop!

#### CALL-BY-VALUE OPERATIONAL SEMANTICS

• Basic rule

• Search rules:

$$\frac{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}}{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1' e2}}$$

$$\frac{e2 \rightarrow e2'}{v e2 \rightarrow v e2'}$$

• Notice, evaluation is left to right

$$(\x.e) v \rightarrow e [v/x]$$

$$(x.e1) e2 \rightarrow e1 [e2/x]$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}} \\ \underline{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1' e2}} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}}{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1' e2}}$$

$$\frac{\text{e2} \rightarrow \text{e2'}}{\text{v e2} \rightarrow \text{v e2'}}$$

$$(\x.e) v \rightarrow e [v/x]$$

$$(x.e1) e2 \rightarrow e1 [e2/x]$$

$$\begin{array}{c} e1 \rightarrow e1' \\ e1 \ e2 \rightarrow e1' \ e2 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}}{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1' e2}}$$

$$\frac{\text{e2} \rightarrow \text{e2'}}{\text{v e2} \rightarrow \text{v e2'}}$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\langle x.e \rightarrow \langle x.e' \rangle}$$

call-by-value

normal order

$$(\x.e) v \rightarrow e [v/x]$$

$$\begin{array}{c} e1 \rightarrow e1' \\ \hline e1 \ e2 \rightarrow e1' \ e2 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{e2} \rightarrow \text{e2'}}{\text{v e2} \rightarrow \text{v e2'}}$$

$$(x.e1) e2 \rightarrow e1 [e2/x]$$

$$\frac{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}}{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1' e2}}$$

$$\frac{\text{e2} \rightarrow \text{e2'}}{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1 e2'}}$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\langle x.e \rightarrow \langle x.e' \rangle}$$

full beta-reduction

$$(\x.e) \ v \rightarrow e \ [v/x]$$

$$(\x.e) v \rightarrow e [v/x]$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}} \\ \underline{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1' e2}} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}}{\text{e1 v} \rightarrow \text{e1' v}}$$

$$\frac{\text{e2} \rightarrow \text{e2'}}{\text{v e2} \rightarrow \text{v e2'}}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} e2 \rightarrow e2' \\ \hline e1 \ e2 \rightarrow e1 \ e2' \end{array}$$

call-by-value

right-to-left call-by-value

## PROVING THEOREMS ABOUT O.S.

Call-by-value o.s.:

$$\frac{}{(\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{e}) \ \mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{e} \ [\mathbf{v}/\mathbf{x}]}$$

$$\frac{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}}{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1' e2}}$$

$$\frac{\text{e2} \rightarrow \text{e2'}}{\text{v e2} \rightarrow \text{v e2'}}$$

To prove property P of e1  $\rightarrow$  e2, there are 3 cases:

case:

$$(x.e) v \rightarrow e [v/x]$$

Must prove:  $P((\x.e) \ v \rightarrow e \ [v/x])$ 

\*\* Often requires a related property of substitution e[v/x]

case:

$$\frac{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e1'}}{\text{e1 e2} \rightarrow \text{e1' e2}}$$

 $IH = P(e1 \rightarrow e1')$ 

Must prove:  $P(e1 \ e2 \rightarrow e1' \ e2)$ 

case:

$$\frac{e2 \rightarrow e2'}{v e2 \rightarrow v e2'}$$

IH = 
$$P(e2 \rightarrow e2')$$
  
Must prove:  $P(v e2 \rightarrow v e2')$ 

#### MULTI-STEP OP. SEMANTICS

• Given a single step op sem. relation:

$$e1 \rightarrow e2$$

• We extend it to a multi-step relation by taking its "reflexive, transitive closure:"

$$\frac{e1 \rightarrow e2 \quad e2 \rightarrow e3}{e1 \rightarrow e1} \quad \text{(reflexivity)} \qquad \frac{e1 \rightarrow e2 \quad e2 \rightarrow e3}{e1 \rightarrow e3} \quad \text{(transitivity)}$$

## PROVING THEOREMS ABOUT O.S.

Call-by-value o.s.:

$$\frac{e1 \rightarrow e2 \ e2 \rightarrow e3}{e1 \rightarrow e1} \quad \text{(reflexivity)} \qquad \frac{e1 \rightarrow e2 \ e2 \rightarrow e3}{e1 \rightarrow e3} \quad \text{(transitivity)}$$

To prove property P of e1  $\rightarrow$ \* e2, given you've already proven property P' of e1  $\rightarrow$  e2, there are 2 cases:

Must prove: P(e1 →\* e1) directly

case:

$$\frac{\text{e1} \rightarrow \text{e2} \text{ e2} \rightarrow^* \text{e3}}{\text{e1} \rightarrow^* \text{e3}}$$

IH = 
$$P(e2 \rightarrow *e3)$$
  
Also available:  $P'(e1 \rightarrow e2)$   
Must prove:  $P(e1 \rightarrow *e3)$ 

#### EXAMPLE

Definition: An expression e is closed

if  $FV(e) = \{\}.$ 

#### Theorem:

If e1 is closed and e1  $\rightarrow$ \* e2 then e2 is closed.

Proof: by induction on derivation of e1  $\rightarrow$ \* e2.

(We need to prove lemma: if e1 is closed and e1  $\rightarrow$  e2, then e2 is closed.)