

Complete Search

Arnar Bjarni Arnarson & Atli FF August 25, 2025

School of Computer Science Reykjavík University

Complete search

- We have a finite set of objects
- We want to find an element in that set which satisfies some constraints
 - or find all elements in that set which satisfy some constraints
- Simple! Just go through all elements in the set, and for each of them check if they satisfy the constraints
- Of course it's not going to be very efficient...
- But remember, we always want the simplest solution that runs in time
- Complete search should be the first problem solving paradigm you think about when you're trying to solve a problem

Example problem: Closest Sums

• https://open.kattis.com/problems/closestsums

Complete search

- What if the search space is more complex?
 - ullet All permutations of n items
 - ullet All subsets of n items
 - All ways to put n queens on an $n \times n$ chessboard without any queen attacking any other queen
- How are we supposed to iterate through the search space?
- Let's take a better look at these examples

Iterating through permutations

- Already implemented in many standard libraries:
 - next_permutation in C++
 - itertools.permutations in Python

```
int n = 5;
vector<int> perm(n);
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) perm[i] = i + 1;
do {
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        printf("%d ", perm[i]);
    }
    printf("\n");
} while (next_permutation(perm.begin(), perm.end()));
```

Iterating through permutations

• Even simpler in Python

- Remember that there are n! permutations of length n, so usually you can only go through all permutations if $n \leq 10$
 - Otherwise you need to find a more clever approach than complete search

Example problem: Veci

• https://open.kattis.com/problems/veci

Iterating over a variable number of loops

- A common somewhat harder thing is iterating over a sequence of integers, with variable bounds
- Say we want to test every vector of n integers where each value can be from 0 to m-1.
- We could do this usually with n for loops, but if n is an input this does not work
- In python we can use itertools, C++ needs something handmade

All vectors

```
int n, m; cin >> n >> m;
vector<int> counter(n, 0);
while(true) {
  process_solution(counter); // whatever the problem needs
  bool done = true;
  for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
    counter[i]++;
    if(counter[i] == m) counter[i] = 0;
    else {
      done = false;
      break;
  if(done) { break; }
```

Iterating over subsets

- For subsets we could do the same where we have a vector<bool> and index i denotes whether we include the i-th element or not
- But for this specific case we can do something much faster
- ints consist of bits, so we can instead just have a number and let the i-th bit denote whether we include the i-th element or not
- This means the subsets will just be the numbers from 0 to 2^n-1 for n elements, which can be iterated over with a simple for loop

Bit masks

- These things are usually called bit masks
- If $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $B = \{1, 3, 5, 6\}$ then the corresponding numbers in binary would be 111111 and 110101 since the first bit is the one we write last. In decimal these are 63 and 53.
- This also gives us a whole load of useful operations that work on masks

Bit operations

Code	Meaning
0	The empty set
(1 << n) - 1	The set of the first n elements
1 << k	The set containing only the k -th element
A B	The union of A and B
A & B	The intersection of ${\cal A}$ and ${\cal B}$
A ^ B	The symmetric difference of ${\cal A}$ and ${\cal B}$
~ A	The complement of \boldsymbol{A}

Iterating through subsets

• Now just iterate through the integers

```
int n = 5;
for (int subset = 0; subset < (1 << n); subset++) {
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        if ((subset & (1 << i)) != 0) {
            printf("%d ", i+1);
        }
    }
    printf("\n");
}</pre>
```

Iterating through subsets

Similar in Python

- Remember that there are 2^n subsets of n elements, so usually you can only go through all subsets if $n \leq 25$
 - Otherwise you need to find a more clever approach than complete search

Example problem: Exam Manipulation

 $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{https://open.kattis.com/problems/exammanipulation}$

- We've seen two ways to go through a complex search space, but both of the solutions were rather specific
- Would be nice to have a more general "framework"
- Backtracking!

- Define states
 - We have one initial "empty" state
 - Some states are partial
 - Some states are complete
- Define transitions from a state to possible next states
- Basic idea:
 - 1. Start with the empty state
 - Use recursion to traverse all states by going through the transitions
 - 3. If the current state is invalid, then stop exploring this branch
 - 4. Process all complete states (these are the states we're looking for)

• General solution form:

```
state S;
void generate() {
    if (!is_valid(S))
        return;
    if (is_complete(S))
        print(S);
    foreach (possible next move P) {
        apply move P;
        generate();
        undo move P;
S = empty state;
generate();
```

Generating all subsets

Also simple to do with backtracking:

```
const int n = 5;
bool pick[n];
void generate(int at) {
   if (at == n) {
       for (int i = 0: i < n: i++) {
            if (pick[i]) {
                printf("%d ", i+1);
        }
       printf("\n");
    } else {
       // either pick element no. at
        pick[at] = true;
        generate(at + 1);
       // or don't pick element no. at
        pick[at] = false;
        generate(at + 1);
generate(0);
```

Generating all permutations

• Also simple to do with backtracking:

```
const int n = 5;
int perm[n];
bool used[n];
void generate(int at) {
    if (at == n) {
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            printf("%d ", perm[i]+1);
        printf("\n");
    } else {
        // decide what the at-th element should be
        for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
            if (!used[i]) {
                used[i] = true:
                perm[at] = i;
                generate(at + 1);
                // remember to undo the move:
                used[i] = false;
memset(used, 0, n);
```

n queens

- Given n queens and an $n \times n$ chessboard, find all ways to put the n queens on the chessboard such that no queen can attack any other queen
- This is a very specific set we want to iterate through, so we probably won't find this in the standard library
- We could use our bit trick to iterate through all subsets of the $n \times n$ cells of size n, but that would be very slow

• Let's use backtracking

- Go through the cells in increasing order
- Either put a queen on that cell or not (transition)
- Don't put down a queen if she's able to attack another queen already on the table

```
const int n = 8;
bool has_queen[n][n];
int queens_left = n;
// generate function
memset(has_queen, 0, sizeof(has_queen));
generate(0, 0);
```

```
void generate(int x, int y) {
   if (y == n) {
        generate(x+1, 0);
    } else if (x == n) {
        if (queens_left == 0) {
            for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
                for (int j = 0; j < n; j++) {
                    printf("%c", has_queen[i][j] ? 'Q' : '.');
                }
                printf("\n");
    } else {
        if (queens_left > 0 and no queen can attack cell (x,y)) {
            // try putting a queen on this cell
            has_queen[x][y] = true;
            queens_left--;
            generate(x, y+1);
            // undo the move
            has_queen[x][y] = false;
            queens_left++;
        }
        // try leaving this cell empty
        generate(x, y+1);
```

Example problem: Lucky Numbers

- https://open.kattis.com/problems/luckynumber
- As a note, another classic use case is solving sudokus

Meet in the middle

Halving the exponent

- We now know at least two different ways we could solve the subset sum problem, i.e. given some numbers check if there is a subset summing to some given target value
- They would have time complexity $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$ or even $\mathcal{O}(n2^n)$, which is quite a lot.
- But there is a trick we can employ to get $\mathcal{O}(n2^{n/2})$ instead.

Halves

- Split the numbers into two groups A, B, then iterate over every subset of A and put it into a set. This takes $\mathcal{O}(n2^{n/2})$ time.
- Then iterate over every subset in B, and each time check if the target value minus the sum of B is in our set of sums. This also takes $\mathcal{O}(n2^{n/2})$ time.
- This way we do the problem one half at a time, and meet in the middle.

Middle

- This can be applied more generally, searching from both ends of a problem.
- It is a fairly common tactic in cryptography as well.
- Sometimes it can also be combined with backtracking, backtracking from two ends at once.

Not quite half

- Sometimes the gain isn't quite a square root of the base of the exponential run-time, but gains can still be large.
- Take the problem of having a set of numbers $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ and wanting to find two different non-empty subsets $\{x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_r}\}$ and $\{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_s}\}$ with the same sum.
- The straight forward way would be $\mathcal{O}(4^n)$, but iterating over all subsets and counting how many get to any given sum is $\mathcal{O}(n2^n)$.

Not quite half

• But we can split x_1, \ldots, x_n in two halves, then rewrite the condition

$$x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_r} = x_{j_1} + \dots + x_{j_s}$$

as

$$x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i'_r} - x_{j_1} - \dots - x_{j'_s} = x_{j'_s+1} + \dots + x_{j_s} - x_{i'_r+1} - \dots - x_{i_r}$$

so that we move every term in the first half to the left hand side and every term in the second half to the right side.

• Then we can meet in the middle on all ways to ignore, add or subtract the element from the sum to get a $\mathcal{O}(n3^{n/2})$.