1 P1 Solutions

1.1 (i) Defining SMP as a Computational Problem

Input:

- Two sets of participants (often referred to as *men* and *women*, though in practice they could be any two disjoint sets), each of size n.
- Each participant in the first set provides a *strict preference ordering* over all participants in the second set.
- Each participant in the second set provides a *strict preference ordering* over all participants in the first set.

Output

A *stable matching*, which is a one-to-one pairing between the two sets such that **no blocking pair** exists.

A **blocking pair** is a man m and a woman w who are not paired with each other but who **both** prefer each other to their current partners in the proposed matching. If such a pair exists, the matching is **not stable**.

1.2 (ii) Example of the Stable Marriage Problem

Consider a small instance with n = 2. We have two men $(M_1 \text{ and } M_2)$ and two women $(W_1 \text{ and } W_2)$. Their preference lists are as follows:

Men's Preferences

- M_1 : prefers W_1 over W_2 .
- M_2 : prefers W_1 over W_2 .

Women's Preferences

- W_1 : prefers M_1 over M_2 .
- W_2 : prefers M_2 over M_1 .

We want to find a stable matching. Let's check possible matchings:

Matching 1: (M_1, W_1) and (M_2, W_2) . No blocking pair exists, so **Matching 1** is stable.

Matching 2: (M_1, W_2) and (M_2, W_1) . Since M_1 and W_1 prefer each other to their current partners, they form a blocking pair, making this matching unstable.

Thus, the only stable matching is:

$$(M_1, W_1)$$
 and (M_2, W_2) .

Algorithm 1 Gale-Shapley Algorithm

```
Input: Two sets, Men and Women, each with strict preference lists.
Output: A stable matching.
\mathbf{for} \ \mathrm{each} \ \mathrm{man} \ m \ \mathrm{in} \ \mathrm{Men} \ \mathbf{do}
   m is free and has not proposed to anyone yet
end for
{\bf for}each woman w in Women {\bf do}
   w is free
end for
while there exists a free man m who has not proposed to every woman do
   Let w be the highest-ranked woman in m's preference list to whom he has
not yet proposed
   if w is free then
       (m, w) become engaged
   else
       Let m' be the man currently engaged to w
       if w prefers m' to m then
           m remains free
       else
           m' becomes free
           (m, w) become engaged
       end if
   end if
end while
return the set of engaged pairs
```

2 P2 Solutions

2.1 (i) Gale–Shapley Algorithm in Pseudocode

2.2 (ii) Time Complexity Analysis

In the worst case, each man could propose to every woman, leading to at most $O(n^2)$ proposals. Checking preferences takes O(1) time with preprocessing. Thus, the overall complexity of the Gale–Shapley algorithm is $O(n^2)$.

3 P3 Solutions

3.1 Gale-Shapley Algorithm in Python

```
def gale_shapley (men_preferences, women_preferences):
    rank_of_man = {w: {m: i for i, m in enumerate(pref_list)}
                   for w, pref_list in women_preferences.items()}
    free_men = list (men_preferences.keys())
    next_proposal_index = {m: 0 for m in men_preferences}
    current_engagements = {}
    while free_men:
       m = free_men.pop(0)
       w = men_preferences [m] [ next_proposal_index [m] ]
        next\_proposal\_index[m] += 1
        if w not in current_engagements:
            current_engagements [w] = m
        else:
            m_current = current_engagements [w]
            if rank_of_man[w][m] < rank_of_man[w][m_current]:</pre>
                current_engagements [w] = m
                free_men.append(m_current)
            else:
                free_men.append(m)
   return {m: w for w, m in current_engagements.items()}
if = mame_{-} = "-main_{-}":
    matching = gale_shapley(men_preferences, women_preferences)
    print("Stable - Matching:", matching)
  This confirms that the stable matching is (M_1, W_1) and (M_2, W_2).
```