

EEE-485

Problem Set 1

Kerem Ayöz
21501569

Q1

a.) $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \arg \max_{\lambda} I(\lambda) \Rightarrow L(\lambda) = p(O|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | x_i, \lambda)$

$$I(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(p(y_i | x_i, \lambda)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln\left(\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n -\lambda + x_i \ln(\lambda) - \ln(x_i!)$$

$$I'(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n -1 + \frac{x_i}{\lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow -n + \frac{\bar{x}n}{\lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \bar{x}}$$

b.) $y_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_i = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x_i > 0 \end{cases}, p(x_i > 0) = 1 - p(x_i = 0) = 1 - \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^0}{1} = 1 - e^{-\lambda}$

$$\Rightarrow y_i \sim \text{Ber}(p = 1 - e^{-\lambda}), f(y_i) = p^{y_i} (1-p)^{1-y_i}$$

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p^{y_i} (1-p)^{1-y_i}, I(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \ln p + (1-y_i) \ln(1-p)$$

$$I'(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{p} + \frac{1-y_i}{p-1} = \frac{\bar{y}n}{p} + \frac{n-\bar{y}n}{p-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{p} = \bar{y}$$

$$\text{Then } \bar{y} = 1 - e^{-\hat{\lambda}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = -\ln(1 - \bar{y})}$$

c.) $L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} (e^{-\lambda})^{x_i}}{x_i!}, I(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} - \lambda x_i - \ln x_i!$

$$I'(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n -e^{-\lambda} - x_i = -ne^{-\lambda} - \bar{x}n = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = -\ln(-\bar{x})}$$

Q2

a.) To calculate the likelihood, define $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_6)$ where k_i represents the # of outcomes where die comes i .

$$L(\theta) = \theta_1^{k_1} \cdot \theta_2^{k_2} \dots \theta_6^{k_6} = \theta_1^{k_1} (1-\theta_1)^{n-k_1}$$

$$I(\theta) = k_i \ln \theta_i + (n-k_i) \ln(1-\theta_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial I(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} = \frac{k_i}{\theta_i} + \frac{n-k_i}{\theta_i-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_i = \frac{k_i}{n}$$

$$\text{Then } \hat{\theta} = \left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \frac{k_2}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_6}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{n} (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_6) = \boxed{\frac{\underline{k}}{n}}$$

b.) posterior \propto likelihood \times prior, $\underline{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_6)$
 $f(\theta) \propto L(\theta) \times p(\theta)$

$$f(\theta) \propto \theta_1^{k_1} \cdot \theta_2^{k_2} \dots \theta_6^{k_6} \cdot \frac{\theta_1^{d_1-1} \dots \theta_6^{d_6-1}}{A(\theta_1 \dots \theta_6)}$$

$$f(\theta) \propto \theta_1^{k_1+d_1-1} \cdot \theta_2^{k_2+d_2-1} \dots \theta_6^{k_6+d_6-1} \quad (A \text{ is independent})$$

$$\ln f(\theta) \propto (k_1+d_1-1) \ln \theta_1 + \dots + (k_6+d_6-1) \ln \theta_6$$

$$\ln f(\theta) \propto (k_i+d_i-1) \ln \theta_i + (n-k_i-5 + \sum_{s \neq i} d_s) \ln(1-\theta_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln f(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \propto \frac{k_i+d_i-1}{\theta_i} + \frac{n-k_i-5 + \sum_{s \neq i} d_s}{\theta_i-1} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\cancel{\theta_i^{k_i}} - \cancel{\theta_i^{d_i}} + \cancel{\theta_i} + k_i + d_i - 1 &= \cancel{\theta_i^n} - \cancel{\theta_i^{k_i}} - 5\theta_i + \theta_i \sum_{s \neq i} d_s \\ \theta_i \left(\sum_{s \neq i} d_s + n - 6 \right) &= d_i + k_i - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{i, \text{MAP}} = \frac{d_i + k_i - 1}{\left(\sum_{s=1}^6 d_s \right) + n - 6}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{S_d + n - 6} (\underline{d} + \underline{k} - \underline{1}) \quad \text{where } \underline{d}, \underline{k}, \underline{1} \text{ are all vectors}$$

where $S_d = \sum_{i=1}^6 d_i$

Q3

a) Sample / μ	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
$n=100$	96	89	88	95	92	95	94	95	98
$n=1000$	94	95	98	96	95	91	95	94	95
$n=10000$	97	96	95	92	98	95	98	91	97

where $X \sim N(\mu, 1)$ $\hat{\mu} = \begin{cases} 1.0754 & \text{when } n=100 \\ 1.0458 & \text{when } n=1000 \\ 0.9918 & \text{when } n=10000 \end{cases}$

Theory suggests that 95% of the estimations should be in interval, by looking to the results above, we could say that experiment is consistent with theory.

b.) Yes, because of the law of large numbers, the estimated mean converges to the true mean which is 1.

c.) $X \sim N(\mu, 0.1) \Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \begin{cases} 1.0172, n=100 \\ 1.0250, n=1000 \\ 1.0159, n=10000 \end{cases}$

$X \sim N(\mu, 1) \Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \begin{cases} 1.0754, n=100 \\ 1.0458, n=1000 \\ 0.9918, n=10000 \end{cases}$

$X \sim N(\mu, 10) \Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \begin{cases} 1.1933, n=100 \\ 0.9909, n=1000 \\ 1.0044, n=10000 \end{cases}$

Q4

a.) $y_i = \alpha x_i + \varepsilon_i$ $RSS(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha x_i)^2$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \arg \min_{\alpha} RSS(\alpha) \Rightarrow RSS'(\alpha) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - \alpha x_i) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha x_i^2 \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}}$$

b.) $y_i = \alpha^{true} x_i + \varepsilon_i$ where α^{true} and x_i are fixed. Since $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ y_i is also normally distributed. $y_i \sim N(\alpha^{true} x_i, \sigma^2)$.

$$\bullet E[\hat{\alpha}] = E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right] = E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (\alpha^{true} x_i + \varepsilon_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right] = E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^{true} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right]$$

$$E[\hat{\alpha}] = \alpha^{true} + E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right] = \boxed{\alpha^{true}}$$

$$\bullet Var(\hat{\alpha}) = Var\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^{true} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right) = Var\left(\alpha^{true} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^2} Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varepsilon_i\right)$$

$$Var(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{\sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^2} = \boxed{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}}$$

Then $\boxed{\hat{\alpha} \sim N\left(\alpha^{true}, \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right)}$