Calhoun County Disaster Recovery Sevices Hurricane Michael DR 4399

Consultant Ranking Summary

12-3-2018

		Adam			Chelsea	Carla		Ranking
Prop	oser	Johnson	Gene Bailey	Angie Smith	Snowden	Hand	Totals	Summary
Α	The Integrity Group/Bluesky	2	2	2	2	3.5	11.5	2
В	Rostan Solutions	1	4	1	1	1	8.0	1
С	Tetra Tech	5	3	6	4	5.5	23.5	5
D	Innovative Emergency Management	4	1	3	5	3.5	16.5	3
Ε	Integrated Solutions Consulting	3	6	5	6	5.5	25.5	6
F	Witt O'Briens	6	5	4	3	2	20.0	4
		21	21	21	21	21	105.0	

Ranking

- 1 Rostan Solutions
- 2 The Integrity Group/Bluesky
- 3 Innovative Emergency Management
- 4 Witt O'Briens
- 5 Tetra Tech
- 6 Integrated Solutions Consulting

Proposer A	The Integrity Group/Bluesky
Proposer B	Rostan Solutions
Proposer C	Tetra Tech
Proposer D	Innovative Emergency Management
Proposer E	Integrated Solutions Consulting
Proposer F	Witt O'Brien's

PROPOSAL 1: Technical Proposal Criteria	Max Score	Proposer A	Proposer B	Proposer C	Proposer D	Proposer E	Proposer F
Executive Summary	0	0	O	0	<u></u> ا	Q)	
Response to Proposal	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10	10	5	10	10	5
Minimum Qualifications	20	15	20	15	20	15	20
References	20	10	20	15	15	/ o	00
General Statement of Experience	10	5	10	10	10	70	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30	30	30	30	30	30
Total Proposal 1	100	75	95	80	99	83	99
PROPOSAL 2: Cost/Fee Proposal Criteria	30	30	25	20	15	20	20
TOTAL	130	105	120	100	105	100	110

34 i 65 65 2

Reviewer: arla A Hand

1

Based upon evaluation of these proposals, my ranking of consultants is as follows:

£1.	Kostan
£2.	With O'Brien
: 3.	With O'Brien Integrity IEM
4.	Tetra Tech / Integrate 0
•	

I hereby certify that I have no conflict of ir	nt erest w it	h the selec	ction and	ranking o	f the above
firms:		١			

Signature: Al Aa

Name of Proposer: Witt O'Brien's

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	0
Response to Proposal We are not	5	5
Statement of Work	10	5
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30
		90
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	20
Maximum Points Allowed	130	110

COMMENTS:			4
			
			 NAT

Reviewer	(ar/s	Han	

Name of Proposer: The Integrity Group/Bluesky

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	0
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	/0
Minimum qualifications	20	15
References	20	10
General Statement of Experience	10	5
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30
		75
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	30
Maximum Points Allowed	130	105

COMMENTS:			4
Reviewer	Carla	Hang	

Name of Proposer: Rostan Solutions

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	a
Response to Proposal	5	.5
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30
		95
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	25
Maximum Points Allowed	130	120
COMMENTS:		

			7	

Name of Proposer: Tetra Tech

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	Ø
Response to Proposal	5	.5
Statement of Work	10	5
Minimum qualifications	20	15
References	20	15
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	<i>3</i> 0
		80
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	20
Maximum Points Allowed	130	100

COMMENTS:			7
Davis	Costo	Bash	

Name of Proposer: Innovative Emergency Management (TEM)

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	0
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	15
General Statement of Experience	10	19
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30
		90
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		•
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	15
Maximum Points Allowed	130	105

COMMENTS:				
			1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919	
		11 1		
Reviewer:	(ax la	Hand		

Name of Proposer: Integrated Solutions Consulting

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE	
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL			
Executive Summary (Nard)	0		
Response to Proposal	5	5	
Statement of Work	10	10	
Minimum qualifications	20	15	
References	20	10	
General Statement of Experience	10	10	
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30	
		80	
COST/FEE PROPOSAL			
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	20	
Maximum Points Allowed	130	100	

COMMENT	rs:		4

		Hand	

Proposer A	The Integrity Group/Bluesky
Proposer B	Rostan Solutions
Proposer C	Tetra Tech
Proposer D	Innovative Emergency Management
Proposer E	Integrated Solutions Consulting
Proposer F	Witt O'Brien's

		Bluesky	Rostan	Tatora tech	JEM	Ints.	wi71
PROPOSAL 1: Technical Proposal Criteria	Max Score	Proposer A	Proposer B	Proposer C	Proposer D	Proposer E	Proposer F
Executive Summary	0						
Response to Proposal	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Minimum Qualifications	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
References	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	32	31	32	34	32	30
Total Proposal 1	100	97	96	97	99	97	95
PROPOSAL 2: Cost/Fee Proposal Criteria	30	29	29	28	28	20	25
TOTAL	130	126	125	125	127	117	120
		2	4	3	1	6.	3

Reviewer: <u>Cone</u> Bailey

Based upon evaluation of these proposals, my ranking of consultants is as follows:
#1. Innovative Emergency Management
#2. The Integrity Group / Blue Sky
#3. Tetna Tech
#4. Rostan
#5. Witt DBniens
#6. Integrated Solutions Consulting
3
I hereby certify that I have no conflict of interest with the selection and ranking of the above
firms:
Signature: Dene 13 ailly
4

Name of Proposer: Innovative Emergency Management

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	34
		(99)
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	(28)
Maximum Points Allowed	130	127
155, 140, 135, 135, 115, 55 - COMMENTS: Full Time Service Office N.C. BASED, Contact Keith 33 years, Flood Mamont, De	Reynolds,	1985
	erry Asso	/ /
Meets Qual. Fication - Summ		NCES 5604
experienced, 500 projec	ts,	
operation plan - very we	Il planned,	Addressed
Toll blasses of Fice		
Bryan Koon, Former D.E.M. a	licator Flori	dn projets
Reviewer: Gene Bailey		
Reviewer: <u>Gene Bailey</u> (Please Print) Ink at ceiling value (clause, 4.1	Page 1 of

Name of Proposer: The Integrity Group/Bluesky

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	32
		97
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	29
Maximum Points Allowed	130	126
120/ 115/ 105/ 105, 95, 40 - \$580 COMMENTS:	0,00 \$ 392,00	0.60
Pallahasse Office - Contac	+ Gary	lates
5. Jum - DAC	- 101	
Qualified, experienced,	Worked wi	Theceso
School Feteronus, 5%	attend Ac	+ Knowledge
Worked with Angle -		
	1 -	
Will work to. I.A. More. Debrit Road Proje.	As world	1-
Vebri o Mana Profe		

Reviewer: Gene Bailey
(Please Print)

Name of Proposer: Tetra Tech

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE			
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL					
Executive Summary	0				
Response to Proposal	5	5			
Statement of Work	10	10			
Minimum qualifications	20	20			
References	20	20			
General Statement of Experience	10	10			
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	32			
COST/FEE PROPOSAL					
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	28			
Maximum Points Allowed	130	125			
215, 195, 145, 146, 83, 48, # 831,	00 NOCAP	,			
215, 195, 145, 146, 83, 48, # 831, Maitland, Fl. based - Contact Experienced - FEMA PA Qualified - HMOP - Good Good References - Finance	Sonathan	Bursiel			
Experienced - FEMA PA	Reimburso m	ent, Good			
Qualified - HMOP - 600	d staff	, 			
Good References - Finance	ial Submit	Hed			
Paulord le Ensineain Sub- Proliniary ensinceris,					
Denemand Support					
Construction Services, Experienced					
FEMFI Appeal Process					

Reviewer: Gene Bailey (Please Print)

Name of Proposer: Rostan Solutions

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	31
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	29
Maximum Points Allowed	130	125

COMMENTS: 185, 180, 155, 155, 155, 85 - 4915.00 \$ 320,000.00

Tallahasse based, Confner Tommy Horlow
DAC experience, Time extensions,
Page 2 reference to Flooding impacts.
Experienced, Mitisphion, Liberty Gunty
Qualitied, Good seterences, Sandy recovery
Prepared DW write up, Arcadis Refrerence
Excellent Bidding & Contract executions, pg. 43

Reviewer: Gone Bailey (Please Print)

Name of Proposer: Witt O'Brien's

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	25
Maximum Points Allowed	130	120
185, 154, 154, 120, 193, 80 \$ 836, COMMENTS:		
Washington D.C. based Cont Florida OF Fice Ft. Laudord	Act Cheryl	Joiner
Florida OF Fice Ft. LAudord	ale, 2013	Firm
1/	Conimic Reco	
Qualified, FEMA PA	Knowledge	(
Expensenced, MANY Hurricon	ve worked,	
References Good	,	
References Good, Grant Mannant		
JACKSON County Reference	PAGE 45	
(•	

Reviewer: Bailey (Please Print)

Name of Proposer: Integrated Solutions Consulting

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	32
		97
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	20
Maximum Points Allowed	130	117
# 219 69 \$151 21 \$1021 \$1020 100	43 89 90 # Q11 d	4 \$856,000
COMMENTS: 181. 36 137.40, 4723.78, 737.	03, 01.10, 411.5	• •
# 219.69, #181.36, #157.40, #12378, 139 COMMENTS: Dural Florida, Paniel MA		
	Artin Contact	
Dural, Florida, Paniel MA	Artin Contact	
Dural, Florida, Paniel MA Mary FEMA People, (CArl Basiness started 2005,	a WANDS	·)
Dural, Florida, Paniel MA Mary FEMA People, (CArl Basiness started 2005,	a WANDS	·)
Dural, Florida, Paniel MA Mary FEMA People, (CArl Basiness started 2005, Qualified, Hurrican ex Disaster Pamase Assessment	a WANDS Goung, perienced, M. Mobile App	nny states
Doral, Florida, Paniel MA Mary FEMM Scople, (CArl Basiness started 2005, Qualified, Hurrican ex Disaster Pamase Assessment Grand Horaking & reporting,	Artin Contact a WANDS young, penienced, M. Mobile App Time sheet	Any states tracking
Dural, Florida, Paniel MA Mary FEMA People, (CArl Basiness started 2005, Qualified, Hurrican ex Disaster Pamase Assessment	Artin Contact a WANDS young, penienced, M. Mobile App Time sheet	Any states tracking

Proposer A	The Integrity Group/Bluesky
Proposer B	Rostan Solutions
Proposer C	Tetra Tech
Proposer D	Innovative Emergency Management
Proposer E	Integrated Solutions Consulting
Proposer F	Witt O'Brien's

PROPOSAL 1: Technical Proposal Criteria	Max Score	Proposer A	Proposer B	Proposer C	Proposer D	Proposer E	Proposer F
Executive Summary	0	0	\bigcirc	0	\bigcirc	0	\mathcal{O}
Response to Proposal	5	5	5	5	5	5	10
Statement of Work	10	9	9	7	6	8	8
Minimum Qualifications	20	18	19	17	17	17	19
References	20	20	19	18	16	15	18
General Statement of Experience	10	8	9	9	8	8	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	33	33	32	32	30	32
Total Proposal 1	100	93	94	පිපි	84	83	90
PROPOSAL 2: Cost/Fee Proposal Criteria	30	28	29	25	25	20	29
TOTAL	130	121	123	113	109	103	119

Reviewer: Chelsea Snowden

2

1

Based	upon evaluation of these proposals, my ranking of consultants is as follows:
#1. <u> </u>	Rostan Solutions
#2	ntegrity Group/Blue Sky
	With O'Brien's
#4. <u> </u>	Tetra Tech
# 5	Innovative Genergency Mgmt.
#6. <u> </u>	ntegrated Solutions
I hereb	by certify that I have no conflict of interest with the selection and ranking of the above
	\bigcap
Signat	ture: Chelsee Smile

Name of Proposer: The Integrity Group/Bluesky

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	Ũ
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	9
Minimum qualifications	20	18
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	9,
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	33
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	38
Maximum Points Allowed	130	121

Developed Low DAC projects #32 mil in reimbursement
3,122 large aluseauts - ~112 per team member
Florida focused

(c References provided (one Calhoun County)
timeline provided one year estimate
2 staff members a low.

Crand total #342,000

Name of Proposer: Rostan Solutions

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	O
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	9
Minimum qualifications	20	19
References	20	19
General Statement of Experience	10	9
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	33
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	29
Maximum Points Allowed	130	123
OMMENTS:		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

5 billion since 2010 Grand total cost - \$320,000

Reviewer: Chelsea Stouden (Please Print)

Name of Proposer: Tetra Tech

Chelsea Sr

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	0
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	7
Minimum qualifications	20	17
References	20	18
General Statement of Experience	10	9
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	32
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	25
Maximum Points Allowed	130	113

#U Dillion in post disaster funding

Bryan Lowe - Ive years experience

M. 8% funding success /reinbursements

Four reterences, 3 Florida

proposed grand total not provided - comparable rates

Name of Proposer: Innovative Emergency Management

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	0
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	(Q
Minimum qualifications	20	17
References	20	10
General Statement of Experience	10	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	32
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	25
Maximum Points Allowed	130	109

1

comments:

est, 1985

Statement of work-recivected to section 7

3 references provided jone Florida

Bryan Koon-project executive

No grand total project, comparable rates

Reviewer: Chelsea Snawden

(Please Print)

Name of Proposer: Integrated Solutions Consulting

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	0
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	6
Minimum qualifications	20	1-7
References	20	15
General Statement of Experience	10	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	20
Maximum Points Allowed	130	103

COMMENTS:	7
Est. 2005	
No concelled contracts since 2005	
3 references provided une Florida	
Cost proposal - #856,000	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	······································
Reviewer: Chelsea Snowclen	
Reviewer: Chersea Chesse Print) (Please Print)	

Name of Proposer: Witt O'Brien's

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	0
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	8
Minimum qualifications	20	19
References	20	18
General Statement of Experience	10	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	32
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	29
Maximum Points Allowed	130	119

COMMENTS:

80,000 project worksneets gleveloped: 50 billion in functing

Bill Riley, 40 years exp. Valarie Philipp, 20 years

10 References previoued, 3 Floricia

Conditatal cost: \$15,000

Reviewer: _______ helsea Snowden

Proposer A	The Integrity Group/Bluesky
Proposer B	Rostan Solutions
Proposer C	Tetra Tech
Proposer D	Innovative Emergency Management ILM
Proposer E	Integrated Solutions Consulting
Proposer F	Witt O'Brien's

PROPOSAL 1: Technical Proposal Criteria	Max Score	Proposer A	Proposer B	Proposer C	Proposer D	Proposer E	Proposer F
Executive Summary	0			Marco.	₽ * * *	,	ga .
Response to Proposal	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
Statement of Work	10	10	10	8	7	8	7
Minimum Qualifications	20	19	20	18	19	19	17
References	20	19	18	18	19	19	17
General Statement of Experience	10	10	9	9	10	9	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	34	35	34	34	33	33
Total Proposal 1	100	97	97	42	96.93	92	85
PROPOSAL 2: Cost/Fee Proposal Criteria	30	341,000	30,000	- 2\	23	850 au	13,40
TOTAL	130	124	127		113	117	(aC

Reviewer: Colow Stages, Adom Johnson

	Based upon evaluation of these proposals, my rankii	ng of consultants is as follows:
	#1. Royton Solutions	
	#2. The Integrity Group / Bluggley	
	#3. Integrated Schaffers Consulting	
	#4. Invective EM	
5	#SH Teta Tech	
	#45 WH O'Brien	
	I hereby certify that I have no conflict of interest with the strength.	selection and ranking of the above
	Signature: Colum Johnson	

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	with the same
Response to Proposal	5	45
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	19
References	20	19
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	34
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	29
Maximum Points Allowed	130	125
COMMENTS:		7

Maximum Points Allowed	130	126
COMMENTS:		7
4		

Reviewer:	Adam	Jan	
		(Diaman Daint)	

Name of Proposer: Rostan Solutions

MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
0	Appen
5	5
10	10
20	20
20	18
10	9
35	35
30	30
130	127
-	4
	POINTS 0 5 10 20 20 10 35

Reviewer:	Adom	Jehrson		 	
		(D)	— • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

Name of Proposer: Tetra Tech

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	_
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	8
Minimum qualifications	20	18
References	20	18
General Statement of Experience	10	cj
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	34(
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	7(
Maximum Points Allowed	130	113

COMMENTS:	<i>‡</i>
·	

Name of Proposer: Integrated Solutions Consulting

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	-
Response to Proposal	5	Ч
Statement of Work	10	8
Minimum qualifications	20	19
References	20	19
General Statement of Experience	10	9
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	33
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	25
Maximum Points Allowed	130	117

	" /
COMMENTS:	4
Pavious: Ada Tohu	

Name of Proposer: Witt O'Brien's

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE	
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL			
Executive Summary	0		
Response to Proposal	5	۲(
Statement of Work	10	7	
Minimum qualifications	20	17	
References	20	7	
General Statement of Experience	10	જ	
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	33	
COST/FEE PROPOSAL			
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	20	
Maximum Points Allowed	130	100	

COMMENTS:				4
			 	
Poviowor:	A . 1.	Toda		

Name of Proposer: Innovative Emergency Management

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	4
Statement of Work	10	7
Minimum qualifications	20	19
References	20	19
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	34
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	23
Maximum Points Allowed	130	13/16

COMMENTS:			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Poviowor:	Adam Jahan		

Proposer A	The Integrity Group/Bluesky
Proposer B	Rostan Solutions
Proposer C	Tetra Tech
Proposer D	Innovative Emergency Management
Proposer E	Integrated Solutions Consulting
Proposer F	Witt O'Brien's

PROPOSAL 1: Technical Proposal Criteria	Max Score	Proposer A	Proposer B	Proposer C	Proposer D	Proposer E	Proposer F
Executive Summary	0						
Response to Proposal	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10	10	7	9	7	7
Minimum Qualifications	20	20	20	18	19	18	18
References	20	20	20	18	19	19	18
General Statement of Experience	10	a	10	8	9	8	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	35	35	28	34	30	30
Total Proposal 1	100	99	100	84	95	87	86
PROPOSAL 2: Cost/Fee Proposal Criteria	30	29	30	10	27	19	20
TOTAL	130	128	130	94	122	97	106

2 1 6 3 5 4

Reviewer: Shaie Smith Angie Smith

Based upon evaluation of these proposals, my ranking of consultants is as follows:
#1. Rostan Solutions
#2. The Integrity Group Bluesky
#3. Innovative Emergency Management
#4. With D'Brion's
#5. Integrated Solutions Consulting
#6. Tetra Tech
I hereby certify that I have no conflict of interest with the selection and ranking of the above firms:
Signature:

Reviewer:

Name of Proposer: The Integrity Group/Bluesky

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE	
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL			
Executive Summary	0	,	
Response to Proposal	5	5	
Statement of Work	10	10	
Minimum qualifications	20	20	
References	20	20	
General Statement of Experience	10	9	
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	35	
COST/FEE PROPOSAL			
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	29	
Maximum Points Allowed	130	128	

OMMENTS:					4
				-	
		 	·		
					
					
	\triangle				

Name of Proposer: Rostan Solutions

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	10
Minimum qualifications	20	20
References	20	20
General Statement of Experience	10	10
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	35
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	30
Maximum Points Allowed	130	130
COMMENTS:		1

Reviewer: Angie Smith
(Please Print)

Name of Proposer: Tetra Tech

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	75
Minimum qualifications	20	18
References	20	18
General Statement of Experience	10	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	28
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	10
Maximum Points Allowed	130	94

COMMENTS:	4
Reviewer: Angia Smith (Please Print)	

Name of Proposer: Innovative Emergency Management

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	9
Minimum qualifications	20	19
References	20	19
General Statement of Experience	10	9
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	34
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	27
Maximum Points Allowed	130	122

7

COMMENTS:

Name of Proposer: Integrated Solutions Consulting

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	7
Minimum qualifications	20	18
References	20	19
General Statement of Experience	10	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	10
Maximum Points Allowed	130	97

COMMENTS:				7
		·	,	
		and the same and t		
			······································	
Poviower	Angia Smith			

Name of Proposer: Witt O'Brien's

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	SCORE
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL		
Executive Summary	0	
Response to Proposal	5	5
Statement of Work	10	7
Minimum qualifications	20	18
References	20	18
General Statement of Experience	10	8
Proposed Management and Operations Plan	35	30
COST/FEE PROPOSAL		
Proposer Cost/Fees	30	20
Maximum Points Allowed	130	106

COMMENTS:	2
0- 5 11-	