

Modern Quantum Mechanics

Solutions Manual

J. J. Sakurai

Late, University of California, Los Angeles

San Fu Tuan, Editor

University of Hawaii, Manoa



THE BENJAMIN/CUMMINGS PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.
Menlo Park, California • Reading, Massachusetts
Don Mills, Ontario • Wokingham, U.K. • Amsterdam • Sydney
Singapore • Tokyo • Mexico City • Bogota • Santiago • San Juan

Copyright © 1985 by Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., The Advanced Book Program,
350 Bridge Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,
electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the
prior written permission of the publisher. Printed in the United States
of America. Published simultaneously in Canada.

ISBN 0-8053-7502-3

4 5 6 7 MQ 95949392

$$[AB, CD] = AD \cdot BC - AC \cdot BD + ABCD - ACBD = ACBD - ACBD + ABCD = ABCD$$

$$ACBD = A[C,D]B = A[C,D,B] + [C,A]DB = C[D,A]B.$$

(a) $X = a_0 + i a_1 \sigma_2$, $\text{tr}(X) = 2a_0$ because $\text{tr}(\sigma_2) = 0$. Next evaluate

$$\text{tr}(a_0 X) = \text{tr}((a_0 a_0) + a_0 a_1 \sigma_2) = a_0 \cdot 2a_0 = 2a_0^2$$

$$\text{tr}(a_0 a_1) = \text{tr}(a_0 a_1 + a_1 a_0) = 2a_1^2$$

Contents

(b) $\psi_0 = \psi(X_1 + iX_2)$ can be evaluated from $\psi_0 = \psi(X)$

with $X = (X_1, X_2)$,	1
1 Fundamental Concepts	1
2 Quantum Dynamics	18
3 Theory of Angular Momentum	45
4 Symmetry in Quantum Mechanics	63
5 Approximation Methods	69
6 Identical Particles	104
7 Scattering Theory	109

$$\det(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{a}) = -|a|^2.$$

Without loss of generality, choose \hat{a} along positive \hat{x} -direction, then $\exp(i\hat{\sigma}_z \hat{a}/2) = \frac{1}{2} \cos \phi/2 + i \frac{1}{2} \sin \phi/2$, and if \tilde{a} is defined to be $\tilde{a} = \cos \phi/2 + i \sin \phi/2$, then

$$\exp(i\hat{\sigma}_z \tilde{a}/2) \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{a} \exp(-i\hat{\sigma}_z \tilde{a}/2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0^2 \tilde{a}^2 & (a_0 - ia_1)^2 \\ (a_0 + ia_1)^2 & -a_0^2 \tilde{a}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\det(a_0^2 \tilde{a}^2) = \cos^2 \phi/2 + \sin^2 \phi/2 = 1, \det(\exp(i\hat{\sigma}_z \tilde{a}/2) \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{a} \exp(-i\hat{\sigma}_z \tilde{a}/2))$$

$$\exp(-i\hat{\sigma}_z \tilde{a}/2) \tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_x^2 + \tilde{a}_y^2 + \tilde{a}_z^2) = -|a|^2, \text{ that is determinant is}$$

Chapter 1

- [AB, CD] = ABCD - CDAB = ABCD + ACBD - ACBD - ACDB + ACDB + CADB - CADB - CDAB = A{C,B}D - AC{D,B} + {C,A}DB - C{D,A}B.
- (a) $X = a_0 + \sum a_\ell \sigma_\ell$, $\text{tr}(X) = 2a_0$ because $\text{tr}(\sigma_\ell) = 0$. Next evaluate $\text{tr}(\sigma_k X) = \text{tr}(\sum_\ell a_\ell \sigma_k \sigma_\ell) = \sum_\ell a_\ell 2\delta_{\ell k} = 2a_k$ (where we have used $\text{tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = \text{tr}(\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i \sigma_j + \sigma_j \sigma_i)) = 2\delta_{ij}$). Hence $a_0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(X)$, $a_k = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma_k X)$.
- (b) $a_0 = \frac{1}{2}(X_{11} + X_{22})$, while a_k can be explicitly evaluated from $a_k = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\sigma_k X)$ with $X = [X_{ij}]$ and $i, j = 1, 2$. The result is $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}(X_{12} + X_{21})$, $a_2 = \frac{i}{2}(-X_{21} + X_{12})$, and $a_3 = \frac{1}{2}(X_{11} - X_{22})$.

3.

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a} = \sigma_x a_x + \sigma_y a_y + \sigma_z a_z = \begin{pmatrix} a_z & a_x - ia_y \\ a_x + ia_y & -a_z \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\det(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a}) = -|\vec{a}|^2.$$

Without loss of generality, choose \hat{n} along positive z-direction, then $\exp(\pm i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}\phi/2) = \frac{1}{2} \cos \phi/2 \pm i\sigma_z \sin \phi/2$, and if B is defined to be $B = \cos \phi/2 + i \sin \phi/2$, then

$$\exp(i\sigma_z \phi/2) \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a} \exp(-i\sigma_z \phi/2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_z B^* B & (a_x - ia_y) B^* \\ (a_x + ia_y) B^{*2} & -a_z B^* B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $B^* B = \cos^2 \phi/2 + \sin^2 \phi/2 = 1$, $\det[\exp(i\sigma_z \phi/2) \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a} \times \exp(-i\sigma_z \phi/2)] = -(|a|^2 + a_x^2 + a_y^2) = -|\vec{a}|^2$, that is determinant is

invariant under specified operation. Next we note

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{a}' = \begin{pmatrix} a'_z & a'_x - ia'_y \\ a'_x + ia'_y & a'_z \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_z & (a_x - ia_y)(\cos\phi + i\sin\phi) \\ (a_x + ia_y)(\cos\phi - i\sin\phi) & -a_z \end{pmatrix}$$

hence $a'_z = a_z$, $a'_x = a_x \cos\phi + a_y \sin\phi$, $a'_y = a_y \cos\phi - a_x \sin\phi$. This is a counter-clockwise rotation about z-axis through angle ϕ in x-y plane.

4. (a) Note $\text{tr}(XY) = \sum_a \langle a' | XY | a' \rangle = \sum_{a', a''} \langle a' | X | a'' \rangle \langle a'' | Y | a' \rangle$ (by closure property) $= \sum_{a', a''} \langle a'' | Y | a' \rangle \langle a' | X | a'' \rangle$ (by rearrangement) $= \sum_{a''} \langle a'' | YX | a'' \rangle$. Since a'' is a dummy summation variable, relabel $a'' = a'$, hence $\text{tr}(XY) = \text{tr}(YX)$.

$$(b) \langle (XY)^\dagger a' | a'' \rangle = \langle a' | [(XY)^\dagger]^\dagger | a'' \rangle = \langle a' | XY | a'' \rangle = \langle X^\dagger a' | Y | a'' \rangle = \langle Y^\dagger X^\dagger a' | a'' \rangle. \text{ Therefore } (XY)^\dagger = Y^\dagger X^\dagger.$$

$$(c) \text{ Take } \exp[\text{if}(A)] |a\rangle = (1 + \text{if}(A) - \frac{[f(A)]^2}{2!} + \dots) |a\rangle = (1 + \text{if}(a) - \frac{[f(a)]^2}{2!} + \dots) |a\rangle = \exp[\text{if}(a)] |a\rangle, \text{ where we}$$

assume that $A|a\rangle = a|a\rangle$. Therefore $\exp[\text{if}(A)] =$

$\sum_a \exp[\text{if}(a)] |a\rangle \langle a|$, where closure property of the complete set $\{|a\rangle\}$ has been used.

$$(d) \sum_a \psi_a^*(\vec{x}') \psi_a(\vec{x}'') = \sum_a \langle \vec{x}' | a' \rangle^* \langle \vec{x}'' | a' \rangle = \sum_a \langle a' | \vec{x}' \rangle \times \langle \vec{x}'' | a' \rangle = \sum_a \langle \vec{x}'' | a' \rangle \langle a' | \vec{x}' \rangle = \langle \vec{x}'' | \vec{x}' \rangle.$$

5. (a) $|\alpha\rangle \langle \beta| = \sum_a \sum_{a''} |a'\rangle \langle a' | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | a'' \rangle \langle a''| = \sum_a \sum_{a''} |a'\rangle \langle a''| \times (\langle a' | \alpha \rangle \langle a'' | \beta \rangle^*)$. Hence $|\alpha\rangle \langle \beta| = [\langle a^{(i)} | \alpha \rangle \langle a^{(j)} | \beta \rangle^*]$, where

expression inside square bracket is the (i,j) matrix element.

$$(b) |\alpha\rangle = |s_z = \hbar/2\rangle = |+\rangle, |\beta\rangle = |s_x = \hbar/2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle + |-\rangle).$$

Hence

$$|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta| = \begin{pmatrix} \langle +|\alpha\rangle\langle +|\beta^* & \langle +|\alpha\rangle\langle -|\beta^* \\ \langle -|\alpha\rangle\langle +|\beta^* & \langle -|\alpha\rangle\langle -|\beta^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 1/\sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

6. Given $A|i\rangle = a_i|i\rangle$ and $A|j\rangle = a_j|j\rangle$. The normalized state vector $|i\rangle + |j\rangle$ is of form $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|i\rangle + |j\rangle)$. Hence $A|\psi\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})[a_i|i\rangle + a_j|j\rangle]$ where a_i, a_j are real numbers if A is Hermitian; but for $a_i \neq a_j$ clearly r.h.s. is a state vector distinct from $|\psi\rangle$. However under the condition that $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ are degenerate (i.e. $a_i = a_j = a$), then $A|\psi\rangle = a[(1/\sqrt{2})(|i\rangle + |j\rangle)] = a|\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ or $|i\rangle + |j\rangle$ is also an eigenket of A .

7. (a) Let $|\xi\rangle \in \{|a'\rangle\}$ and $A|a'\rangle = a'|a'\rangle$. Then since $\prod_a (A - a')|\xi\rangle$ is a product over all eigenvalues, and $|\xi\rangle = \sum_a |a'\rangle\langle a'|\xi\rangle$ must therefore satisfy $\prod_a (A - a')|\xi\rangle = 0$. Hence $\prod_a (A - a')$ is the null operator.

$$(b) \prod_{a'' \neq a'} \frac{(A - a'')}{(a' - a'')} |a'\rangle = \prod_{a'' \neq a'} \frac{(a' - a'')}{(a' - a'')} |a'\rangle = |a'\rangle.$$

Hence $\theta|\xi\rangle = \prod_{a'' \neq a'} \frac{(A - a'')}{(a' - a'')} |\xi\rangle = |a'\rangle\langle a'|\xi\rangle$. The operator therefore projects out of ket $|\xi\rangle$, its $|a'\rangle$ component.

(c) Let $A = S_z$, than $\hat{a}^{\dagger} (S_z - a') = (S_z - \hbar/2)(S_z + \hbar/2)$. Hence evidently

$a' \frac{\hbar}{2} (S_z - a') | \pm \rangle = 0$. This verifies (a) above. For case (b)

we have $\theta_+ = (S_z + \hbar/2)/\hbar$, $\theta_- = -(S_z - \hbar/2)/\hbar$ and $S_z = \hbar/2(|+\rangle\langle+| - |-\rangle\langle-|)$ while ket $|\xi\rangle = |+\rangle\langle+|\xi\rangle + |-\rangle\langle-|\xi\rangle$. Hence $\theta_+ |\xi\rangle = \langle+|\xi\rangle |+\rangle$ and $\theta_- |\xi\rangle = \langle-|\xi\rangle |-\rangle$ and θ_{\pm} are the projection operators of $|\xi\rangle$ to $|\pm\rangle$ states.

8. The orthonormality property is $\langle+|+\rangle = \langle-|-\rangle = 1$, $\langle+|-\rangle = \langle-|+\rangle = 0$.

Hence using the explicit representations of S_i in terms of linear combinations of bra-ket products, we obtain by elementary calculation

$$[S_i, S_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}\hbar S_k \text{ and } \{S_i, S_j\} = (\hbar^2/2)\delta_{ij}.$$

9. Let $\hat{n} = n_x \hat{i} + n_y \hat{j} + n_z \hat{k}$, then $n_x = \sin\beta \cos\alpha$, $n_y = \sin\beta \sin\alpha$, $n_z = \cos\beta$ and $\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n} = \sin\beta \cos\alpha S_x + \sin\beta \sin\alpha S_y + \cos\beta S_z$. Also due to completeness property of the ket space $|\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n};+\rangle = a|+\rangle + b|-\rangle$ where $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ (normalization). Therefore the relation $\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n} |\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n};+\rangle = (\hbar/2) |\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n};+\rangle$ [taking advantage of explicit representations $S_x = \frac{\hbar}{2}(|+\rangle\langle+| - |-\rangle\langle-|)$, $S_y = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(-|+\rangle\langle-| + |-\rangle\langle+|)$, $S_z = \frac{\hbar}{2}(|+\rangle\langle+| - |-\rangle\langle-|)]$ leads to :-

$$(\sin\beta \cos\alpha - i\sin\beta \sin\alpha)b + \cos\beta a = a \quad (la)$$

$$(\sin\beta \cos\alpha + i\sin\beta \sin\alpha)a - \cos\beta b = b \quad (lb)$$

Together with the normalization condition $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, we find

$a = \cos(\beta/2)e^{i\theta}a$ and $b = \sin(\beta/2)e^{i\theta}b$. From equation (la) we have

$$a = \frac{\sin\beta e^{-i\alpha}b}{(1-\cos\beta)}, \text{ hence } e^{i(\theta_b - \theta_a)} = e^{i\alpha}. \text{ Choose } \theta_a = 0, \text{ then } \theta_b = \alpha, \text{ and}$$

$$|\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n};+\rangle = \cos(\beta/2)|+\rangle + \sin(\beta/2)e^{i\alpha}|-\rangle.$$

10. $H = a(|1\rangle\langle 1| - |2\rangle\langle 2| + |1\rangle\langle 2| + |2\rangle\langle 1|)$. Let $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $|2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\langle 1| = (1, 0)$ and $\langle 2| = (0, 1)$, H can be explicitly written using outer product of matrices as

$$H = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues and corresponding eigenkets are obtained from $(H - \lambda I)X = 0$ where $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are eigenvectors and λ are corresponding eigenvalues determined from secular equation $\det(H - \lambda I) = 0$. This leads to $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{2}a$ and $x_2 = (\pm\sqrt{2} - 1)x_1$, hence $X = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm\sqrt{2} - 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and by normalization of X we have $x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(2 \mp \sqrt{2})}}$. Thus eigenvectors and eigenvalues are

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{|1\rangle + (\sqrt{2} - 1)|2\rangle}{\sqrt{2(2 - \sqrt{2})}}, \quad \lambda = \sqrt{2}a$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{|1\rangle - (\sqrt{2} + 1)|2\rangle}{\sqrt{2(2 + \sqrt{2})}}, \quad \lambda = -\sqrt{2}a$$

11. Rewrite H as $H = \frac{1}{2}(H_{11} + H_{22})(|1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2|) + \frac{1}{2}(H_{11} - H_{22})(|1\rangle\langle 1| - |2\rangle\langle 2|) + H_{12}(|1\rangle\langle 2| + |2\rangle\langle 1|)$, where the three operator terms on r.h.s. behave like I , S_z , and S_x respectively. Note that $\frac{1}{2}(H_{11} + H_{22})$ is simply the "center of gravity" of the two levels. Because the identity operator I remains the same under any change of basis, we ignore the $\frac{1}{2}(H_{11} + H_{22})$ term for the moment. Compare now with the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ problem [problem 9 above]. $\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n} = \frac{\hbar}{2} n_x (|+\rangle\langle -| + |-\rangle\langle +|) + \frac{\hbar}{2} n_y (-i|+\rangle\langle -| + i|-\rangle\langle +|) + \frac{\hbar}{2} n_z (|+\rangle\langle +| - |-\rangle\langle -|)$. The analogy is: $(\hbar/2)n_x \rightarrow H_{12}$,

$\frac{\hbar}{2}n_y \rightarrow 0$ ($\alpha=0$), $\frac{\hbar}{2}n_z \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(H_{11}-H_{22})$. So one of the energy eigenkets is $\cos(\beta/2)|1\rangle + \sin(\beta/2)|2\rangle$ where β , analogous to $\tan^{-1}(n_x/n_z)$, is given by $\beta = \tan^{-1}[\frac{2H_{12}}{(H_{11}-H_{22})}]$.

The other energy eigenket can be written down by the orthogonality requirement (or by letting $\beta \rightarrow \beta + \pi$) as $-\sin(\beta/2)|1\rangle + \cos(\beta/2)|2\rangle$. The energy eigenvalues can be obtained by diagonalizing

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(H_{11}-H_{22}) & H_{12} \\ H_{12} & -\frac{1}{2}(H_{11}-H_{22}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

But they can also be obtained by comparing with the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ problem:

$$(\frac{\hbar}{2}n_x)^2 + (\frac{\hbar}{2}n_z)^2 = \hbar^2/4 \rightarrow \text{eigenvalue } \hbar/2,$$

so by analogy the eigenvalue in our case is $[\frac{1}{2}(H_{11}-H_{22})^2 + H_{12}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We must still add to this the center of gravity energy. The final answer is

$$\frac{1}{2}(H_{11}+H_{22}) \pm [\frac{1}{2}(H_{11}-H_{22})^2 + H_{12}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where \pm is the analogue of parallel (anti-parallel) spin direction to \hat{n} . For $H_{12} = 0$, we get $\beta = 0$ or π . The eigenvalues are $\frac{1}{2}(H_{11}+H_{22}) \pm \frac{1}{2}(H_{11}-H_{22}) = \begin{cases} H_{11}, \\ H_{22} \end{cases}$, a very reasonable result.

12. Here $\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n} |\hat{n};+\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} |\hat{n};+\rangle$ and $|\hat{n};+\rangle = \cos(\gamma/2) |+\rangle + \sin(\gamma/2) |-\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\gamma/2) \\ \sin(\gamma/2) \end{pmatrix}$. It is easily seen that the eigenket of S_x belonging to eigenvalue $+\hbar/2$, is $\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus (a) probability of getting $+\hbar/2$ when S_x is measured is $\left| \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\gamma/2) \\ \sin(\gamma/2) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = \frac{1+\sin\gamma}{2}$. (b) $\langle S_x \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} (\cos \frac{\gamma}{2}, \sin \frac{\gamma}{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\gamma/2) \\ \sin(\gamma/2) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sin\gamma$. Hence $\langle (S_x - \langle S_x \rangle)^2 \rangle = \langle S_x^2 \rangle - \langle S_x \rangle^2 = \hbar^2/4 - (\hbar^2/4)\sin^2\gamma = (\hbar^2/4)\cos^2\gamma$. Answers are entirely reasonable for $\gamma = 0, \pi$ (parallel and anti-parallel to OZ), and for $\gamma = \pi/2$ (along OX).

13. Choosing the S_z diagonal basis, the first measurement corresponds to the operator $M(+)=|+\rangle\langle+|$. The second measurement is expressed by the operator $M(+;\hat{n})=|+;\hat{n}\rangle\langle+;\hat{n}|$ where $|+;\hat{n}\rangle=\cos(\beta/2)|+\rangle+\sin(\beta/2)|-\rangle$ with $\alpha=0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M(+;\hat{n}) &= (\cos \frac{\beta}{2}|+\rangle + \sin \frac{\beta}{2}|-\rangle)(\cos \frac{\beta}{2}\langle +| + \sin \frac{\beta}{2}\langle -|) \\ &= \cos^2(\beta/2)|+\rangle\langle +| + \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2}(|+\rangle\langle -| + |-\rangle\langle +|) + \sin^2(\beta/2)|-\rangle\langle -|. \end{aligned}$$

The final measurement corresponds to the operator $M(-)=|-\rangle\langle -|$, and the total measurement $M_T=M(-)M(+;\hat{n})M(+)=|-\rangle\langle -|\{\cos^2(\beta/2)|+\rangle\langle +| + \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2}(|+\rangle\langle -| + |-\rangle\langle +|)\} + \sin^2(\beta/2)|-\rangle\langle -|\}|+\rangle\langle +| = \cos^2(\beta/2)|-\rangle\langle +|$. The intensity of the final

$S_z=-\hbar/2$ beam, when the $S_z=\hbar/2$ beam surviving the first measurement is normalized to unity, is thus $\cos^2(\beta/2)\sin^2(\beta/2)=(\sin^2\beta)/4$. To maximize $S_z=-\hbar/2$ final beam, set $\beta=\pi/2$, i.e. along OX , and intensity is $\frac{1}{4}$.

14. (a) The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of 3×3 matrix representation

$$A = (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

can be obtained by solving $\det[A - \lambda I] = 0$ and normalized eigenvectors $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$ where $[A - \lambda I]x = 0$ and $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$. The eigenvalues are $+1, 0, -1$ and the

and the corresponding eigenvectors are respectively

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is no degeneracy. (b) These are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $J_x = \hbar A$ for a spin 1 particle.

15. Yes! Proof uses completeness and orthonormality of $\{|a', b'\rangle\}$, hence

$$[A, B] = \sum_{a', b'} \sum_{a'', b''} |a'', b''\rangle \langle a'', b''| (AB - BA) |a', b'\rangle \langle a', b'|;$$

but $(AB - BA) |a', b'\rangle = (a'b' - b'a') |a', b'\rangle = 0$, hence $[A, B] = 0$. An alternative

a, b | a', b' } is possible because

{|a', b'} form a complete orthonormal set. Then $[A, B]|\alpha\rangle = 0$ because $[A, B]|\alpha', b'\rangle = 0$, but since $|\alpha\rangle$ is arbitrary, $[A, B] = 0$ must hold as an operator equation.

16. $\{A, B\} = AB + BA = 0$. This implies that $\langle a''|[A, B]|\alpha'\rangle = \langle a''|AB|\alpha'\rangle + \langle a''|BA|\alpha'\rangle = (a''+\alpha')\langle a''|B|\alpha'\rangle = 0$. In general $a''+\alpha' \neq 0$, so $\langle a''|B|\alpha'\rangle$ must vanish for $a'' = \alpha'$ as well as $a'' \neq \alpha'$, hence it is not possible to have a simultaneous eigenket of A and B. The "trivial" case is when $a''+\alpha' = 0$, then $\langle a''|B|\alpha'\rangle \neq 0$ necessarily, and simultaneous eigenket of A and B would appear to be possible. But note $A|\alpha', b'\rangle = \alpha'|\alpha', b'\rangle$, $B|\alpha', b'\rangle = b'|\alpha', b'\rangle \rightarrow (AB+BA)|\alpha', b'\rangle = (\alpha'b' + b'\alpha')|\alpha', b'\rangle = 0$. Hence $\alpha' = 0$, or $b' = 0$, or $\alpha' = b' = 0$. Thus nontrivial simultaneous eigenkets are possible but at the cost that the eigenvalues of one or the other (or both) of operators A and B are zero.

17. No degeneracy implies $|\alpha\rangle$ defined by $H|\alpha\rangle = E_n|\alpha\rangle$ is unique, i.e. only one energy eigenstate when E_n is given. Now $[A_1, H] = 0 \rightarrow [A_1, H]|\alpha\rangle = 0$ or $H(A_1|\alpha\rangle) = E_n(A_1|\alpha\rangle)$, i.e. $A_1|\alpha\rangle$ is an energy eigenket with eigenvalue E_n . The non-degeneracy assumption then implies $A_1|\alpha\rangle$ is proportional to $|\alpha\rangle$, viz. $A_1|\alpha\rangle = a_1|\alpha\rangle$ and likewise $A_2|\alpha\rangle = a_2|\alpha\rangle$. But we are given that $[A_1, A_2] \neq 0$, hence $A_1 A_2 |\alpha\rangle \neq A_2 A_1 |\alpha\rangle$ or $a_1 a_2 |\alpha\rangle \neq a_2 a_1 |\alpha\rangle$, and this is clearly impossible, hence energy eigenstates are, in general, degenerate. Note however this proof fails if $A_1|\alpha\rangle = 0$ (or $A_2|\alpha\rangle = 0$). For $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(r)$, L_x and L_z both commute with H and $[L_x, L_z] \neq 0$, so energy eigenstates are usually degenerate (2 $\ell+1$ fold degeneracy). The exception is for S-state ($\ell=0, m_\ell=0$) where $L_z|\alpha, \ell=0, m_\ell=0\rangle = 0$, hence there need not be degeneracy in this case.

18. (a) This is solved in (1.4.56) and (1.4.57) of text. Basically we set $\lambda = -\langle \beta|\alpha\rangle/\langle \beta|\beta\rangle$ in $(\langle \alpha| + \lambda^* \langle \beta|)(|\alpha\rangle + \lambda|\beta\rangle) \geq 0$, and obtain Schwarz inequality

$$\langle a | \alpha \rangle \langle \beta | \beta \rangle \geq |\langle a | \beta \rangle|^2.$$

(b) The generalized uncertainty relation (1.4.59) is $\langle (\Delta A)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta B)^2 \rangle \geq |\langle \Delta A \Delta B \rangle|^2$ where according to (1.4.63) $|\langle \Delta A \Delta B \rangle|^2 = \hbar |[A, B]|^2 + \hbar |\langle [\Delta A, \Delta B] \rangle|^2$. From (1.4.50) we know that $\Delta A = A - \langle A \rangle$ and $\Delta B = B - \langle B \rangle$ and $\Delta A |a\rangle = \lambda \Delta B |a\rangle$ as given. An elementary calculation leads to $[A, B] = [\Delta A, \Delta B]$, hence $\langle a | [A, B] |a\rangle = \langle a | \times [\Delta A, \Delta B] |a\rangle = \lambda^* \langle a | \Delta B \Delta A |a\rangle - \lambda \langle a | \Delta A \Delta B |a\rangle$. Choose next λ to be purely imaginary; $\langle a | [A, B] |a\rangle = -2\lambda \langle a | (\Delta B)^2 |a\rangle$ while $\hbar |[a | [A, B] |a\rangle|^2 = |\lambda|^2 |\langle a | (\Delta B)^2 |a\rangle|^2$. It is also evident that for λ imaginary $\langle a | [\Delta A, \Delta B] |a\rangle = 0$, therefore from (1.4.63) and the recognition that $\langle a | (\Delta A)^2 |a\rangle \langle a | (\Delta B)^2 |a\rangle = |\lambda|^2 |\langle a | (\Delta B)^2 |a\rangle|^2$, we have equality in the generalized uncertainty relation (1.4.59).

(c) Since $\Delta x = x - \langle x \rangle$, we may express $\langle x' | \Delta x |a\rangle$ as $\int dx'' \langle x' | x'' \rangle \langle x'' | \Delta x |a\rangle = \int dx'' \delta(x' - x'') \langle x'' | x'' |a\rangle - \int dx'' \delta(x' - x'') \langle x'' | x'' |a\rangle$ where normalization $\langle x' | x'' |a\rangle = \delta(x' - x'')$ is chosen. For $\Delta p = p - \langle p \rangle$ where $p = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, we have $\langle x' | \Delta p |a\rangle = \int dx'' \langle x' | x'' | \Delta p |a\rangle$ and $\langle x'' | p |a\rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x''} \langle x'' | a \rangle$. Hence $\langle x' | \Delta p |a\rangle = \int dx'' \delta(x' - x'') \times (-i\hbar) \frac{\partial}{\partial x''} \langle x'' | a \rangle - \langle p \rangle \int dx'' \delta(x' - x'') \langle x'' | a \rangle$. Use next explicit expression for $\langle x'' | a \rangle = (2\pi d^2)^{-1} \exp[\frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\langle p \rangle x'' - (x'' - \langle x \rangle)^2}{4d^2}]$ in above integral forms for $\langle x' | \Delta x |a\rangle$ and $\langle x' | \Delta p |a\rangle$. We find

$$\langle x' | \Delta x |a\rangle = \Lambda \langle x' | \Delta p |a\rangle$$

where $\Lambda = -2id^2/\hbar$ an imaginary number.

9. (a) It is clear that $\langle a | S_x |a\rangle = \sum_{a''} \sum_{a'} \langle a | a'' \rangle \langle a'' | S_x | a' \rangle \langle a' | a \rangle = \sum_a |\langle a | a' \rangle|^2 \langle a' | S_x | a' \rangle$ where $\{|a'\rangle\}$ is a complete set of base kets. Since $S_x = \frac{\hbar}{2}(|+\rangle\langle -| + |-\rangle\langle +|)$, evidently $S_x^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}(|+\rangle\langle +| + |-\rangle\langle -|)$. Take $|a\rangle = |+\rangle$ then $\langle + | S_x^2 | + \rangle = \hbar^2/4$ and $\langle + | S_x | + \rangle = 0$. Therefore

$$\langle + | (\Delta S_x)^2 | + \rangle = \langle + | S_x^2 | + \rangle - \langle + | S_x | + \rangle^2 = \hbar^2/4.$$

Also from $S_y = \frac{1\hbar}{2}(-|+\rangle\langle -| + |-\rangle\langle +|)$, we have $S_y^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}(|+\rangle\langle +| + |-\rangle\langle -|)$, hence it can be readily shown that $\langle + | S_y^2 | + \rangle = \hbar^2/4$ and $\langle + | S_y | + \rangle = 0$. Therefore $\langle + | (\Delta S_y)^2 | + \rangle$

x and y are such that $i\hbar S_z = i\hbar S_x S_y$ and thus $\langle +|S_x|+\rangle = \langle -|S_y|-\rangle$. The generalized uncertainty relation is therefore verified for the equality case.

(b) From $|\hat{n};+\rangle = \cos \frac{\beta}{2} |\langle +| + e^{i\alpha} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} |\langle -|$ it follows for $\beta = \pi/2$ and $\alpha = 0$ we have

$$|S_x;+\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(|\langle +| + |\langle -|). Simple calculations lead to \langle S_x;+|S_x|S_x;+\rangle = \hbar/2 and$$

$\langle S_x;+|S_x^2|S_x;+\rangle = \hbar^2/4$, therefore $\langle S_x;+|(\Delta S_x)^2|S_x;+\rangle = 0$. Again $[S_x, S_y] = i\hbar S_z$, hence $\langle S_x;+|S_z|S_x;+\rangle = 0$ and $\langle S_x;+|(\Delta S_x)^2|S_x;+\rangle \langle S_x;+|(\Delta S_y)^2|S_x;+\rangle = \zeta |\langle S_x;+| \times [S_x, S_y] |S_x;+\rangle|^2$, both sides of generalized uncertainty relation being zero.

$$[\text{Note explicit } \langle S_x;+|S_z|S_x;+\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(\langle +| + \langle -|)[\frac{\hbar}{2}(|\langle +| + |\langle -|)|]\frac{1}{2}\zeta(|\langle +| + |\langle -|) = 0 \text{ if}]$$

we use systematically orthonormality conditions $\langle \pm | \pm \rangle = 1$, $\langle \pm | \mp \rangle = 0$.]

20. Take the normalized linear combination $|\rangle = \alpha |\langle +| + (1-\alpha^2)^{1/2} e^{i\beta} |\langle -|$, where α is real and $|\alpha| < 1$. Then elementary calculations yield $\langle |(\Delta S_x)^2| \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}[1-4\alpha^2(1-\alpha^2)\cos^2\beta]$ and $\langle |(\Delta S_y)^2| \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}(1-4\alpha^2(1-\alpha^2)\sin^2\beta)$. The product

$$\langle |(\Delta S_x)^2| \rangle \langle |(\Delta S_y)^2| \rangle = \frac{\hbar^4}{16}[1-4\alpha^2(1-\alpha^2)+4\alpha^4(1-\alpha^2)^2\sin^2 2\beta].$$

Maximum for $\sin^2 2\beta$ is when $\beta = \pi/4$, and r.h.s. becomes $\frac{\hbar^4}{16}[1-2\alpha^2(1-\alpha^2)]^2$. It is clear that $\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ is a minimum, and the maximum value $\hbar^4/16$ is reached when $\alpha^2 = 0$, or $\alpha^2=1$. Hence the linear 'combination' that maximizes uncertainty product is $e^{i\pi/4} |\langle -|$ or $\pm |\langle +|$. That $\pm |\langle +|$ does not violate uncertainty relation has been proved in Problem 19(a) above. For the $e^{i\pi/4} |\langle -|$ case, we note that the phase factor $e^{i\pi/4}$ cancels out in the scalar product, and $\langle -|S_x|-\rangle = \langle -|S_y|-\rangle = 0$ while

$$\langle -|S_x^2|-\rangle = \langle -|S_y^2|-\rangle = \hbar^2/4. Again \langle -|[S_x, S_y]|-\rangle = \langle -|i\hbar S_z|-\rangle = i\hbar(-\hbar/2) = -i\hbar^2/2.$$

Hence explicitly we have $\langle -|(\Delta S_x)^2|-\rangle \langle -|(\Delta S_y)^2|-\rangle = \hbar^4/16 = \zeta |\langle -|[S_x, S_y]|-\rangle|^2$, again no violation.

21. This is the rigid wall potential ("one-dimensional box"), c.f. (A.2.3) and (A.2.4) of Appendix A. The wave functions and energy eigenstates are $\psi_E(x) = \sqrt{2/a} \sin(n\pi x/a)$, $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$, $E_n = \frac{\hbar^2 n^2 \pi^2}{2ma^2}$, $n=1$ is ground state $n>1$ are the excited states. Next note that

$$\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2, \quad \langle p^2 \rangle = \langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2$$

where $p = \frac{\hbar \partial}{i \partial x}$ and $p^2 = -\hbar^2 \partial^2 / \partial x^2$. For rigid wall potential, we have

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a x^2 \sin^2(n\pi x/a) dx = 2a^2 \left[\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{4n^2 \pi^2} \right] = a^2 [1/3 - 1/(2n^2 \pi^2)]$$

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a x \sin^2(n\pi x/a) dx = 0$$

$$\langle p^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a \sin^2\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) (-\hbar^2 \partial^2 / \partial x^2) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) dx = \frac{\hbar^2}{a^2} (n\pi)^2$$

$$\langle p \rangle = \frac{2}{a} \int_0^a \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) \left(\frac{\hbar \partial}{i \partial x} \right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right) dx = 0.$$

Therefore the uncertainty product $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta p)^2 \rangle = \frac{a^2}{2} [1/6 - 1/n^2 \pi^2] \frac{\hbar^2}{a^2} (n\pi)^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} [(n\pi)^2/6 - 1]$; for ground state $n=1$, for excited states $n>1$.

22. Assume that the ice pick is equivalent to a mass point m attached to a light rod of length L the other end of which is balanced on a fixed hard surface. For small angle θ departure of pick from vertical, the torque equation is $mL^2 d^2 \theta / dt^2 = mg\theta L$, and solution $\theta(t) = ae^{\sqrt{g/L}t} + be^{-\sqrt{g/L}t}$. The uncertainty relation at $t=0$ with $\Delta x = L\theta = (a+b)L$, $\Delta p = Lmd\theta/dt = \sqrt{g/L}(a-b)Lm = m\sqrt{gL}(a-b)$ is $\Delta x \Delta p = \hbar/2$ (best we can do and realized for Gaussian packet). Now $\Delta x \Delta p = \hbar/2$ implies $a^2 = b^2 + \hbar^2 / (2m[gL^3]^2)$. The displacement x later time t is minimized by making a and b as small as possible. So set $a = \pm \sqrt{\hbar / (2m[gL^3]^2)}$, $b = 0$ (actually irrelevant for $t \gg \sqrt{L/g}$). Displacement becomes noticeable when θ becomes as large as $\theta_f = \pi/100 \approx 2^\circ$. We have $\theta_f = ae^{\sqrt{g/L}t_f}$ and taking for definiteness $a = +\sqrt{\hbar / (2m[gL^3]^2)}$, $t_f = \sqrt{L/g} [\ln \theta_f + \ln(\sqrt{\hbar / (2m[gL^3]^2)})]$. Use $L = 10$ cm, $m = 100$ gm, and $g = 980$ cm/sec², we have $t_f = 3.4$ sec. Actually this number is very insensitive

to m and θ_f . For any reasonable value, we get $t_f \approx 3$ sec.

23. (a) The characteristic equation $\det[B - \lambda I] = 0$, leads to $(\lambda - b)^2(\lambda + b) = 0$. Hence $\lambda = \pm b$ and $\lambda = b$ is a two-fold degenerate eigenvalue.

(b) Straightforward matrix multiplication gives

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & iab \\ 0 & -iab & 0 \end{pmatrix} = BA, \text{ hence } [A, B] = 0$$

(c) The eigenvectors (eigenkets) of B , together with $[A, B] = 0$, yield simultaneous eigenvectors of A and B . Let λ_i be eigenvalues of B , and corresponding eigenvectors are

$$u^i = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^i \\ u_2^i \\ u_3^i \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } Bu^i = \lambda_i u^i, i=1,2,3.$$

For $\lambda_1 = b$, we have $bu_1^1 = bu_1^1$, $iбу_2^1 = bu_3^1$, and $iu_2^1 = u_3^1$. Choose $u_1^1 = 1$, $u_2^1 = u_3^1 = 0$ than

$$u^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = |1\rangle.$$

For the degenerate $\lambda_2 = b$, we have $bu_1^2 = bu_1^2$ and $iu_2^2 = u_3^2$. But u^2 must be orthogonal to u^1 , hence $u_1^2 = 0$. Therefore we choose $u_1^2 = 0$, $u_2^2 = 1$, $u_3^2 = i$, and the normalized

$$u^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|2\rangle + i|3\rangle), \text{ where } |2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } |3\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For nondegenerate $\lambda_3 = -b$, again u^3 must be orthogonal to u^1 and u^2 , therefore $u_1^3 = 0$ and relation $iu_2^3 = -u_3^3$ can be satisfied by choosing $u_2^3 = 1$, $u_3^3 = -i$. Together with normalization we have

$$u^3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|2\rangle - i|3\rangle).$$

In this new set u^i ($i=1,2,3$), evidently $Au^1 = au^1$, $Au^2 = -au^2$, $Au^3 = -au^3$, and there

is two fold-degeneracy w.r.t. eigenvalue $-a$ of operator A.

24. (a) The rotation matrix [c.f. (3.2.44)] acting on a two-component spinor can be written as $\exp[-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}\theta/2] = \frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$. For clockwise rotation about x-axis through $-\pi/2$, we have $\theta = -\pi/2$, hence $\exp[-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}\theta/2] = \frac{1}{2}i(1+i\sigma_x)$.
- (b) If we transform from base kets in S_z representation to eigenkets of S_y as base kets, i.e. rotate by angle $-\pi/2$ about x-axis, S_z is transformed into

$$\frac{i}{2}(1/\sqrt{2})(1-i\sigma_x)\sigma_z(1/\sqrt{2})(1+i\sigma_x) = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

[This can be seen by noting that if $\{|c\rangle\}$ is S_y basis while $\{|b\rangle\}$ is S_z basis, then transformation is

$$\langle c''|S_z|c'\rangle = \sum_{b', b''} \langle c''|b'\rangle \langle b''|S_z|b''\rangle \langle b''|c'\rangle.$$

25. Given $\langle b'|A|b''\rangle$ is real. Take another basis $\{|c\rangle\}$, then $|c'\rangle = \sum_b |b'\rangle \langle b'|c'\rangle$, hence $\langle c'|A|c''\rangle = (\sum_b \langle c'|b'\rangle \langle b'|)A(\sum_b \langle b''|c''\rangle |b''\rangle) = \sum_{b', b''} \langle c'|b'\rangle \langle b''|c''\rangle \times \langle b'|A|b''\rangle$. It is not necessary that $\langle c'|b'\rangle$ and $\langle b''|c''\rangle$ be real. Take the S_y and S_z cases of problem 24 above. Here $|b'\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = |+\rangle$ while $|b''\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = |-\rangle$ for S_z and $|c'\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ i/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} = |S_y; +\rangle$ while for S_y $|c''\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -i/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} = |S_y; -\rangle$. Hence $\langle c'|b'\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2} = \langle c''|b'\rangle$, but $\langle c''|b''\rangle = i/\sqrt{2} = -\langle c'|b''\rangle$ are imaginary.

26. From problems 9 and 19, we have $|S_x; +\rangle = \frac{1}{2}i(|+\rangle + |-\rangle)$, i.e. $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = \pi/2$ in $|\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n}; +\rangle$. Now $|S_x; -\rangle$ corresponds to axis of quantization in the -x direction, i.e. $\alpha = \pi$, $\beta = \pi/2$, hence $|S_x; -\rangle = \frac{1}{2}i(|+\rangle - |-\rangle)$. Let $U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ be the transformation matrix between S_z diagonal basis and S_x diagonal basis, i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} |S_x; +\rangle \\ |S_x; -\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |+\rangle \\ |-\rangle \end{pmatrix} = U |\epsilon\rangle$$

then evidently $U_{11} = U_{12} = 1/\sqrt{2}$ while $U_{21} = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $U_{22} = -1/\sqrt{2}$. Take $|S_x; +\rangle$

$= U_{11}|+\rangle + U_{12}|-\rangle = \sum_a \langle a|S_x;+|a\rangle$ while $|S_x;-\rangle = U_{21}|+\rangle + U_{22}|-\rangle = \sum_b \langle b|S_x;+|b\rangle$ with $a, b = +, -$. Take the general form $U = \sum_r |b^{(r)}\rangle \langle a^{(r)}|$, then $U|r\rangle = \sum_r |b^{(r)}\rangle \times \langle a^{(r)}|_r\rangle$. Identify $|a\rangle$ or $|b\rangle$ with $|b^{(r)}\rangle$ and $\langle a^{(r)}|_r\rangle$ with $\langle a|S_x;+|a\rangle$ or $\langle b|S_x;+|b\rangle$, we see that U can indeed be expressed as $U = \sum_r |b^{(r)}\rangle \langle a^{(r)}|$.

27. (a) Matrix element $\langle b''|f(A)|b'\rangle = \sum_a \langle b''|f(A)|a'\rangle \langle a'|b'\rangle = \sum_a f(a') \langle b''|a'\rangle \langle a'|b'\rangle$ where $\langle a'|b'\rangle$ (likewise $\langle b''|a'\rangle$) is an element of the transformation matrix from the a' basis to the b' basis. (b) The matrix element $\langle \vec{p}''|F(\vec{r})|\vec{p}'\rangle = \int d\vec{r}' F(\vec{r}') \times \langle \vec{p}''|\vec{r}'\rangle \langle \vec{r}'|\vec{p}'\rangle$. Note that $\langle \vec{r}'|\vec{p}'\rangle = [1/(2\pi\hbar)]^{3/2} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}'/\hbar}$, this implies that $\langle \vec{p}''|F(\vec{r})|\vec{p}'\rangle = [1/(2\pi\hbar)^3] \int d\vec{r}' F(\vec{r}') e^{i(\vec{p}'-\vec{p}'').\vec{r}'/\hbar}$.

Suppose $F(\vec{r})$ is spherically symmetric = $F(r)$, than (choosing z-axis along $\vec{p}'-\vec{p}''$)

$$\langle \vec{p}''|F(r)|\vec{p}'\rangle = \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int_1^{\infty} d(\cos\theta) \int r'^2 dr' F(r') e^{iqr' \cos\theta/\hbar}$$

where $q = |\vec{p}'-\vec{p}''|$. Integrate out the $\cos\theta$ integration on r.h.s. we have

$$\langle \vec{p}''|F(r)|\vec{p}'\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar^2 q} \int_0^\infty r' \sin(qr'/\hbar) F(r') dr'$$

28. (a) $[x, F(p_x)]_{cl} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_x} - \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_x} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$, but $\frac{\partial x}{\partial p_x} = 0$, hence $[x, F(p_x)]_{cl} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_x}$.

- (b) Now $[x, F(p_x)]_{QM} = i\hbar [x, F(p_x)]_{cl}$, hence

$$[x, \exp(ip_x a/\hbar)]_{QM} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_x} \exp(ip_x a/\hbar) = -a \exp(ip_x a/\hbar).$$

- (c) Using (b) we have

$$[x, \exp(ip_x a/\hbar)]|x'\rangle = -a \exp(ip_x a/\hbar)|x'\rangle.$$

Hence $x \exp(ip_x a/\hbar)|x'\rangle - \exp(ip_x a/\hbar)x|x'\rangle = -a \exp(ip_x a/\hbar)|x'\rangle$, and thence

$x[\exp(ip_x a/\hbar)|x'\rangle] = (x'-a)[\exp(ip_x a/\hbar)|x'\rangle]$. This eigenvalue equation implies that $\exp(ip_x a/\hbar)|x'\rangle$ is an eigenstate of coordinate operator x , with corresponding eigenvalue $(x'-a)$.

29. (a) We assume that $G(\vec{p})$ and $F(\vec{x})$ can be expressed as a power series

$$G(\vec{p}) = \sum_{n,m,l} a_{nml} p_i^n p_j^m p_k^l, \quad F(\vec{x}) = \sum_{n,m,l} b_{nml} x_i^n x_j^m x_k^l.$$

An elementary calculation yields $[x_1, p_i^n p_j^m p_k^l] = n! \hbar p_i^{n-1} p_j^m p_k^l$ (use $[x_1, ABC] = [x_1, A]BC + A[x_1, B]C + (AB)[x_1, C]$) and $[p_i, x_1^n x_j^m x_k^l] = -n! \hbar x_1^{n-1} x_j^m x_k^l$, where the relationships $[x_1, p_i^n] = n! \hbar p_i^{n-1}$ and $[p_i, x_1^n] = -n! \hbar x_1^{n-1}$ can be easily proved by mathematical induction. Using the series form for $G(\vec{p})$ and $F(\vec{x})$ we get at once $[x_1, G(\vec{p})] = i\hbar \partial G / \partial p_1$ and $[p_1, F(\vec{x})] = -i\hbar \partial F / \partial x_1$.

(b) $[x^2, p^2] = [x^2, pp] = [x^2, p]p + p[x^2, p]$, but from (a) $[p, x^2] = -2i\hbar x$, so $[x^2, p^2] = 2i\hbar xp + 2i\hbar px = 2i\hbar \{x, p\}$. The classical P.B. for $[x^2, p^2]$ is evaluated via $[x^2, p^2]_{cl} = \frac{\partial x^2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial p^2}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial x^2}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p^2}{\partial x} = 2x(2p) = 4xp$. Since in the classical limit $\{x, p\} = 2xp$, we have $[x^2, p^2]_{QM} = i\hbar [x^2, p^2]_{cl}$.

30. (a) $[x_1, T(\vec{t})] = i\hbar \partial T(\vec{t}) / \partial p_1 = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \exp(-ip_1 \cdot \vec{t}/\hbar) = i\hbar (-i\vec{t}_1/\hbar) \exp(-ip_1 \cdot \vec{t}/\hbar) = \vec{t}_1 T(\vec{t})$. (b) Noting that $\langle x_1 \rangle = \langle a | x_1 | a \rangle$ where $|a\rangle$ is a general state ket, take expression $\langle a | T^\dagger(\vec{t}) [x_1, T(\vec{t})] | a \rangle = \langle a | T^\dagger(\vec{t}) \vec{t}_1 T(\vec{t}) | a \rangle = \vec{t}_1$. But we note that $\langle a | T^\dagger(\vec{t}) [x_1, T(\vec{t})] | a \rangle = \langle a | T^\dagger x_1 T | a \rangle - \langle a | T^\dagger T x_1 | a \rangle$, hence

$$\langle x_1 \rangle_{\text{translated}} = \langle x_1 \rangle + \vec{t}_1, \text{ and therefore } \langle \vec{x} \rangle_{\text{translated}} = \langle \vec{x} \rangle + \vec{t}.$$

31. Given $[\vec{x}, T(dx')] = dx'$ or $\vec{x}T(dx') = dx' + T(dx')\vec{x}$ and $[\vec{p}, T(dx')] = 0$ or $\vec{p}T(dx') = T(dx')\vec{p}$, we study $\langle a | T^\dagger(dx') \vec{x} T(dx') | a \rangle$ substituting as we did in problem 30. We find $\langle \vec{x} \rangle_{\text{translated}} = \langle a | T^\dagger(dx') (dx' + T(dx')\vec{x}) | a \rangle$. Now $T^\dagger(dx') = 1 + i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{d}x'$, hence $T^\dagger(dx')dx' = dx'$ to first order in small quantity dx' . Hence $\langle \vec{x} \rangle_{\text{translated}} = dx' + \langle \vec{x} \rangle$. Using $\vec{p}T(dx') = T(dx')\vec{p}$, we find $\langle \vec{p} \rangle_{\text{translated}} = \langle a | T^\dagger(dx') \vec{p} T(dx') | a \rangle = \langle a | \vec{p} | a \rangle = \langle \vec{p} \rangle$. Hence $\langle \vec{p} \rangle_{\text{translated}} = \langle \vec{p} \rangle$.

32. Use of $\langle x' | a \rangle = \frac{1}{d \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi}} \exp(i k x' - x'^2/2d^2)$, we find by elementary calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \langle x' | a \rangle &= -\frac{1}{d \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi}} (ik - x'/d^2) \exp(i k x' - x'^2/2d^2), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \langle x' | a \rangle) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} 2(\langle x' | a \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{d \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi}} [-k^2 - \frac{1}{d^2} - \frac{2ikx'}{d^2} + x'^2/d^4] \exp(i k x' - x'^2/2d^2). \end{aligned}$$

$$(a) \langle p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \alpha | x' \rangle [-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x'}] \langle x' | \alpha \rangle dx' = -\frac{i\hbar}{d\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-x'^2/d^2) (ik-x'/d^2) dx'.$$

The odd term of integrand vanishes, and $\langle p \rangle = [\hbar k/d\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}] \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-x'^2/d^2) dx' = \frac{\hbar k d \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}{d\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \hbar k$. Likewise $\langle p^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \alpha | x' \rangle p^2 \langle x' | \alpha \rangle dx' = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \alpha | x' \rangle (-\hbar^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2} \langle x' | \alpha \rangle dx' = -\frac{\hbar^2}{d\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-x'^2/d^2) [x'^2/d^4 - k^2 - 1/d^2 - 2ikx/d^2] dx = \hbar^2/2d^2 + \hbar^2 k^2$, again dropping odd terms in integrand.

$$(b) \langle p | \alpha \rangle = \frac{d^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp[-(p-\hbar k)^2 d^2 / 2\hbar^2]. \text{ The expectation value } \langle p \rangle \text{ using momentum space wave function is then}$$

$$\langle p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \alpha | p \rangle p \langle p | \alpha \rangle dp = \frac{d}{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p \exp[-(p-\hbar k)^2 d^2 / \hbar^2] dp.$$

Change variable to $q = p - \hbar k$, we have $\langle p \rangle = (d/\hbar \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (q + \hbar k) \exp[-q^2 d^2 / \hbar^2] dq$, and dropping the odd integration contribution

$$\langle p \rangle = (d/\hbar \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \hbar k (\hbar \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}/d) = \hbar k.$$

Similarly

$$\langle p^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (d/\hbar \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}) p^2 \exp[-(p-\hbar k)^2 d^2 / \hbar^2] dp$$

and changing variable to $q = p - \hbar k$ (hence $p^2 = q^2 + 2q\hbar k + \hbar^2 k^2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p^2 \rangle &= (d/\hbar \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (q^2 + 2\hbar k q + \hbar^2 k^2) \exp[-q^2 d^2 / \hbar^2] dq \\ &= (d/\hbar \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}) [\hbar^3 \sqrt{\pi}/2d^3 + \hbar \sqrt{\pi} \hbar^2 k^2/d] = \hbar^2/2d^2 + \hbar^2 k^2. \end{aligned}$$

33. (a) To prove (i) $\langle p' | x | \alpha \rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \langle p' | \alpha \rangle$, let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p' | x | p'' \rangle &= \int \langle p' | x | x' \rangle \langle x' | p'' \rangle dx' = \int x' \langle p' | x' \rangle \langle x' | p'' \rangle dx' \\ &= [1/(2\pi\hbar)] \int dx' x' e^{-ix'} \cdot (p' - p'')/\hbar. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } \delta(p' - p'') = [1/(2\pi\hbar)] \int dx' e^{-ix'} \cdot (p' - p'')/\hbar, \text{ so } \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \delta(p' - p'') = \int \frac{dx'}{(2\pi\hbar)} x' e^{-ix'} \cdot (p' - p'')/\hbar,$$

$$\text{hence } \langle p' | x | p'' \rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \delta(p' - p''). \text{ Express now } \langle p' | x | \alpha \rangle = \int dp'' \langle p' | x | p'' \rangle \langle p'' | \alpha \rangle = \int dp'' i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \delta(p' - p'') \langle p'' | \alpha \rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \langle p' | \alpha \rangle.$$

For (ii) we perform an analogous procedure. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \beta | x | \alpha \rangle &= \int dp' \langle \beta | p' \rangle \langle p' | x | \alpha \rangle = \int dp' \langle \beta | p' \rangle i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \langle p' | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \int dp' \phi_{\beta}^*(p') i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p'} \phi_{\alpha}(p').\end{aligned}$$

(b) Consider momentum eigenket with eigenvalue p' . Then $p|p'\rangle = p'|p'\rangle$. Now consider the ket $|p', \Xi\rangle = \exp[ix\Xi/\hbar]|p'\rangle$. Is this a momentum eigenket and if yes what is the value? To see this let's operate with p , then

$$\begin{aligned}p|p', \Xi\rangle &= p(\exp[ix\Xi/\hbar])|p'\rangle = (\exp(ix\Xi/\hbar)p + [p, \exp(ix\Xi/\hbar)])|p'\rangle \\ \text{and } [p, \exp(ix\Xi/\hbar)] &= -i\hbar \partial(\exp(ix\Xi/\hbar))/\partial x = -i\hbar(i\Xi/\hbar)\exp(ix\Xi/\hbar). \text{ So } p|p', \Xi\rangle = \\ \exp(ix\Xi/\hbar)p'|p'\rangle + \Xi \exp(ix\Xi/\hbar)|p'\rangle &= (p' + \Xi)\exp(ix\Xi/\hbar)|p'\rangle = (p' + \Xi)|p', \Xi\rangle. \\ \text{Hence } |p', \Xi\rangle &\text{ is eigenket of } p \text{ with eigenvalue } p' + \Xi \text{ and operator } \exp(ix\Xi/\hbar) \\ \text{is momentum translation operator and } x \text{ is the generator of momentum transla-} \\ \text{tions.}\end{aligned}$$

Chapter 2

1. Hamiltonian $H = \omega S_z$. The Heisenberg equations of motion are:

$$\dot{S}_x = (1/i\hbar)[S_x, H] = (\omega/i\hbar)[S_x, S_z] = -\omega S_y$$

$$\dot{S}_y = (1/i\hbar)[S_y, H] = (\omega/i\hbar)[S_y, S_z] = +\omega S_x$$

$$\dot{S}_z = 0.$$

Hence $\dot{S}_x + i\dot{S}_y = -\omega S_y + i\omega S_x = i\omega(S_x + iS_y)$ and $\dot{S}_x - i\dot{S}_y = -\omega S_y - i\omega S_x = -i\omega(S_x - iS_y)$, so $(S_x \pm iS_y)_t = (S_x \pm iS_y)_{t=0} e^{\pm i\omega t}$ and we have finally $S_x(t) = S_x(0)\cos\omega t - S_y(0)\sin\omega t$, $S_y(t) = S_y(0)\cos\omega t + S_x(0)\sin\omega t$, $S_z(t) = S_z(0)$.

2. The Hamiltonian is obviously not Hermitian. Physically, the particle can go from state 2 to state 1 but not from state 1 to state 2. Because H is not Hermitian the time evolution operator is not unitary. Since unitarity is important for probability conservation, we suspect that probability conservation is violated.

To illustrate this point, set $H_{11} = H_{22} = 0$ for simplicity. For the time evolution operator we get, as usual, $U(t, t_0=0) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (1-i\hbar H/\hbar N)^N$ where U is actually not unitary. But $H^2 = H_{12}^2 |1\rangle \langle 2| |1\rangle \langle 2| = 0$, hence $H^n = 0$ for $n > 1$. This means that $U(t, t_0=0) = 1 - (itH_{12}/\hbar) |1\rangle \langle 2|$ even for a finite time interval. Now the most general initial state is $c_1|1\rangle + c_2|2\rangle$. At a later time we have $[1 - (itH_{12}/\hbar) |1\rangle \langle 2|](c_1|1\rangle + c_2|2\rangle) = c_1|1\rangle + c_2|2\rangle - (itH_{12}/\hbar)c_2|1\rangle$. Hence the probability for being found in $|1\rangle$ is $|c_1 - (itH_{12}/\hbar)c_2|^2$ and the probability for being found in $|2\rangle$ is $|c_2|^2$. But the total probability is $|c_1|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Im}(c_1 c_2^*) H_{12} t / \hbar + |c_2|^2 H_{12}^2 t^2 / \hbar^2 + |c_2|^2 \neq |c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2$ in general, and in fact $\langle \alpha, t_0=0 | \alpha, t_0=0 \rangle \neq \langle \alpha, t_0=0; t | \alpha, t_0=0; t \rangle$, so probability conservation is violated!

3. At time $t = 0$, $\hat{n} = \sin\beta \hat{x} + \cos\beta \hat{z}$, and $\vec{S} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \vec{\sigma}$, and $\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n} = \frac{\hbar}{2}(\sin\beta \sigma_x + \cos\beta \sigma_z)$.

The eigenvalue equation at $t = 0$ $\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n} |\psi\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} |\psi\rangle$ where $|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ leads to

$a\cos\beta + b\sin\beta = a$, and a normalized eigenstate of form

$$\frac{(1+\cos\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \sin\beta/(1+\cos\beta) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

The Hamiltonian $H = -\vec{\mu}_s \cdot \vec{B} = (g_s \mu_B/2)\sigma_z B$ is that under consideration.

(a) The time dependence of $\psi(t)$ is governed by $H|\psi\rangle = i\hbar\partial/\partial t|\psi\rangle$ or

$$-i\omega \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ -B(t) \end{pmatrix} = \partial/\partial t \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where $\omega = g_s \mu_B B / 2\hbar$. This leads to two equations $-i\omega A(t) = \partial/\partial t[A(t)]$ and $+i\omega B(t) = \partial/\partial t[B(t)]$, thus $A(t) = A(0)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $B(t) = B(0)e^{+i\omega t}$. Compare with (1) above, we have

$$\psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} [(1+\cos\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}/2^{\frac{1}{2}}]e^{-i\omega t} \\ [\sin\beta/2^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+\cos\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}]e^{+i\omega t} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Next we express $|\psi(t)\rangle$ in the $|s_x; \pm\rangle$ basis as $\alpha_1|s_x; +\rangle + \alpha_2|s_x; -\rangle$ where $|s_x; \pm\rangle$

are given explicitly by (1.4.17a) and $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1) \begin{pmatrix} Ae^{-i\omega t} \\ Be^{+i\omega t} \end{pmatrix} = (1/\sqrt{2})Ae^{-i\omega t} + (1/\sqrt{2})Be^{+i\omega t}$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -1) \begin{pmatrix} Ae^{-i\omega t} \\ Be^{+i\omega t} \end{pmatrix} = (1/\sqrt{2})Ae^{-i\omega t} - (1/\sqrt{2})Be^{+i\omega t}$ (for short

we have written $A(0) = A$ and $B(0) = B$). Hence probability of finding the electron in $s_x = \hbar/2$ state is $\alpha_1^* \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}[A^2 + B^2 + AB(e^{2i\omega t} + e^{-2i\omega t})] = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sin\beta \cos 2\omega t)$.

$$(b) \langle s_x \rangle = \langle \psi(t) | s_x | \psi(t) \rangle = (A^*(t), B^*(t)) \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2} (A^*(t)B(t) + B^*(t)A(t)) = (\hbar/2)\sin\beta\cos 2\omega t.$$

(c) In case (i) $B \rightarrow C$, $\alpha_1^* \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\langle s_x \rangle = 0$; in case (ii) $\alpha_1^* \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos 2\omega t) = \cos^2 \omega t$ while $\langle s_x \rangle \rightarrow \hbar(\cos^2 \omega t - \frac{1}{2})$. These answers are eminently sensible since for $\beta = 0$ \hat{n} is along the z-axis, hence there is equal probability of being found in $|s_x; +\rangle$ (i.e. $\alpha_1^* \alpha_1$) and in $|s_x; -\rangle$ (i.e. $\alpha_2^* \alpha_2$) - both being $\frac{1}{2}$. Yet $\langle s_x \rangle$

= 0 as the classical analogue would also be reasonable for an electron pointed spin-wise in the z-direction. For $\theta = \pi/2$ (i.e. \hat{a} along OX), at $t=0$ $a_1^* a_1 = 1$, and $\langle s_x \rangle = \hbar/2$ are entirely reasonable in terms of initial state requirements.

4. First work out $x(t)$ and $p(t)$ in the Heisenberg picture. Evidently $\dot{x} = (1/i\hbar)[x, p^2/2m] = p/m$, and $\dot{p} = (1/i\hbar)[p, p^2/2m] = 0$. So $p(t) = p(0)$ and is independent of time, while $x(t) = x(0) + (p(0)/m)t$. Hence $[x(t), x(0)] = (t/m)[x(0), p(0)] = i\hbar t/m$.

5. $[H, x] = [p^2/2m + V(x), x] = -i\hbar p/m$, therefore $[[H, x], x] = -\hbar^2/m$. Take the expectation value of $[[H, x], x]$ w.r.t. an energy eigenket $|a''\rangle$, we have

$$\langle a'' | H_{xx} | a'' \rangle - 2\langle a'' | xHx | a'' \rangle + \langle a'' | xxH | a'' \rangle = -\hbar^2/m. \quad (1)$$

Use next $H|a''\rangle = E_{a''}|a''\rangle$ and $\langle a'' | H = E_{a''}\langle a'' |$, (1) becomes

$$E_{a''}\langle a'' | xx | a'' \rangle - 2\langle a'' | xHx | a'' \rangle + E_{a''}\langle a'' | xx | a'' \rangle = -\hbar^2/m \quad (2a)$$

$$\text{or } -E_{a''}\langle a'' | xx | a'' \rangle + \langle a'' | xHx | a'' \rangle = \hbar^2/2m \quad (2b)$$

Now using closure property, we have $\langle a'' | xHx | a'' \rangle = \sum_a \langle a'' | xH | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle = \sum_a E_{a''} \langle a'' | x | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle = \sum_a E_{a''} |\langle a'' | x | a' \rangle|^2$, and $\langle a'' | xx | a'' \rangle = \sum_a \langle a'' | x | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle = \sum_a |\langle a'' | x | a' \rangle|^2$. Equation (2b) becomes

$$\sum_a |\langle a'' | x | a' \rangle|^2 (E_{a''} - E_{a''}) = \hbar^2/2m. \quad (3)$$

6. Let $H = \vec{p}^2/2m + V(\vec{x})$, and we compute $[\vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}, H]$ through the following steps.

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}, H] &= [\vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}, \vec{p}^2/2m + V(\vec{x})] = (1/2m)[\vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}, \vec{p}^2] + [\vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}, V(\vec{x})] = (1/2m) \sum_{i,j} [x_i p_j, p_i p_j] + \\ &[x_i p_i, p_j p_j] + \sum_i [x_i p_i, V(\vec{x})] = (1/2m) \sum_{i,j} (x_i [p_i, p_j p_j] + [x_i, p_j p_j] p_i) + \\ &\sum_i (x_i [p_i, V(\vec{x})] + [x_i, V(\vec{x})] p_i) = (1/2m) \sum_{i,j} [x_i, p_j p_j] p_i + \sum_i x_i [p_i, V(\vec{x})] \\ &= (1/2m) \sum_{i,j} ([x_i, p_j] p_j p_i + p_j [x_i, p_j] p_i) + \sum_i x_i [p_i, V(\vec{x})] = (1/2m) \sum_{i,j} (i\hbar \delta_{ij} p_j p_i \\ &+ p_j (i\hbar \delta_{ij}) p_i) + \sum_i x_i (-i\hbar \partial V / \partial x_i) = i\hbar [\vec{p}^2/m - \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\nabla} V(\vec{x})]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle [\vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}, H] \rangle =$

$= i\hbar [\langle \vec{p}^2 \rangle /m - \langle \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\nabla} V(\vec{x}) \rangle] = i\hbar d/dt \langle \vec{x} \cdot \vec{p} \rangle$ (using Heisenberg equation of motion for $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}$). The condition for quantum mechanical analogue of the virial theorem is $d/dt \langle \vec{x} \cdot \vec{p} \rangle = 0$, i.e. the expectation value of $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{p}$ for a stationary state is independent of t .

7. To compute $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2$, first note that state ket is fixed in the Heisenberg picture, hence $\langle x(t) \rangle = \langle t=0 | x(t) | t=0 \rangle = 0$ because $\langle x(0) \rangle = \langle p(0) \rangle = 0$ and $x(t) = x(0) + (p(0)/m)t$ from problem 4 above. Next we compute

$$[x(t)]^2 = [x(0)]^2 + (t/m)[x(0)p(0) + p(0)x(0)] + (t^2/m^2)[p(0)]^2.$$

Because $\langle x(0) \rangle = \langle p(0) \rangle = 0$, hence $\Delta x = x(0) - \langle x(0) \rangle = x(0)$ while $\Delta p = p(0) - \langle p(0) \rangle = p(0)$. From problem 18(b) of Chapter 1, the minimum uncertainty wave packet satisfies $x(0)|t=0\rangle = \lambda p(0)|t=0\rangle$ where λ is a purely imaginary number.

It is then evident that $\langle (x(0)p(0) + p(0)x(0)) \rangle = (1/\lambda)\langle x(0)x(0) \rangle + (1/\lambda^*)\langle x^2(0) \rangle = 0$. So $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle_t = \langle x^2 \rangle_t = \langle t=0 | (x(0))^2 | t=0 \rangle + (t^2/m^2)\langle t=0 | (p(0))^2 | t=0 \rangle = \langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle_{t=0} + (t^2/m^2)\langle (\Delta p)^2 \rangle_{t=0} = \langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle_{t=0} + (\hbar^2 t^2/4m^2)\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle_{t=0}$. This agrees with expansion of wave packet calculated using wave mechanics.

8. (a) $H = |a'\rangle \delta \langle a''| + |a''\rangle \delta \langle a'| = \delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as is evident since $\langle a' | H | a' \rangle = \langle a'' | H | a'' \rangle = 0$, while $\langle a' | H | a'' \rangle = \langle a'' | H | a' \rangle = \delta$. Now $H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$ and the secular equation is $\det [H - E\mathbb{I}] = 0$, i.e. $E = \pm\delta$ are the energy eigenvalues. The corresponding eigenkets satisfy (with $|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta \\ \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \pm\delta \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } |A|^2 + |B|^2 = 1 \text{ (normalization).}$$

Obviously $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $E = +\delta$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $E = -\delta$ are appropriate eigenket solutions.

- (b) As a function of time we write $|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix}$, and $H|\psi(t)\rangle = i\hbar d/dt |\psi(t)\rangle$

reads $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta \\ \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix} = i\hbar d/dt \begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix}$ or $\delta B(t) = i\hbar dA(t)/dt$ and $i\hbar/t = i\hbar dB(t)/dt$.

Thus $A(t) = -(\hbar/\delta)^2 d^2 A(t)/dt^2$ and $B(t) = -(\hbar/\delta)^2 d^2 B(t)/dt^2$, and $A(t) = A_1 \cos \omega t + A_2 \sin \omega t$, $B(t) = B_1 \cos \omega t + B_2 \sin \omega t$ are the simple harmonic solutions with $\omega = \delta/\hbar$. It is evident that $|a'\rangle = |\psi(t=0)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ hence $A_1 = 1$, $A_2 = 0$ and from normalization $B_2 = 1$, $A_2 = 0$. So $|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega t \\ \sin \omega t \end{pmatrix}$.

(c) We need to evaluate $|\langle a''| \psi(t) \rangle|^2$ where $\langle a''| = (0, 1)$. Evidently probability is $\sin^2 \omega t$.

(d) The Hamiltonian $H = \delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = J_x$ for a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ system if $\delta = \hbar/2$, hence $|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega t \\ \sin \omega t \end{pmatrix}$ describes the evolution of a spinor in time, initially in state $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and hence an eigenstate of $J_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_z$.

9. (a) Let the normalized energy eigenkets be written as $|E\rangle = |R\rangle \langle R|E\rangle + |L\rangle \langle L|E\rangle$. Now $H|E\rangle = E|E\rangle$ therefore $\Delta(|L\rangle \langle R| + |R\rangle \langle L|)|E\rangle = E|E\rangle$ or $\Delta(|L\rangle \langle R|E\rangle + |R\rangle \langle L|E\rangle) = E(|R\rangle \langle R|E\rangle + |L\rangle \langle L|E\rangle)$. Due to the linear independence of $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$, we have $\Delta \langle R|E\rangle = E \langle L|E\rangle$ and $\Delta \langle L|E\rangle = E \langle R|E\rangle$. Now due to normalization condition $|\langle R|E\rangle|^2 + |\langle L|E\rangle|^2 = 1$, we have $\Delta^2 = E^2$ or $\Delta = \pm E$ (these define the two level system eigenvalues). Take $\Delta = +E$, and $\langle R|E\rangle = \langle L|E\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}$, then $|+E\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|R\rangle + |L\rangle)$; for $\Delta = -E$, take $\langle R|E\rangle = -\langle L|E\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $|+E\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|R\rangle - |L\rangle)$.

(b) Suppose at $t=0$, $|\alpha\rangle = |R\rangle \langle R|\alpha\rangle + |L\rangle \langle L|\alpha\rangle \equiv |\alpha, t=t_0=0\rangle$. The evolution of state vector $|\alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle$ is such that $e^{-iHt/\hbar} |\alpha\rangle = |\alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle$. From part (a) we have $|R\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+E\rangle + |-E\rangle)$ and $|L\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+E\rangle - |-E\rangle)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-iHt/\hbar} |\alpha\rangle &= e^{-iHt/\hbar} (\langle R|\alpha\rangle |R\rangle + \langle L|\alpha\rangle |L\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle R|\alpha\rangle e^{-iHt/\hbar} (|+E\rangle + |-E\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle L|\alpha\rangle e^{-iHt/\hbar} (|+E\rangle - |-E\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

But $e^{-iHt/\hbar} |\pm E\rangle = e^{\mp i\Delta t/\hbar} |\pm E\rangle$, hence from (1) we have

$$|\alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle = e^{-iHt/\hbar} |\alpha\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle R | \alpha \rangle (e^{-i\Delta t/\hbar} |+E\rangle + e^{i\Delta t/\hbar} |-E\rangle) \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle L | \alpha \rangle (e^{-i\Delta t/\hbar} |+E\rangle - e^{i\Delta t/\hbar} |-E\rangle). \quad (2)$$

Rearrange r.h.s. of (2) back to the $\{|R\rangle, |L\rangle\}$ basis, we have

$$|\alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle = (\langle R | \alpha \rangle \cos \Delta t / \hbar - i \langle L | \alpha \rangle \sin \Delta t / \hbar) |R\rangle \\ + (\langle L | \alpha \rangle \cos \Delta t / \hbar - i \langle R | \alpha \rangle \sin \Delta t / \hbar) |L\rangle \quad (3)$$

(c) Suppose at $t=0$, $|\alpha\rangle = |R\rangle$ with certainty, than from (3) we have $\langle L | \alpha \rangle = 0$ and $\langle R | \alpha \rangle = 1$ (normalization). We need the development of $|L\rangle$ as a function of time, this corresponds to $|\alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle = \cos \Delta t / \hbar |R\rangle - i \sin \Delta t / \hbar |L\rangle$ and $\langle L | \alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle = -i \sin \Delta t / \hbar$. The transition probability is $|\langle L | \alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle|^2 = \sin^2 \Delta t / \hbar$.

(d) In the Schrödinger picture the base kets $|R\rangle$ and $|L\rangle$ remain stationary in time and the state vector obeys $i\hbar \partial / \partial t |\alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle = H |\alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle$. Write $|\alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle = \alpha_R(t) |R\rangle + \alpha_L(t) |L\rangle$ and using $H = \Delta(|L\rangle \langle R| + |R\rangle \langle L|)$, the Schrödinger equation leads to coupled equations $i\hbar d\alpha_R(t) / dt = \Delta \alpha_L(t)$ and $i\hbar d\alpha_L(t) / dt = \Delta \alpha_R(t)$ where $\alpha_R(t) = \langle R | \alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle$ and $\alpha_L(t) = \langle L | \alpha, t_0=0; t\rangle$. Solutions of the coupled equations can be obtained by noting that $d^2 / dt^2 [\alpha_{R,L}(t)] + (\Delta^2 / \hbar^2) \alpha_{R,L}(t) = 0$, hence

$$\alpha_L(t) = A \cos \Delta t / \hbar + B \sin \Delta t / \hbar, \quad \alpha_R(t) = C \cos \Delta t / \hbar + D \sin \Delta t / \hbar \quad (4)$$

At $t = 0$ $|\alpha\rangle = \langle R | \alpha \rangle |R\rangle + \langle L | \alpha \rangle |L\rangle = \alpha_R(0) |R\rangle + \alpha_L(0) |L\rangle$, hence $\alpha_R(0) = C = \langle R | \alpha \rangle$ and $\alpha_L(0) = A = \langle L | \alpha \rangle$. Next the normalization condition at t , with $t_0=0$ $\langle \alpha, t_0=0; t | \alpha, t_0=0; t \rangle = 1$ give

$$\cos^2 \Delta t / \hbar + (\langle R | \alpha \rangle^* D + D^* \langle R | \alpha \rangle + \langle L | \alpha \rangle^* B + B^* \langle L | \alpha \rangle) \cos \Delta t / \hbar \sin \Delta t / \hbar \\ + (|D|^2 + |B|^2) \sin^2 \Delta t / \hbar = 1. \quad (5)$$

Solution of (5) is possible with $D = -i \langle L | \alpha \rangle$ and $B = -i \langle R | \alpha \rangle$, hence (4) for $\alpha_L(t)$ and $\alpha_R(t)$ gives the coefficients of $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$ in (3) of (b).

(e) The lack of Hermiticity here is same as in problem 2, replacing $H = H_{12} |1\rangle \langle 2|$

by $H = \Delta |L><R|$. We find again $H^n = 0$ for $n > 1$, and $U(t, t_0=0) = 1 - it\Delta/\hbar |L><R|$ even for a finite time interval. The initial state is $|R|\alpha>|R> + |L|\alpha>|L>$; at a later time t we have $(1 - it\Delta/\hbar |L><R|)(|R|\alpha>|R> + |L|\alpha>|L>)$, hence probability for being found in $|L>$ is $|\langle L|\alpha> - (it\Delta/\hbar)\langle R|\alpha>|^2$ and in $|R>$ is $|\langle R|\alpha>|^2$, but $|\langle L|\alpha> - (it\Delta/\hbar)\langle R|\alpha>|^2 + |\langle R|\alpha>|^2 \neq |\langle L|\alpha>|^2 + |\langle R|\alpha>|^2$. Thus probability conservation is violated.

10. $H = p^2/2m + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2$ for the one dimensional simple harmonic oscillator.

(a) In the Heisenberg picture, the operators x and p obey the Heisenberg equations of motion: $dp/dt = (1/i\hbar)[p, H] = -m\omega^2x$, $dx/dt = (1/i\hbar)[x, H] = p/m$. This implies $\ddot{x} = -\omega^2x$ and $\ddot{p} = -\omega^2p$ with the initial conditions $x(0) = x_0$ and $p(0) = p_0$, $\dot{x}(0) = p_0/m$ and $\dot{p}(0) = -m\omega^2x_0$. The solutions are $x(t) = x_0\cos\omega t + (p_0/m\omega)\sin\omega t$, $p(t) = p_0\cos\omega t - m\omega x_0\sin\omega t$ which give $H = p^2(t)/2m + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2(t) = p_0^2/2m + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x_0^2$, i.e. H is time independent. Dynamical variables x and p are time-dependent in the Heisenberg picture. At $t = 0$, the Heisenberg and Schrödinger pictures coincide, thus $x_H(0) = x_S(0) = x_0$ (with $x_S(t) = x_S(0)$) and $p_H(0) = p_S(0) = p_0$ (with $p_S(t) = p_S(0)$) and we note the time-independence of dynamical variables in the Schrödinger picture.

The relationship between the Heisenberg and Schrödinger pictures is $x_H(t) = e^{iHt/\hbar}x_S e^{-iHt/\hbar}$ with $x_S = x_0$ and $p_H(t) = e^{iHt/\hbar}p_S e^{-iHt/\hbar}$ with $p_S = p_0$. Using (2.3.48) - (2.3.50), one knows $x_H(t) = x_0\cos\omega t + (p_0/m\omega)\sin\omega t$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{iHt/\hbar}p_0 e^{-iHt/\hbar} &= p_0 + (it/\hbar)[H, p_0] + (i^2 t^2 / 2! \hbar^2) [H, [H, p_0]] \\ &+ (i^3 t^3 / 3! \hbar^3) [H, [H, [H, p_0]]] + \dots = p_0 - \frac{(t^2 \omega^2)}{2!} p_0 - t m \omega^2 x_0 + \frac{t^3 m \omega^4 x_0}{3!} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $[H, x_0] = -i\hbar p_0/m$, $[H, p_0] = i\hbar m \omega^2 x_0$. This implies that $p_H(t) = p_0 \cos\omega t - m\omega x_0 \sin\omega t$.

- (b) At $t=0$, the general state vectors for both pictures are equal: $|\alpha>_H = |\alpha>_S =$

$|\alpha, t=0\rangle$, e.g. $|\alpha, t=0\rangle = \sum_n c_n(0) |n\rangle$. At $t \neq 0$, $|\alpha, t\rangle_H = |\alpha, t=0\rangle = \sum_n c_n(0) |n\rangle$ i.e. time independent, while $|\alpha, t\rangle_S = e^{-iHt/\hbar} |\alpha, t=0\rangle = \sum_n c_n(0) e^{-i\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})t} |n\rangle$ and is thus time dependent. (We have used $H = \hbar\omega(N+\frac{1}{2})$ which is time-independent in both pictures). We can recast $|\alpha, t\rangle_S$ as $|\alpha, t\rangle_S = \sum_n c_n(t) |n\rangle$ with $c_n(t) = c_n(0) e^{-i\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})t}$. Also note $i\hbar\partial/\partial t |\alpha, t\rangle_S = H |\alpha, t\rangle_S$ which is the Schrödinger equation for the Schrödinger state vector. Remarks: $c_n(t)$ can be determined in the two pictures by (a) $c_n(t) = \langle n | \alpha, t \rangle_S = c_n(0) e^{-i\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})t}$, the Schrödinger picture with base kets $|n\rangle$ time independent, and (b) $c_n(t) = \langle n, t | \alpha, t \rangle_H = \langle n | e^{-iHt/\hbar} |\alpha, t\rangle_H = c_n(0) e^{-i\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})t}$, the Heisenberg picture with base kets $|n, t\rangle = e^{iHt/\hbar} |n\rangle$ which are time-dependent.

11. For a one-dimensional SHO potential $H = p^2/2m + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 x^2$, hence $\dot{x} = (1/i\hbar)[x, H] = p/m$, and $\dot{p} = (1/i\hbar)[p, H] = (1/i\hbar)(m\omega^2/2)[p, x^2] = (m\omega^2/2i\hbar)[-2ix] = -m\omega^2 x$. Hence $\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0$, and solution is $x(t) = A \cos \omega t + B \sin \omega t$. At $t=0$, $x(0) = A$ while $\dot{x}(t) = -A\omega \cos \omega t + B\omega \sin \omega t$ leads to $\dot{x}(0) = B\omega$ and thus $p(0) = m\omega B$. Thus in the Heisenberg picture $x(t) = x(0) \cos \omega t + (p(0)/m\omega) \sin \omega t$.

Our state vector $|\alpha\rangle = e^{-ipa/\hbar} |0\rangle$ at $t=0$; for $t>0$ we have in the Heisenberg picture $\langle x(t) \rangle = \langle \alpha | x(t) | \alpha \rangle$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ip(0)a/\hbar} x(0) e^{-ip(0)a/\hbar} &= e^{ip(0)a/\hbar} ([x(0), e^{-ip(0)a/\hbar}] + e^{-ip(0)a/\hbar} x(0)) \\ &= x(0) + a, \end{aligned}$$

while $e^{ip(0)a/\hbar} p(0) e^{-ip(0)a/\hbar} = p(0)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x(t) \rangle &= \langle \alpha | x(t) | \alpha \rangle = \langle 0 | e^{ipa/\hbar} x(t) e^{-ipa/\hbar} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \langle 0 | e^{ip(0)a/\hbar} [x(0) \cos \omega t + (p(0)/m\omega) \sin \omega t] e^{-ipa/\hbar} | 0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle 0 | x(0) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | p(0) | 0 \rangle = 0$, we obtain for $\langle x(t) \rangle = a \cos \omega t$.

12. (a) The wave function in problem 11 takes form $\langle x' | \alpha \rangle = \langle x' | e^{-ipa/\hbar} | 0 \rangle$. Since $e^{ipa/\hbar} | x' \rangle = | x' - a \rangle$ (hence $\langle x' | e^{-ipa/\hbar} = \langle x' - a |$), we have $\langle x' | \alpha \rangle = \langle x' - a | 0 \rangle$. Hence $\langle x' | \alpha \rangle = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp[-(x' - a)^2/2x_0^2]$.

(b) The ground state wave function is

$$\langle x' | 0 \rangle = \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}} x_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp[-\frac{x'^2}{2x_0^2}] .$$

The probability of finding $|a\rangle$ in the ground state is

$$P = \int \langle a | x' \rangle \langle x' | 0 \rangle dx' = (1/\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-((x'-a)^2 + x'^2)/2x_0^2] dx' = e^{-a^2/2x_0^2}.$$

P is time independent and hence does not change for $t>0$.

13. (a) From the given information, we can write

$$x = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}(a+a^\dagger), \quad p = i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2}(a^\dagger-a) \\ \langle n | = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}(\sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle + \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad p|n\rangle = i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2}(\sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle - \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle). \quad (1)$$

Remember also that $a^\dagger a = N$ where N is number operator and $N|n\rangle = n|n\rangle$ while $\langle m | n \rangle = \delta_{mn}$. Therefore $\langle m | x | n \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega}(\sqrt{n}\delta_{m,n-1} + \sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m,n+1})$, likewise $\langle m | p | n \rangle = (\hbar\omega i/2)\sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega}(\sqrt{n+1}\delta_{m,n+1} - \sqrt{n}\delta_{m,n-1})$. Computation of $\langle m | \{x, p\} | n \rangle = \langle m | xp | n \rangle + \langle m | px | n \rangle$ is obtained by using (1) and $\langle m | x = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}(\sqrt{m}\langle m-1 | + \sqrt{m+1}\langle m+1 |)$ as well as $\langle m | p = -i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2}(\sqrt{m+1}\langle m+1 | - \sqrt{m}\langle m-1 |)$ [sign change comes from complex conjugation when passing to dual space]. The calculation is then straightforward leading to $\langle m | \{x, p\} | n \rangle = -i\hbar(\sqrt{n(n+1)}\delta_{m+1,n-1} - \sqrt{m(n+1)}\delta_{m-1,n+1})$. For $\langle m | x^2 | n \rangle = \langle m | xx | n \rangle$, try evaluate the scalar product $\langle m | x \text{ and } x | n \rangle$, the answer is $\langle m | x^2 | n \rangle = (\hbar/2m\omega)\{\sqrt{n(n-1)}\delta_{m,n-2} + (2n+1)\delta_{m,n} + \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}\delta_{m,n+2}\}$. Likewise $\langle m | p^2 | n \rangle = \langle m | pp | n \rangle$ and we evaluate the scalar product $\langle m | p \text{ and } p | n \rangle$, the answer is $\langle m | p^2 | n \rangle = -\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}\{\sqrt{n(n-1)}\delta_{m,n-2} - (2n+1)\delta_{m,n} + \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}\delta_{m,n+2}\}$.

(b) Virial theorem states $\langle p^2/m \rangle = \langle \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\nabla} V \rangle$, hence in one dimension we have $\langle p^2/m \rangle = \langle x dV/dx \rangle$. For the SHO, $H = p^2/2m + V(x) = p^2/2m + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2$, therefore $x dV/dx = m\omega^2x^2$. Now $\langle p^2/m \rangle = \frac{1}{m}\langle n | p^2 | n \rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2}(2n+1) = \hbar\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})$, while $\langle x dV/dx \rangle = m\omega^2 \langle x^2 \rangle = \frac{m\omega^2\hbar}{2m\omega}(2n+1) = \hbar\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})$. Therefore the virial theorem is verified.

14. (a) $\langle x' | p' \rangle = (2\pi\hbar)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{ip'x'/\hbar}$ or $\langle p' | x' \rangle = (2\pi\hbar)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ip'x'/\hbar}$, hence $\langle p' | x | a \rangle$

$= \int dx' \langle p' | x | x' \rangle \langle x' | \alpha \rangle = \int dx' x' \langle p' | x' \rangle \langle x' | \alpha \rangle$. Note that explicitly we have $i\hbar\partial/\partial p' [(2\pi\hbar)^{-1} e^{-ip'x'/\hbar}] = x' \langle p' | x' \rangle$. Hence $\langle p' | x | \alpha \rangle = \int dx' i\hbar\partial/\partial p' (\langle p' | x' \rangle \langle x' | \alpha \rangle) = i\hbar\partial/\partial p' \langle p' | \alpha \rangle$ where we assume that differentiation and integration can be interchanged.

(b) For $H = p^2/2m + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 x^2$, the state vector $| \rangle_S$ satisfies in Schrödinger picture

$$(p^2/2m + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 x^2) | \rangle_S = i\hbar\partial/\partial t | \rangle_S . \quad (1)$$

In the momentum representation, we have

$$\langle p' | (p^2/2m + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 x^2) | \rangle_S = i\hbar\partial/\partial t \langle p' | \rangle_S \quad (2)$$

and thus

$$\frac{p'^2}{2m} \langle p' | \rangle_S + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 (-\hbar^2 \partial^2 / \partial p'^2) \langle p' | \rangle_S = i\hbar\partial/\partial t \langle p' | \rangle_S \quad (3)$$

where in (3) we have used identity $\langle p' | xx' | \rangle_S = i\hbar\partial/\partial p' \langle p' | x | \rangle_S = -\hbar^2 \partial^2 / \partial p'^2 \langle p' | \rangle_S$.

For the SHO problem there is a complete symmetry between x and p . So the energy eigenfunctions in momentum space must be of the form $e^{-p^2/2p_0^2} H_n(p/p_0)$ up to normalization ($p_0 \equiv \sqrt{\hbar m\omega}$) in analogy with $e^{-x^2/2x_0^2} H_n(x/x_0)$ ($x_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$) in position space.

15. From (2.3.45a), we have $x(t) = x(0)\cos\omega t + (p(0)/m\omega)\sin\omega t$, and $x(t)x(0) = [x(0)]^2 \cos\omega t + (p(0)x(0)/m\omega)\sin\omega t$. Simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) ground state is from (2.3.30) $\langle x' | 0 \rangle = (1/\pi^{1/2}x_0^{1/2}) \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(x'/x_0)^2]$, $x_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$. Then
- $$\begin{aligned} C(t) &= \langle 0 | x(t)x(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int \int \langle 0 | x' | \langle x' | [(x(0))^2 \cos\omega t + (p(0)x(0)/m\omega)\sin\omega t] | x'' \rangle \langle x'' | 0 \rangle dx' dx'' \\ &= \int \langle 0 | x' | \langle x' | 0 \rangle x'^2 \cos\omega t dx' + (\sin\omega t/m\omega) \langle 0 | p(0)x(0) | 0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The term $\langle 0 | p(0)x(0) | 0 \rangle$ vanishes (c.f. problem 13 with $m=n=0$ or by explicit evaluation in $|x'\rangle$ representation). Hence $C(t)$ is given by

$$C(t) = \cos\omega t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x'^2 / \pi^{1/2} x_0) \exp[-(x'/x_0)^2] dx' = (\hbar/2m\omega) \cos\omega t.$$

16. (a) Let linear combination be $|\alpha\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$. Then $\langle x \rangle = (a^* \langle 0 | + b^* \langle 1 |) x (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle)$ or $x = a^* a \langle 0 | x | 0 \rangle + a^* b \langle 0 | x | 1 \rangle + b^* a \langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle + b^* b \langle 1 | x | 1 \rangle$. From problem 13 we have $\langle m | x | n \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega}} (\sqrt{n} \delta_{m,n-1} + \sqrt{n+1} \delta_{m,n+1})$, hence $\langle x \rangle = \frac{a^* b}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega} + \frac{b^* a}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega}$ or $\langle x \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega} (a^* b + b^* a)$. Without loss of generality choose a, b to be real and normalized such that $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, then $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega} a \sqrt{1-a^2}$. Maximum of $\langle x \rangle$ then requires $d\langle x \rangle / da = 0$ or $a = +1/\sqrt{2}$ and likewise $b = +1/\sqrt{2}$. Hence $\langle x \rangle_{\max} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega}$ and up to a common phase $|\alpha\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$.

(b) The state vector in Schrödinger representation evolves for $t > 0$ as $|\alpha, t_0; t\rangle = U(t, t_0)|\alpha, t_0\rangle$ where $U(t, t_0) = e^{-iH(t-t_0)/\hbar}$ and $H = p^2/2m + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 x^2$ is independent of time. Taking $t_0 = 0$, we have $|\alpha, t\rangle = e^{-iHt/\hbar} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, but since $\{|n\rangle\}$ are energy eigenkets with energy eigenvalues $E_n = \hbar\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})$, we write $|\alpha, t\rangle = (e^{-i\omega t/2}|0\rangle + e^{-3i\omega t/2}|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ as the state vector for $t > 0$ in the Schrödinger picture.

(i) In the Schrödinger picture

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \alpha, t | x | \alpha, t \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\omega t/2} \langle 0 | + e^{3i\omega t/2} \langle 1 |) x (e^{-i\omega t/2} | 0 \rangle + e^{-3i\omega t/2} | 1 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\langle 0 | x | 0 \rangle + e^{-i\omega t} \langle 0 | x | 1 \rangle + e^{i\omega t} \langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | x | 1 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (e^{-i\omega t} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega} + e^{i\omega t} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega} \cos \omega t.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) In the Heisenberg picture $|\alpha\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $x(t) = x(0) \cos \omega t + \frac{p(0)}{m\omega} \sin \omega t$, hence $\langle x \rangle = \langle \alpha | x(t) | \alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\cos \omega t \langle 0 | x(0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{\sin \omega t}{m\omega} \langle 0 | p(0) | 0 \rangle + \cos \omega t \langle 0 | x(0) | 1 \rangle + \frac{\sin \omega t}{m\omega} \langle 0 | p(0) | 1 \rangle + \cos \omega t \langle 1 | x(0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{\sin \omega t}{m\omega} \langle 1 | p(0) | 0 \rangle + \cos \omega t \langle 1 | x(0) | 1 \rangle + \frac{\sin \omega t}{m\omega} \langle 1 | p(0) | 1 \rangle)$. The evaluation of $\langle n | x(0) | m \rangle$ and $\langle n | p(0) | m \rangle$ have been given in problem 13, and give for $\langle \alpha | x(t) | \alpha \rangle = \langle x(t) \rangle_H = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega} \cos \omega t$ as in (i).

(c) We evaluate $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle_t$ in the Schrödinger picture for definiteness. Here $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2$,

with $\langle x \rangle = \frac{\cos \omega t}{2} \sqrt{2\hbar/m\omega}$ from (b). Again $\langle a, t | x^2 | a, t \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle 0 | x^2 | 0 \rangle + e^{-i\omega t} \langle 0 | x^2 | 1 \rangle + e^{i\omega t} \langle 1 | x^2 | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | x^2 | 1 \rangle)$. Use again the expression for $\langle m | x^2 | n \rangle$ from problem 13, i.e. $\langle 0 | x^2 | 0 \rangle = \hbar/2m\omega$, $\langle 1 | x^2 | 1 \rangle = 3\hbar/2m\omega$, $\langle 0 | x^2 | 1 \rangle = \langle 1 | x^2 | 0 \rangle = 0$. Therefore $\langle x^2 \rangle = \langle a, t | x^2 | a, t \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(2\hbar/m\omega) = \hbar/m\omega$, and

$$\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} (1 - \cos^2 \omega t / 2).$$

17. If we work in the Schrödinger picture, than

$$\langle 0 | e^{ikx} | 0 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_0^*(x) e^{ikx} \psi_0(x) dx$$

where $\psi_0(x) = (\pi\omega/\hbar)^{1/2} \exp[-\omega x^2/2\hbar]$. First we note from problem 13 that $\langle 0 | x^2 | 0 \rangle = \hbar/2m\omega$, therefore $\exp[-k^2 \langle 0 | x^2 | 0 \rangle / 2] = \exp[-k^2 \hbar/4m\omega]$. Now explicitly $\langle 0 | e^{ikx} | 0 \rangle = (\pi\omega/\hbar)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega x^2/\hbar} dx$, this can be evaluated by noting that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(ax^2+bx+c)} dx = \sqrt{\pi/a} e^{(b^2-4ac)/4a}$. Hence $\langle 0 | e^{ikx} | 0 \rangle = (\pi\omega/\hbar)^{1/2} (\hbar\pi/\pi\omega)^{1/2} e^{-k^2 \hbar/4m\omega} = \exp[-k^2 \langle 0 | x^2 | 0 \rangle / 2]$.

18. (a) Take $a|\lambda\rangle = \exp[-|\lambda|^2/2] a \exp[\lambda a^\dagger] |0\rangle = \exp[-|\lambda|^2/2] a \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^n/n!) (a^\dagger)^n |0\rangle$; but we know that $(a^\dagger)^k |n\rangle = \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)\dots(n+k)} |n+k\rangle$ hence $(a^\dagger)^k |0\rangle = \sqrt{k!} |k\rangle$ and $a(a^\dagger)^k |0\rangle = \sqrt{k!} a |k\rangle = \sqrt{k!} \sqrt{k!} |k-1\rangle$. Thus $a|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \frac{\sqrt{n}\sqrt{n!}}{n!} |n-1\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n+1} (\sqrt{n+1}/\sqrt{(n+1)!}) |n\rangle$. But $(n+1)!/(n+1) = n!$, hence

$$a|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^{n+1}/\sqrt{n!}) |n\rangle = \lambda e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^n/\sqrt{n!}) |n\rangle. \quad (1)$$

The r.h.s. of (1) is $\lambda e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle$ by noting that $e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda a^\dagger)^n / n! |0\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n |n\rangle / \sqrt{n!}$. Hence with $|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle$, we have indeed $a|\lambda\rangle = \lambda|\lambda\rangle$ with λ in general a complex number. For normalization we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle &= e^{-|\lambda|^2} \langle 0 | e^{\lambda^* a} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} | 0 \rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2} \langle 0 | e^{\lambda^* a} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n | n \rangle / \sqrt{n!} \\ &= e^{-|\lambda|^2} \langle 0 | \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^n / \sqrt{n!}) (\lambda^* a)^m / m! | n \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

but $a^m |n\rangle = \sqrt{n(n-1)\dots(n-m+1)} |n-m\rangle$, hence (2) contributes by orthonormality of states only when $n=m=0$, i.e.

$$\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2} \langle 0 | \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n (\lambda^*)^n}{n! (n!)^2} \sqrt{n!} | 0 \rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2} e^{+|\lambda|^2} = 1.$$

Therefore $|\lambda\rangle$ is a normalized coherent state.

(b) $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2$, $x = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}(a + a^\dagger)$, where $a|\lambda\rangle = \lambda|\lambda\rangle$ and $\langle \lambda | a^\dagger = \langle \lambda | \lambda^*$. So $\langle x \rangle = \langle \lambda | x | \lambda \rangle = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega} \langle \lambda | (a + a^\dagger) | \lambda \rangle = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega} (\lambda + \lambda^*)$, and $\langle x \rangle^2 = (\hbar/2m\omega)(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{*2} + 2\lambda\lambda^*) = (\hbar/2m\omega)(\lambda + \lambda^*)^2$. Now $x^2 = xx = (\hbar/2m\omega)[a^\dagger a + a a^\dagger + a a^\dagger + a^\dagger a] = (\hbar/2m\omega)[a^\dagger a + a a^\dagger + 2a^\dagger a + 1]$, hence $\langle x^2 \rangle = (\hbar/2m\omega)[\lambda^{*2} + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^*\lambda + 1] = (\hbar/2m\omega)[(\lambda^* + \lambda)^2 + 1]$. Likewise $\langle p \rangle^2 = -(\hbar m\omega/2)[\lambda^* - \lambda]^2$ and $\langle p^2 \rangle = (\hbar m\omega/2)[1 - (\lambda^* - \lambda)^2]$, using $p = i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2}(a^\dagger - a)$. Hence $\langle (\Delta p)^2 \rangle = \langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2 = \hbar m\omega/2$ and $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = \hbar/2m\omega$ and $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta p)^2 \rangle = \hbar^2/4$.

(c) Write $|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^n / \sqrt{n!}) |n\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) |n\rangle$, hence $f(n) = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \frac{\lambda^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$.

Therefore $|f(n)|^2 = e^{-|\lambda|^2} |\lambda|^{2n} / n!$ and is a Poisson distribution

$$P(\lambda', n) = e^{-\lambda'} \lambda'^n / n!, \text{ where } \lambda' = |\lambda|^2.$$

Now $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$, hence $|f(n)|^2 = e^{-|\lambda|^2} |\lambda|^{2n} / \Gamma(n+1)$. The maximum value is obtained by noting that $\ln|f(n)|^2 = -|\lambda|^2 + n \ln(|\lambda|^2) - \ln\Gamma(n+1)$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \ln|f(n)|^2 = \ln|\lambda|^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \ln\Gamma(n+1) = 0$. The latter equation defines n_{\max} where for large n , $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \ln\Gamma(n+1) \sim \ln n$. Hence $n_{\max} = |\lambda|^2$.

(d) The translation operator $e^{-ip\ell/\hbar}$ where p is momentum operator and ℓ just the displacement distance, can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-ip\ell/\hbar} &= e^{i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}(a^\dagger - a)} = e^{i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}a^\dagger - i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}a} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(-\ell^2)(m\omega/2\hbar)(a^\dagger, a)} \\ &= e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(-\ell^2)(m\omega/2\hbar)(a^\dagger, a)} e^{i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}a^\dagger - i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}a} = e^{-\frac{\ell^2 m\omega}{4\hbar}} e^{i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}a^\dagger - i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}a}. \end{aligned}$$

Note $e^{-i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}a}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$; because $a|0\rangle = 0$. Hence

$$e^{-ip\ell/\hbar}|0\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} e^{i\lambda a^\dagger}|0\rangle, \text{ where } \lambda = i\sqrt{\hbar m\omega/2\hbar}$$

[We have used here the identity $e^{A+B} = e^A e^B e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}[A, B]}$, true for any pair of operators A and B that commute with $[A, B]$, c.f. R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. 84, 399 (1951).]

19. We know that $[a_{\pm}, a_{\mp}^{\dagger}] = 1$ and $[a_{+}, a_{-}] = [a_{+}^{\dagger}, a_{-}] = 0$ (since oscillators are independent), then $[J_z, J_{\pm}] = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}a_{\pm}^{\dagger}a_{-} - a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}a_{\pm}^{\dagger}a_{+} - a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-}a_{\pm}^{\dagger}a_{+} + a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-}a_{\pm}^{\dagger}a_{-}) =$
- $$\frac{\hbar^2}{2}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-} - a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-} - a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-}(a_{+}a_{+}^{\dagger} - 1) + a_{-}a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} - a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-} - a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} + 1 + a_{-}a_{-}^{\dagger})a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}([a_{-}, a_{-}^{\dagger}] + 1)a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}(2)a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-} = \hbar J_{+}$$
- Similarly $[J_z, J_{-}] = -\hbar J_{-}$, and $\vec{J}^2 = J_x^2 + J_y^2 + J_z^2 = J_{+}^2 + J_{-}^2 - \hbar J_z + J_z^2$ is such that $[J_z^2, J_z] = J_{+}J_{-}J_z - \hbar J_z^2 + J_z^3$ $- J_zJ_{+}J_{-} + \hbar J_z^2 - J_z^3 = [J_{+}J_{-}, J_z] = [J_{+}, J_z]J_{-} + J_{+}[J_{-}, J_z] = -\hbar J_{+}J_{-} + \hbar J_{+}J_{-} = 0$.
- Explicitly $J_{+}J_{-} - \hbar J_z + J_z^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-}a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{+} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} - a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} - a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-} - a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} + a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}(1+a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} - a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} - 2a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-} + a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{-}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}(1+a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-} + \frac{1}{2}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}(1+\frac{1}{2}a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}) =$
- $$\frac{\hbar^2}{4}a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} + a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+} + a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-})(1 + \frac{1}{2}a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}N(a_{+}^{\dagger}a_{+}/2 + 1 + a_{-}^{\dagger}a_{-}/2) =$$
- $$\frac{\hbar^2}{2}N(N/2 + 1).$$

20. In the region $x > 0$, ψ obeys the same differential equation as the two-sided harmonic oscillator; however, the only acceptable solutions are those that vanish at the origin. Therefore, the eigenvalues are those of the ordinary harmonic oscillator belonging to wave functions of odd parity. Now the parity of the SHO wave functions alternates with increasing n , starting with an even-parity ground state. Hence,

$$E = (4n+3)\hbar\omega/2 = (4n+3)\hbar\sqrt{k/m}/2 \text{ with } n=0,1,2,\dots$$

(a) Ground state energy = $3\hbar\sqrt{k/m}/2$ for $n=0$.

$$(b) \text{ From (2.3.31), } \langle x' | 1 \rangle = \psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} (x' - x_0^2 \frac{d}{dx'}) (1/\pi\hbar\sqrt{x_0}) \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(x'/x_0)^2]$$

$$(\text{where } x_0 \equiv \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}). \text{ Hence } \psi_1 = (2/\sqrt{2} x_0^{3/2} \pi^{1/2}) x' \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(x'/x_0)^2] \text{ and } \langle x'^2 \rangle = (2/x_0^3 \pi^{1/2}) \int_0^{\infty} x'^4 \exp[-(x'/x_0)^2] dx' = (2\Gamma(5/2)/x_0^3 \pi^{1/2}) x_0^5 = \frac{3}{4} x_0^2 = \frac{3\hbar}{4m\omega}.$$

21. The solution to the particle trapped between the rigid wall (one dimension)

$$\psi_n(x) = A_n \sin(n\pi x/L), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Now, $P(x,t)dx = |\psi(x,t)|^2 dx$ is the probability that the particle described by the wave function $\psi(x,t)$ may be found between x and $x+dx$, therefore in order for the particle to be exactly at $x = L/2$ for $t=0$, $\psi(x,0) = a\delta(x-L/2)$ where $a = 1$ via normalization.

The eigenvalues corresponding to $\psi_n(x)$ are $E_n = \frac{n^2\pi^2\hbar^2}{2mL^2}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and by the expansion postulate

$$\psi(x,t) = \sum_n c_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \psi_n(x),$$

the transition amplitude c_n is then given by

$$c_n = \int_0^L \psi_n^*(x) \psi(x,0) dx = \int_0^L A_n \sin(n\pi x/L) \delta(x-L/2) dx = A_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right).$$

Hence $c_n = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} A_n$ (for n odd) and $c_n = 0$ (for n even). Therefore (relative probabilities are $P = |c_n|^2 = |A_n|^2 \delta_{n, \text{odd}}$) and (2) reads (using (3))

$$\psi(x,t) = \sum_{n \text{ odd}} A_n^2 \exp[-i(n^2\pi^2/L^2)\hbar t/2m] \sin(n\pi x/L) (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$

where in fact for normalized $\psi_n(x)$ in (1), $A_n = \sqrt{2/L}$ (independent of n).

22. Our Schrödinger equation is $(-\hbar^2/2m)d^2\psi/dx^2 - v_0 \delta(x)\psi = -E\psi$ (i.e. $E>0$ hence $-E<0$ is bound state energy). Integrate above equation from $-\epsilon$ to $+\epsilon$, and let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (d\psi/dx|_{x=\epsilon} - d\psi/dx|_{x=-\epsilon}) - v_0 \psi(0) = 0. \quad (1)$$

For $x \neq 0$,

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} d^2\psi/dx^2 = -E\psi \quad (2)$$

with bound solutions $\psi(x>0) = A \exp[-(2mE/\hbar^2)^{1/2}x]$ and $\psi(x<0) = A \exp[(2mE/\hbar^2)^{1/2}x]$

Substitute these solutions into (1), we have $(-\hbar^2/2m)[-(2mE/\hbar^2)^{1/2} - (2mE/\hbar^2)^{1/2}] = 0$. This is an unique solution, no excited bound states exist.

expected.


Using the result of problem 22, where $2mE/\hbar^2 = \lambda^2 m^2/\hbar^4$ in our notation, we have $\psi(x, t=0) = A \exp[-m\lambda|x|/\hbar^2]$. The normalization is then $2A^2 \int_0^\infty \exp[-2m\lambda x/\hbar^2] dx = 1$ or $2A^2 [\hbar^2/2m\lambda] = 1$ and hence $A = (\hbar\lambda/\hbar^2)^{1/2}$. From (2.5.7) and (2.5.16), we have

$$\psi(x, t>0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \psi(x', 0) K(x, x'; t)$$

$$= (\hbar\lambda/\hbar^2)^{1/2} (\hbar/2\pi i)t^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-m\lambda|x'|/\hbar^2] \exp[i(x-x')^2 m/2\hbar^2 t] dx'$$

where we have used $\psi(x', 0) = (\hbar\lambda/\hbar^2)^{1/2} \exp[-m\lambda|x'|/\hbar^2]$.

(a)




The energy spectrum is continuous. Aside from normalization, the wave functions are:-


$$\text{Classically allowed region: } \frac{1}{(E - \lambda x)^{1/2}} e^{\pm(i/\hbar) \int_x^E \sqrt{2m(E - \lambda x')} dx'}$$

$$\text{Classically forbidden region: } \frac{1}{(\lambda x - E)^{1/2}} e^{-(i/\hbar) \int_E^x \sqrt{2m(\lambda x' - E)} dx'}$$

These expressions are not valid near $x=x_1 = E/\lambda$ (classical turning point). The sketch of energy eigenfunction specified by E looks as follow



(b) The most important change is that the energy spectrum is now discrete, and the wave functions are:



25. The electron is confined to the interior of a hollow cylindrical shell, where using cylindrical coordinates (ρ, θ, z) the boundary conditions are:-

$$\psi(\rho_a, \theta, z) = \psi(\rho_b, \theta, z) = \psi(\rho, \theta, 0) = \psi(\rho, \theta, L) = 0$$

(a) Inside the cylindrical shell, the Schrödinger equation in cylindrical coordinates reads

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \left[\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\frac{\rho}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} \right] = E\psi = -|E|\psi \text{ (bound states).}$$

Using the method of separation of variables $\psi = R(\rho)Q(\theta)Z(z)$ and

$$\psi(\rho, \theta, z) = (AJ_m(\kappa\rho) + BN_m(\kappa\rho))(Ce^{im\theta} + De^{-im\theta})(Ee^{\alpha z} + Fe^{-\alpha z})$$

are the energy eigenfunctions where m is an integer (to preserve single-valued ψ), $\kappa \equiv \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 2m_e|E|/\hbar^2}$, and with $x = \kappa\rho$, $R(x) = AJ_m(x) + BN_m(x)$ satisfies Bessel equation

$$\frac{d^2R}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{dR}{dx} + (1-m^2/x^2)R = 0$$

Impose next the boundary conditions; $\psi(\rho, \theta, 0) = 0$ implies $E = 0$, hence $Z(z) = E(e^{\alpha z} - e^{-\alpha z}) = 2E \sinh \alpha z$. Now ψ will not vanish at $z = L$ unless α is complex, so write $\alpha = ik$ and $Z(z) = 2Ei \sin kz$, thence $Z(L) = 0$ if and only if $kL = l\pi$ (l is non zero integer). Since $\alpha^2 < 0$, κ is also imaginary. Vanishing of solution at $\rho = \rho_a$ and $\rho = \rho_b$ leads to

$$AJ_m(\kappa\rho_a) + BN_m(\kappa\rho_a) = 0, \quad AJ_m(\kappa\rho_b) + BN_m(\kappa\rho_b) = 0$$

and eliminating A/B we have $J_m(\kappa \rho_b)N_m(\kappa \rho_a) - N_m(\kappa \rho_b)J_m(\kappa \rho_a) = 0$. Now $\alpha^2 = -\kappa^2 = -\ell^2 \pi^2 / L^2 = \kappa^2 + 2m|E|/\hbar^2$, therefore $E = (\hbar^2/2m_e)[\kappa^2 + \ell^2 \pi^2 / L^2]$. If we write $\kappa = \kappa_{mn}$, the n^{th} root of the transcendental equation $J_m(\kappa_{mn} \rho_b)N_m(\kappa_{mn} \rho_a) - N_m(\kappa_{mn} \rho_b)J_m(\kappa_{mn} \rho_a) = 0$, than the energy can be written as

$$E_{mn} = (\hbar^2/2m_e)[\kappa_{mn}^2 + (\ell \pi/L)^2] \quad \{ \begin{array}{l} \ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{array}$$

(b) In the field free region between $\rho_a < \rho < \rho_b$ of cylindrical shell, we can have case (a) above with $\vec{A} = \phi = 0$ and $(-i\hbar\vec{\nabla})^2/2m \psi = E\psi$, or the gauge-invariant form (with $\phi = 0$) $\frac{1}{2m}(\frac{\hbar^2}{i}\vec{\nabla} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c})^2\psi' = E\psi'$, $\psi' = e^{+ief/\hbar c}\psi$ and $\vec{A} = \vec{\nabla}f$ (with $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \vec{B}$ = 0). So to find solution with field coupling terms ($\vec{A} \neq 0$), we find the solution ψ with $\vec{A} = 0$ and then multiply by phase factor $e^{+ief/\hbar c}$, where $f(\vec{r}, t) = \int^r dr' \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r}', t)$. Let us choose a gauge in which $A_z = A_\rho = 0$, $A_\theta = (G/\rho)\hat{\theta}$ with G a constant. Then $dr' = \rho'd\theta'\hat{\theta}$ and $f(\vec{r}, t) = \int_0^\theta \rho'd\theta'G/\rho' = G\theta$, and $\psi' = e^{ie\theta G/\hbar c}\psi$. Now G can be determined using Stoke's theorem that $\oint(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) \cdot d\vec{S} = \oint_C \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{l}$ where C is a closed contour inside cylindrical shell. We have $B\rho_a^2 \pi = 2\pi G$, and hence

$$\psi' = e^{ie\theta B\rho_a^2/2\hbar c}\psi = e^{iB\theta}\psi \quad (1)$$

It is evident that the solution ψ' (by symmetry) is of form $\psi' = R(\rho)e^{iB\theta}Q(\theta)Z(z)$. Except for $\tilde{Q}(\theta) = e^{iB\theta}Q(\theta)$, the forms of $R(\rho)$ and $Z(z)$ are the same as in part (a) of problem but with a different separation constant for $R(\rho)$. Now $Q''(z) + m^2 Q(z) = 0$, hence $\tilde{Q}''(\theta) - 2iB\tilde{Q}' + (m^2 - B^2)\tilde{Q} = 0$. The separated equation for R and \tilde{Q} reads

$$\frac{\rho}{R} \frac{d}{d\rho}(\rho dR/d\rho) + \frac{1}{\tilde{Q}} \frac{d^2 \tilde{Q}}{d\theta^2} - \frac{2iB}{\tilde{Q}} \frac{d\tilde{Q}}{d\theta} + \rho^2(k^2 + 2mE/\hbar^2) = 0.$$

Again as in part (a) we have $\kappa^2 = 2m_e E/\hbar^2 - k^2 = 2m_e E/\hbar^2 - \ell^2 \pi^2 / L^2$ or writing $\kappa = \kappa_{\gamma n}$ with $\gamma^2 = m^2 - B^2$, we have

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} [\kappa_{\gamma n}^2 + (\ell \pi/L)^2]$$

where $k_{\gamma n}$ is the n^{th} root of transcendental equation

$$0 = J_Y(k_{\gamma n} \rho_b) N_Y(k_{\gamma n} \rho_a) - N_Y(k_{\gamma n} \rho_b) J_Y(k_{\gamma n} \rho_a)$$

($N_Y \rightarrow J_{-\gamma}$ for γ not an integer). Note because γ depends on B (hence B), the energy eigenvalues are influenced by \vec{B} even though the electron never "touches" the magnetic field.

(c) The ground state of $B=0$ case is

$$E_{101} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} [k_{01}^2 + \pi^2/L^2]$$

with $J_0(k_{01} \rho_b) N_0(k_{01} \rho_a) = N_0(k_{01} \rho_b) J_0(k_{01} \rho_a)$, while for $B \neq 0$

$$E_{\text{ground}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} [k_{\gamma n}^2 + \pi^2/L^2]$$

where γ is not necessarily an integer. However if we require the ground state energy to be unchanged in the presence of B , then

$$\gamma^2 = m^2 - \beta^2 = 0, \quad m \text{ integer, and}$$

$$\pm m = eB\rho_a^2/2mc \rightarrow \pi\rho_a^2 B = 2\pi Nmc/e,$$

where $N = \pm m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$.

26. $\psi \propto \exp[iS(x,t)/\hbar]$ and $H = i\hbar\partial\psi/\partial t$, where $H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x)$. Thus $-(\hbar^2/2m) [\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\frac{i\partial S}{\hbar \partial x} \psi)] + V(x)\psi = i\hbar [\frac{i\partial S}{\hbar \partial t} \psi]$ which simplifies to

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} [\frac{i\partial^2 S}{\hbar \partial x^2} \psi + (\frac{i\partial S}{\hbar \partial x}) (\frac{i\partial S}{\hbar \partial x}) \psi] + V(x)\psi = i\hbar [\frac{i\partial S}{\hbar \partial t} \psi]. \quad (1)$$

If $\lim \hbar \rightarrow 0$ in some sense, (1) reduces to $\frac{1}{2m} (\partial S / \partial x)^2 + V(x) = -\partial S / \partial t$ and this is the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. For $V(x) = 0$ we have $\frac{1}{2m} (\partial S / \partial x)^2 = -\partial S / \partial t$ and seek a solution of separable form $S(x,t) = X(x) + T(t)$. Then $\frac{1}{2m} (\partial X / \partial x)^2 = -\partial T / \partial t = a$ (a constant), so $T(t) = -at + \text{const}$ and $X(x) = \sqrt{2am} x + \text{const}$. Hence $\psi(x,t) \propto \exp[i(\sqrt{2am} x - at)/\hbar]$, a plane wave wave function. Our procedure works because S is linearly dependent on x (i.e. $\partial^2 S / \partial x^2 = 0$).
27. From (2.4.16), the flux $\vec{j}(x,t) = (-i\hbar/2m)[\psi^* \vec{\nabla} \psi - (\vec{\nabla} \psi^*) \psi]$, and the wave function

for a hydrogen atom is $\psi = R_{nl}(r)Y_{lm_l}(\theta, \phi)$ with $Y_{lm_l}(\theta, \phi) = C_{lm_l} P_l^m(\cos\theta)e^{im_l\phi}$.
 In spherical coordinates:

$$\vec{v} = \hat{e}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \hat{e}_{\theta} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{e}_{\phi} \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

hence $\vec{j} = (m_l/m_r \sin \theta) |\psi|^2 \hat{e}_{\phi}$, and thus \vec{j} vanishes for $m_l = 0$. For $m_l \neq 0$, $j > 0$ if $m_l > 0$ and $j < 0$ if $m_l < 0$, where $j > 0$ means that \vec{j} has the same direction as \hat{e}_{ϕ} (i.e. in the direction of increasing ϕ) while $j < 0$ means that \vec{j} has the opposite direction to \hat{e}_{ϕ} (i.e. in the direction of decreasing ϕ).

28. From (2.5.15) we have $K(x'', t; x', t_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_0^\infty dp' \exp\left[\frac{i p'(x'' - x')}{\hbar} - \frac{i p'^2(t - t_0)}{2m\hbar}\right]$.

The exponent can be written after completion of the square as the following

$$\frac{-i(t-t_0)}{2m\hbar} \left(p'^2 - \frac{p'(x''-x')2m}{(t-t_0)}\right) = \frac{-i(t-t_0)}{2m\hbar} \left[p' - \frac{m(x''-x')}{(t-t_0)}\right]^2 + \frac{i m(x''-x')^2}{2\hbar(t-t_0)}.$$

Then with $\xi' = p' - m(x''-x')/(t-t_0)$, $d\xi' = dp'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(x'', t; x', t_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \exp\left[\frac{i m(x''-x')^2}{2\hbar(t-t_0)}\right] \int_0^\infty d\xi \exp\left[-i(t-t_0)\xi'^2/2m\hbar\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \exp\left[\frac{i m(x''-x')^2}{2\hbar(t-t_0)}\right] \left[\frac{2\pi m\hbar}{i(t-t_0)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar i(t-t_0)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[\frac{i m(x''-x')^2}{2\hbar(t-t_0)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

hence we have established (2.5.16). The three dimensional generalization is evidently

$$K(\vec{x}'', t; \vec{x}', t_0) = \left\{\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar i(t-t_0)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp[i m(\vec{x}'' - \vec{x}')^2 / 2\hbar(t-t_0)]$$

29. $Z = \int d^3x' K(\vec{x}', t; \vec{x}', 0) |_{\beta = it/\hbar} = \sum_a \exp[-\beta E_{a'}]$ from (2.5.22). The probability $P(E_{a'}) = \exp[-\beta E_{a'}]/Z$, hence the ground state energy (c.f. (1.4.6))

$$U = \sum_a E_{a'} P(E_{a'}) = \sum_a E_{a'} \exp[-\beta E_{a'}]/Z = -\frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \beta}.$$

For a particle in a one dimensional box (with periodic boundary condition), $da' = \frac{L}{2\pi} dk = \frac{L}{2\pi\hbar} dp$, hence $Z = \sum_a \exp[-\beta E_{a'}] = (L/2\pi\hbar) \int_0^\infty \exp\left[-\frac{p^2 \beta}{2m}\right] dp = (\frac{2L}{2\pi\hbar}) \int_0^\infty e^{-p^2 \beta/2m} dp$,

$$= (L/\pi\hbar) \int_0^\infty e^{-p^2 \beta/2m} dp. \text{ Let } u^2 = p^2 \beta/2m, p = \sqrt{2m/\beta} u, dp = \sqrt{2m/\beta} du, \text{ then } Z =$$

$(L/\pi\hbar)\sqrt{2m/\beta} \int_0^\infty \exp[-u^2] du = (L/\pi\hbar)\sqrt{2m/\beta} \sqrt{\pi}/2 = (L/\hbar)\sqrt{m/2\pi\beta}$. The ground state energy for a particle in a one dimensional "box" is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{dZ}{d\beta} = -\frac{1}{(L/\hbar)(m/2\pi\beta)} \frac{1}{2} (L/\hbar)\sqrt{m/2\pi} (-1)\beta^{-3/2} = 1/2\beta.$$

(Note in thermodynamics $\beta = 1/kT$, hence ground state energy = $kT/2$, an entirely reasonable result).

30. Analogous to (2.5.26) for $K(\vec{x}'', t; \vec{x}', t_o)$, we expect

$$\begin{aligned} K(\vec{p}'', t; \vec{p}', t_o) &= \sum_a \langle \vec{p}'' | a' \rangle \langle a' | \vec{p}' \rangle \exp[-iE_a(t-t_o)/\hbar] \\ &= \sum_a \langle \vec{p}'' | \exp[-iHt/\hbar] | a' \rangle \langle a' | \exp[iHt_o/\hbar] | \vec{p}' \rangle = \langle \vec{p}'' | t | \vec{p}', t_o \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For a free particle, $H = p^2/2m$, hence

$$\langle \vec{p}'' | t | \vec{p}', t_o \rangle = \sum_a \langle \vec{p}'' | \exp[-\frac{ip^2 t}{2m\hbar}] | a' \rangle \langle a' | \exp[ip^2 t_o/2m\hbar] | \vec{p}' \rangle.$$

31. (a) The classical Lagrangian for a SHO is $L = \frac{1}{2} m\dot{x}^2 - \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 x^2$. The classical action is $S(t, t_o) = \int_{t_o}^t dt (\frac{1}{2} m\dot{x}^2 - \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 x^2)$. For a finite time interval $\Delta t = t_n - t_{n-1}$ and $\Delta x = x_n - x_{n-1}$, we have $S(n, n-1) = \Delta t \cdot \frac{m}{2} \{ (x_n - x_{n-1})^2 / \Delta t^2 - \omega^2 \frac{(x_n + x_{n-1})^2}{2} \}$
- $$= \frac{m}{2} \{ \frac{(x_n - x_{n-1})^2}{\Delta t} - \omega^2 (x_n - \frac{1}{2}\Delta x)^2 \Delta t \}. \text{ Hence}$$

$$S(n, n-1) \approx \frac{m}{2} \{ \frac{(x_n - x_{n-1})^2}{\Delta t} - \omega^2 x_n^2 \Delta t \} \quad (1)$$

where terms of order $\Delta x \Delta t$ and $(\Delta x)^2 \Delta t$ have been neglected.

- (b) The transition amplitude obtained from (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_n t_n | x_{n-1} t_{n-1} \rangle &\approx \sqrt{m/2\pi i\hbar \Delta t} \exp[iS(n, n-1)/\hbar] \\ &\approx \sqrt{m/2\pi i\hbar \Delta t} \exp[\frac{im}{2\hbar} \{ \frac{(x_n - x_{n-1})^2}{\Delta t} - \omega^2 x_n^2 \Delta t \}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From (2.5.18) and (2.5.26)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_n t_n | x_{n-1} t_{n-1} \rangle &= K(x_n, t_n; x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}) \\ &= \sqrt{m\omega/2\pi i\hbar} \sin(\omega\Delta t) \exp[\{im\omega/2\hbar \sin(\omega\Delta t)\} \{ (x_n^2 + x_{n-1}^2) \cos(\omega\Delta t) - 2x_n x_{n-1} \}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Up to order $(\Delta t)^2$, we have $\sin(\omega\Delta t) \approx \omega\Delta t$, $(x_n^2 + x_{n-1}^2) \cos(\omega\Delta t) - 2x_n x_{n-1} \approx$

$(x_n - x_{n-1})^2 - [(\dot{x}_n^2 + \dot{x}_{n-1}^2)/2] \omega^2 \Delta t^2 \approx (x_n - x_{n-1})^2 - \omega^2 x_n^2 \Delta t^2$, where we have neglected also a term of order $\Delta x \Delta t^2$ because $(\dot{x}_n^2 + \dot{x}_{n-1}^2)/2 = x_n^2 - [(\dot{x}_n + \dot{x}_{n-1})/2] \Delta x$ implies $(\dot{x}_n^2 + \dot{x}_{n-1}^2) \Delta t^2/2 \approx x_n^2 \Delta t^2$. Thus (3) becomes (up to order $(\Delta t)^2$)

$$\langle x_n t_n | x_{n-1} t_{n-1} \rangle \approx \sqrt{m/2\pi i \hbar \Delta t} \exp\left(\frac{i m}{2\hbar} [(x_n - x_{n-1})^2 / \Delta t - \omega^2 x_n^2 \Delta t]\right)$$

in agreement with (2).

32. The Schwinger action principle states that the following condition determines the transformation function $\langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle$ in terms of a given quantum mechanical Lagrangian L

$$\delta \langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle = (i/\hbar) \langle x_2 t_2 | \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L dt | x_1 t_1 \rangle.$$

To obtain $\langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle$, let $\delta \langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle = (i/\hbar) \langle x_2 t_2 | \delta \omega_{21} | x_1 t_1 \rangle$ where ω_{21} is action

in going from initial state $x_1 t_1$ to final state $x_2 t_2$. Also, let $\delta \omega_{21} = \delta \omega'_{21}$ where $\delta \omega'_{21}$ is the well-ordered form (c.f. Finkelstein (1973), p.164) of $\delta \omega_{21}$.

Then $\delta \langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle x_2 t_2 | \delta \omega'_{21} | x_1 t_1 \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \delta \omega'_{21} \langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle$ and thus $\delta \ln \langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle$

$$\langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle = \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \omega'_{21}\right].$$

The corresponding Feynman expression for $\langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle$ [c.f. Finkelstein (1973), p.144] is

$$\langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\text{paths}} \exp[(i/\hbar) S_{21}]. \quad (2)$$

The classical limit of (2) is such that as $\hbar/S \rightarrow 0$, the probability amplitude $\langle x_2 t_2 | x_1 t_1 \rangle$ will be important only for those varied paths which lie in a narrow

tube between $x_1 t_1$ and $x_2 t_2$ enclosing the classical path. On the other hand, to describe the classical limit for (1) (which has a well-ordered exponent instead of a sum over paths), is to consider first the operator Hamilton-Jacobi equation

(c.f. Finkelstein (1973), p.166)

$$H\left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \dots x \dots\right) + \partial \omega / \partial t = 0. \quad (3)$$

Since ω'_{21} satisfies (3), which arises from a variation of the final state (and is similar to the Schrödinger picture), it is seen that the correspondence limit of ω'_{21} is S, i.e. the probability amplitude (1) approaches the consideration of all possible paths as in the Feynman path integral case (2). Thus in the classical limit, (1) and (2) become equal provided they both are modulated by the factor $1/N$ (N = total number of individual steps in going from $x_1 t_1 \rightarrow x_2 t_2$).

33. Take the plane wave $\psi(\vec{r}, t) = e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} = e^{i(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}/\hbar - \omega t)} = e^{i\phi(\vec{r}, t)}$, where $E_k = p^2/2m = \hbar^2 k^2/2m$. Also $\vec{r} = \vec{v}t$, hence $\phi(\vec{r}, t) = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}/\hbar - \omega r/v$. Let us examine again Fig. 2.5 of text, like before the gravity-induced phase change associated with AB and also with CD are present, but the effects cancel as we compare the two alternative paths. Hence we are concerned with the phase changes $\Delta\phi_{BD}$ and $\Delta\phi_{AC}$, and their difference. Because we are concerned with a time-independent potential the sum of the kinetic energy and potential energy is constant, i.e. $\vec{p}^2/2m + mgz = E$, but the difference in height between level BD and level AC implies a slight difference in \vec{p} , or \vec{k} . As a result there is an accumulation of phase differences due to \vec{k} difference. Along AC, $\Delta\phi_{AC} = p_{AC} \ell_1/\hbar - \omega \ell_1/v_{AC}$ while along BD $\Delta\phi_{BD} = p_{BD} \ell_1/\hbar - \omega \ell_1/v_{BD}$, where $p_{AC} = mv_{AC}$, $p_{BD} = mv_{BD}$, and [from $\vec{p}^2/2m + mgz = \text{const}$] we have

$$v_{BD} = (2/m)^{\frac{1}{2}} [mv_{AC}^2/2 - mg\ell_2 \sin\delta]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The accumulation of phase difference is $\Delta\phi = |\Delta\phi_{BD} - \Delta\phi_{AC}| \approx |\frac{m}{\hbar} \ell_1 (v_{BD} - v_{AC})| = \frac{m^2 g \ell_1 \ell_2 \sin\delta}{\hbar^2}$ where $p_{AC} = mv_{AC} = \hbar/\vec{k}$.

34. (a) To verify (2.6.25), i.e. $[\Pi_i, \Pi_j] = (i\hbar e/c) \epsilon_{ijk} B_k$, we note that $\Pi_i = p_i - eA_i/c$

and $\Pi_j = p_j - eA_j/c$ while $p_{1,j} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1,j}}$ and $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$. Explicit calculation of

$$[\Pi_i, \Pi_j] = [p_1 - eA_1/c, p_j - eA_j/c] = [p_1, p_j] + [p_1, -eA_j/c] + [-eA_1/c, p_j] + [-eA_1/c,$$

$$-eA_j/c] = -e[p_1, A_j/c] - e[A_1/c, p_j]. \text{ From problem 29 of Chapter 1 we have } [p_1,$$

$$F(\vec{x})] = -i\hbar \partial F / \partial x_1, \text{ hence setting } F \equiv A(\vec{x}) \text{ we have } [\Pi_i, \Pi_j] = (i\hbar e/c) \epsilon_{ijk} B_k.$$

To verify (2.6.27), with $H = (\vec{p} - e\vec{A}/c)^2 + e\phi$, let us note that from (2.6.22) we have $d\vec{x}/dt = (\vec{p} - e\vec{A}/c)/m$, hence $d^2\vec{x}/dt^2 = \frac{1}{m}(\vec{dp}/dt - \frac{e}{c}d\vec{A}/dt)$. Now $d\vec{p}/dt =$

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\vec{p}, H], \text{ hence explicitly } d\vec{p}/dt = -e\vec{\nabla}\phi + \frac{e}{c}\vec{\nabla}(\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} \cdot \vec{A}) \text{ and } d\vec{A}/dt = \partial \vec{A}/\partial t + \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\vec{A}, H]$$

$$= \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} d\vec{x}/dt + \partial \vec{A}/\partial t. \text{ Thus } \frac{d}{dt}(\vec{p} - e\vec{A}/c) = -e\vec{\nabla}\phi + \frac{e}{c}\vec{\nabla}(\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} \cdot \vec{A}) - \frac{e}{c}\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} d\vec{x}/dt - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\vec{p} - e\vec{A}/c) = -e(\vec{\nabla}\phi + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}) + \frac{e}{c}[d\vec{x}/dt \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A})]. \text{ By symmetrization this can be}$$

$$\text{written as } d\vec{H}/dt = e[\vec{E} + \frac{1}{2c}\{d\vec{x}/dt \times \vec{B} - \vec{B} \times d\vec{x}/dt\}] \text{ and hence (2.6.27).}$$

(b) To verify $\partial p/\partial t + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} = 0$ (the continuity equation) with $\vec{J} = \frac{\hbar}{m} \text{Im}(\psi^* \vec{\nabla} \psi) - \frac{e}{mc} \vec{A} |\psi|^2$ which can be written as $\vec{J} = \frac{\hbar}{2im}[\psi^* \vec{\nabla} \psi - \psi \vec{\nabla} \psi^*] - \frac{e}{mc} \vec{A} |\psi|^2$. Let us work in Coulomb gauge $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ (because of gauge invariance this is no loss of generality); we find

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} = \frac{\hbar}{2mi}[\psi^* (\vec{\nabla}^2 \psi) - \psi (\vec{\nabla}^2 \psi^*)] - \frac{e}{mc}(\psi^* \vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi + \vec{\nabla} \psi^* \cdot \vec{A} \psi). \quad (1)$$

This can be simplified further by using the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \partial \psi / \partial t = \{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}^2 \psi + \frac{ie\hbar}{mc}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \psi + \frac{e^2 \hbar^2}{2m^2 c^2} \psi + \phi \psi\} \quad (2)$$

$$-i\hbar \psi^* / \partial t = \{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}^2 \psi^* - \frac{ie\hbar}{mc}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \psi^* + \frac{e^2 \hbar^2}{2m^2 c^2} \psi^* + \phi \psi^*\} \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we may eliminate $\psi^* \vec{\nabla}^2 \psi - \psi (\vec{\nabla}^2 \psi^*)$ in (1), the result is

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} = -(\psi^* \partial \psi / \partial t + \psi \partial \psi^* / \partial t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\psi^* \psi) = -\partial p / \partial t.$$

35. Take $H_0 = p^2/2m + \phi(r)$, then $H = (\vec{p} - e\vec{A}/c)^2/2m + \phi(r)$. Now $(\vec{p} - e\vec{A}/c)^2 = \vec{p}^2 - [\frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}]$

$\frac{e\vec{p}\cdot\vec{A}}{c} + \frac{e^2}{c^2} \vec{A}^2$, and we note that $\vec{A}\cdot\vec{p}$ can be written as

$$\vec{A}\cdot\vec{p} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B}\times\vec{r})\cdot\vec{p} = \frac{\vec{B}}{2}\cdot(\vec{r}\times\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B}\cdot\vec{L}$$

while $\vec{A}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B}\times\vec{r})^2 = \frac{1}{2}B^2(x^2+y^2)$ when we choose B to be an uniform magnetic field along \hat{z} -direction. Thus in Coulomb gauge $\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A} = 0$, we have

$$H = H_0 - \frac{eBL_z}{2mc} + \frac{e^2B^2}{8mc^2}(x^2+y^2).$$

Hence we are led to the correct expression for the interaction of the orbital magnetic moment $(e/2mc)\vec{L}$ with the magnetic field \vec{B} . There is also the quadratic Zeeman effect contribution proportional to $B^2(x^2+y^2)$ in H which contributes to the "diamagnetic susceptibility" χ appearing as an energy shift $= -\frac{1}{2}\chi B^2$.

36. (a) $[p_x - eA_x/c, p_y - eA_y/c] = -\frac{e}{c}[p_x, A_y] + \frac{e}{c}[p_y, A_x] = \frac{ie\hbar}{c}(\partial A_y/\partial x - \partial A_x/\partial y) = ie\hbar B/c$. Hence $[\Pi_x, \Pi_y] = ie\hbar B/c$.

(b) From the relation $[\Pi_x, \Pi_y] = ie\hbar B/c$, it is suggestive that we define $X = -c\Pi_y/eB$, then $[X, \Pi_x] = i\hbar$ (just like $[x, p] = i\hbar$). The Hamiltonian then reads


$$H = \Pi_x^2/2m + \Pi_y^2/2m + p_z^2/2m = \Pi_x^2/2m + e^2 B^2 X^2/2mc^2 + p_z^2/2m \quad (1)$$

where p_z is same as Π_z because $A_z = 0$. Compare Eq.(1) with the one-dimensional simple harmonic oscillator

$$H_{SHO} = p^2/2m + m\omega_x^2 x^2/2 \quad (2)$$

for which we know $E_n = \hbar\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})$. So evidently the substitution $\omega \rightarrow |e\vec{B}|/mc$, we immediately get the energy eigenvalues of (1). (Note: Π_x and X satisfy the same commutation relations as p and x for the harmonic oscillator problem.) We must still add translational kinetic energy in the z -direction. The eigenvalues of p_z , $\hbar k$, are continuous. So the final answer is $E_{k,n} = \hbar^2 k^2/2m + \hbar \frac{|eB|}{mc}(n+\frac{1}{2})$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

37.



Consider the paths ① and ②, and the two wave functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 where $\vec{B} = 0$. Then $\psi_2 = e^{i\delta} \psi_1$ since by symmetry $|\psi_2|^2 = |\psi_1|^2$ for $\vec{B} = 0$. If \vec{B} is turned on in a region (drawn above) of length l , the neutrons will cross the above length in a time T given by

$$v = l/T \text{ and } p \approx ml/T \approx \hbar/k.$$

Therefore $T = ml\hbar/\hbar k$, and is the time in which the external B -field is acting on the particle. Now let us focus our attention on path ②; the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \begin{cases} H_0 = p^2/2m & \text{for } 2a, 2c \text{ regions} \\ H' = p^2/2m + g_n \mu \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} & \text{for } 2b \text{ region} \end{cases}$$

where $\mu = -e\hbar/2mc$.

Now ψ_{2b} is related to ψ_{2a} via the time evolution operator viz: $\psi_{2b} = e^{-iH'T/\hbar} \psi_{2a}$.

Furthermore ψ_{2d} (wave function at screen via path ②) is given by

$$\psi_{2d} = \exp[-iH_0 t/\hbar] \exp[-iH'T/\hbar] \psi_{2a},$$

where t is the time of transit along ② from 2b to 2d. Noting that $p^2/2m = \hbar^2/2m\kappa^2$, we find $\exp[-iH'T/\hbar] = \exp[-(iT/\hbar)\{\hbar^2/2m\kappa^2 + g_n \mu \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}\}]$. Choose next $\vec{B} = B \hat{e}_z$ (and remind that $T = ml\hbar/\hbar k$), we find

$$e^{-iH'T/\hbar} = e^{-i\phi}, \text{ where } \phi = l/2\kappa + g_n \mu \sigma_z B m l \hbar / \hbar^2.$$

and

$$\psi_{2d} = e^{-iH_0 t/\hbar} e^{-i\phi} \psi_{2a}. \quad (1)$$

A change in B , produces the following change in ϕ

$$\Delta\phi = g_n \mu_0 z m \lambda \Delta B / \hbar^2 = \frac{g_n \mu_0 \lambda}{\hbar^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \Delta B$$

For path ① we see

$$\psi_{1d} = e^{-iH_0 t/\hbar} e^{-iH_0 T/\hbar} \psi_{1a}$$

where ψ_{1d} is wave function at screen via path ① and t is the time of transit from 1b to 1d. From Eqs. (1) and (2) we see that maxima occur for $\Delta\phi = 2\pi$ (i.e. no "effect" on phase in region 2a to 2b), therefore

$$2\pi/\Delta B = g_n \mu_0 \lambda / \hbar^2$$

and with $|u| = |e|\hbar/2mc$, we have $|\Delta B| = 4\pi\hbar c/|e|g_n \lambda^2$. (3)

Chapter 3

1. The secular equation is $\det(\sigma_y - \lambda I) = 0$, where eigenfunction $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies equation $[\sigma_y - \lambda I]\psi = 0$. Roots of secular equation are ± 1 , hence $[\sigma_y - \lambda I]\psi = 0$ leads to $\alpha/\beta = -i(\lambda=+1)$, $\alpha/\beta = +i(\lambda=-1)$. Also from normalization we have $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, hence $\psi_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (for $\lambda=+1$) and $\psi_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (for $\lambda=-1$). Now $s_y = \hbar \omega_y$ and we know that $s_y \psi_+ = \hbar/2 \psi_+$ (and $s_y \psi_- = -\hbar/2 \psi_-$). The general situation is represented by an electron in spin-state $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$, hence the probability that electron will be found in ψ_+ with eigenvalue $+\hbar/2$ when s_y is measured is

$$|\langle \psi_+ | s_y | \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \rangle|^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 | +i\alpha + \beta |^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{8} [1 - 2 \operatorname{Im}(\alpha\beta^*)]$$

if $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ is normalized.

2. (a) Write U as $U = (a_0 + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a})(a_0 - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a})^{-1} = A(A^\dagger)^{-1}$, then $UU^\dagger = A(A^\dagger)^{-1} A^{-1} A^\dagger = A(AA^\dagger)^{-1} A^\dagger = A \frac{1}{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2} A^\dagger = 1$. Likewise $U^\dagger U = 1$, therefore U is unitary.

Now since $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + ia_3 & ia_1 + ia_2 \\ ia_1 - a_2 & a_0 - ia_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 - ia_3 & -ia_1 - a_2 \\ -ia_1 + a_2 & a_0 + ia_3 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$\det A = \det A^\dagger = a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2$ while from $\det(A^\dagger (A^\dagger)^{-1}) = \det A^\dagger \det(A^\dagger)^{-1} = 1$, it is evident that $\det(A^\dagger)^{-1} = 1/\det(A^\dagger) = 1/(a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)$. Thus $\det U = \det[A(A^\dagger)^{-1}] = \det A \det(A^\dagger)^{-1} = 1$, therefore U is unimodular.

(b) Since $AA^\dagger = (a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)I = \alpha I$ say, we find

$$U = A(A^\dagger)^{-1} = A^2 / \alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} a_0^2 - |\vec{a}|^2 + 2ia_0 a_3 & 2a_0 a_2 + 2ia_0 a_1 \\ -2a_0 a_2 + 2ia_0 a_1 & a_0^2 - |\vec{a}|^2 - 2ia_0 a_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Compare with (3.3.7) and (3.3.10), we find angle and axis of rotation appropriate for U as $\cos \frac{\phi}{2} = (a_0^2 - |\vec{a}|^2)/\alpha$, $\sin \frac{\phi}{2} = 2a_0 |\vec{a}|/\alpha$, $n_x = -a_1/|\vec{a}|$, $n_y = -a_2/|\vec{a}|$, and $n_z = -a_3/|\vec{a}|$.

3. The coupled representation has: $|11\rangle = |++\rangle$, $|10\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(|+-\rangle + |-+\rangle)$, $|1-1\rangle = |--\rangle$, and $|00\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(|+-\rangle - |-+\rangle)$ while $\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 = (\vec{S}_1^2 + \vec{S}_2^2 - \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2)/2$. We are interested in the spin function of the system given by $x_+^{(e^-)} x_-^{(e^+)}$ hence in the $+$ contribution arising from $|10\rangle$ and $|00\rangle$. So we are interested in the piece of Hamiltonian

$$\langle H \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 10|H|10\rangle & \langle 10|H|00\rangle \\ \langle 00|H|10\rangle & \langle 00|H|00\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A\hbar^2/4 & eB\hbar/mc \\ eB\hbar/mc & -3A\hbar^2/4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalue equation is $\langle H \rangle \psi = E\psi$, where E satisfies $\det[\langle H \rangle - E\mathbb{1}] = 0$. We have $E_{\pm} = -\zeta(A\hbar^2) \pm \zeta[(A\hbar^2)^2 + 4(eB\hbar/mc)^2]^{1/2} = -\zeta A\hbar^2(1 \mp 2/\cos\theta)$, where $\tan\theta = 2eB/mcA\hbar$.

For $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$, the eigenvalue equation leads to normalized eigenvectors

$$\psi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } E_+ \text{ and } \psi_- = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \\ -\cos\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } E_-$$

(a) In the case $A \rightarrow 0$, $eB/mc \neq 0$ we have $\theta = \pi/2$, hence $\psi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$ for $E_+ = +eB\hbar/mc$ and $\psi_- = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$ for $E_- = -eB\hbar/mc$. However the spin function of system is $x_+^{(e^-)} x_-^{(e^+)}$ and $|+-\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\zeta|10\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\zeta|00\rangle$ which corresponds to ψ_+ with $E_+ = +eB\hbar/mc$ as the respective eigenvector and eigenvalue.

(b) In the case $eB/mc \rightarrow 0$, $A \neq 0$, we have $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\psi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $E_+ = +A\hbar^2/4$ and $\psi_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $E_- = -3A\hbar^2/4$. Our spin function $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\zeta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is not therefore an eigenvector corresponding to a definite energy eigenvalue. The expectation value can be computed by noting that $\langle +-\rangle |H|+-\rangle = \frac{1}{2}[\langle 10|H|10\rangle + \langle 00|H|10\rangle + \langle 10|H|00\rangle + \langle 00|H|00\rangle] = \frac{1}{2}[A\hbar^2/4 - 3A\hbar^2/4] = -\zeta A\hbar^2$.

4. Choose a representation in which \vec{S}^2 , and S_z are diagonal, so $\vec{S}^2|s,m\rangle = s(s+1)\hbar^2|s,m\rangle$ and $S_z|s,m\rangle = m\hbar|s,m\rangle$. Using ladder operations $S_+ = S_x + iS_y$, $S_- = S_x - iS_y$ where $S_{\pm}|s,m\rangle = [s(s+1)-m(m\pm 1)]^{1/2}\hbar|s,m\pm 1\rangle$, we have for $s = 1$ (spin 1 par-

ticle)

$$S_x = \frac{\hbar}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, S_y = \frac{\hbar}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, S_z = \hbar \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, S^2 = 2\hbar^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(a) $S_z(S_z + \hbar I)(S_z - \hbar I) = S_z(S_z^2 - \hbar^2 I) = 0.$ (b) $S_x(S_x + \hbar I)(S_x - \hbar I) =$

$S_x(S_x^2 - \hbar^2 I) = (\hbar^3/2\sqrt{2}) \times [0]$ where $[0]$ is the null matrix. This result is physically reasonable, since same quantity is considered with quantization axis S_x instead of $S_z.$

5. The Heisenberg equation of motion is $d\vec{K}/dt = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \vec{K}]$. Substitute \vec{K} and H into this equation, we have $2d\vec{K}/dt = \frac{i}{\hbar}[K_1^2/I_1 + K_2^2/I_2 + K_3^2/I_3, K_1 \vec{e}_1 + K_2 \vec{e}_2 + K_3 \vec{e}_3].$ Take the first component for definiteness, we have $2dK_1/dt = \frac{i}{\hbar}[K_2^2/I_2 + K_3^2/I_3, K_1].$ Now $[K_2^2/I_2, K_1] = \frac{1}{I_2} \{K_2, [K_2, K_1]\},$ and since $[K_1, K_2] = -i\hbar K_3$ (true for a rotating system of axis), we have $[K_2^2/I_2, K_1] = i\hbar/I_2 \{K_2, K_3\}$ and similarly $[K_3^2/I_3, K_1] = i\hbar/I_3 \{K_1, K_3\}.$ So $dK_1/dt = \frac{I_2 - I_3}{2I_2 I_3} \{K_2, K_3\},$ and similarly $dK_2/dt = \frac{I_3 - I_1}{2I_3 I_1} \{K_3, K_1\},$ $dK_3/dt = \frac{I_1 - I_2}{2I_1 I_2} \{K_1, K_2\}.$

The correspondence limit gives $K_i K_j = K_j K_i$ and $K_i = I_i \omega_i,$ hence $dK_i/dt = I_i \dot{\omega}_i.$ Then the Heisenberg equation of motion for \vec{K} , reduces to $I_i \dot{\omega}_i = (I_j - I_k) \omega_j \omega_k$ (i, j, k cyclic permutation of 1, 2, 3) - that is the Euler's equation of motion.

6. If U represents the rotation with Euler angles α, β, γ , then U must satisfy for infinitesimal rotation angle ϵ (c.f. (3.1.7)) $U_x(\epsilon)U_y(\epsilon) - U_y(\epsilon)U_x(\epsilon) = U_z(\epsilon^2) - 1.$ Obviously $U_x(\epsilon) = e^{iG_1\epsilon}, U_y(\epsilon) = e^{iG_2\epsilon},$ and $U_z(\epsilon) = e^{iG_3\epsilon},$ and represent infinitesimal rotations around x, y, z axes respectively. In terms of Euler ang-

In rotation $U_x(\epsilon) = e^{-iG_3\pi/2} e^{iG_2\epsilon} e^{iG_3\pi/2}$, etc. where we have used (3.3.19). Expand $e^{iG_1\epsilon}$, $e^{iG_2\epsilon}$, and $e^{iG_3\epsilon^2}$ in terms of Taylor series in $U_x(\epsilon)U_y(\epsilon)-U_y(\epsilon)U_x(\epsilon)$ $= U_z(\epsilon^2) - 1$, and compare coefficients of ϵ^2 on both sides. We have $[G_1, G_2] = iG_3$, and similarly $[G_2, G_3] = iG_1$ and $[G_3, G_1] = iG_2$, i.e. $[G_i, G_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}G_k$. Compare with commutation relations for \vec{J} , viz:- $[J_i, J_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}J_k$, we find $G_i = J_i/\hbar$.

7. A_l are unrotated operators while $U^{-1}A_kU$ are operators under rotation. So $U^{-1}A_kU = \sum_l R_{kl}A_l$ is the connecting equation between unrotated operators and operators obtained after rotation. The operators after rotation are just combinations of unrotated operators. From $U^{-1}A_kU = A'_k = \sum_l R_{kl}A_l$, we obtain for matrix elements $\langle m|A'_k|n\rangle = \sum_l R_{kl}\langle m|A_l|n\rangle$. But this is the same as vector transformation $v'_k = \sum_l R_{kl}v_l$, hence $\langle m|A_k|n\rangle$ transforms like a vector.

8. We are given that $D^{(3)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is such that (c.f. (3.3.21))

$$D^{(3)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(\alpha+\gamma)/2} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} & -e^{-i(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i(\alpha-\gamma)/2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} & e^{i(\alpha+\gamma)/2} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

but this is equivalent to (c.f. (3.2.45))

$$D^{(3)}(\hat{n}; \theta) = e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n})\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} - i n_z \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & (-i n_x - n_y) \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ (-i n_x + n_y) \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i n_z \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

corresponding to rotation about some axis \hat{n} through an angle θ . Since $D^{(3)}(\hat{n}; \theta)$ is equivalent to $D^{(3)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have $\text{Tr } D^{(3)}(\hat{n}; \theta) = \text{Tr } D^{(3)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, thence $2\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} = 2\cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \cos \frac{(\alpha+\gamma)}{2}$ or $\theta = 2\cos^{-1} [\cos \frac{\beta}{2} \cos \frac{(\alpha+\gamma)}{2}]$

9. (a) A general state in spin $\frac{1}{2}$ system can be written as (suitably normalized)

$$|\alpha\rangle = \cos \frac{\beta}{2} e^{i\alpha/2} |+\rangle + \sin \frac{\beta}{2} e^{-i\alpha/2} |-\rangle.$$

Then $\langle S_x \rangle = \langle \alpha | S_x | \alpha \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \langle \alpha | (|+\rangle \langle -| + |-\rangle \langle +|) | \alpha \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} [\cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} e^{-i\alpha/2} \langle -| + \sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2} e^{i\alpha/2} \langle +|] | \alpha \rangle$

$= \frac{\hbar}{2} [\cos^2 e^{-i\alpha/2} \sin^2 e^{-i\alpha/2} + \sin^2 e^{+i\alpha/2} \cos^2 e^{+i\alpha/2}] = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sin \beta \cos \alpha$. Similarly $\langle S_z \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \cos \beta$ and $\langle S_y \rangle = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \sin \beta \sin \alpha$. If we know $\langle S_x \rangle$, $\langle S_z \rangle$ we can obtain β and $\cos \alpha$.

However to know the sign of $\sin \alpha$ and hence specify α we need to know sign ($\langle S_y \rangle$) but not the magnitude of $\langle S_y \rangle$.

(b) Let $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be the density matrix in the S_z basis. The ensemble average of an operator O is $[O] = \text{Tr}[\rho O]$. We have

$$[S_x] = \frac{\hbar}{2} \text{Tr}[(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix})(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})] = \frac{\hbar}{2}(b+c) \quad (1)$$

$$[S_y] = \frac{\hbar}{2} \text{Tr}[(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix})(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix})] = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(b-c) \quad (2)$$

$$[S_z] = \frac{\hbar}{2} \text{Tr}[(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix})(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix})] = \frac{\hbar}{2}(a-d) \quad (3)$$

and the normalization condition is:

$$\text{Tr } \rho = 1 \text{ or } (a+d) = 1. \quad (4)$$

Solving Eqs. (1)-(4), we obtain for elements of the density matrix

$$a = \frac{1}{2}[1 + [S_z]/\hbar], \quad b = \frac{1}{\hbar}[[S_x] - i[S_y]], \quad c = \frac{1}{\hbar}[[S_x] + i[S_y]], \quad d = \frac{1}{2}[1 - 2[S_z]/\hbar].$$

10. (a) Take (3.4.8) at time t , the density operator $\rho(t)$ reads

$$\rho(t) = \sum_i w_i |\alpha_i, t\rangle \langle \alpha_i, t|.$$

In the Schrödinger picture $|\alpha_i, t\rangle = U(t, t_0)|\alpha_i, t_0\rangle$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t) &= \sum_i w_i U(t, t_0) |\alpha_i, t_0\rangle \langle \alpha_i, t_0| U^\dagger(t, t_0) = U(t, t_0) (\sum_i w_i |\alpha_i, t_0\rangle \langle \alpha_i, t_0|) \times \\ &U^\dagger(t, t_0) = U(t, t_0) \rho(t_0) U^\dagger(t, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) \rho^2(t) = U(t, t_0) \rho(t_0) U^\dagger(t, t_0) U(t, t_0) \rho(t_0) U^\dagger(t, t_0) = U(t, t_0) \rho^2(t_0) U^\dagger(t, t_0).$$

At $t=0$ we have a pure ensemble (hence idempotent (3.4.13)) i.e. $\rho^2(t_0) = \rho(t_0)$. But $\rho^2(t) = U(t, t_0) \rho(t_0) U^\dagger(t, t_0) = \rho(t)$ and is also idempotent hence we have a pure ensemble at time t also.

11. From (3.4.9) we see that the density matrix of an ensemble of spin 1 systems has form

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ b^* & d & e \\ c^* & e^* & f \end{pmatrix}$$

where a, d, f are real, and b, c, e complex, i.e. 9 independent variables. However since $\text{Tr } \rho = 1$ (3.4.11), we have $a+d+f = 1$, and only 8 independent parameters are needed to characterize the density matrix. If we know $[S_x]$, $[S_y]$, $[S_z]$, we need five more independent quantities. They are: $[S_x S_y]$, $[S_y S_z]$, $[S_z S_x]$, $[S_x^2]$, $[S_y^2]$. Note $[S_x S_y]$, $[S_y S_z]$, and $[S_z S_x]$ may not be real, however the extra conditions (over 3) are not independent of $[S_x]$, $[S_y]$, $[S_z]$. Physically $[S_{x,y,z}]$ are related to measurement of dipole moments of the particles and to completely characterize a spin 1 system we need the five components of the quadrupole tensor.

12. Rotated state is given by

$$U_R |j, m=j\rangle = (1 - iJ_y \epsilon/\hbar - (J_y^2) \epsilon^2/2\hbar^2 \dots) |j, m=j\rangle.$$

Probability amplitude for being found in the original state is

$$\langle j, m=j | U_R |j, m=j\rangle.$$

We must evaluate the expectation values of J_y , J_y^2 , where from $J_y = (J_+ - J_-)/2i$ we have $J_y^2 = -\frac{1}{4}[J_+^2 + J_-^2 - J_+ J_- - J_- J_+]$. Evidently $\langle J_y \rangle_{j, m=j} = 0$ and from (3.5.39) and (3.5.40) $\langle (J_y)^2 \rangle_{j, m=j} = \frac{1}{4}\langle j, m=j | J_+ J_- | j, m=j \rangle = 2j\hbar^2/4$. So

$$\langle j, m=j | U_R |j, m=j\rangle = 1 - (2j\hbar^2/2\hbar^2 4)\epsilon^2 + \dots$$

Hence probability to order $\epsilon^2 = |\langle j, m=j | U_R |j, m=j \rangle|^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2}j\epsilon^2$.

Alternative solution:

Calculate the probability amplitude for being found in states other than $j=m$. To order ϵ (in the amplitude) only $m=j-1$ state gets populated. $U_R |j, m=j\rangle = |j, m=j\rangle - (i/\hbar) J_y \epsilon |j, m=j\rangle = |j, m=j\rangle - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sqrt{j} |j, m=j-1\rangle$. The probability for being found in the original state is reduced by $\epsilon^2 j/2$. So the answer (for our problem) is $1 - \epsilon^2 j/2$.

13. Looking at the matrix elements we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [G_i, G_j]_{ln} &= [G_i G_j - G_j G_i]_{ln} = (G_i)_{lm} (G_j)_{mn} - (G_j)_{lm} (G_i)_{mn} \\
 &= -\hbar^2 [\epsilon_{ilm} \epsilon_{jmn} - \epsilon_{jlm} \epsilon_{imn}] = -\hbar^2 [\epsilon_{mij} \epsilon_{mmj} - \epsilon_{mj\ell} \epsilon_{mmi}] \\
 &= -\hbar^2 [(\delta_{in} \delta_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{in}) - (\delta_{jn} \delta_{\ell i} - \delta_{ji} \delta_{\ell n})] \\
 &= \hbar^2 (\delta_{il} \delta_{jn} - \delta_{in} \delta_{jl}) = \hbar^2 \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{kin} = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} (-i\hbar \epsilon_{kin}) \\
 &= i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} (G_k)_{ln}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[G_i, G_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} G_k$. Let us find the unitary matrix which transforms G_i to J_i with J_3 diagonal, than $J_i = U^\dagger G_i U$ where U is made up of the eigenvectors of G_3 . The explicit form of G_3 (from $(G_i)_{jk} = -i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk}$ where j and k are the row and column indices) is

$$G_3 = i\hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors are obtained from equation $(G_3 - \lambda I)^+ r_\lambda = 0$ where λ is a root of $|G_3 - \lambda I| = 0$. The eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors can be readily seen to be

$$\lambda = 0, \vec{r}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \lambda = +\hbar, \vec{r}_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \lambda = -\hbar, \vec{r}_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ -1 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and this unitary matrix transforms the Cartesian space representation of the angular momentum operator, i.e. \vec{G} , to its spherical basis representation, \vec{J} ($j=1$). Since the G 's and J 's satisfy the same Lie algebra (and they both form a group), they are just different representations of the rotation group (irreducible). Therefore, the J 's and G 's are related via a rotation in the group space. This finite rotation can be obtained from compounding the infinitesimal rotation $\vec{V} \rightarrow \vec{V} + \hat{n} \delta\phi \times \vec{V}$ (or $\vec{G} \rightarrow \vec{G} + \hat{n} \delta\phi \times \vec{G}$).

14. (a) $J_+ J_- = (J_x + iJ_y)(J_x - iJ_y) = J_x^2 + iJ_y J_x - iJ_x J_y + J_y^2 = J_x^2 + J_y^2 - i(J_x J_y - J_y J_x)$
 $= \vec{J}^2 - J_z^2 - iJ_z(i\hbar) = \vec{J}^2 - J_z^2 + \hbar J_z$. So $\vec{J}^2 = J_z^2 + J_+ J_- - \hbar J_z$.
- (b) We have on the one hand $\langle jm | J_+ J_- | jm \rangle = |c_-|^2$, while using $J_+ J_- = \vec{J}^2 - J_z^2 + \hbar J_z$ we have on the other hand $\langle jm | J_+ J_- | jm \rangle = (j(j+1) - m^2 + m)\hbar^2$. So $|c_-|^2 = [j(j+1) - m^2 + m]\hbar^2 = (j+m)(j-m+1)\hbar^2$, and by convention we choose $c_- = \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)} \hbar$. Thus $J_- |jm\rangle = c_- |j, m-1\rangle$ (or $J_- \psi_{jm} = c_- \psi_{j, m-1}$).

15. Rewrite the wave function in spherical coordinates, i.e. $\psi(\vec{x}) = rf(r)(\sin\theta\cos\phi + \sin\theta\sin\phi + 3\cos\theta)$.

(a) Since $Y_{11} = \sin\theta e^{i\phi}$, $Y_{1-1} = \sin\theta e^{-i\phi}$, $Y_{10} = \cos\theta$, while $e^{\pm i\phi} = \cos\phi \pm i\sin\phi$, it is evident that $\psi(\vec{x})$ is an eigenfunction of \vec{L}^2 with $\ell = 1$.

(b) Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\theta\cos\phi + \sin\theta\sin\phi + 3\cos\theta &= \sin\theta \frac{(e^{i\phi} + e^{-i\phi})}{2} + \sin\theta \frac{(e^{i\phi} - e^{-i\phi})}{2i} + 3\cos\theta \\ &= (4\pi/3)^{1/2} \{ (1-i)Y_{11}/\sqrt{2} - (1+i)Y_{1-1}/\sqrt{2} + 3Y_{10} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The probability for the particle to be found in the $m_\ell = 0$ state is $9/(9+1+1) = 9/11 = P_0$. Similarly the probabilities for particle to be found in the state $m_\ell = 1$ is $P_1 = 1/11$, and in state $m_\ell = -1$ is $P_{-1} = 1/11$.

(c) The procedure for finding the potential $V(r)$ is first to substitute the wave function into Schrödinger equation, and then use the fact that the wave function is the eigenfunction of \vec{L}^2 . Now our $\psi(\vec{x}) = R(r)F(\theta, \phi)$, while the Schrödinger equation is $(-\hbar^2/2m)V^2\psi + V(r)\psi = E\psi$. In spherical coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} V^2\psi &= \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \left[\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin\theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial R}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{i(i+1)R(r)}{r^2} \right] F(\theta, \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where in (2) we have used (3.6.28) and the fact that $F(\theta, \phi)$ is a linear combination of spherical harmonics (c.f. (1)). Hence for $\ell = 1$, the Schrödinger equation leads to $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial R}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{2}{r^2} R \right] + V(r)R(r) = ER(r)$, and therefore

$$V(r) = E - \frac{\hbar^2}{mr^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{rR} \frac{d^2}{dr^2}(rR) \quad (3)$$

16. From $L_{\pm} = L_x \pm iL_y$, we have $L_x = \frac{1}{2}(L_+ + L_-)$ and $L_y = \frac{-i}{2}(L_+ - L_-)$, and from (3.5.39) and (3.5.40) $L_{\pm}|l,m\rangle = c_{\pm}(l,m)|l,m\pm 1\rangle = \hbar[l(l+1)-m(m\pm 1)]^{1/2}|l,m\pm 1\rangle$. Hence $\langle L_x \rangle = \langle lm|L_{\pm}|lm\rangle|lm\rangle = 0$ since $\langle lm|lm'\rangle = \delta_{mm'}$. Similarly $\langle L_y \rangle = \langle lm|L_y|lm\rangle = 0$. Now $\langle L_x^2 \rangle = \langle lm|\frac{1}{4}(L_+L_+ + L_+L_- + L_-L_+ + L_-L_-)|lm\rangle$. But $L_+L_-|lm\rangle = c_-(l,m) \times c_+(l,m-1)|lm\rangle$ and $L_-L_+|lm\rangle = c_+(l,m)c_-(l,m+1)|lm\rangle$ while $\langle lm|L_+L_+|lm\rangle = \langle lm|L_-L_-|lm\rangle = 0$ since states of different m values are orthogonal. Hence $\langle L_x^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\langle lm|L_+L_- + L_-L_+|lm\rangle = \frac{1}{4}\{c_-(l,m)c_+(l,m-1) + c_+(l,m)c_-(l,m+1)\} = \frac{1}{4}\{c_-^2(l,m) + c_+^2(l,m)\} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}\{l(l+1)-m(m-1)+l(l+1)-m(m+1)\} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}\{l(l+1)-m^2\}$. Similarly $\langle L_y^2 \rangle = \langle lm|-\frac{i}{2}(L_+L_+ - L_+L_- - L_-L_+ + L_-L_-)|lm\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle lm|(L_+L_- + L_-L_+)|lm\rangle = \langle L_x^2 \rangle$.

Semiclassical interpretation: We know that $\vec{L}^2|lm\rangle = \hbar^2 l(l+1)|lm\rangle$, $L_z^2|lm\rangle = \hbar^2 m^2 |lm\rangle$. Thus $\langle \vec{L}^2 \rangle = l(l+1)\hbar^2$ and $\langle L_z^2 \rangle = m^2\hbar^2$. In the classical correspondence $\vec{L}^2 = L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2$ expresses itself in terms of the corresponding expectation values, and indeed $\langle L_x^2 \rangle + \langle L_y^2 \rangle + \langle L_z^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2(l(l+1)-m^2) + \frac{1}{2}\hbar^2(l(l+1)-m^2) + m^2\hbar^2 = l(l+1)\hbar^2 = \langle \vec{L}^2 \rangle$.

17. Since (c.f. (3.6.13)) $L_{\pm} = -i\hbar e^{\pm i\phi} [\pm i\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \cot\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}]$, and we may deduce as usual that $Y_{\frac{l}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(\theta, \phi) \propto e^{i\phi/2}\sqrt{\sin\theta}$ from $L_+ Y_{\frac{l}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(\theta, \phi) = 0$.

(a) Apply L_- to $Y_{\frac{l}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\frac{l}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\theta, \phi) &\propto e^{-i\phi} [-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(e^{i\phi/2}\sqrt{\sin\theta}) - \cot\theta(i/2)e^{i\phi/2}\sqrt{\sin\theta}] \\ &= e^{-i\phi/2}[\sin\theta]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\cos\theta. \end{aligned}$$

(b) From $0 = (-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \cot\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi})Y_{\frac{l}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\theta, \phi)$, we solve for $Y_{\frac{l}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\theta, \phi)$ in form $Y_{\frac{l}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}} \sim e^{-i\phi/2}f(\theta)$ and obtain solution for $f(\theta)$ from defining differential equation.

The answer is $Y_{\frac{l}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}} \propto e^{-i\phi/2}(\sin\theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Comparing (a) and (b) lead to contradictory results. So this is another argument against half integer l for orbital angular momentum.

18. From (3.6.46) and (3.6.48), we have

$$D(R)|\ell, m\rangle = \sum_m |\ell, m'\rangle \langle \ell, m'| D(R) |\ell, m\rangle = \sum_m |\ell, m'\rangle D_{m'm}^{(\ell)}(R)$$

where $m = 0$ initially. So the probability for finding $|\ell, m'\rangle$ is given by (c.f. (3.6.51))

$$|D_{m'0}^{(\ell)}(\alpha=0, \beta, \gamma=0)|^2 = |(4\pi/2\ell+1)^{1/2} Y_{\ell}^{m'*}(\theta=\beta, \phi=0)|^2.$$

From table for $Y_{\ell=2}^m$ (c.f. Appendix A), the probabilities are

$$m'=0: \frac{1}{4}(3\cos^2\beta - 1)^2; m'=\pm 1: \frac{3}{2}\cos^2\beta \sin^2\beta; m'=\pm 2: \frac{3}{8}\sin^4\beta.$$

It is easy to check that the total probability (summed over m') is unity as expected.

19. Here $K_+ \equiv a_+^\dagger a_-^\dagger$ and $K_- \equiv a_+ a_-$. Hence in the Schwinger scheme

$$K_+ |n_+, n_-\rangle = \sqrt{(n_+ + 1)(n_- + 1)} |n_+ + 1, n_- + 1\rangle, K_- |n_+, n_-\rangle = \sqrt{n_+ n_-} |n_+ - 1, n_- - 1\rangle. \quad (1)$$

Let $j = (n_+ + n_-)/2$ and $m = (n_+ - n_-)/2$, and $|n_+, n_-\rangle \rightarrow |j, m\rangle$. Then (1) can be re-written as

$$K_+ |j, m\rangle = \sqrt{(j+m+1)(j-m+1)} |j+1, m\rangle, K_- |j, m\rangle = \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m)} |j-1, m\rangle \quad (2)$$

i.e. K_+ , K_- are the raising and lowering operators for $j = (n_+ + n_-)/2$ where $n_+ + n_-$ corresponds to the total number of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ "particles". The nonvanishing matrix elements of K_\pm are from (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j', m' | K_+ | j, m \rangle &= \sqrt{(j+m+1)(j-m+1)} \delta_{j', j+1} \delta_{m', m}, \\ \langle j', m' | K_- | j, m \rangle &= \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m)} \delta_{j', j-1} \delta_{m', m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

20. We are to add angular momenta $j_1 = 1$ and $j_2 = 1$ to form $j = 2, 1, 0$ states. Express all nine $\{j, m\}$ eigenkets in terms of $|j_1 j_2, m_1 m_2\rangle$. The simplest states are $j_1 = 1, m_1 = \pm 1; j_2 = 1, m_2 = \pm 1$, i.e. $|j=2, m=2\rangle = |++\rangle$ and likewise $|j=2, m=-2\rangle = |--\rangle$. Using the ladder operator method we have $J_- = J_{1-} \oplus J_{2-}$ and (setting $\hbar = 1$ for convenience) from (3.5.40) $J_- |j, m\rangle = \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)} |j, m-1\rangle$. So $J_- |j=2, m=2\rangle = \sqrt{4} |j=2, m=1\rangle = (J_{1-} \oplus J_{2-}) |j_1=1, j_2=1; m_1=1, m_2=1\rangle = \sqrt{2} |0+\rangle + \sqrt{2} |+0\rangle$, i.e.

$|j=2, m=1\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\downarrow(|0+\rangle + |+0\rangle)$. Now $J_-|j=2, m=1\rangle = \sqrt{6}|j=2, m=0\rangle = (J_{1-} \oplus J_{2-}) \times [\frac{1}{2}\downarrow(|0+\rangle + |+0\rangle)] = |-+\rangle + 2|00\rangle + |+-\rangle$. Hence $|j=2, m=0\rangle = \frac{1}{6}\downarrow(|-+\rangle + 2|00\rangle + |+-\rangle)$. Also $J_-|j=2, m=0\rangle = \sqrt{6}|j=2, m=-1\rangle = \frac{1}{6}\downarrow(\sqrt{2}|-0\rangle + 2\sqrt{2}|0-\rangle + 2\sqrt{2}|-0\rangle + \sqrt{2}|0-\rangle)$, therefore $|j=2, m=-1\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\downarrow(|-0\rangle + |0-\rangle)$.

For the $j=1$ states, let us recognize that $|11\rangle = a|0+\rangle + b|+0\rangle$ with normalization $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$. Since $\langle 21|11\rangle = 0$ by orthogonality, we have $a+b=0$. Choosing our phase convention to be real, we can write $|11\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\downarrow(|+0\rangle - |0+\rangle)$. Applying next $J_- = J_{1-} \oplus J_{2-}$ to the two sides respectively, we have $|10\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\downarrow(|+-\rangle - |-+\rangle)$ and similarly $|1-1\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\downarrow(|0-\rangle - |-0\rangle)$.

Finally we may write $|j=0, m=0\rangle = \alpha|+-\rangle + \beta|00\rangle + \gamma|-\rangle$, determine α, β, γ by normalization $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 = 1$ and orthogonality to $|j=1, m=0\rangle$ and $|j=2, m=0\rangle$. Choosing α, β, γ to be real we have $|j=0, m=0\rangle = \frac{1}{3}\downarrow(|+-\rangle - |00\rangle + |-\rangle)$.

21. (a) Recall (3.5.50) and (3.5.51) that $d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta) = \langle jm|D(a=0, \beta, \gamma=0)|jm'\rangle = \langle jm|D(R)|jm'\rangle$ where $D^+(R)J_z D(R) = \sum_q D_{qq'}^{(1)*}(R)T_{q'}^{(1)}$ (from (3.10.22a)) and recognizing that J_z is a first rank tensor with $q=0$, i.e. $T_0^{(1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{K} \langle jm'|D^+(R)J_z D(R)|jm'\rangle &= \frac{1}{K} \sum_{m=-j}^j \langle jm'|D^+(R)J_z|jm\rangle \langle jm|D(R)|jm'\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=-j}^j |\langle jm|D(R)|jm'\rangle|^2_m. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Similarly since only $q'=0$ contributes, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{K} \langle jm'| \sum_q D_{qq'}^{(1)*} T_{q'}^{(1)} |jm'\rangle &= \frac{1}{K} \langle jm'| D_{oo}^{(1)*}(R) J_z |jm'\rangle \\ &= (4\pi/2\ell+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_o^0(\theta=\beta, \phi=0)m' = m' \cos\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

So finally from (1) and (2), we have

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j |d_{mm'}^{(j)}(\beta)|^2_m = m' \cos\beta. \quad (3)$$

Check for $j=\frac{1}{2}$, we have from (3.5.52) $d^{(\frac{1}{2})} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -\sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\beta}{2} & \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{bmatrix}$. For $m' = \frac{1}{2}$ case,

l.h.s. of (3) = $\frac{1}{2}\cos^2\beta + (-\frac{1}{2})\sin^2\beta = \frac{1}{2}\cos\beta = \text{r.h.s. of (3)}$; for $m' = -\frac{1}{2}$ case,
 l.h.s. of (3) = $\frac{1}{2}(-\sin\frac{\beta}{2})^2 + (-\frac{1}{2})\cos^2\frac{\beta}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}\cos\beta = \text{r.h.s. of (3)}.$

(b) From (3.5.51), with $k=1$, we note $d_{m'm}^{(j)}(\beta) = \langle jm'|e^{-i\beta J_y}|jm\rangle$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-j}^j m^2 |d_{m'm}^{(j)}(\beta)|^2 &= \sum_{m=-j}^j m^2 \langle jm'|e^{-i\beta J_y}|jm\rangle \langle jm|e^{i\beta J_y}|jm'\rangle \\ \sum_{m=-j}^j \langle jm'|e^{-i\beta J_y} J_z^2 |jm\rangle \langle jm|e^{i\beta J_y}|jm'\rangle &= \langle jm'|e^{-i\beta J_y} J_z^2 e^{i\beta J_y}|jm'\rangle \\ &= \langle jm'|D(R) J_z^2 D^\dagger(R)|jm'\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If we examine the rotational properties of J_z^2 using the spherical (irreducible) tensor language, we find $J_z^2 = \frac{1}{3}(J^2 + Y_o^{(2)})$ where J^2 is a scalar under rotation and $Y_o^{(2)}$ is a spherical tensor of rank 2. Hence $D(R) J_z^2 D^\dagger(R) = \frac{1}{3}J^2 + \frac{1}{3}D(R) Y_o^{(2)} D^\dagger(R)$ with $D(R) Y_o^{(2)} D^\dagger(R) = \sum_{k'=0}^2 \sum_{k'o} D^{(2)}_{k'o} Y_k^{(2)}$. Therefore (4) can be recast as

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j m^2 |d_{m'm}^{(j)}(\beta)|^2 = \frac{1}{3}j(j+1) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k'=0}^2 \langle jm'|D^{(2)}_{k'o} Y_k^{(2)}|jm'\rangle. \quad (5)$$

In the last term on r.h.s. of (5), only $k'=0$ contributes and $D^{(2)}_{oo} = \frac{(3\cos^2\theta - 1)}{2}$
 (from (3.6.53), (3.5.50), and (3.5.51)). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-j}^j m^2 |d_{m'm}^{(j)}(\beta)|^2 &= \frac{1}{3}j(j+1) + \frac{1}{3} \langle jm'|D^{(2)}_{oo} (3J_z^2 - J^2)|jm'\rangle \\ &= \frac{j(j+1)}{2} \sin^2\beta + \frac{m'^2}{2} (3\cos^2\beta - 1) \end{aligned}$$

22. (a) We have $J_y = \frac{1}{2i}(J_+ - J_-)$, then using (3.5.41) we derive easily

$$\langle jm'|J_y|jm\rangle = \frac{k}{2i} [\sqrt{j(j+1)-m(m+1)} \langle jm'|j, m+1\rangle - \sqrt{j(j+1)-m(m-1)} \langle jm'|j, m-1\rangle]$$

and therefore for m and $m' = +1, 0, -1$ and $j=1$ one finds the matrix form for $\langle j=1, m'|J_y|j=1, m\rangle$ as depicted in (3.5.54).

(b) Unlike the $j=\frac{1}{2}$ case, for $j=1$ only $[J_y^{(j=1)}]^2$ is independent of $J_y^{(j=1)}$, and in fact we have $(J_y/k)^{2m+1} = (J_y/k)$ and $(J_y/k)^{2n} = (J_y/k)^2$ where m and n are positive integers. By expansion of the exponential $e^{-iJ_y\beta/k}$ in power series

$$e^{-iJ_y\beta/k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-iJ_y\beta/k)^{2n}}{(2n)!} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-iJ_y\beta/k)^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{J_y/\hbar}{\gamma}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(+\beta)^{2n} (-1)^n}{(2n)!} - i \left(\frac{J_y/\hbar}{\gamma}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(+\beta)^{2m+1} (-1)^m}{(2m+1)!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{J_y/\hbar}{\gamma}\right)^2 (1 - \cos \beta) - i \left(\frac{J_y/\hbar}{\gamma}\right) \sin \beta.$$

(c) Insert the 3×3 matrix form for J_y from (a), i.e. (3.5.54), into the exponential of part (b) above, we find

$$d^{(j=1)}(\beta) = e^{-i J_y \beta / \hbar} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+\cos\beta}{2} & -\sin\beta/\sqrt{2} & \frac{1-\cos\beta}{2} \\ \sin\beta/\sqrt{2} & \cos\beta & -\sin\beta/\sqrt{2} \\ \frac{1-\cos\beta}{2} & \sin\beta/\sqrt{2} & \frac{1+\cos\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is (3.5.57).

23.

$$\sum_j \langle \alpha_2 \beta_2 \gamma_2 | j \rangle \langle j | j' m' n' | j' m' n' \rangle \langle j' m' n' | \alpha_1 \beta_1 \gamma_1 \rangle = \langle \alpha_2 \beta_2 \gamma_2 | j \rangle \langle j | \alpha_1 \beta_1 \gamma_1 \rangle \quad (1)$$

where we note that $\langle j | j' m' n' \rangle = n^2 \delta_{nn'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'}$. The l.h.s. of (1) is

$$\sum_{j, m, n} n^2 D_{mn}^j (\alpha_2 \beta_2 \gamma_2) D_{mn}^{j*} (\alpha_1 \beta_1 \gamma_1).$$

(Solution courtesy of Professor Thomas Fulton)

24. We will represent states as in (3.7.15). For $S_{\text{tot.}} = 0$: $\psi = \frac{1}{2} \zeta [|\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle]$.

(a) Since B makes no measurement there are equal probabilities for measuring s_{1z} to be $\hbar/2$ and $-\hbar/2$. The same is true for s_{1x} because there is no preferred spatial direction.

(b) Now B measures $s_{2z} = \hbar/2$. (i) Since $s_{1z} + s_{2z} = 0$, A must obtain $-\hbar/2$. Now s_{2z} has picked the second piece of ψ which is $\sim -|\uparrow\rangle$, therefore $s_{1z}(-|\uparrow\rangle) = \frac{\hbar}{2} |\uparrow\rangle$. (ii) Since we know that $s_{1z} \psi = (-\hbar/2) \psi$ we cannot predict s_{1x} because $[s_{1x}, s_{1z}] \neq 0$ and $|\hat{z}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \zeta [|\hat{x}\uparrow\rangle - |\hat{x}\downarrow\rangle]$ as in (3.9.3) yield equal probabilities for $s_{1x} = \hbar/2$ and $-\hbar/2$.

25.

$$\sum_q d_{qq'}^{(1)} V_{q'}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+\cos\beta & -\sqrt{2}\sin\beta & 1-\cos\beta \\ \sqrt{2}\sin\beta & 2\cos\beta & -\sqrt{2}\sin\beta \\ 1-\cos\beta & \sqrt{2}\sin\beta & 1+\cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_+^{(1)} \\ v_0^{(1)} \\ v_-^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_+^{(1)*} \\ v_0^{(1)*} \\ v_-^{(1)*} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Rewrite r.h.s. in terms of (V_x, V_y, V_z) , we have

$$\sum_{q_1, q_2} v_{q_1}^{(1)} v_{q_2}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos\beta V_x/\sqrt{2} - iV_y/\sqrt{2} - \sin\beta V_z/\sqrt{2} \\ -\sin\beta V_x + \cos\beta V_z \\ \cos\beta V_x/\sqrt{2} - iV_y/\sqrt{2} + \sin\beta V_z/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

But a rotation through angle β about y -axis leads to $V_x \rightarrow V'_x = V_x \cos\beta + V_z \sin\beta$, $V'_y = V_y$, $V_z \rightarrow V'_z = V_z \cos\beta - V_x \sin\beta$. Therefore $v_+^{(1)*} = -(V'_x + iV'_y)/\sqrt{2} = \frac{-1}{2}\cos\beta V_x - iV_y/\sqrt{2} - \sin\beta V_z/\sqrt{2}$, $v_o^{(1)*} = V'_z = -\sin\beta V_x + V_z \cos\beta$, and $v_-^{(1)*} = (V'_x - iV'_y)/\sqrt{2} = \cos\beta V_x/\sqrt{2} - iV_y/\sqrt{2} + \sin\beta V_z/\sqrt{2}$. Thus the r.h.s. of (2) indeed gives r.h.s. of (1) which are just the expectations from the transformation properties of $V_{x,y,z}$ under rotations about the y -axis.

26. (a) Let us take (3.10.27) where $x_{q_1}^{(k_1)}$ and $z_{q_2}^{(k_2)}$ are irreducible spherical tensors of rank k_1 and k_2 respectively. Then $T_q^{(k)} = \sum_{q_1} \sum_{q_2} \langle k_1 k_2; q_1 q_2 | k_1 k_2; k q \rangle x_{q_1}^{(k_1)} z_{q_2}^{(k_2)}$ is a spherical (irreducible) tensor of rank k . For our problem $k_1 = k_2 = k = 1$, hence

$$T_q^{(1)} = \sum_{q_1} \sum_{q_2} \langle 11; q_1 q_2 | 11; 1q \rangle U_{q_1}^{(1)} V_{q_2}^{(1)} \quad (1)$$

From (1), we have $T_{-1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(-U_{-1}^{(1)} V_o^{(1)} + U_o^{(1)} V_{-1}^{(1)})$, $T_o^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(U_1^{(1)} V_{-1}^{(1)} - U_{-1}^{(1)} V_1^{(1)})$ and $T_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(-U_o^{(1)} V_1^{(1)} + U_1^{(1)} V_o^{(1)})$. In terms of $U_{x,y,z}$ and $V_{x,y,z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{-1}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2}\zeta[-(U_x - iU_y)V_z + (V_x - iV_y)U_z] \\ T_o^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2}\zeta[U_x V_y - U_y V_x] \\ T_1^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2}\zeta[-(U_x + iU_y)V_z + (V_x + iV_y)U_z] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- (b) For $k_1 = k_2 = 1$, $k = 2$, we have

$$T_q^{(2)} = \sum_{q_1, q_2} \sum_{q_3} \langle 11; q_1 q_2 | 11; 2q \rangle U_{q_1}^{(1)} V_{q_2}^{(1)} \quad (3)$$

From (3), we find $T_{-2}^{(2)} = U_{-1}^{(1)} V_{-1}^{(1)}$, $T_{-1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(U_{-1}^{(1)} V_0^{(1)} + U_0^{(1)} V_{-1}^{(1)})$, $T_0^{(2)} = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}(U_{-1}^{(1)} V_{+1}^{(1)} + 2U_0^{(1)} V_0^{(1)} + U_{+1}^{(1)} V_{-1}^{(1)})$, $T_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(U_{+1}^{(1)} V_0^{(1)} + U_0^{(1)} V_{+1}^{(1)})$, and $T_2^{(2)} = U_{+1}^{(1)} V_{+1}^{(1)}$. In terms of $U_{x,y,z}$ and $V_{x,y,z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{-2}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2}(U_x - iU_y)(V_x - iV_y), \quad T_{-1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}[((U_x - iU_y)V_z + U_z(V_x - iV_y))], \\ T_0^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}[-(U_x - iU_y)(V_x + iV_y) + 4U_z V_z - (U_x + iU_y)(V_x - iV_y)], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$T_1^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}[(U_x + iU_y)V_z + U_z(V_x + iV_y)], \quad T_2^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(U_x + iU_y)(V_x + iV_y)$$

(Remark: (3) is similar to $Y_2^m = \sum_{m_1 m_2} \langle ll; m_1 m_2 | ll; 2m \rangle Y_1^{m_1} Y_2^{m_2}$ for spherical harmonics)

27. (a) According to (3.10.31), the Wigner-Eckart theorem for our problem where $R_{\pm 1}^{(1)} = \mp \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(x \mp iy)$ and $R_0^{(1)} = z$ form three components of a spherical tensor of rank 1, reads

$$\langle n', l', m' | R_q^{(1)} | n, l, m \rangle = \frac{\langle ll; mq | ll; l'm' \rangle \langle n' l' | | R^{(1)} | | nl \rangle}{\sqrt{2l+1}} \quad (1)$$

where the "double bar" matrix element is independent of m and m' . Since $\langle ll; mq | ll; l'm' \rangle = 0$ unless $m' = m+q$ and $l' = |l \pm 1|, l$, therefore $\langle n', l', m' | R_q^{(1)} | n, l, m \rangle = 0$ unless $m' = m+q$ and $l' = |l \pm 1|, l$.

Furthermore, since we are dealing with a central force potential, the $|n, l, m\rangle$ are eigenstates of U_P (parity operator). Hence $U_P |n, l, m\rangle = (-1)^l |n, l, m\rangle$ and $U_P^{-1} R^{(1)} U_P = -R^{(1)}$ and we have $-\langle n', l', m' | R^{(1)} | n, l, m \rangle = (-1)^l (-1)^{l'} \langle n', l', m' | R^{(1)} | n, l, m \rangle$ or $l+l' = \text{odd}$. Combine with Clebsch-Gordan selection rule from (1), we have

$$\langle n', l', m' | R_q^{(1)} | n, l, m \rangle = 0, \text{ unless } m' = m+q, l' = |l \pm 1|. \quad (2)$$

Again, from (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n', l', m_1' | R_{+1}^{(1)} | n, l, m_1 \rangle &= \frac{\langle ll; m_1, +1 | ll; l'm_1' \rangle}{\sqrt{2l+1}} \\ \langle n', l', m_2' | R_0^{(1)} | n, l, m_2 \rangle &= \frac{\langle ll; m_2, 0 | ll; l'm_2' \rangle}{\sqrt{2l+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where l', m' satisfy selection rule (2).

(b) Use now wave function $\psi(r) = R_{nl}(r)Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n', l', m' | R_{\pm, 0}^{(1)} | n, l, m \rangle &= \int R_{n', l', m'}^*(r) Y_{l'}^{m'}(\theta, \phi) [R_{\pm, 0}^{(1)}] R_{nl}(r) Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) r^3 dr \\ &= \sqrt{4\pi/3} \int_0^\infty r^3 R_{n', l', m'}^*(r) r^3 R_{nl}(r) dr / d\Omega Y_{l'}^{m'}(\theta, \phi) Y_l^m(\theta, \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let $\overline{r^3}_{n', l', l} = \int_0^\infty r^3 R_{n', l', m'}^*(r) R_{nl}(r) dr$, then (4) reads (using (3.7.73))

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n', l', m' | R_q^{(1)} | n, l, m \rangle &\approx (4\pi/3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{r^3}_{n', l', l} \sqrt{(2l+1)3/4\pi(2l'+1)} \langle ll; 00 | ll; l' 0 \rangle, \\ \langle ll; mq | l'm' \rangle &= \overline{r^3}_{n', l', l} \frac{(2l+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2l'+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \langle ll; 00 | ll; l' 0 \rangle \langle ll; mq | l'm' \rangle, \quad l \neq l' \\ &= 0, \text{ if } l = l' \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $q = \pm 1, 0$. We have thus the selection rule

$$\langle n', l', m' | R_q^{(1)} | n, l, m \rangle = 0 \text{ unless } m' = m+q, \quad l' = |l \pm 1| \quad (6)$$

which is identical to part (a). Also note from (5) we have at once the ratio equality (3) where $l' = |l \pm 1|$, $m'_1 = m_1 \pm 1$, $m'_2 = m_2$.

28. (a) From (3.10.17), $Y_2^{\pm 2} = (\frac{15}{32\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(x^2 - y^2 \pm 2xy)}{r^2}$, thence $xy = i(\frac{2\pi}{15})^{\frac{1}{2}} (Y_2^{-2} - Y_2^{+2}) r^2$.

Similarly, by $Y_2^{\pm 1} = \mp(\frac{15}{8\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(x \pm iy)z}{r^2}$, we have $xz = (\frac{2\pi}{15})^{\frac{1}{2}} (Y_2^{-1} - Y_2^{+1}) r^2$, and again

by $Y_2^{\pm 2}$ we have $x^2 - y^2 = (8\pi/15)^{\frac{1}{2}} (Y_2^2 + Y_2^{-2}) r^2$. Note $Y_2^m (m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2)$ are components of a spherical (irreducible) tensor of rank 2.

(b) $Q \equiv e \langle a, j, m=j | (3z^2 - r^2) | a, j, m=j \rangle$. First note that $Y_2^0 = (\frac{5}{16\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(3z^2 - r^2)}{r^2}$, hence

$Q = e \langle a, j, j | \sqrt{16\pi/5} r^2 Y_2^0 | a, j, j \rangle$. Now apply the Wigner-Eckart theorem (3.10.31), we have

$$Q = e(\frac{16\pi}{5})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\langle j2; j0 | j2; jj \rangle \langle aj | | r^2 Y_2^0 | | aj \rangle}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \quad (1)$$

By the same token use of W-E theorem on $e \langle a, j, m' | (x^2 - y^2) | a, j, m=j \rangle = e(\frac{8\pi}{15})^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \langle a, j, m' | r^2 (Y_2^2 + Y_2^{-2}) | a, j, m=j \rangle$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & e \left(\frac{8\pi}{15(2j+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} [\langle j_2; j_2 | j_2; j_m' \rangle \langle a_j | | r^2 Y_2 | | a_j \rangle + \langle j_2; j-2 | j_2; j_m' \rangle \langle a_j | | r^2 Y_2 | | a_j \rangle] \\ & = e \sqrt{8\pi/15(2j+1)} \langle j_2; j-2 | j_2; j_m' \rangle \langle a_j | | r^2 Y_2 | | a_j \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Substitute $\langle a_j | | r^2 Y_2 | | a_j \rangle$ of (1) into (2), we have

$$e \langle a, j, m' | (x^2 - y^2) | a, j, m=j \rangle = (1/\sqrt{2}) \left[\frac{\langle j_2; j-2 | j_2; j_m' \rangle}{\langle j_2; j_0 | j_2; j_0 \rangle} \right] Q. \quad (3)$$

29. In expression for $H_{int.}$, we recognize that $S_x^2 = \frac{1}{4}(S_+^2 + S_-^2 + \{S_+, S_-\})$ and $S_y^2 = -\frac{1}{4}(S_+^2 + S_-^2 - \{S_+, S_-\})$ with $S_{\pm} = S_x \pm iS_y$ and $\{S_+, S_-\} = 2(S_z^2 - S_x^2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_{int.} &= \frac{eQ}{2s(s-1)\hbar^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} \right)_0 \frac{1}{4}(S_+^2 + S_-^2 + 2(S_z^2 - S_x^2)) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} \right)_0 \frac{1}{4}(2(S_z^2 - S_x^2) - S_+^2 - S_-^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \right)_0 S_z^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{eQ}{2s(s-1)\hbar^2} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} \right)_0 - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} \right)_0 \right\} (S_+^2 + S_-^2) + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} \right)_0 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} \right)_0 \right\} (S_z^2 - S_x^2) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \right)_0 S_z^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$, we can write

$$H_{int.} = A(3S_z^2 - \vec{S}^2) + B(S_+^2 + S_-^2) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } A = \frac{eQ}{4s(s-1)\hbar^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \right)_0 \text{ and } B = \frac{eQ}{8s(s-1)\hbar^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} \right)_0 - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} \right)_0 \right\}.$$

From (1) we note that $H_{int.}$ acts on states of definite $|s, m\rangle$ where $s=3/2$ as follows:-

$$\begin{aligned} H_{int.} |sm\rangle &= A(3S_z^2 - \vec{S}^2) |sm\rangle + B(S_+^2 + S_-^2) |sm\rangle \\ &= 3Am^2 \hbar^2 |sm\rangle - \frac{15Am^2}{4} |sm\rangle + B\sqrt{(s-m)(s+m+1)(s-m-1)(s+m+2)} \hbar^2 |s, m+2\rangle \\ &\quad + B\sqrt{(s+m)(s-(m-1))(s+m-1)(s-(m-2))} \hbar^2 |s, m-2\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In the $m, m' = 3/2, -1/2$ and $m, m' = 1/2, -3/2$ basis, the matrix $H_{int.}$ using (2) can be written in block form as

$$H_{int.}^{\frac{mm'}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3A & 2\sqrt{3}B & 0 & 0 \\ 2\sqrt{3}B & -3A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3A & 2\sqrt{3}B \\ 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3}B & 3A \end{pmatrix} \hbar^2. \quad (3)$$

Diagonalizing each block of (3), we see that $\lambda_{\pm} \hbar^2 = \pm(12B^2 + 9A^2)^{1/2} \hbar^2$ are the energy eigenvalues for both $m, m' = 3/2, -1/2$ and $m, m' = 1/2, -3/2$ basis. The eigenstates $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$ can be determined for each 2×2 matrix block as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3A & 2\sqrt{3}B \\ 2\sqrt{3}B & -3A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -3A & 2\sqrt{3}B \\ 2\sqrt{3}B & 3A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Hence for $m, m' = 3/2, -1/2$ we have $\alpha_2/\alpha_1 = (\lambda_{\pm} - 3A)/2\sqrt{3}B$, while for $m, m' = -3/2, 1/2$ we have $\alpha_2/\alpha_1 = (\lambda_{\pm} + 3A)/2\sqrt{3}B$. The energy eigenstates are

$$|\lambda_{\pm}\rangle = 2\sqrt{3}B|3/2, 3/2\rangle + (\lambda_{\pm} - 3A)|3/2, -1/2\rangle \quad (5a)$$

$$|\lambda_{\pm}\rangle = 2\sqrt{3}B|3/2, -3/2\rangle + (\lambda_{\pm} + 3A)|3/2, +1/2\rangle. \quad (5b)$$

Note from (5a) and (5b), there exists a two-fold degeneracy, namely there exists two states corresponding to each value of λ (λ_+ and λ_-).

$$v_1 = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$$

$$v_1^+ = (-\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$$

$$v_1^- = -2\sqrt{3}B(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$$

Wiches 18 Majorana 12

in Pmnp

$$\text{By the same token use of Pmnp theorem put } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & C & 0 & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

1. (a) Assume these particles can be distinguished, in other words they are non-identical particles. Since the three particles do not interact, so the Hamiltonian operator $H = -\frac{\hbar^2 \vec{p}_1^2}{2m} - \frac{\hbar^2 \vec{p}_2^2}{2m} - \frac{\hbar^2 \vec{p}_3^2}{2m} + V(1, 2, 3)$ can be separated, thus the energy for particle i is $E^{(i)} = (\frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL^2}) \sum_{j=1}^3 n_{ij}^2$ where n_{ij} are non-zero integers, and the total energy for the system is $E = E^{(1)} + E^{(2)} + E^{(3)} = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 n_{ij}^2$. Obviously the lowest energy state is the state with all indices $n_{ij} = 1$, and $E_1 = \frac{9\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL^2}$. The second lowest energy will be $E_2 = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL^2} (2^2 + 1 + \dots + 1) = \frac{12\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL^2} = 6\hbar^2 \pi^2 / mL^2$. The third lowest energy will be $E_3 = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL^2} (2^2 + 2^2 + 1 + \dots + 1) = \frac{15\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL^2}$.

Degeneracy. For energy E_1 , we have only one spatial wave function, because all indices are 1. For energy E_2 , we have 9 spatial wave functions. The reason is that the nine indices (n_{ij}) with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ are such that each of them has an equal chance to be 2, while others equal to 1. So the number of distinct possibilities is $\frac{9!}{(9-1)!1!} = 9$. Evidently for E_3 we have $\frac{9!}{(9-2)!2!} = 36$ distinct spatial wave functions. In addition we have $2^3 = 8$ spin wave functions, they are $|+++>, |++>, |+->, |-+>, |-->, |+->, |-->$, and $|--->$. So in short E_1 has degeneracy $1 \times 8 = 8$, E_2 has degeneracy $9 \times 8 = 72$, while E_3 has degeneracy $36 \times 8 = 288$.

(b) For four non-identical spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles system, we have total energy $E = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mL^2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^3 n_{ij}^2$ where i refers to the i^{th} particle while j refers to the three dimensional space index. Therefore $E_1 = 12\hbar^2 \pi^2 / 2mL^2 = 6\pi^2 \hbar^2 / mL^2$ and again the degeneracy for spatial wave function is 1. $E_2 = 15\hbar^2 \pi^2 / 2mL^2$ and the number of distinct spatial wave function is $\frac{12!}{(12-1)!1!} = 12$. $E_3 = 9\hbar^2 \pi^2 / mL^2$, and the num-

ber of distinct spatial wave function is $\frac{12!}{(12-2)!2!} = 66$. At the same time we have $2^4 = 16$ spin wave functions $|+++\rangle, |++-\rangle, |+-+\rangle, |+--\rangle$, etc. Hence the three lowest energy levels have degeneracies $16 \times 1 = 16$ for E_1 , $16 \times 12 = 192$ for E_2 , and $16 \times 66 = 1056$ for E_3 .

2. (a) $T_d^+ \psi(\vec{x}) = \psi(\vec{x} + \vec{d})$, $T_d^+ T_d^+ \psi(\vec{x}) = \psi(\vec{x} + \vec{d} + \vec{d})$ and $T_d^+ T_d^- \psi(\vec{x}) = T_d^+ \psi(\vec{x} + \vec{d}') = \psi(\vec{x} + \vec{d} + \vec{d}')$, so $[T_d^+, T_d^+] \psi(\vec{x}) = 0$. Since $\psi(\vec{x})$ is arbitrary, we have $[T_d^+, T_d^+] = 0$. They commute.
- (b) $D(\hat{n}, \phi)$ does not commute with $D(\hat{n}', \phi')$. This is easily seen by taking the case $\hat{n} = \hat{x}$, $\hat{n}' = \hat{y}$ where we know the rotation around x-axis does not commute with the rotation around y-axis.
- (c) T_d^+ and Π do not commute. $\Pi \psi(\vec{x}) = \psi(-\vec{x})$ while $T_d^+ \Pi \psi(\vec{x}) = \psi(-\vec{x} + \vec{d})$. On the other hand, $T_d^+ \psi(\vec{x}) = \psi(\vec{x} + \vec{d})$ while $\Pi T_d^+ \psi(\vec{x}) = \psi(-\vec{x} - \vec{d}) \neq T_d^+ \Pi \psi(\vec{x})$. Hence $[\Pi, T_d^+] \neq 0$.
- (d) $\Pi D(\hat{n}, \phi) \psi(\vec{x}) = \Pi \psi(\vec{x}') = \psi(-\vec{x}')$ where $\vec{x}' = D(\hat{n}, \phi) \vec{x}$. On the other hand, $D(\hat{n}, \phi) \Pi \psi(\vec{x}) = D(\hat{n}, \phi) \psi(-\vec{x}) = \psi(-\vec{x}')$. So $\Pi D(\hat{n}, \phi) \psi(\vec{x}) = D(\hat{n}, \phi) \Pi \psi(\vec{x})$ and since $\psi(\vec{x})$ is arbitrary, we have $[\Pi, D(\hat{n}, \phi)] = 0$. They commute.

3. $\{A, B\} = AB + BA = 0$. Suppose it is possible, than there exists $|a', b'\rangle$ such that $AB|a', b'\rangle = -BA|a', b'\rangle$ or $a'b' = -b'a'$, thus $a' = 0$ or $b' = 0$. If $A = \vec{p}$ and $B = \Pi$, than $\{\vec{p}, \Pi\} = 0$ [because $\Pi^{-1} \vec{p} \Pi = -\vec{p}$], hence momentum eigenstate is usually not parity eigenstate, except for $\vec{p}' = 0$ state.

4. From (3.7.64) we know that

$$y_{l,m}^{j=\pm l} = \frac{1}{(2l+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm \sqrt{l+m+l} Y_l^{m-l}(0, \phi) \\ \sqrt{l-m+l} Y_l^{m+l}(0, \phi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

- (a) For $l=0$, only $j=\pm l$ (upper sign) is possible, so from (1) we have

$$y_{l=0}^{j=\pm l, m=\pm l} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{x} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} z & x-iy \\ x+iy & -z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{r}{(4\pi)^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta \\ \sin\theta e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -r \begin{pmatrix} -Y_1^0(\theta, \phi)/\sqrt{3} \\ (2/3)^{1/2} Y_1^1(\theta, \phi) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where we recall $Y_1^0 = (3/4\pi)^{1/2} \cos\theta$ and $Y_1^1 = -(3/\pi)^{1/2} \sin\theta e^{i\phi}$. Compare with $y_{\ell}^{j,m}$ in (1), we see that m must be $\frac{1}{2}$, ℓ must be 1. Take lower sign in (1) hence $j = \ell - \frac{1}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. So (3) becomes

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{x} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (-r/\sqrt{3}) \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}} Y_1^0 \\ \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}} Y_1^1 \end{pmatrix} = -ry_{\ell=1}^{j=\frac{1}{2}, m=\frac{1}{2}}$$

Conclusion: Apart from $-r$, we get $y_{\ell}^{j,m}$ with ℓ changed ($\ell=0 \rightarrow \ell=1$) and j, m both unchanged from Eq.(2).

(c) The result obtained in (b) is not surprising: $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{x}$ is scalar (spherical tensor of rank 0) under rotation, hence by Wigner-Eckart theorem it cannot change j and m . But under space inversion $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{x}$ is odd. So $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{x}$ connects even parity with odd parity, and we note $\ell=0$ and $\ell=1$ have opposite parity.

5. $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}$ is invariant under rotations but changes sign under parity. So it is pseudo-scalar. Now since $\delta^3(\vec{x})$ is scalar, so the entire V is pseudoscalar. This means V must connect ℓ odd with ℓ even but cannot change j, m . From elementary first order perturbation theory we have

$$C_{n' \ell' j' m'} = \frac{\langle n', \ell', j', m' | V | n, \ell, j, m \rangle}{E_{n \ell j} - E_{n' \ell' j'}} \quad (1)$$

where $\ell' = \ell \pm 1$ (note however $|\Delta\ell| \geq 2$ is impossible because j must remain the same) and $m' = m$, $j' = j$. It is more difficult to evaluate $\langle n', \ell', j', m' | V | n, \ell, j, m \rangle$. The wave function for $|n, \ell, j, m\rangle$ can be written as $R_{nlj} y_{\ell}^{j=\ell \pm \frac{1}{2}, m}$ where $y_{\ell}^{j,m}$ is the spin angular function and for low Z , R_{nlj} has no dependence on j . So $\langle n', \ell', j', m' | V | n, \ell, j, m \rangle$ becomes

$$= \lambda \int d^3x R_{n'l'j'}(r) y_l^{j'=l'\pm\frac{1}{2},m} [\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}) \vec{S} \cdot (-i\vec{K}\vec{v}) + (-i\vec{K}\vec{v}) \cdot \vec{S} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x})] \\ \cdot R_{nljm}(r) y_l^{j=l\pm\frac{1}{2},m} \quad (2)$$

where $(-i\vec{K}\vec{v})$ in the second term of (2) operates on the wave function to the left. Because of $\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x})$ function, the matrix element vanishes unless $R_{n'l'j'}(r)$ or $R_{nljm}(r)$ is finite at the origin. This implies that we must have $S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ or $P_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $|n,l,j,m\rangle$ to obtain non-vanishing contributions to $C_{n'l'j'm}$.

6. (a) The plane wave is $\psi(\vec{x},t) = e^{i(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}/\hbar - \omega t)}$, hence $\psi^*(\vec{x},-t) = e^{-i(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}/\hbar + \omega t)} = e^{i(-\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}/\hbar - \omega t)}$ and is a plane wave with momentum direction reversed $(-\vec{p})$.
(b) From (3.2.52) with $\alpha=\gamma$, we have $x_+(\hat{n}) = \cos\beta/2 e^{-i\gamma/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sin\beta/2 e^{+i\gamma/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
 $x_+^*(\hat{n}) = \cos\beta/2 e^{i\gamma/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sin\beta/2 e^{-i\gamma/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, thus $-i\sigma_2 x_+^*(\hat{n}) = \cos\frac{\beta}{2} e^{\frac{i\gamma}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sin\frac{\beta}{2} e^{-\frac{i\gamma}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \cos\frac{\beta}{2} e^{\frac{i\gamma}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sin\frac{\beta}{2} e^{-\frac{i\gamma}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. But by explicit calculation $(\vec{S} \cdot \hat{n})(-i\sigma_2 x_+^*(\hat{n})) = (-\hbar/2)(-i\sigma_2 x_+^*(\hat{n}))$ where $\vec{S} = (\hbar/2)\vec{\sigma}$. Hence $x_-(\hat{n}) = -i\sigma_2 x_+^*(\hat{n})$ is the two component eigenspinor with the spin direction reversed.

7. (a) is proved in (4.4.59) and (4.4.60) of text. (b) The wave function of a plane wave $e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}/\hbar}$ can be complex without violating time reversal invariance, because it is degenerate with $e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}/\hbar}$.

8. In momentum space $|\alpha\rangle = \int d^3p' |\vec{p}'\rangle \langle \vec{p}' | \alpha \rangle$ where $\langle \vec{p}' | \alpha \rangle = \phi(\vec{p}')$ is the momentum space wave function for $|\alpha\rangle$. Apply Θ to $|\alpha\rangle$ (using $\Theta|\vec{p}'\rangle = |-\vec{p}'\rangle$) we have

$$\Theta|\alpha\rangle = \int d^3p' |-\vec{p}'\rangle \langle \vec{p}' | \alpha \rangle^* = \int d^3p' |\vec{p}'\rangle \langle -\vec{p}' | \alpha \rangle^*$$

where $\langle -\vec{p}' | \alpha \rangle^*$ is the momentum space wave function for $\Theta|\alpha\rangle$. So $\phi^*(-\vec{p}')$ is the momentum space wave function for the time reversed state.

Alternative method: The momentum space wave function $\phi(\vec{p}') = [\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}]^{\frac{3}{2}} \int d^3x' e^{-i\vec{p}' \cdot \vec{x}'/\hbar} \psi$, when complex conjugated, becomes $\phi^*(\vec{p}') = [\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}]^{\frac{3}{2}} \int d^3x' e^{i\vec{p}' \cdot \vec{x}'/\hbar} \psi^*(\vec{x}')$. Thus

momentum space wave function for time reversed state $\phi^*(-\vec{p}')$ is

$$\phi^*(-\vec{p}') = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)} 3/2 \int d^3x' e^{-i\vec{p}' \cdot \vec{x}'} / \hbar \psi^*(\vec{x}')$$

where $\psi^*(x')$ is the position space wave function for time reversed state.

9. (a) Let Θ be the time reversal operator than $|\alpha\rangle = D(R)|j,m\rangle$ behaves under time reversal as follows: $\Theta|\alpha\rangle = \Theta D(R)|j,m\rangle = \Theta e^{-i\vec{J} \cdot \hat{n}\theta/\hbar} |j,m\rangle = \Theta e^{-i\vec{J} \cdot \hat{n}\theta/\hbar} \Theta^{-1} \Theta |j,m\rangle$. But $\Theta \vec{J} \Theta^{-1} = -\vec{J}$ and Θ changes $i \rightarrow -i$, therefore $[\Theta, D(R)] = 0$ and we have $\Theta|\alpha\rangle = \Theta D(R)|j,m\rangle = D(R)\Theta|j,m\rangle = (-1)^m D(R)|j,-m\rangle$, where we have used (4.4.78).

(b) Consider the matrix element $\langle j,-m'|\Theta D(R)|j,m\rangle = \langle j,-m'|(-1)^m D(R)|j,-m\rangle = (-1)^m D_{-m',-m}^{(j)}(R)$. But $\langle j,-m'|\Theta D(R)|j,m\rangle = \sum_m \langle j,-m'|\Theta|j,m'\rangle \langle j,m'|D(R)|j,m\rangle^* = \sum_m (-1)^m \delta_{-m',-m} D_{m',m}^{(j)}(R) = (-1)^m D_{m',m}^{*(j)}(R)$ also (remember Θ contains complex conjugation). Comparing the two expressions for $\langle j,-m'|\Theta D(R)|j,m\rangle$, we have

$$(-1)^m D_{-m',-m}^{(j)}(R) = (-1)^m D_{m',m}^{*(j)}(R) \text{ or } (-1)^{m-m'} D_{-m',-m}^{(j)}(R) = D_{m',m}^{*(j)}(R).$$

(c) From part (a) we have $\Theta|\alpha\rangle = (-1)^m D(R)|j,-m\rangle = D(R)\Theta|j,m\rangle$, but $i^2 = (-1)$, hence $D(R)\Theta|j,m\rangle = D(R)(i^{2m})|j,-m\rangle$ or $\Theta|j,m\rangle = i^{2m}|j,-m\rangle$.

Remarks: The above discussion is for j integer. For $j \neq$ integer we need to proceed with (4.4.73) with $n = \pm i$ to obtain consistency with (4.4.72a).

10. Under time reversal $\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$, $\vec{r} \rightarrow \vec{r}$, then $[H, \Theta] = 0$ implies invariance under time reversal. Let $|\alpha\rangle$ be an energy eigenket, than $H\Theta|\alpha\rangle = \Theta H|\alpha\rangle = E\Theta|\alpha\rangle$. Hence $\Theta|\alpha\rangle$ is also an eigenket of H with same energy as $|\alpha\rangle$. By the non degenerate assumption we have $\Theta|\alpha\rangle = |\tilde{\alpha}\rangle = e^{i\delta}|\alpha\rangle$ where δ is real. Consider $\langle \alpha | \vec{L} | \alpha \rangle = \langle \tilde{\alpha} | \Theta \vec{L} \Theta^{-1} | \tilde{\alpha} \rangle = -\langle \tilde{\alpha} | \vec{L} | \tilde{\alpha} \rangle = -e^{-i\delta} \langle \alpha | \vec{L} | \alpha \rangle e^{i\delta} = -\langle \alpha | \vec{L} | \alpha \rangle$. Hence $\langle \alpha | \vec{L} | \alpha \rangle = 0$.

$$\text{If } \psi_a(\vec{x}) = \langle \vec{x} | \alpha \rangle = \sum_{l,m} \langle \vec{x} | l,m \rangle \langle l,m | \alpha \rangle = \sum_{l,m} \langle \hat{n} | l,m \rangle F_{lm}(r) = \sum_{l,m} F_{lm}(r) Y_l^m(\theta, \phi),$$

where we have used (3.6.22) and (3.6.23), than $\langle \vec{x} | G | \alpha \rangle = e^{i\delta} \langle \vec{x} | \alpha \rangle$ and thus $\psi_a(\vec{x}) = e^{-i\delta} \langle \vec{x} | \tilde{\alpha} \rangle = e^{-i\delta} \psi_a^*(\vec{x}) = e^{-i\delta} \sum_{l,m} F_{lm}^*(r) [Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)]^* = e^{-i\delta} \left[\sum_{l,m} F_{lm}^*(r) (-1)^m Y_l^{-m}(\theta, \phi) \right]$

PROBLEMS

$= e^{-i\delta} \left[\sum_{l,m} F_{l,-m}^*(r) (-1)^m Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) \right]$, where we have used (3.6.38). Compare the coefficient of $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$ for the two forms of $\psi_a(\vec{r})$ we have

$$F_{l,m}(r) = (-1)^m e^{-i\delta} F_{l,-m}^*(r)$$

11. Hamiltonian for a spin-one system is $H = AS_z^2 + B(S_x^2 - S_y^2)$. This problem is similar to problem 29 in Chapter 3. Here

$$S_x = (\hbar/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_y = (\hbar/\sqrt{2}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_z = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H = \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$

The 'block' matrix that needs to be diagonalized is of form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}$. Hence eigenvalues of H are $E = \hbar^2(A \pm B)$, 0 and the eigenvectors are (in terms of $|s, s_z\rangle$) $\frac{1}{2}\hbar(|1,1\rangle + |1,-1\rangle)$, $\frac{1}{2}\hbar(|1,1\rangle - |1,-1\rangle)$, and $|1,0\rangle$.

Assume that H is Hermitian than A, B are real, and $\Theta H \Theta^{-1} = A S_z e^{-1} \Theta S_z e^{-1} + B[\Theta S_x e^{-1} \Theta S_x e^{-1} - \Theta S_y e^{-1} \Theta S_y e^{-1}] = A(-S_z)^2 + B[(-S_x)^2 - (-S_y)^2] = H$. Hence Hamiltonian is invariant under time reversal. Since from (4.4.78) $\Theta|j, m\rangle = (-1)^m |j, -m\rangle$, we have $\Theta[\frac{1}{2}\hbar(|1,1\rangle + |1,-1\rangle)] = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar(|1,1\rangle + |1,-1\rangle)$, $\Theta[\frac{1}{2}\hbar(|1,1\rangle - |1,-1\rangle)] = +\frac{1}{2}\hbar(|1,1\rangle - |1,-1\rangle)$, $\Theta|1,0\rangle = |1,0\rangle$.

Chapter 5

1. (a) The first order correction is via (5.1.37) just $\langle 0 | bx | 0 \rangle = 0$. The second order correction for the energy is (c.f. (5.1.42) and (5.1.43))

$$\Delta E = - \sum_n \frac{|\langle n | bx | 0 \rangle|^2}{E_n - E_0} = -b^2 \sum_n \frac{|\langle n | x | 0 \rangle|^2}{E_n - E_0},$$

where $E_n = (n+\frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega$. Now $\langle n | x | 0 \rangle = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}\delta_{n1}$, so $\Delta E = -b^2(\sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega})^2/(E_1 - E_0) = -b^2/2m\omega^2$ is the energy shift, and the energy of the ground state becomes $E^{(o)} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega + \Delta E = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega - b^2/2m\omega^2$.

- (b) The Schrödinger equation for this problem is

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + (\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2 + bx)\psi = E^{(o)}\psi.$$

Let $x' = x+b/m\omega^2$, than above equation can be reduced to

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2\psi}{dx'^2} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 [x'^2 - (b/m\omega^2)^2]\psi = E^{(o)}\psi$$

that is

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2\psi}{dx'^2} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x'^2 \psi = (E^{(o)} + b^2/2m\omega^2)\psi.$$

This is again a SHO equation with $E' = E^{(o)} + b^2/2m\omega^2$. For lowest energy value $E' = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$, hence $E^{(o)} = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega - b^2/2m\omega^2$ which is exactly the same as the perturbation result in (a).

2. From (5.1.44) with $k \leftrightarrow n$ and $\lambda \rightarrow g$, we have

$$|k\rangle = |k^{(o)}\rangle + g \sum_{n \neq k} \frac{|n^{(o)}\rangle v_{nk}}{E_k^{(o)} - E_n^{(o)}} + \dots$$

Using orthonormality of $|k^{(o)}\rangle$ and $|n^{(o)}\rangle$ we have

$$\langle k | k \rangle = 1 + g^2 \sum_{n \neq k} \frac{|v_{nk}|^2}{(E_k^{(o)} - E_n^{(o)})^2} + \dots$$

and

$$\frac{|\langle k | k^{(o)} \rangle|^2}{|\langle k | k \rangle|^2} = 1 - g^2 \sum_{n \neq k} \frac{|v_{nk}|^2}{(E_k^{(o)} - E_n^{(o)})^2} + O(g^3)$$

3. Solving the Schrödinger equation for the unperturbed system, we can easily find the energy eigenfunctions. They are $\psi_G = \sqrt{2/L}\sqrt{2/L} \sin\pi x/L \sin\pi y/L = \frac{2}{L} \sin\frac{\pi x}{L} \sin\frac{\pi y}{L}$ for ground state, and $\psi_{el}^{(1)} = \frac{2}{L} \sin\frac{\pi x}{L} \sin\frac{2\pi y}{L}$ or $\psi_{el}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{L} \sin\frac{2\pi x}{L} \sin\frac{\pi y}{L}$ for the first excited state. So obviously the zeroth order eigenfunction for the ground state is just $\psi_G = \frac{2}{L} \sin\frac{\pi x}{L} \sin\frac{\pi y}{L}$, with the first order energy shift of $\langle 1 | \lambda xy | 1 \rangle = \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{4}{L^2} \lambda xy \sin^2\pi x/L \sin^2\pi y/L dx dy = \frac{4}{3} \lambda L^2$, i.e. $\Delta E^{(0)} = \lambda L^2/4$. For the first excited state, there is degeneracy and the perturbation in general lift the degeneracy. We need to construct the perturbation matrix by evaluating $\langle \psi_{el}^{(1)} | v_1 | \psi_{el}^{(1)} \rangle = \frac{4\lambda}{L^2} \int_0^L \int_0^L x y \sin^2\pi x/L \sin^2\pi y/L dx dy = \frac{4}{3} \lambda L^2$

$$\langle \psi_{el}^{(1)} | v_1 | \psi_{el}^{(2)} \rangle = \frac{4\lambda}{L^2} \int_0^L \int_0^L x y \sin\frac{\pi x}{L} \sin\frac{2\pi x}{L} \sin\frac{2\pi y}{L} \sin\frac{\pi y}{L} dx dy = \frac{4^4}{81} \lambda L^2 / \pi^4$$

while by symmetry $\langle \psi_{el}^{(2)} | v_1 | \psi_{el}^{(2)} \rangle = \langle \psi_{el}^{(1)} | v_1 | \psi_{el}^{(1)} \rangle$ and $\langle \psi_{el}^{(2)} | v_1 | \psi_{el}^{(1)} \rangle = \langle \psi_{el}^{(1)} | v_1 | \psi_{el}^{(2)} \rangle$. So the perturbation matrix is

$$\Delta = \frac{\lambda L^2}{4\pi^4} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^4 & 4^5/81 \\ 4^5/81 & \pi^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Diagonalizing Δ with $\det(\Delta - \lambda I) = 0$ and

$$(\Delta - \lambda^2 I) \begin{pmatrix} a\psi_{el}^{(1)} \\ b\psi_{el}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

where $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ (normalization), we get $a = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $b = \pm 1/\sqrt{2}$ and

$$\Delta' = \frac{\lambda L^2}{4\pi^4} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^4 + 4^5/81 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi^4 - 4^5/81 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence energy shifts for the first excited state are

$$\frac{(\pi^4 + 4^5/81)\lambda L^2}{4\pi^4} = 0.28\lambda L^2 \text{ and } \frac{(\pi^4 - 4^5/81)\lambda L^2}{4\pi^4} = 0.22\lambda L^2$$

with corresponding zeroth order energy eigenfunctions

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{2}{L} [\sin \frac{\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{2\pi y}{L} + \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{\pi y}{L}] \text{ and } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{2}{L} [\sin \frac{\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{2\pi y}{L} - \sin \frac{2\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{\pi y}{L}]$$

respectively.

4. (a) State vector for energy eigenstate is characterized by $|n_x, n_y\rangle$, and wave function is given by $\psi_{n_x}(x)\psi_{n_y}(y)$ where $\psi_{n_x}(x)$ and $\psi_{n_y}(y)$ are individually wave functions for one dimensional SHO. The energy for the isotropic two dimensional oscillator is just the sum of the energies for one dimensional oscillators, i.e. $E_{n_x n_y} = \hbar\omega(n_x + \frac{1}{2} + n_y + \frac{1}{2})$. The three lowest-lying states are $(n_x, n_y) = (0,0)$, $(1,0)$, $(0,1)$ with energies $\hbar\omega$, $2\hbar\omega$, $2\hbar\omega$, respectively. Evidently the first excited states are doubly degenerate.

(b) The first order energy shift is clearly zero for the ground state $(0,0)$, since $\langle 0,0 | xy | 0,0 \rangle = 0$ because in $\langle 0 | x | 0 \rangle$ (and $\langle 0 | y | 0 \rangle$) $n_x(n_y)$ must change by one unit. For the first excited states we use the formalism of degenerate perturbation theory by diagonalizing $V = \delta\hbar\omega^2 xy$. In the $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ basis

$$V = \delta\hbar\omega^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{10} y_{01} \\ x_{01} y_{10} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\delta\hbar\omega \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence behaves like σ_x . By same method as problem 3 above, we get zeroth order energy eigenkets $\frac{1}{2}\zeta(|10\rangle + |01\rangle)$ with $\Delta^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\delta\hbar\omega$ and $\frac{1}{2}\zeta(|10\rangle - |01\rangle)$ with $\Delta^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta\hbar\omega$. So to summarize we have ground state $|0,0\rangle$ with energy $E = \hbar\omega$ (no first order shift) and first excited states $\frac{1}{2}\zeta(|10\rangle + |01\rangle)$ with $E \approx (2+\delta/2)\hbar\omega$ and $\frac{1}{2}\zeta(|10\rangle - |01\rangle)$ with $E \approx (2-\delta/2)\hbar\omega$.

- (c) Now $\hbar\omega^2(x^2+y^2)/2 + \delta\hbar\omega^2 xy = \frac{\hbar\omega^2}{2}[(1+\delta)(x+y)^2/2 + (1-\delta)(x-y)^2/2]$. Let us rotate coordinates by 45° , than $X \equiv (x+y)/\sqrt{2}$, $Y \equiv (x-y)/\sqrt{2}$. So $H = p_X^2/2m + p_Y^2/2m + m[\omega^2(1+\delta)]X^2/2 + m[\omega^2(1-\delta)]Y^2/2$

and is effectively again a two dimensional SHO with ω replaced by $\sqrt{1\pm\delta}\omega$ in the

(X, Y) system. The exact energy for the ground state is $\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\sqrt{1+\delta} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\sqrt{1-\delta} = \hbar\omega + O(\delta^2)$. There is therefore no change in energy if only terms linear in δ are kept. The exact energy for $(n_x, n_y) = (1, 0)$ is $\hbar\omega\sqrt{1+\delta}(1+\frac{1}{2}) + \hbar\omega\sqrt{1-\delta}\frac{1}{2} = \hbar\omega(2+\delta/2) + O(\delta^2)$; similarly for $(n_x, n_y) = (0, 1)$, by letting $\delta \rightarrow -\delta$, we have exact energy $\hbar\omega(2-\delta/2) + O(\delta^2)$. Ignoring $O(\delta^2)$ contributions, the results are the same as in (b).

5. The Hamiltonian for the system is $H = H_0 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon m\omega^2 x^2 = p_x^2/2m + \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)\omega^2 x^2$, hence $v_{k_0} = \langle k | V | 0 \rangle = \langle k | \frac{1}{2}\epsilon m\omega^2 x^2 | 0 \rangle = \langle k | x^2 | 0 \rangle$. So our task is to evaluate $\langle k | x^2 | 0 \rangle$ or $x_{k_0}^2$. Since from (2.3.24) $x = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}(a + a^\dagger)$ where a and a^\dagger satisfy $a|n\rangle = c_-|n-1\rangle$ and $a^\dagger|n\rangle = c_+|n+1\rangle$, then $x|0\rangle = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}(a|0\rangle + a^\dagger|0\rangle) = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}|1\rangle$ while $x^2|0\rangle = (\sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega})^2(a + a^\dagger)|1\rangle = c_1|0\rangle + c_2|2\rangle$. So $v_{k_0} = \langle k | x^2 | 0 \rangle = c_1\delta_{k_0} + c_2\delta_{k_2}$, and only V_{00} and V_{20} are relevant to our discussion. Explicit evaluation of c_1 and c_2 (remembering that $(a^\dagger/\sqrt{2})|1\rangle = |2\rangle$ from (2.3.21)), we have $c_1 = \hbar/2m\omega$, $c_2 = \hbar\sqrt{2}/2m\omega$. Thus $V_{00} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon m\omega^2 \langle 0 | x^2 | 0 \rangle = c_1 \frac{\epsilon m\omega^2}{2} = \frac{\hbar \epsilon m\omega^2}{2m\omega} = \epsilon \hbar\omega/4$, and $V_{20} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon m\omega^2 \langle 2 | x^2 | 0 \rangle = c_2 \frac{\epsilon m\omega^2}{2} = \frac{\hbar\sqrt{2}}{2m\omega} \frac{\epsilon m\omega^2}{2} = \epsilon \hbar\omega/2\sqrt{2}$.

6. Consider our symmetric rectangular double-well potential, as divided into three regions: (I) $-a-b < x < -a$; (II) $-a \leq x \leq a$; and (III) $a < x < a+b$. We have the symmetric states $u_I(x) = A \sin(k_s(x+a+b))$, $u_{II}(x) = B \cosh k_s x$, $u_{III}(x) = C \sin(k_s(x-a-b))$, and antisymmetric states $v_I(x) = D \sin(k_a(x+a+b))$, $v_{II}(x) = E \sinh k_a x$, $v_{III}(x) = F \sin(k_a(x-a-b))$. All of which satisfy Schrödinger's equations and the appropriate boundary conditions,

$$k_s = \sqrt{2mE_s/\hbar^2}, \quad k_a = \sqrt{2mE_a/\hbar^2}, \quad k_s = \sqrt{2m(V_0 - E_s)/\hbar^2}, \quad k_a = \sqrt{2m(V_0 - E_a)/\hbar^2}$$

where because we assume $V_0 \gg E_a, E_s$, $k = k_s \approx k_a$. Matching solutions and derivatives at each boundary we have $A \sin k_s b = B \cosh k_a b$, $C \sin k_a b = -E \sinh k_a b$ and

$Ak_s \cos k_s b = -Bk_s \sinh \kappa a$, $Ck_a \cos k_a b = +Dk_a \cosh \kappa a$. Therefore we have the eigenvalue conditions

$$\tanh k_s b / k_s = -\coth \kappa a / \kappa, \tanh k_a b / k_a = -\tanh \kappa a / \kappa. \quad (1)$$

Since $V_0 \gg E_{a,s}$, we expect the energy levels to be approximately those of a particle in a box (one dimensional, with infinite walls) in regions (I) and (III). Hence $\tanh k_{a,s} b = \tan(\pi + \epsilon_{a,s}) = \tan \epsilon_{a,s} \approx \epsilon_{a,s} = k_{a,s} b - \pi$, and (1) can be rewritten as

$$(k_s b - \pi) / k_s = -\coth \kappa a / \kappa, (k_a b - \pi) / k_a = -\tanh \kappa a / \kappa. \quad (2)$$

From (2) we have $k_s = \frac{\pi}{b + \coth \kappa a / \kappa}$, $k_a = \frac{\pi}{b + \tanh \kappa a / \kappa}$, and the lowest lying states are $E_s = \frac{\hbar^2 k_s^2}{2m} = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mb} (b + \coth \kappa a / \kappa)^{-2}$ and $E_a = \frac{\hbar^2 k_a^2}{2m} = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mb} (b + \tanh \kappa a / \kappa)^{-2}/2m$. So $\Delta E = E_a - E_s = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mb^2} \{ (1 + \tanh \kappa a / \kappa b)^{-2} - (1 + \coth \kappa a / \kappa b)^{-2} \}$. (Note the method used here, actually illustrates the symmetric double well potential discussed in Chapter 4, section 2).

7. Here $V = -ez|\vec{E}|$, and the perturbed ground state ket $|1,0,0\rangle'$ and unperturbed ground state ket $|1,0,0\rangle$ in the $|n,\ell,m\rangle$ notation are related by

$$|1,0,0\rangle' = |1,0,0\rangle + \sum_{n\ell m} \frac{|\vec{E}|(-e)\langle n,\ell,m|z|1,0,0\rangle|n,\ell,m\rangle}{E_{100} - E_{n\ell m}}$$

where E_{100} and $E_{n\ell m}$ are unperturbed energies (actually independent of m). Take expectation value of ez

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\langle 1,0,0 | + \sum_{n'\ell'm'} \frac{(-e)|\vec{E}| \langle 1,0,0 | z | n',\ell',m' \rangle \langle n',\ell',m' |}{E_{100} - E_{n'\ell'm'}} \right) ez (|1,0,0\rangle + \sum_{n\ell m} \\ & - e |\vec{E}| \langle n\ell m | z | 100 \rangle |n\ell m\rangle) = -2e^2 \sum_{n\ell m} \frac{|\langle 100 | z | n\ell m \rangle|^2}{E_{100} - E_{n\ell m}} |\vec{E}|, \quad (\ell=1, m=0 \text{ in our case}) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\langle 100 | z | 100 \rangle = 0$. Also from (5.1.63), (5.1.67), and (5.1.68) we have for the energy shift of the ground state computed to second order

$$\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha |\vec{E}|^2, \alpha = -2e^2 \sum_{nlm} \frac{|\langle 100 | z | nlm \rangle|^2}{E_{100} - E_{nlm}}. \quad (2)$$

Hence from (1), we have induced dipole moment $\alpha |\vec{E}|$, where α is the same α which appears in $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha |\vec{E}|^2$ of (2).

8. (a) $\langle n=2, l=1, m=0 | x | n=2, l=0, m=0 \rangle = 0$, because x is rank 1 tensor ($k=1, q=\pm 1$) and behaves like $Y_1^1 - Y_1^{-1}$, so m value must change.

(b) $\langle n=2, l=1, m=0 | p_z | n=2, l=0, m=0 \rangle = 0$, since $p_z = \frac{m}{i\hbar}[z, H]$ we get $\langle p_z \rangle = im/\hbar \times (E_{210} - E_{200}) \langle n=2, l=1, m=0 | z | n=2, l=0, m=0 \rangle$, but $E_{210} - E_{200} = 0$ by "accidental degeneracy" (2s - 2p degeneracy).

(c) From (3.7.64), we note that $|j=9/2, m=7/2, l=4\rangle$ is represented by

$$y_{l=4}^{j=4+\frac{1}{2}, 7/2} = (1/\sqrt{9}) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{4+7/2+1/2} & Y_{l=4}^{7/2-1/2} \\ \sqrt{4-7/2-1/2} & Y_{l=4}^{7/2+1/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{hence } \langle L_z \rangle = (\sqrt{8/9})^2 3\hbar + (\sqrt{1/9})^2 4\hbar = (28/9)\hbar.$$

(Alternative method: Use $\langle L_z \rangle = m\hbar - \langle S_z \rangle$ with $S_z = \pm m\hbar/(2l+1)$ (c.f. (5.3.31)) for $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2}$.)

(d) To evaluate $\langle \text{singlet}, m=0 | (S_z^{(e^-)} - S_z^{(e^+)}) | \text{triplet}, m=0 \rangle$, first note

$$\begin{aligned} (S_z^{(e^-)} - S_z^{(e^+)}) | \text{triplet}, m=0 \rangle &= (S_z^{(e^-)} - S_z^{(e^+)}) \frac{1}{2}\hbar (|\uparrow\rangle_{e^-} |\downarrow\rangle_{e^+} + |\downarrow\rangle_{e^-} |\uparrow\rangle_{e^+}) \\ &= (\frac{1}{2}\hbar - (-\frac{1}{2}\hbar)) \frac{1}{2}\hbar (|\uparrow\rangle_{e^-} |\downarrow\rangle_{e^+}) + ((-\frac{1}{2}\hbar) - (\frac{1}{2}\hbar)) \frac{1}{2}\hbar (|\downarrow\rangle_{e^-} |\uparrow\rangle_{e^+}) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2}\hbar [|\uparrow\rangle_{e^-} |\downarrow\rangle_{e^+} - |\downarrow\rangle_{e^-} |\uparrow\rangle_{e^+}] = \hbar |\text{singlet}, m=0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \langle \text{singlet}, m=0 | (S_z^{(e^-)} - S_z^{(e^+)}) | \text{triplet}, m=0 \rangle = \hbar.$$

(e) Ground state of H_2 molecule: For "homopolar" binding, the space part is symmetric, hence spin part is in singlet state. Thus

$$\langle \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{S}_{\text{tot.}}^2 - \vec{S}_1^2 - \vec{S}_2^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot (3/4)\hbar^2 = -\frac{3}{4}\hbar^2$$

where expectation value of $\langle \vec{S}_{\text{tot.}}^2 \rangle$ gives zero for a spin singlet state.

9. (a) $\langle n, l=1, m=\pm 1, 0 | V | n, l=1, m=\pm 1, 0 \rangle$, $\frac{V}{\lambda} = x^2 - y^2 = r^2 \sin^2 \theta (\cos^2 \phi - \sin^2 \phi) = r^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi = r^2 \sin^2 \theta (e^{2i\phi} + e^{-2i\phi})/2$. So the perturbation connects $m = \pm 1$ with $m = \mp 1$. The type of non vanishing V -matrix elements are of form

$$I = \lambda \int \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{2} e^{\pm i\phi} e^{\mp 2i\phi} \sin^2 \theta e^{\pm i\phi} d\Omega \int r^2 R_{nl}^2 r^2 dr$$

between $m = +1$ to $m = -1$ and $m = -1$ to $m = +1$ respectively. Hence perturbation matrix

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and evidently the "correct" zeroth order energy eigenstates that diagonalize the perturbation is

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar [|n, l=1, m=+1\rangle \pm |n, l=1, m=-1\rangle] \quad (1)$$

- (b) We are dealing with states whose angular dependence are spherical harmonics. Under time reversal: $Y_l^m \rightarrow Y_l^{m*} = (-1)^m Y_l^{-m}$, hence $\Theta |n, l=1, m=\pm 1\rangle = -|n, l=1, m=\mp 1\rangle$. Therefore (1) evidently go into itself (up to a phase factor or sign) under time reversal.


10. This problem is rather similar to problem 3 above with L replaced by a . For (a) the Hamiltonian of the unperturbed system is H_0 , where $H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\vec{V}^2 + V$, and by using the method of separation of variables, we can easily find the energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

$$E_n = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2ma^2} (n_x^2 + n_y^2), \psi_n(x, y) = \sin(n_x \pi x/a) \sin(n_y \pi y/a) \quad (1)$$

where n_x, n_y are non-zero integers. Thus the three lowest states correspond to $n_x=n_y=1$; $n_x=2, n_y=1$ and $n_x=1, n_y=2$; and $n_x=2, n_y=2$ respectively, and from (1) we have $E_1 = \hbar^2 \pi^2 / ma^2$ with $\psi_1(x, y) = (2/a) \sin(\frac{\pi x}{a}) \sin(\frac{\pi y}{a})$ and nondegenerate, $E_2 = 5\hbar^2 \pi^2 / 2ma^2$ with $\psi_2(x, y) = (2/a) \sin(\frac{2\pi x}{a}) \sin(\frac{\pi y}{a})$ or $(2/a) \sin(\frac{\pi x}{a}) \sin(\frac{2\pi y}{a})$ and hence

two fold degenerate, $E_3 = 4\lambda^2\pi^2/ma^2$ with $\psi_3(x,y) = (2/a)\sin(\frac{2\pi x}{a})\sin(\frac{2\pi y}{a})$ and non-degenerate.

(b) For (i) the first order energy shift is $\Delta E_n = \langle n | V_1 | n \rangle = \lambda \langle n | xy | n \rangle \approx \lambda$, hence the energy shift is linear in λ , in otherwords proportional to λ . For (ii) $\Delta E_3 = \langle 3 | \lambda xy | 3 \rangle = (\frac{2}{a})^2 \lambda \int_0^a \int_0^a x \sin^2(\frac{2\pi x}{a}) y \sin^2(\frac{2\pi y}{a}) dx dy = \frac{1}{4} \lambda a^2$. The energy shifts for degenerate state E_2 are given from problem 3 as $\Delta E_2^{(1)} = 0.28\lambda a^2$ and $\Delta E_2^{(2)} = 0.22\lambda a^2$, while that for nondegenerate E_1 is $\Delta E_1 = \frac{1}{4} \lambda a^2 = 0.25\lambda a^2$. (iii) The energy level diagrams for unperturbed levels (E_n) and perturbed levels $E_n + \Delta E_n = E'_n$ look as follows:



unperturbed levels

perturbed levels

11. (a) The energy eigenvalues E_1 and E_2 are found from secular equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} E_1^0 - E & \lambda \Delta \\ \lambda \Delta & E_2^0 - E \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

therefore $E_{1,2} = (E_1^0 + E_2^0)/2 \pm \sqrt{(E_1^0 - E_2^0)^2/4 + \lambda^2 \Delta^2}$. To find the eigenfunctions, we write $\psi_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $H\psi = E\psi$ gives $E_1^0 a_{1,2} + \lambda \Delta = E_{1,2} a_{1,2}$ and thus up to normalization

$$\psi_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \Delta / (E_{1,2} - E_1^0) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $E_{1,2}$ as given above. Note also that this problem is completely analogous to problem 11 of Chapter 1, if we make the substitution $E_1^0 \leftrightarrow H_{11}$, $E_2^0 \leftrightarrow H_{22}$,

and $\lambda\Delta \leftrightarrow H_{12}$. Hence an alternative way to parametrize $\psi_{1,2}$ in normalized form is

$$\psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \frac{\beta}{2} \\ \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \beta = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{2\lambda\Delta}{E_1^0 - E_2^0} \right]$$

(b) For H as given,

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} E_1^0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda\Delta \\ \lambda\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence $V_{11} = V_{22} = 0$, so first order energy shifts vanish in time-independent perturbation theory, and we must go to second-order. Here second order shifts are

$$\Delta_1^{(2)} = \frac{|V_{12}|^2}{E_1^0 - E_2^0} = \frac{\lambda^2 \Delta^2}{E_1^0 - E_2^0}, \quad \Delta_2^{(2)} = \frac{|V_{21}|^2}{E_2^0 - E_1^0} = \frac{\lambda^2 \Delta^2}{E_2^0 - E_1^0}.$$

But the exact energy solution for $\lambda|\Delta| \ll |E_1^0 - E_2^0|$ is

$$E_{1,2} = \frac{(E_1^0 + E_2^0)}{2} \pm \frac{(E_1^0 - E_2^0)}{2} \left[1 + \frac{4\lambda^2 \Delta^2}{(E_1^0 - E_2^0)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \begin{cases} E_1^0 + \lambda^2 \Delta^2 / (E_1^0 - E_2^0) \\ E_2^0 - \lambda^2 \Delta^2 / (E_1^0 - E_2^0) \end{cases}$$

in agreement with perturbation results $E_1^0 + \Delta_1^{(2)}$, and $E_2^0 + \Delta_2^{(2)}$.

(c) Now suppose $E_1^0 \sim E_2^0 \equiv E^0$. Then $H = E^0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda\Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since the perturbation term is proportional to σ_x , we know right away that the eigenfunctions are those of σ_x ,

$$\psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \text{ with } E_1 = E^0 + \lambda\Delta, \quad E_2 = E^0 - \lambda\Delta.$$

Note $\psi_1 = \phi_1^0 + \phi_2^0$, $\psi_2 = \phi_2^0 - \phi_1^0$, i.e. linear combinations of degenerate states.

From (a), we have if $E_1^0 = E_2^0 = E^0$, then $E_{1,2} = E^0 \pm \lambda\Delta$ and $\psi_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ which agrees with (c).

12. Using the secular equation method, we diagonalize the perturbed Hamiltonian ma-

trix to obtain the exact energy eigenvalues. The secular equation reads

$$(E_1 - \lambda)((E_1 - \lambda)(E_2 - \lambda) - |b|^2) + a((\lambda - E_1)a^*) = 0.$$

Evidently $E_1 = \lambda$ is one solution, and the other two solutions are roots of $\lambda^2 - (E_1 + E_2)\lambda + E_1 E_2 - |a|^2 - |b|^2 = 0$, i.e. $\lambda_+ \approx E_1 + \frac{(|a|^2 + |b|^2)}{(E_1 - E_2)}$ and $\lambda_- \approx E_2 - \frac{(|a|^2 + |b|^2)}{(E_1 - E_2)}$, where we have assumed $|a|, |b| \ll |E_2 - E_1|$.

Formally non-degenerate second order perturbation theory (5.1.42), translated into our notation, reads $\Delta_1 = |a|^2/(E_1 - E_2)$, $\Delta_2 = |b|^2/(E_1 - E_2)$ and $\Delta_3 = \frac{|a|^2 + |b|^2}{E_2 - E_1}$,

hence energy levels are $E_1 + \Delta_1$, $E_1 + \Delta_2$, and $E_2 + \Delta_3$ respectively. The non-degenerate second order perturbation results are unjustified because degeneracy is not removed to first order.

Use degenerate perturbation theory à la Gottfried (1966) (see p. 397, for details). We have here a degenerate two level subspace (E_1 twice and E_2), hence to second order in degenerate perturbation theory the energy shifts are given by

$$(\Delta - \frac{|a|^2}{E_1 - E_2})(\Delta - \frac{|b|^2}{E_1 - E_2}) = \left| \frac{ab}{E_1 - E_2} \right|^2$$

i.e. $\Delta_1 = 0$, $\Delta_2 = \frac{|a|^2 + |b|^2}{E_1 - E_2}$, which agrees with the exact solution above where we had $E_1 = \lambda$ (with $\Delta_1 = 0$), and $\lambda_+ \approx E_1 + (|a|^2 + |b|^2)/(E_1 - E_2) = E_1 + \Delta_2$, at least in the approximation $|a|, |b| \ll |E_2 - E_1|$.

13. The Hamiltonian is $H = \vec{p}^2/2m - e^2/r + e\varepsilon z$, where $e\varepsilon z$ is the perturbation potential. In terms of the $2S_{1/2}$ and $2P_{1/2}$ levels of hydrogen, our Hamiltonian can be represented as

$$H \equiv \begin{pmatrix} E_2^S + \langle s | e\varepsilon z | s \rangle + \delta & \langle s | e\varepsilon z | p \rangle \\ \langle p | e\varepsilon z | s \rangle & E_2^P + \langle p | e\varepsilon z | p \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where δ is the Lamb shift, and E_2^S , E_2^P are the unperturbed energies for $2S_{1/2}$ and

$2P_{\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively. It is evident (from parity selection rule) that $\langle s|e\epsilon z|s\rangle = \langle p|e\epsilon z|p\rangle = 0$, while $\langle s|e\epsilon z|p\rangle = \langle p|e\epsilon z|s\rangle = e\epsilon \langle s|r|p\rangle \sum_{l=0}^{j=\frac{1}{2}, m} Y_l^j Y_{l+1}^{j=\frac{1}{2}, m} \cos\theta d\Omega$. Using (3.7.64), we have $\langle s|e\epsilon z|p\rangle = \langle p|e\epsilon z|s\rangle = \mp\sqrt{3}e\epsilon a_0$ for $m = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. Hence (1) becomes

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} E_2^S + \delta & \mp\sqrt{3}e\epsilon a_0 \\ \mp\sqrt{3}e\epsilon a_0 & E_2^P \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

We diagonalize (2) to obtain eigenvalues Λ , where we recognize that $E_2^S = E_2^P = E_2$, this gives

$$\Lambda = E_2 + \delta/2 \pm [(\delta/2)^2 + 3e^2\epsilon^2 a_0^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3)$$

The energy shift from the mean $E_2 + \delta/2$ is $\pm[(\delta/2)^2 + 3e^2\epsilon^2 a_0^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence $\Delta E_S = -\Delta E_P = [(\delta/2)^2 + 3e^2\epsilon^2 a_0^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \frac{1}{2}[1 + 6e^2\epsilon^2 a_0^2/\delta^2]$ for $e\epsilon a_0 \ll \delta$, and $[(\delta/2)^2 + 3e^2\epsilon^2 a_0^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \sqrt{3}e\epsilon a_0(1 + \frac{\delta^2}{24e^2\epsilon^2 a_0^2})$ for $e\epsilon a_0 \gg \delta$. Note for $e\epsilon a_0 \ll \delta$ the shift from $E_2^S + \delta$

is quadratic in ϵ , while for $e\epsilon a_0 \gg \delta$ the dominant shift is linear in ϵ .

Whereas parity restricts $\langle s|e\epsilon z|s\rangle = \langle p|e\epsilon z|p\rangle = 0$, time reversal invariance of our Hamiltonian places no similar restriction. Nevertheless (c.f. (4.4.84)) it imposes the restriction that expectation value $\langle \vec{x} \rangle$ (hence $\langle z \rangle$ as a special case) vanishes when taken with respect to eigenstates of j, m . For example $|j, m\rangle$ of our problem need not be parity eigenkets, and could be $c_s|s_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle + c_p|p_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$, yet it remains true that $\langle j, m|\vec{x}|j, m\rangle = 0$ under time reversal invariance - i.e. no electric dipole moment.

14. Let the electric field be in z-direction, i.e. $\vec{\epsilon} = \epsilon \hat{k}$, so the potential is expressed as $V = +e\epsilon \cdot \vec{r} = re\epsilon \cos\theta$. Assuming ϵ is small, we can use perturbation theory. The wave functions for $n=3$ are ψ_{nlm_l} , $n = 3$, $l = 0, 1, 2$, obviously $\langle 3lm_l|V|3l'm'_l\rangle \propto \langle R_{3l}|r|R_{3l'}\rangle \langle Y_l^{m_l}|cos\theta|Y_{l'}^{m'_l}\rangle$. Now $\langle Y_l^{m_l}|cos\theta|Y_{l'}^{m'_l}\rangle \propto \delta_{m_l m'_l} \langle P_l^m|cos\theta|P_{l'}^{m'_l}\rangle$, while $cos\theta P_{l'}^{m'_l} \propto [(l' - |m'_l| + 1)P_{l'+1}^{m'_l} + (l' + |m'_l|)P_{l'-1}^{m'_l}]$, thus $\langle Y_l^{m_l}|cos\theta|Y_{l'}^{m'_l}\rangle$

$\propto \delta_{m_l m'_l} \{ (l' - |m'_l| + 1) \delta_{l, l'+1} + (l' + |m'_l|) \delta_{l, l'-1} \}$. So matrix elements vanish unless $m_l = m'_l$, $l = l' + 1$ or $l = l' - 1$, and we have non-vanishing matrix elements $\langle 321 | v | 311 \rangle$, $\langle 32-1 | v | 31-1 \rangle$, $\langle 320 | v | 310 \rangle$, $\langle 310 | v | 320 \rangle$, $\langle 311 | v | 321 \rangle$, $\langle 31-1 | v | 32-1 \rangle$, $\langle 310 | v | 300 \rangle$, $\langle 300 | v | 310 \rangle$. As a first step let us calculate these non-vanishing matrix elements, remembering that $\psi_{nlm} = R_{nl} Y_l^m$ where Y_l^m is given by (A.5.6) and $R_{nl}(r)$ by (A.6.3). Straightforward evaluation leads to

$$\langle 321 | v | 311 \rangle = \langle 311 | v | 321 \rangle = \langle 32-1 | v | 31-1 \rangle = \langle 31-1 | v | 32-1 \rangle = -27\epsilon a_0 e / 2$$

$$\langle 320 | v | 310 \rangle = \langle 310 | v | 320 \rangle = -9\sqrt{3}\epsilon a_0 e$$

$$\langle 310 | v | 300 \rangle = \langle 300 | v | 310 \rangle = -9\sqrt{6}\epsilon a_0 e.$$

Diagonalizing the (9×9) V-matrix ($\sum_{m_l=0}^2 (2m_l + 1) = 9$), we have the matrix equation (with eigenvalues $\lambda = \epsilon a_0 r$)

$$\begin{bmatrix} r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c & 0 & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \\ A_5 \\ A_6 \\ A_7 \\ A_8 \\ A_9 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $a = 27/2$, $b = 9\sqrt{3}$, $c = 9\sqrt{6}$. and secular equation is $r^3 [r^2 - a^2]^2 [r^2 - b^2 - c^2] = 0$ i.e. $r=0$, $r=\pm a$, $r=\pm(b^2+c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Substitute $r=0$ into Eq. (1) gives $A_2 = A_4 = A_6 = A_7 = A_8 = 0$, $A_3 b + c A_9 = 0$, no information on A_1 and A_5 . So for $r=0$, we can choose three combinations for A_1 , A_3 , A_5 , A_9 . They are $A_1 = 1$, $A_i = 0$ ($i \neq 1$), i.e. ψ_{322} ; $A_5 = 1$, $A_i = 0$ ($i \neq 5$), i.e. ψ_{32-2} ; $A_i = 0$ ($i \neq 3, 9$), $A_3 = \sqrt{2/3}$, $A_9 = -\sqrt{1/3}$, i.e. $(\sqrt{2/3}\psi_{320} - \sqrt{1/3}\psi_{300})$. Here our notation is A_1, \dots, A_5 correspond to $l=2$, $m_l=2, 1, 0, -1, -2$; A_6, A_7, A_8 correspond to $l=1$, $m_l=1, 0, -1$; A_9 corresponds to $l=0$, $m_l=0$. To summarize:

For $r=0$, $\Delta E=0$, wave functions are $\psi_{322}, \psi_{32-2}, \sqrt{2/3}\psi_{320} - \sqrt{1/3}\psi_{300}$. (2)

For $r=a=27/2$, i.e. $\lambda = 27\epsilon a_0 / 2$, we have from Eq.(1), $A_1=0$, $A_5=0$, $A_3=A_7=A_9=0$ and

either $A_2 = -A_6 = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $A_4 = A_8 = 0$ or $A_2 = A_6 = 0$, $A_4 = -A_8 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ i.e.

$$r=a, \Delta E = 27e\epsilon a_0/2; \text{ wave functions } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}\psi_{321}(\psi_{321} - \psi_{311}) \\ \frac{1}{2}\psi_{32-1}(\psi_{32-1} - \psi_{31-1}) \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

For $r = -a = -27/2$, i.e. $\lambda = -27e\epsilon a_0/2$, we find from Eq.(1) $A_1 = A_5 = 0$, $A_3 = A_7 = A_9 = 0$ and either $A_2 = A_6 = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $A_4 = A_8 = 0$ or $A_2 = A_6 = 0$, $A_4 = A_8 = 1/\sqrt{2}$, i.e.

$$r=-a, \Delta E = -27e\epsilon a_0/2; \text{ wave functions } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}\psi_{321}(\psi_{321} + \psi_{311}) \\ \frac{1}{2}\psi_{32-1}(\psi_{32-1} + \psi_{31-1}) \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

Finally, for $r = \pm(b^2+c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \pm 9\sqrt{9}$, i.e. $\lambda = \pm 9\sqrt{9}e\epsilon a_0$, we find $A_1 = A_5 = A_2 = A_4 = A_6 = A_8 = 0$. For $r = +9\sqrt{9}$, $A_3 = 1/\sqrt{6}$, $A_7 = -1/\sqrt{2}$, $A_9 = 1/\sqrt{3}$, i.e.

$$r=+(b^2+c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta E = +9\sqrt{9}e\epsilon a_0; \text{ wave function is } [\frac{1}{6}\psi_{320} - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{310} + \frac{1}{3}\psi_{300}] \quad (5)$$

and for $r = -9\sqrt{9}$, $A_3 = 1/\sqrt{6}$, $A_7 = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $A_9 = 1/\sqrt{3}$, i.e.

$$r=-(b^2+c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta E = -9\sqrt{9}e\epsilon a_0; \text{ wave function is } [\frac{1}{6}\psi_{320} + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{310} + \frac{1}{3}\psi_{300}] \quad (6)$$

15. For electric dipole $V = -\vec{\mu}_e \cdot \vec{E}$ where $\vec{\mu}_e = \mu_e \vec{\sigma}$. The Coulomb field of the nucleus may be written as: $\vec{E} = -\frac{1}{e} \vec{r} dV_c / dr$ (V_c = Coulomb potential). Now $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}$ may be written as: $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} = [\sigma_+(x-iy) + \sigma_-(x+iy) + \sigma_z z] / r = (4\pi/3)^{\frac{1}{2}} [\sqrt{2}(\sigma_{+1}^{-1} - \sigma_{-1}^{-1}) + \sigma_{z1}^0]$. Hence there are selection rules governing which matrix elements of V are non-zero. For $\Delta m_\ell = 0$ the matrix elements of σ_{z1}^0 are needed. These vanish unless $\Delta \ell = \pm 1$. For $\Delta m_\ell = \pm 1$, $\Delta \ell$ is also ± 1 . This is expected since \hat{r} is a vector operator and connects states of different parity. The radial contribution is proportional to:

$$\int_0^\infty R_{nl} \frac{dV_c}{dr} R_{n'l'} r^2 dr = - \int_0^\infty R_{nl} R_{n'l'} dr. \text{ One may verify that for } l-l' = \pm 1, \text{ this integral vanishes for } n=n'.$$

The ground state of Na has $n=3$ (degeneracy $n^2=9$). But from the above, we know that $\Delta n \neq 0$ therefore the effects of this perturbation V on the energy levels are seen in second order. Mixings will occur between $3s$ and $4p$ states and similarly between $4s$ and $3p$, $3d$ and $4p$ etc. Using eigenstates of L^2 , L_z , S^2 , S_z , the following expression for $\langle 3s | V | 4p \rangle$ is true for $\Delta L_z = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 3s | V | 4p \rangle_{\Delta L_z=0} &= \frac{Z}{(-e)} \int_0^\infty R_{30}(r) R_{41}(r) dr \left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle 00\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} | Y_1^0 | 10\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ &= \frac{Z}{-e} \int_0^\infty R_{30} R_{41} dr \left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos\theta \times \left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos\theta d(\cos\theta) d\phi \\ &= \left(\frac{Z}{-e}\right) \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty R_{30} R_{41} dr = \left(\frac{Z}{-e}\right) \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_R. \end{aligned}$$

So the second (lowest) order shift in the $3s$ state of Na would be (using (5.2.18))

$$\Delta_{3s} = \left(\frac{-\mu e Z I_R}{e\sqrt{3}} \right)^2 / (E_{n=3} - E_{n=4})$$

$$\text{where } E_n = -Z^2 m_e^4 / 2\hbar^2 n^2.$$

16. (a) This is the central force problem with spherically symmetric potential $V(r)$. As usual let $\psi(r) = cu(r)/r$ where $\psi(r)$ satisfies the usual radial Schrödinger equation and $u(r)$ satisfies (c.f. (A.5.8))

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 u}{dr^2} + V(r)u = Eu \quad (\text{for } l=0 \text{ S-states}). \quad (1)$$

Multiply (1) by $u' \equiv du/dr$, we have

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(u')^2}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(uVu)}{dr} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{dV}{dr} u^2 = \frac{E}{2} \frac{d(u^2)}{dr}. \quad (2)$$

Integrate (2) from 0 to ∞ on both sides, we have

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{4m} (u')^2 \Big|_0^\infty + \frac{1}{2} (uVu) \Big|_0^\infty - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dV}{dr} u^2 dr = \frac{E}{2} u^2 \Big|_0^\infty. \quad (3)$$

But $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} u(r) = 0$, and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) = 0$, therefore (3) gives

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{4m} (u')^2 \Big|_0^\infty - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dV}{dr} u^2 dr = 0. \quad (4)$$

From $u(r) = r\psi(r)/c$, we get $u'(r) = \psi(r)/c + r\psi'(r)/c$, where at ∞ the right hand side functions are well behaved and must vanish as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Thus (4) gives

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{4mc^2} |\psi(0)|^2 = \frac{1}{2c^2} \int_0^\infty r^2 (\frac{dV}{dr}) \psi^2(r) dr = \frac{1}{4\pi(2c^2)} \langle \frac{dV}{dr} \rangle,$$

and therefore

$$|\psi(0)|^2 = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2}\right) \langle dV/dr \rangle \quad (5)$$

(b) For the hydrogen atom $V(r) = -e^2/r$ and for the ground state (from (A.6.7)) we have $R_{10}(r) = (2/a_0^{3/2}) e^{-r/a_0}$, where $r = 2r/a_0$ and $a_0 = \hbar^2/m_e e^2$ is the Bohr radius (c.f. (A.6.3)). $R_{10}(0) = 2/a_0^{3/2} = 2/(\hbar^2/m_e e^2)^{3/2} = 2e^3 m^{3/2}/\hbar^3$, and

$$\langle dV/dr \rangle = e^2 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^2} r^2 R_{10}^2(r) dr = \frac{4\pi e^2}{a_0^3} \int_0^\infty e^{-r/a_0} dr$$

and since $dr = a_0 dp/2$, we have $\langle dV/dr \rangle = 8\pi e^2/a_0^2$. Therefore $\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \langle dV/dr \rangle = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \cdot \frac{8\pi e^2}{a_0^2} = 4me^2/\hbar^2 a_0^2 = 4/a_0^3 = |R_{10}(0)|^2$. Hence relation (5) is verified.

For the three dimensional harmonic oscillator $V(r) = \frac{1}{2}kr^2$, the ground state is $n_x=n_y=n_z=0$, and wave function $\psi = X_0(x)Y_0(y)Z_0(z)$ is such that

$$X_0(x) = N_0 H_0(\alpha x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 x^2}, \quad Y_0(y) = N_0 H_0(\alpha y) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 y^2}, \quad Z_0(z) = N_0 H_0(\alpha z) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 z^2}$$

where $N_0 = (\alpha/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\alpha = (mk/\hbar^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. So $|\psi(0)|^2 = N_0^6 H_0^6(0)$, while

$$\langle dV/dr \rangle = N_0^6 \int_0^\infty H_0^2(\alpha x) H_0^2(\alpha y) H_0^2(\alpha z) [kr] e^{-\alpha^2 r^2} dx dy dz.$$

From (A.4.5) we see that $H_0(\xi) = 1$, hence $|\psi(0)|^2 = N_0^6$, while $\langle dV/dr \rangle = N_0^6 \int_0^\infty kr x e^{-\alpha^2 r^2} r^2 dr (4\pi) = N_0^6 (4\pi) k \int_0^\infty r^3 e^{-\alpha^2 r^2} dr = N_0^6 (4\pi) k \frac{1}{2\alpha^4} = N_0^6 (2\pi) \hbar^2/m$. Thus $(\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2}) \times \langle dV/dr \rangle = N_0^6 = |\psi(0)|^2$ for the three dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator also.

(a) Rotate the system in such a way that the z' -axis is along the magnetic field

\vec{B} , we then have $H = AL_z^2 + (B^2 + C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}L_z'$ where in the y-z plane the angle θ between Oz and Oz' is given by $\tan\theta = C/B$. We then have eigenkets $|\ell, m'\rangle$ with eigenvalues

$$E = A\ell(\ell+1)\hbar^2 + (B^2 + C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}m'\hbar$$

where $|\ell, m'\rangle = D(\pi/2, \beta, 0)|\ell, m\rangle = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} |\ell, m\rangle D_{mm'}^{(\ell)}(\pi/2, \beta, 0)$. When $B \gg C$, we treat

$H_0 = AL_z^2 + BL_z$ as the unperturbed Hamiltonian, and CL_y as the perturbation, then unperturbed eigenvalues $E_{\ell, m}^{(0)}$ and eigenkets are $A\hbar^2\ell(\ell+1) + Bm\hbar$ and $|\ell, m\rangle$ respectively. Hence to second order in perturbation

$$E^{(2)} = A\hbar^2\ell(\ell+1) + Bm\hbar + \langle \ell, m | CL_y | \ell, m \rangle + C^2 \sum_{\substack{\ell', m' \\ \neq \ell, m}} \frac{|\langle \ell', m' | L_y | \ell, m \rangle|^2}{E_{\ell, m}^{(0)} - E_{\ell', m'}^{(0)}}.$$
(2)

Use next $L_y = \frac{1}{2i}(L_+ - L_-)$ and (3.5.41), (2) becomes

$$E^{(2)} = A\hbar^2\ell(\ell+1) + Bm\hbar + C^2\hbar m/2B.$$
(3)

From the exact solution (1), we may expand for $B \gg C$ to get

$$E = A\ell(\ell+1)\hbar^2 + Bm'\hbar + \frac{C^2}{2B}\hbar m' + \dots$$
(4)

Hence in this approximation ($B \gg C$), the second-order perturbed energy (3) reproduces the exact solution for $m' \rightarrow m$.

(b) We consider $\langle n' \ell' m' m'' | O | n \ell m \rangle$ where $O = 3z^2 - r^2$, xy . Note that the operator O is spin-independent, hence $\Delta m_s = m'_s - m_s = 0$. Now $3z^2 - r^2 \sim (3\cos^2\theta - 1) \sim Y_2^0$, hence $\langle \ell' m' | Y_2^0 | \ell, m \rangle$ must satisfy $\Delta m_\ell = m_\ell - m'_\ell = 0$, and $-2 \leq \Delta \ell = \ell' - \ell \leq +2$. However $|\Delta \ell| \neq 1$ because of parity conservation. Summary: $\Delta m_s = m'_s - m_s = 0$, $\Delta m_\ell = m_\ell - m'_\ell = 0$, $\Delta \ell = 0, \pm 2$. (Actually we have also the constraint $\ell + \ell' \geq 2$.)

Consider next $O = xy$, now $Y_2^2 \sim (x+iy)^2$, $Y_2^{-2} \sim (x-iy)^2$, hence $Y_2^2 - Y_2^{-2} \sim xy$. So $\langle \ell' m' | (Y_2^2 - Y_2^{-2}) | \ell m \rangle$ satisfies $\Delta m_\ell = 2, -2; \Delta \ell = \pm 1$ remains forbidden by parity conservation, hence $\Delta \ell = 0, \pm 2$. Summary: $\Delta m_s = m'_s - m_s = 0$, $\Delta m_\ell = \pm 2$, $\Delta \ell = 0, \pm 2$ ($\ell + \ell' \geq 2$).

Remarks: The above selection rules are different from those for dipole radiation.

tions which require $\Delta m_s = 0, \Delta m_l = 0, \pm 1, \Delta l = 0, \pm 1$, which is not surprising since for instance $3z^2 - r^2$ relates to quadrupole radiation.

18. The perturbation Hamiltonian (see (5.3.25)) is $e^2 A^2 / 2m_e c^2 = e^2 B^2 (x^2 + y^2) / 8m_e c^2$, where we have used $A_x = -\frac{1}{2}By$, $A_y = \frac{1}{2}Bx$, $A_z = 0$ and noted that the perturbation is spin independent (hence okay to ignore spin). So we must evaluate $\langle x^2 + y^2 \rangle$ for the ground state. Now by symmetry $\langle x^2 + y^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \langle r^2 \rangle$ because $\langle x^2 \rangle = \langle y^2 \rangle = \langle z^2 \rangle$ and $\langle x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \rangle = \langle r^2 \rangle$. So the integral to be evaluated relative to the ground-state of hydrogen atom is $4\pi/(1/\pi a_0^3) e^{-2r/a_0} r^2 r^2 dr = \frac{4}{a_0^3} (a_0/2)^5 4!$. Hence

$$\Delta = \frac{e^2 B^2 a_0^2}{m_e c^2} \frac{4.4.3.2}{8.2.2.2.2} (2/3) = \frac{e^2 B^2 a_0^2}{4m_e c^2}$$

and $x = -e^2 a_0^2 / 2m_e c^2$, the negative sign is because the induced dipole moment has opposite sign for diamagnetism.

19. In this problem, we work out the quadratic Zeeman effect with the help of vector potential $\vec{A} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B} \times \vec{r}$ for uniform magnetic field $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{e}_z$ (we notice $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$). Using the Lorentz gauge $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$, then $[\vec{p}, \vec{A}] = -i\hbar \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ or $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{A}$ for particle momentum \vec{p} . Then $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B} \times \vec{r}) \cdot \vec{p} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B} \cdot \vec{r} \times \vec{p} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B} \cdot \vec{L} = \frac{1}{2}B_0 L_z = \frac{1}{2}B_0 L_z$, $\vec{A}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B} \times \vec{r}) \cdot (\vec{B} \times \vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2}[B_0^2 r^2 - (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{r})^2] = \frac{1}{2}B_0^2 (x^2 + y^2)$, and the total Hamiltonian will be

$$H = \frac{1}{2m_e} (\vec{p} - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c})^2 = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m_e} - \frac{eB_0}{2m_e c} L_z + \frac{e^2 B_0^2 (x^2 + y^2)}{8m_e c^2} - \frac{Ze^2}{r}$$

with the perturbation term $V = \frac{-eB_0}{2m_e c} L_z + \frac{e^2 B_0^2}{8m_e c^2} (r^2 \sin^2 \theta)$. For zero angular momentum $l=0$ (S-state), we have $\vec{L} = 0$, $L_z = 0$, and in this simple case, for an atomic electron in the $n=1$ ground state of an atom with atomic number Z , the energy change will be

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{Z, m_l=0}^{(1)} &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos\theta) \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \frac{Z^3}{ra_0^3} e^{-2Zr/a_0} (e^2 B_0^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta / 8m_e c^2) \\ &= [1/(2Z)]^2 \cdot \frac{e^2 B_0^2 a_0^2}{m_e c^2 Z^2} = [1/(2Z)]^2 \cdot \frac{e^2 B_0^2}{m_e c^2} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

in which $\lambda_e = \hbar/m_e c$ is the electron Compton wavelength and $a_0 = \hbar^2/m_e e^2$ is the Bohr atomic radius and $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c = 1/137$ is the fine structure constant. The above integral was carried out by using $\int_0^\infty d\xi \xi^N e^{-\rho\xi} = N!/\rho^{N+1}$.

Now for the helium atom the result would be twice that we obtained for an atomic electron in (1) with effective atomic number $Z = 2-5/16 = 1.7$:

$$\Delta E_{He, m_L=0}^{(1)} = 2 \times \frac{1}{(2Z)^2 \alpha} \lambda_e^3 B_o^2 \Big|_{Z=1.7} \approx 23.7 \lambda_e^3 B_o^2. \quad (2)$$

For one mole of helium the energy change is $N_o \Delta E_{He, m_L=0}^{(1)}$ where $N_o \approx 6.022 \times 10^{23}$ /mole (the Avogadro's number). Thus the magnetic susceptibility per mole of helium, χ_{He} , is going to be

$$N_o \Delta E_{He, m_L=0}^{(1)} = -1 \chi_{He} B_o^2 + \chi_{He} = -2N_o \times 23.7 \lambda_e^3. \quad (3)$$

Expressed in terms of a_0 (atomic unit), we have $\lambda_e = 7.2973 \times 10^{-3} a_0$, then

$$\chi_{He} = -1.109 \times 10^{19} a_o^3 / \text{mole} \equiv -1.643 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 / \text{mole}. \quad (4)$$

The experimental result is $-1.88 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 / \text{mole}$ which is in fairly good agreement with our perturbation calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} 20. \quad \bar{H} &= \frac{(-\hbar^2/2m) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\beta|x|} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} e^{-\beta|x|} dx + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2\beta|x|} (\frac{m\omega^2 x^2}{2}) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2\beta|x|} dx} \\ &= \frac{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_0^{\infty} \beta^2 e^{-2\beta x} dx - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (-2\beta) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (2) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2\beta x} x^2 dx}{2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2\beta x} dx} \end{aligned}$$

where the term $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (-2\beta)$ in numerator is the contribution from the first derivative at $x=0$. So $\bar{H} = \hbar^2 \beta^2 / 2m + m\omega^2 / 4\beta^2$, and $\partial \bar{H} / \partial \beta = 0$ implies $2\hbar^2 \beta / 2m - m\omega^2 / 2\beta^3 = 0$ or $\beta^2 = m\omega / \sqrt{2}\hbar$. Hence $(\bar{H})_{\min} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} + \frac{m\omega^2 \sqrt{2}\hbar}{4m\omega} = \hbar\omega \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \right) = \frac{\hbar\omega\sqrt{2}}{2}$,

where $(\hbar\omega/2)$ is the true energy.

21. The equation $d^2\psi/dx^2 + (\lambda - |x|)\psi = 0$ can be written as $-d^2\psi/dx^2 + |x|\psi = \lambda\psi$ and hence is like Schrödinger equation $H\psi = \lambda\psi$ with $\hbar^2/2m = 1$. Let us set $c=1$ and worry about normalization later, than

$$d\psi/dx = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } 0 < x < \alpha \\ +1 & \text{for } -\alpha < x < 0 \end{cases}, \quad -\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} d^2\psi/dx^2 dx = (d\psi/dx)_{x=\epsilon} - (d\psi/dx)_{x=-\epsilon},$$

hence $d^2\psi/dx^2 = -2\delta(x)$, and $\langle\psi|H|\psi\rangle = 2\psi(0) + 2\int_0^\alpha x(\alpha-x)^2 dx = 2\alpha + \alpha^4/12$. Also $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 2\int_0^\alpha (\alpha-x)^2 dx = 2\alpha^3/3$. Therefore from (5.4.2), we have

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\langle\psi|H|\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle} = \frac{2\alpha + \alpha^4/12}{2\alpha^3/3} = \frac{3}{\alpha^2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}. \quad (1)$$

Hence $d\lambda/d\alpha = 0$ implies $(3/\alpha^3)(-2) + \frac{1}{4} = 0$ or $\alpha = 24^{1/3} = 2\sqrt[3]{3}$, and $\lambda < 3/4 \times 3^{2/3} + 2 \times 3^{1/3}/4 = 1.081$. So the true λ must be lower than 1.081 which is not bad compared to exact value 1.019 for such a crude trial function. Note normalization of ψ is taken care of via $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle$ in denominator of (1).

22. Here $V(t) = F_0 x \cos \omega t$, and we set $\omega_{10} \equiv (E_1 - E_0)/\hbar = \omega_0$. From (5.6.17) we see that $c_0(t) \approx 1$ up to first order, while

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(t) &\approx (-i/\hbar) \frac{F_0}{2} \int_0^t \langle 1|x|0 \rangle e^{i\omega_{10}t'} [e^{i\omega_0 t'} + e^{-i\omega_0 t'}] dt' \\ &= -(F_0/2\hbar) \langle 1|x|0 \rangle \left[\frac{e^{i(\omega_0+\omega)t}}{(\omega_0+\omega)} - \frac{1}{\omega_0+\omega} + \frac{e^{i(\omega_0-\omega)t}}{(\omega_0-\omega)} - \frac{1}{\omega_0-\omega} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Let us compute x in the Schrödinger picture, than

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle_S &= (\langle 0|e^{i\omega_0 t/2} + c_1^* \langle 1|e^{3i\omega_0 t/2}) x (\langle 0|e^{-i\omega_0 t/2} + c_1 \langle 1|e^{-3i\omega_0 t/2}) \\ &= c_1^*(t) \langle 1|x|0 \rangle e^{i\omega_0 t} + c_1(t) \langle 0|x|1 \rangle e^{-i\omega_0 t} \\ &= -\left(\frac{F_0}{2\hbar}\right) |\langle 1|x|0 \rangle|^2 \left[\frac{e^{-i(\omega_0+\omega)t} e^{i\omega_0 t} - e^{i\omega_0 t}}{(\omega_0+\omega)} + \frac{e^{i(\omega_0-\omega)t} e^{-i\omega_0 t} - e^{-i\omega_0 t}}{(\omega_0-\omega)} \right] \\ &\quad + \text{c.c. (complex conjugate)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where we have used (1) and the constancy of F_0 in arriving at (2). Since $\langle 1|x|0 \rangle = (\hbar/2m\omega_0)^{1/2}$, (2) becomes

$$\langle x \rangle_S = -\frac{F_0}{m} \left(\frac{\cos \omega t - \cos \omega_0 t}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2} \right). \quad (3)$$

This is more or less what you expect classically. As $\omega = \omega_0$, $\cos \omega t - \cos \omega_0 t = -\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 t^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_0^2 t^2 = \frac{1}{2}t^2(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)$, thus $\langle x \rangle_S = -\frac{F_0}{m} \frac{1}{2}t^2$. Treating $-F_0/m$ as a classical uniform acceleration a , $\langle x \rangle_S = \frac{1}{2}at^2$ is the classical rectilinear motion starting from rest, however procedure breaks down for $\omega \neq \omega_0$.

23. (a) For a force $F(t) = F_0 e^{-t/\tau}$, we have $-dV/dx = F_0 e^{-t/\tau}$, hence $V = -F_0 x e^{-t/\tau}$. Again from (5.6.17), $c_0^{(0)}(t) = 1$, and $\omega_{10} \equiv (E_1 - E_0)/\hbar = \omega$, while

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^{(1)}(t) &= (-i/\hbar) \int_0^t e^{i\omega t'} e^{-t'/\tau} dt' \langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle F_0 \\ &= (-i/\hbar) \left[\frac{e^{i\omega t - t/\tau} - 1}{(i\omega - 1/\tau)} \right] \langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle F_0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Hence

$$|c_1^{(1)}(t)|^2 = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left[\frac{1 + e^{-2t/\tau} - (2\cos \omega t)e^{-t/\tau}}{\omega^2 + (1/\tau)^2} \right] |F_0|^2 (\hbar/2m\omega). \quad (2)$$

Note that as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $|c_1^{(1)}(t)|^2$ is independent of t . This is reasonable since for sufficiently large t , the perturbation is no longer on.

- (b) Take (5.6.17) again, we see to first order the n th excited state is

$$c_n^{(1)}(t) = (-i/\hbar) \int_0^t e^{i\omega_{no} t'} V_{no}(t') dt' \quad (3)$$

where $\omega_{no} \equiv (E_n - E_0)/\hbar$, and $n \geq 2$. However $V_{no}(t')$ would contain multiplicative factor $\langle n | x | 0 \rangle$ which vanishes for $n \geq 2$. Nevertheless for $\langle n' | x | n \rangle = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega} (\sqrt{n}\delta_{n,n-1} + \sqrt{n+1}\delta_{n,n+1})$, we know that $\langle 2 | x | 1 \rangle = \sqrt{2}(\hbar/2m\omega)^{1/2}$ while $\langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}$. Thus to

second order

$$c_2^{(2)}(t) = (-i/\hbar)^2 \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' e^{i\omega_{21} t'} V_{21}(t') e^{i\omega_{10} t''} V_{10}(t'') \quad (4)$$

gives a non-vanishing contribution, since V_{21} and V_{10} are non-vanishing ($\omega_{21} = (E_2 - E_1)/\hbar$). Thus there is a finite probability to find the oscillator in its second excited state E_2 , and the argument can be pursued to even higher order

terms and corresponding higher order excited states.

24. The initial state is $|0\rangle$, so from (5.6.17), we have

$$c_n^{(0)}(t) = \delta_{n0}, c_n^{(1)}(t) = (-i/\hbar) \int_0^t e^{-i(E_0 - E_n)t'/\hbar} \langle n | H'(\mathbf{x}, t') | 0 \rangle dt'. \quad (1)$$

Next we note that

$$\langle n | H'(\mathbf{x}, t) | 0 \rangle = A e^{-t/\tau_n} | x^2 | 0 \rangle \quad (2)$$

and from (2.3.24), we have $x^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}(a + a^\dagger)(a + a^\dagger) | 0 \rangle$. Since $a | 0 \rangle = 0$, $a^\dagger | 0 \rangle = | 1 \rangle$, $a | 1 \rangle = | 0 \rangle$, $a^\dagger | 1 \rangle = \sqrt{2} | 2 \rangle$, thus $x^2 | 0 \rangle = (\hbar/2m\omega)[| 0 \rangle + \sqrt{2} | 2 \rangle]$, and therefore $\langle n | x^2 | 0 \rangle = (\hbar/2m\omega)[\delta_{n0} + \sqrt{2}\delta_{n2}]$. We see that if $n \neq 0$ or $n \neq 2$, $c_n^{(1)}(t)$ of (1) vanishes because $\langle n | x^2 | 0 \rangle$ vanishes in (2). Only the following coefficients are relevant to our discussion: $c_0^{(0)} = 1$, $c_2^{(0)} = 0$, $c_0^{(1)} = (-i/\hbar) \int_0^t (\hbar/2m\omega) \times A e^{-t'/\tau} dt' = \frac{iA}{2m\omega} (e^{-t/\tau} - 1)\tau$ (which for $t/\tau \gg 1$, gives $c_0^{(1)} \approx -iA\tau/2m\omega$), $c_2^{(1)} = (-i/\hbar) \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \sqrt{2} \int_0^t \exp[-i(E_0 - E_2)t'/\hbar] A e^{-t'/\tau} dt' = -i\sqrt{2}A/2m\omega(1/\tau - 2\omega i)$.

After a long time duration of perturbation, the state becomes [see (5.5.4) and (5.6.1)]

$$|\psi\rangle = [1 - iA\tau/2m\omega] e^{-i\omega t/2} |0\rangle - \frac{i\sqrt{2}A}{2m\omega(1/\tau - 2\omega i)} e^{-i5\omega t/2} |2\rangle \quad (3)$$

(Remark: higher order terms like A^2 , A^3 , ... are ignored.) So the probability for the system to be transmitted to the second excited state is

$$P_2 = \frac{|A|^2}{2m^2\omega^2(1/\tau^2 + 4\omega^2)} \left/ \left[1 + \frac{|A|^2\tau^2}{4m^2\omega^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{|A|^2}{m^2\omega^2(4\omega^2 + 1/\tau^2)} \right] \right.. \quad (4)$$

There is no probability for transition to other states such as $|1\rangle, |3\rangle, \dots$

- 25.

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} E_1^{(0)} & \lambda \cos \omega t \\ \lambda \cos \omega t & E_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = H_0 + V(t)$$

(a) Let us write $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $|2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. A general state is

$$|\alpha, t\rangle = c_1(t) \exp[-iE_1^{(0)}t/\hbar] |1\rangle + c_2(t) \exp[-iE_2^{(0)}t/\hbar] |2\rangle$$

with $c_1(0) = 1$, and $c_2(0) = 0$. Now this problem can be solved exactly, but we are told to proceed via time-dependent perturbation theory. Take (5.6.17) - (5.6.19) of text, we have (for $n=2$)

$$\begin{aligned} c_2^{(1)}(t) &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \lambda \int_0^t \exp[i\omega_{21}t'] \cos\omega t' dt' \\ &= (-i/\hbar)\lambda \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} [\exp(i[\omega_{21}+\omega]t') + \exp(i[\omega_{21}-\omega]t')] dt' \\ &= \left(-\frac{\lambda i}{\hbar}\right) \left[\frac{e^{i(\omega_{21}+\omega)t/2}}{(\omega_{21}+\omega)} \sin(\omega_{21}+\omega)t/2 + \frac{e^{i(\omega_{21}-\omega)t/2}}{(\omega_{21}-\omega)} \sin(\omega_{21}-\omega)t/2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now $|c_2^{(1)}(t)|^2$ is the transition probability which becomes

$$|c_2^{(1)}(t)|^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{\hbar^2} \left[\frac{\sin^2(\omega_{21}+\omega)t/2}{(\omega_{21}+\omega)^2} + \frac{\sin^2(\omega_{21}-\omega)t/2}{(\omega_{21}-\omega)^2} + \frac{\cos\omega t (\cos\omega t - \cos\omega_{21}t)}{(\omega_{21}^2 - \omega^2)} \right]$$

(b) Since $\omega_{21} = (E_2^{(0)} - E_1^{(0)})/\hbar$, we see that $\omega_{21} \pm \omega = 0$ would correspond to vanishing denominators in our perturbation expression for $|c_2^{(1)}(t)|^2$ above, and hence a breakdown of the approximation scheme.

26. Perturbation potential added is $-F(t)x$. The ground state energy $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$ and the first excited state has energy $E_1 = \hbar\omega(1+i_1)$ where $\omega_{10} = \frac{1}{\hbar}(E_1 - E_0) = \omega$. From (5.6.17), we have

$$c_1^{(1)}(\infty) = +(i/\hbar) \frac{F_0 \tau}{\omega} \langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\tau^2 + t^2} dt = (i/\hbar) \frac{F_0 \tau}{\omega} \langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle I. \quad (1)$$

The integral I may be evaluated using complex variable theory. Since $\omega > 0$, we close contour in upper half t -plane ($\text{Im}(t) > 0$) with no contribution from semi-circle as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. The pole at $t = +i\tau$ gives through the method of residues, contribution $I = (\pi/\tau)e^{-\omega\tau}$. Since $\langle 1 | x | 0 \rangle = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega} (\sqrt{n}\delta_{n',n-1} + \sqrt{n+1}\delta_{n',n+1}) = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}$ for $n=0, n'=1$, we have putting everything together

$$c_1^{(1)}(\infty) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{F_0 \tau}{\omega} \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega} \left(\frac{\pi}{\tau}\right) e^{-\omega\tau}. \quad (2)$$

Probability for being found in the first excited state is $|c_1^{(1)}(\infty)|^2 = \frac{\pi^2 F_0^2}{2m\hbar\omega} e^{-2\omega\tau}$.

"Challenge for experts". Yes, it is reasonable. If the perturbation is turned on very slowly, and then turned off very slowly (as in the $\tau \gg \frac{1}{\omega}$ case), the oscillator can be visualized to be in the ground state all the time. This is because the only effect of the applied force (uniform in space) is just a very slow change in the equilibrium point of the oscillator; at each instant of time, you can solve the time-independent Schrödinger equation for the ground state.

This problem can also be attacked semiclassically. The action integral $\oint pdq$ (related to $(n+\frac{1}{2})\hbar$) is "adiabatically invariant". This means that there is no sudden quantum jump as long as the external parameters change very slowly.

27. (a) Again from (5.6.17), $c^{(1)}(t) = (-i/\hbar) \int_{t_0}^t dt' \langle f | V(t') | i \rangle e^{i\omega_{fi}(t'-t_0)} dt'$, and using fact that $\delta(x-ct') = \frac{1}{c}\delta(x/c-t')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(1)}(t) &= (-i/\hbar) \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int dx \langle f | x | i \rangle \frac{A}{c} \delta(x/c-t') \langle x | i \rangle e^{i\omega_{fi}(t'-t_0)} \\ &= (-iA/\hbar c) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \langle f | x | i \rangle \underbrace{e^{i\omega_{fi}x/c}}_{\text{uninteresting phase factor}} e^{-i\omega_{fi}t_0} \end{aligned}$$

as $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$, and $t \rightarrow \infty$. So probability for finding system in state $|f\rangle$ is given by $|c^{(1)}(t)|^2 = \frac{|A|^2}{\hbar^2 c^2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_f^*(x) u_i(x) e^{i\omega_{fi}x/c} dx \right|^2$ with $\omega_{fi} \equiv (E_f - E_i)/\hbar$.

(b) $\delta(x-ct)$ pulse can be regarded as superposition of harmonic perturbation of form $e^{i\omega x/c} e^{-i\omega t}$ with $\omega > 0$ (absorption) as well as $\omega < 0$ (emission). Our result in (a) shows that the travelling pulse can give up energy $\hbar\omega = E_f - E_i$ so that the particle gets excited to state $|f\rangle$. The form of $|c^{(1)}|^2$ shows that only that part of the harmonic perturbation with the "right" frequency is relevant, just as expected from energy conservation. Note that the space integral $\int u_f^* u_i dx \times e^{i\omega_{fi}}$ is identical to the case where only one frequency component ("monochroma-

tic wave") is present.

28. To first order $1s \rightarrow 2s$ transition is forbidden since the matrix element of perturbation is $\langle 200 | z | 100 \rangle = 0$ by parity. Likewise, since z is proportional to a spherical tensor of rank 1, the only $1s \rightarrow 2p$ transition which is allowed, to this (first) order, is when $\Delta m = 0$.

With potential energy $V = -eE_0 ze^{-t/\tau}$ for $t > 0$, we have for the only non vanishing transition amplitude is (see (5.6.17))

$$c^{(1)}(t) = -(-i/\hbar)eE_0 \int^t dt' \langle 210 | z | 100 \rangle e^{(i\omega - 1/\tau)t'}. \quad (1)$$

Therefore to this first order we have selection rule $\Delta l = 1$, $\Delta m = 0$. By simple integration, (1) can be rewritten as

$$c^{(1)}(t) = \frac{-(-ieE_0/\hbar)\langle 210 | z | 100 \rangle (e^{[i\omega - 1/\tau]t} - 1)(-i\omega - 1/\tau)}{(\omega^2 + 1/\tau^2)}. \quad (2)$$

From (2) we have probability

$$|c^{(1)}(t)|^2 = \frac{e^2 E_0^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{|\langle 210 | z | 100 \rangle|^2}{(\omega^2 + 1/\tau^2)} [1 + e^{-2t/\tau} - 2e^{-t/\tau} (\cos \omega t)]. \quad (3)$$

After a long time $t \gg \tau$ (essentially set $t \rightarrow \infty$), we have

$$|c^{(1)}(\infty)|^2 = \frac{e^2 E_0^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{|\langle 210 | z | 100 \rangle|^2}{(\omega^2 + 1/\tau^2)} \quad (4)$$

where $\langle 210 | z | 100 \rangle = 2\pi \int_{-1}^{+1} d(\cos\theta) \int_0^\pi r^2 dr R_{21} Y_1^0 r \cos \theta R_{10} Y_0^0 = \frac{2^{15/2}}{3^5} a_0$, and $\omega = (E_{2p} - E_{1s})/\hbar = 3e^2/8a_0\hbar$ (with $a_0 = \hbar^2/me^2$).

29. First we observe that

$$\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 = \frac{-(\vec{S}_1^2 + \vec{S}_2^2) + (\vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2)^2}{2\hbar^2} = \begin{cases} 1/4 \text{ for triplet} \\ -3/4 \text{ for singlet} \end{cases}$$

Therefore eigenkets of H are triplet and singlet, and eigenvalues are

$$E = \begin{cases} \Delta \text{ for triplet} \\ -3\Delta \text{ for singlet} \end{cases}$$

(a) At $t=0$, $|+-> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1,0> + |0,0>)$ where $|1,0>$ is a triplet $m=0$ state and $|0,0>$ is a singlet state. For a later time

$$|\alpha; t> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1,0> e^{-i\Delta t/\hbar} + |0,0> e^{+3i\Delta t/\hbar})$$

where $|1,0> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+-> + |->)$ and $|0,0> = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+-> - |->)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle +| \alpha; t>|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} |e^{-i\Delta t/\hbar} + e^{+3i\Delta t/\hbar}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cos(4\Delta t/\hbar) \\ |\langle -| \alpha; t>|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} |e^{-i\Delta t/\hbar} - e^{+3i\Delta t/\hbar}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cos(4\Delta t/\hbar) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and obviously $|\langle ++| \alpha; t>|^2 = |\langle --| \alpha; t>|^2 = 0$.

(b) Use first order perturbation theory

$$c_{++}^{(1)}(t) = (-i/\hbar) \int_0^t \langle +| \frac{4\Delta}{\hbar^2} \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 | +> dt', \quad c_{+-}^{(1)}(t) = (\frac{-i}{\hbar}) \int_0^t \langle -| \frac{4\Delta}{\hbar^2} \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 | +> dt'$$

where we note that $\langle +| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle 1,0| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle 0,0|$, $\langle -| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle 1,0| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle 0,0|$ and similarly for the dual corresponding (DC) kets. Hence $c_{+-}^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{i\Delta t}{\hbar}(1-3)/2 = \frac{i\Delta t}{\hbar}$;

$$c_{-+}^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{i\Delta t}{\hbar}(1+3)/2 = -i2\Delta t/\hbar. \quad \text{Note that } c_{--}^{(1)}(t) = c_{++}^{(1)}(t) = 0 \text{ because } \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2$$

connects only states of the same $m_{tot.}$ values.

Probability for $|+->$ is $|\langle 1+i\Delta t/\hbar| |1+i\Delta t/\hbar|^2 = 1 + \Delta^2 t^2/\hbar^2$, this does not quite agree with exact treatment because $c_{++}^{(2)}$ interfering with $c_{++}^{(0)}$ also gives $\Delta^2 t^2$ term. Probability for $|->$ is $4\Delta^2 t^2/\hbar^2$ which agrees with exact treatment up to $O(\frac{\Delta^2 t^2}{\hbar^2})$. Note expansion of exact results from (1) gives

$$|\langle +| \alpha; t>|^2 \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{16\Delta^2 t^2}{\hbar^2}, \quad |\langle -| \alpha; t>|^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{16\Delta^2 t^2}{2\hbar^2}). \quad (2)$$

Hence validity of first order perturbation theory for $|+->$ is never satisfied, for $|->$ validity is questionable when $t \gg \hbar/\Delta$ since lowest order expansion in (2) gives a poor approximation to the exact answer.

30. (a) From (5.5.17) for a two channel problem we have

$$i\hbar \begin{pmatrix} \dot{c}_1 \\ \dot{c}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma e^{i\omega t} e^{i\omega_{12} t} \\ \gamma e^{-i\omega t} e^{i\omega_{21} t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where $\omega_{21} = -\omega_{12} = (E_2 - E_1)/\hbar$. Try for a solution of form

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\omega-\omega_{21})t/2} & a_1 \\ e^{-i(\omega-\omega_{21})t/2} & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

into (1), we have upon simplification

$$\frac{i(\omega-\omega_{21})}{2} a_1 + \dot{a}_1 = \frac{\gamma}{i\hbar} a_2; \quad \frac{-i(\omega-\omega_{21})}{2} a_2 + \dot{a}_2 = \frac{\gamma}{i\hbar} a_1 \quad (3)$$

It is straightforward to see from (3) that

$$\ddot{a}_1 = -[\gamma^2/\hbar^2 + \frac{(\omega-\omega_{21})^2}{4}] a_1; \quad \ddot{a}_2 = -[\gamma^2/\hbar^2 + \frac{(\omega-\omega_{21})^2}{4}] a_2. \quad (4)$$

Hence for instance

$$a_2 \sim \begin{cases} \sin & [\gamma^2/\hbar^2 + (\omega-\omega_{21})^2/4]^{1/2} t \\ \cos & \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Since $c_2(0) = 0$, we must have from (2)

$$c_2(t) = e^{-i(\omega-\omega_{21})t/2} \sin\{[\gamma^2/\hbar^2 + (\omega-\omega_{21})^2/4]^{1/2} t\} \quad (6)$$

Again from (1), we have since $c_1(0) = 1$, that $i\hbar \dot{c}_2|_{t=0} = \gamma$. Hence

$$c_2(t) = \frac{\gamma}{i\hbar[\gamma^2/\hbar^2 + (\omega-\omega_{21})^2/4]^{1/2}} e^{-i(\omega-\omega_{21})t/2} \sin\{[\gamma^2/\hbar^2 + \frac{(\omega-\omega_{21})^2}{4}]^{1/2} t\} \quad (7)$$

and

$$|c_2(t)|^2 = \frac{(\gamma^2/\hbar^2)}{(\gamma^2/\hbar^2 + (\omega-\omega_{21})^2/4)^2/4} \sin^2\{[\gamma^2/\hbar^2 + (\omega-\omega_{21})^2/4]^{1/2} t\}. \quad (8)$$

Now from (1), we have $i\hbar \dot{c}_2 = \gamma e^{-i\omega t} e^{i\omega_{21} t} c_1$, hence

$$c_1 = (i\hbar/\gamma) e^{i(\omega-\omega_{21})t/2} \dot{c}_2 \quad (9)$$

and using (7) it is easy to verify that

$$|c_1(t)|^2 = 1 - |c_2(t)|^2 \quad (10)$$

with $|c_2(t)|^2$ given by (8).

(b) Perturbation approach, let us use (5.6.17), than

$$c_2^{(1)}(t) = \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right) \gamma_0 t e^{-i(\omega - \omega_{21})t} dt' = \frac{(\gamma/\hbar)[e^{-i(\omega - \omega_{21})t} - 1]}{(\omega - \omega_{21})} \quad (11)$$

and

$$|c_2^{(1)}(t)|^2 = \frac{4(\gamma/\hbar)^2}{(\omega - \omega_{21})^2} \sin^2\left[\frac{(\omega - \omega_{21})t}{2}\right]. \quad (12)$$

Compare (12) with exact result (8), we see that γ^2 in denominator (as well as the γ^2 in the radical sign) is missing in the perturbation expression. However, as long as $|\omega - \omega_{21}| \gg 2|\gamma/\hbar|$, the perturbation result is justifiable. When $\omega \approx \omega_{21}$, $|c_2^{(1)}|^2$ can exceed unity even with small γ . As for c_1 , we have $c_1^{(1)} = 0$ (since $i\hbar c_1^{(1)} = 0$), so $|c_1|^2 \approx |c_1^{(0)}|^2 = 1$.

31. If the perturbation potential V is constant in time, then the second term in Eq. (5.6.36) will be rapidly oscillating and gives no contribution to the transition probability.

However, if the perturbation is assumed to be slowly time-dependent, i.e. $V \rightarrow V e^{nt}$, where n is small, the rapid oscillating term does give some non-vanishing contribution, which grows linearly in time: With $V \rightarrow V e^{nt}$, (5.6.36) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^{(2)}(t) &= \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^2 \sum_m V_{nm} V_{mi} \int_0^t dt' e^{i\omega_{nm}t'+nt'} \int_0^{t'} dt'' e^{i\omega_{mi}t''+nt''} \\ &= (i/\hbar) \sum_m \frac{V_{nm} V_{mi}}{E_m - E_i - in\hbar} \int_0^t dt' e^{i\omega_{ni}t'+2nt'} = \frac{e^{i\omega_{ni}t+2nt}}{E_n - E_i - 2in\hbar} \cdot \sum_m \frac{V_{nm} V_{mi}}{E_m - E_i - in\hbar} \\ &= \sum_m \frac{V_{nm} V_{mi}}{(E_m - E_i - in\hbar)(E_n - E_i - 2in\hbar)} + \frac{e^{i\omega_{ni}t+2nt} - 1}{E_n - E_i - 2in\hbar} \sum_m \frac{V_{nm} V_{mi}}{E_m - E_i - in\hbar}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

When $n \rightarrow 0$, the first term above (in (1)) is exactly the first term in (5.6.36).

On the other hand, the second term has a coefficient

$$\lim_{\omega_{ni} \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{i\omega_{ni}t} - 1}{E_n - E_i} \rightarrow (i/\hbar)t \quad (2)$$

which is linear in time when $\omega_{ni} \rightarrow 0$. That $|c_n^{(2)}(t)|^2$ has a quadratic dependence

on time is not disturbing (c.f. (5.6.26) and subsequent discussion). Hence a non vanishing contribution to the transition probability from the second term in (5.6.36) is realizable since the total transition rate $\Gamma_{i \rightarrow n}(t)$ is defined to be

$$\Gamma_{i \rightarrow n}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{\alpha} |c_n^{(\alpha)}|^2 \right). \quad (3)$$

32. Our Hamiltonian is

$$H = H_0 + V = A \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 + (eB/m_e c)(S_{1z} - S_{2z}). \quad (1)$$

The four unperturbed states of positronium are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1^{\pm 1} &= |++>, \quad \psi_1^0 = \frac{1}{2}\zeta [|++> + |-->], \quad \psi_1^{-1} = |--> \quad (\text{triplet}) \\ \psi_0^0 &= \frac{1}{2}\zeta [|+-> - |->] \quad (\text{singlet}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The unperturbed energy levels must be determined, with $H_0 = A \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 = \frac{A}{2}[(\vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2)^2 - \vec{S}_1^2 - \vec{S}_2^2]$, hence $H_0 \psi_1^{\pm 1, 0} = \frac{AM^2}{2}[2-3/4-3/4]\psi_1^{\pm 1, 0} = \frac{AM^2}{4}\psi_1^{\pm 1, 0}$, while $H_0 \psi_0^0 = (AM^2/2) \times [0-3/4-3/4]\psi_0^0 = -\frac{3AM^2}{4}\psi_0^0$. So unperturbed energy levels are

$$E_1^{(o)} = AM^2/4 \quad (\text{triplet state}), \quad E_0^{(o)} = -3AM^2/4 \quad (\text{singlet state}). \quad (3)$$

Now it is evident from (2) that $(S_{1z} - S_{2z})\psi_1^{\pm 1} = 0$ and $(S_{1z} - S_{2z})\psi_{0,1}^0 = \gamma\psi_{1,0}^0$, therefore the first order energy level shifts are zero. Because the matrix elements of V between degenerate states are all vanishing, there is no problem about using non-degenerate perturbation theory in this case. We next compute the first order corrections to the unperturbed states. From $\langle \psi_1^{\pm 1} | S_{1z} - S_{2z} | \psi_{0,1}^0 \rangle$, we see that there is no mixing of the $S_z = \pm 1$ states with the $S_z = 0$ states. Using (5.1.53a), the mixing between the two $S_z = 0$ states are given by

$$\delta\psi_0^0 = \frac{\psi_1^0 \langle \psi_1^0 | V | \psi_0^0 \rangle}{E_0^{(o)} - E_1^{(o)}}, \quad \delta\psi_1^0 = \frac{\psi_0^0 \langle \psi_0^0 | V | \psi_1^0 \rangle}{E_1^{(o)} - E_0^{(o)}} \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \psi_1^0 | V | \psi_0^0 \rangle = \frac{eB}{m_e c} \langle \psi_1^0 | S_{1z} - S_{2z} | \psi_0^0 \rangle = \frac{eB}{m_e c} \gamma$. Hence using (3), we have

$$\delta\psi_0^0 = \psi_1^0 \frac{eB\hbar}{m_e c} (-1/\lambda\hbar^2) \text{ and } \delta\psi_1^0 = \psi_0^0 \frac{eB\hbar}{m_e c} (1/\lambda\hbar^2). \quad (5)$$

Also from (5.1.53b), we have

$$\Delta E_0 = \left(\frac{eB\hbar}{m_e c}\right)^2 (-1/\lambda\hbar^2), \quad \Delta E_1 = \left(\frac{eB\hbar}{m_e c}\right)^2 (1/\lambda\hbar^2). \quad (6)$$

Therefore to second order in perturbation theory

$$E_1(m=\pm 1) = \lambda\hbar^2/4, \quad E_1(m=0) = \frac{\lambda\hbar^2}{4} [1+4(eB/m_e c\lambda\hbar)^2], \\ E_0 = -\frac{\lambda\hbar^2}{4} [3+4(eB/m_e c\lambda\hbar)^2]. \quad (7)$$

Assuming the field B to be weak, the term $[1+4(eB/m_e c\lambda\hbar)^2]^{1/2}$ may be approximated by $1+2(eB/m_e c\lambda\hbar)^2$ in the exact expression for energy, than we see that exact expression for the $m=0$ energy levels yields the second order results found above.

(b) We may write this new time dependent perturbation as

$$V'(t) = \frac{eB'e^{i\omega t}}{m_e c} (S_{1\hat{B}'} - S_{2\hat{B}'}) \quad (8)$$

where ω is the angular frequency of the energy difference. To determine which direction to orient \hat{B}' the matrix elements of $(S_{1j} - S_{2j})$ with $j=x,y,z$ between x_1 and x_0 will be examined, where $x_1 = Z_1^{1/2}(\psi_1^0 + a_1\psi_0^0)$ and $x_0 = Z_0^{1/2}(\psi_0^0 + a_0\psi_1^0)$ are the general forms of mixture between the two $m=0$ states. Let us use $S_x = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times [|+><-| + |-><+|]$ representation, than from (2)

$$S_{1x}\psi_0^0 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} [|-->-|++>], \quad S_{2x}\psi_0^0 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} [|++>-|-->], \quad (9)$$

hence

$$(S_{1x} - S_{2x})\psi_0^0 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} (\psi_1^{-1} - \psi_1^{+1}), \quad (10)$$

also

$$S_{1x}\psi_1^0 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} [|-->+|++>], \quad S_{2x}\psi_1^0 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} [|++>+|-->]. \quad (11)$$

and thus

$$(S_{1x} - S_{2x})\psi_1^0 = 0. \quad (12)$$

From (10) and (12), we see that by orthonormality of ψ_i^0 states, $\langle x_0 | (S_{1x} - S_{2x}) \times$

$|x_1\rangle = 0$; similarly it can be shown that $\langle x_0 | (S_{1y} - S_{2y}) | x_1 \rangle = 0$. However we have $(S_{1z} - S_{2z})\psi_{0,1}^0 = \hbar\psi_{1,0}^0$, thence $(S_{1z} - S_{2z})|x_1\rangle = Z_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \hbar(\psi_0^0 + a_1\psi_1^0)$ and $\langle x_0 | (S_{1z} - S_{2z}) | x_1 \rangle = Z_1^{\frac{1}{2}}Z_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \hbar(1 + a_0^* a_1)$. From orthogonality of x_1 and x_0 we know that $a_0^* = -a_1$, hence in general $\langle x_0 | (S_{1z} - S_{2z}) | x_1 \rangle$ does not vanish. Therefore the \hat{B} field should be in the z-direction.

33. From (5.7.1) the photon-electron interaction is written as

$$V = \frac{-e}{m_e c} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} \quad (1)$$

and for emission (see (5.7.6)), we have $\vec{A} = A_0 \vec{\epsilon} e^{-i\omega \hat{n} \cdot \vec{x}/c + i\omega t}$ where $\vec{\epsilon}$ is the polarization. Matrix element

$$V_{ni} = \frac{-eA_0}{m_e c} \langle n | e^{-i\omega \hat{n} \cdot \vec{x}/c} \vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle \quad (2)$$

and the transition rate from $i \rightarrow n$ is (c.f. analogous case for absorption in (5.7.8))

$$w_{i \rightarrow n} = (2\pi/\hbar) \frac{e^2}{m_e^2 c^2} |A_0|^2 |\langle n | e^{-i\omega \hat{n} \cdot \vec{x}/c} \vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_n - E_i + \hbar\omega). \quad (3)$$

In the dipole approximation $e^{-i(\omega/c)\hat{n} \cdot \vec{x}} \rightarrow 1$, so

$$w_{i \rightarrow n} = (2\pi/\hbar) \frac{e^2 |A_0|^2}{m_e^2 c^2} |\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \langle n | \vec{p} | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_n - E_i + \hbar\omega). \quad (4)$$

From $[x, H_0] = i\hbar p/m_e$ we write as in (5.7.21) $\langle n | p_x | i \rangle = im_e \omega_{ni} \langle n | x | i \rangle$, etc. Hence

$$w_{i \rightarrow n} = (2\pi/\hbar) \frac{e^2 |A_0|^2}{m_e^2 c^2} m_e^2 \omega_{ni}^2 |\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \langle n | \vec{x} | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_n - E_i + \hbar\omega) \quad (5)$$

Now $m_n - m_i = -1$, and remember that \vec{x} is a spherical tensor of rank 1, we have from Sakurai (1967) [see equations (2.127) and (2.128)] that $\vec{d}_{ni} = \langle n | \vec{x} | i \rangle \sim \hat{x} - i\hat{y}$ and

$$|\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{d}_{ni}|^2 \sim |\epsilon_x - i\epsilon_y|^2 = \epsilon_x^2 + \epsilon_y^2. \quad (6)$$

Since \hat{n} is perpendicular to $\vec{\epsilon}$, if we have a rotating polarization vector $\vec{\epsilon}$, its projection on to the x-y plane will be $(\epsilon_x^2 + \epsilon_y^2)^{1/2} = |\vec{\epsilon}| \cos\theta$ where θ is the angle between \hat{n} and the z-axis. Therefore the angular distribution is proportional to $\cos^2\theta$.

Since the atom loses one unit of angular momentum in the z-direction, this must be carried off by the photon. Therefore if the photon is emitted in the positive z direction it must be right polarized (i.e. spin parallel to momentum) and if the photon is emitted in the negative z direction then its spin point in the +z direction and its polarization must be left-handed.

Since the electron's wave function does not change discontinuously, it remains in the ground state of ${}^3\text{H}$ for a short while, before it leaks into a definite eigenstate of ${}^3\text{He}$. Thus all we need is the overlap of the initial wave function with the ground state of ${}^3\text{He}$.

$${}^3\text{H}: \psi_{0,0}(r) = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} \frac{1}{a_0^{3/2}} e^{-r/a_0}, \quad a_0 = \hbar/m_e c a = 0.53\text{Å}$$

$${}^3\text{He}: \psi_{0,0}(r) = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}} (2/a_0)^{3/2} e^{-2r/a_0}.$$

The probability amplitude $C_0 = \int d\Omega {}^3x \psi_{00}^* {}^3\text{He} \psi_{00}^3\text{H}$ (where $\int d\Omega = 4\pi$) $= \int_0^\infty dr r^2 4(\frac{2}{a_0^2})^{3/2} \times e^{-3r/a_0} = 4(2/a_0^2)^{3/2} (a_0/3) \int_0^\infty dx x^2 e^{-x} = 16\sqrt{2}/27 = 0.838$. Hence probability $= |C_0|^2 = 0.702$ (or a 70% chance).

Write $V(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}[V_0 \exp(i\omega z/c - i\omega t) + V_0 \exp(-i\omega z/c + i\omega t)]$ where $V_0 e^{i\omega z/c - i\omega t}$ is responsible for absorption of energy $\hbar\omega$ while $V_0 e^{-i\omega z/c + i\omega t}$ is responsible for emission of energy $\hbar\omega$. Since $E_i < E_f$, only absorption part contributes and absorption rate is

$$|c^{(1)}|^2/t = (2\pi/\hbar) |V_0/2|^2 |\langle \vec{k}_f | e^{i\omega z/c} |S\rangle|^2 \delta(E_{\vec{k}_f} - E_S - \hbar\omega) \quad (1)$$

where $\langle \vec{k}_f |$ is a plane wave bra state and $|S\rangle$ is atomic ket state.

The basic differences with photoelectric case are (i) $|v_0/2'|^2$ in place of $e^2|A_0|^2/m_e^2c^2$ (c.f. (5.7.1) and (5.7.3)) and (ii) $|\langle \vec{k}_f | e^{i\omega z/c} | S \rangle|^2$ in place of $|\langle \vec{k}_f | \vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} e^{i\omega z/c} | S \rangle|^2$, where note $\vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p}$ is absent in our case.

The integral to be evaluated is

$$\int \frac{e^{-i\vec{k}_f \cdot \vec{x}} e^{i\omega z/c} \psi_S(\vec{x}) d^3x}{L^{3/2}} \quad (2)$$

where $\psi_S(x)$ is the atomic wave function. Compare (2) with the space integral in the photoelectric case (see (5.7.33))

$$\int \frac{e^{-i\vec{k}_f \cdot \vec{x}}}{L^{3/2}} \vec{\epsilon} \cdot (-i\hbar \vec{\nabla}) e^{i\omega z/c} \psi_S(\vec{x}) d^3x \quad (3)$$

where we let $-i\hbar \vec{\nabla}$ operate on plane wave (i.e. integrate by part, or use Hermiticity of $-i\hbar \vec{\nabla}$). This picks up $\vec{k}_f \cdot \vec{\epsilon}$ which can be taken outside integral in (3).

Thus

$$|\langle \vec{k}_f | e^{i\omega z/c} | S \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{\hbar^2 (\vec{k}_f \cdot \vec{\epsilon})^2} |\langle \vec{k}_f | \vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} e^{i\omega z/c} | S \rangle|^2 \quad (4)$$

and in terms of angles shown in Fig.5.10, we have

$$(\vec{k}_f \cdot \vec{\epsilon})^2 = k_f^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi. \quad (5)$$

So the angular distribution differs by the absence (our case) or presence (photoelectric) of $\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi$.

The energy dependence is such that energy conservation $E_{k_f} - E_S = \hbar\omega$ must be satisfied in both cases. This means that k_f is determined by $\hbar^2 k_f^2 / 2m - E_S = \hbar\omega$. But suppose we now vary ω . Then the transition rate integrated with the density of final states (linear in k_f) goes as k_f (our case) but k_f^3 (in photoelectric case).

36. Use periodic boundary conditions in two dimensions. Then $k_x = \frac{2\pi n_x}{L}$, $k_y = \frac{2\pi n_y}{L}$

and $n^2 = n_x^2 + n_y^2 = k^2(L/2\pi)^2$. The number of states in differential area in polar coordinates (n, ϕ) is $nd\phi dn = (L/2\pi)^2 k d\phi dk$. To convert dk into dE , use $E = \frac{1}{2}k^2/2m$ and thus $\frac{1}{2}k dk/m = dE$ or $dk = m dE/\frac{1}{2}k^2$. Hence $\rho(E)dEd\phi = (L/2\pi)^2 \frac{m}{\frac{1}{2}k^2} dEd\phi$ where factor $(L/2\pi)^2 \frac{m}{\frac{1}{2}k^2}$ is independent of k (or E)!!

37. A particle of mass m , constrained by an infinite-wall potential within the interval $0 \leq x \leq L$, satisfies the boundary condition $\sin kL = \sin(n\pi)$, or

$$k = n\pi/L, n=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\dots \quad (1)$$

The (one dimensional) energy is $E = \frac{1}{2}k^2/2m = \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 n^2 / 2mL^2$. What we need to calculate is ndn expressed as the density of states (i.e. number of states per unit energy interval) viz: $\rho(E)dE$. Here $dE = \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 ndn/mL^2$, so $ndn = (mL^2/\frac{1}{2}\pi^2)dE$. The assumption of high energy is needed in order to work in a n -continuum space rather than the discrete set given by (1). Dimension is consistent with that found in problem 36 above for two dimensions, namely dimensionless as required.

8. Use (5.7.32) and (5.7.33) where in (5.7.33) we replace the hydrogen atom wave function by ψ_S , the ground state wave function of a three-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator of angular frequency ω_0 . The differential cross section reads therefore as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{4\pi^2 cm}{m^2 \omega} \left| \int e^{-ik_f \cdot \vec{x}} e^{i\omega n \cdot \hat{x}/c} (-i\hbar \vec{\nabla}) \cdot \hat{e} \psi_S d^3x \right|^2 \frac{mL^3 k_f^3}{\hbar^2 (2\pi)^3} \quad (1)$$

where $(-i\hbar \vec{\nabla})$ operates on the final state wave function using Hermiticity. Equation (1) simplifies to

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{4\pi^2 cm}{m^2 \omega} \frac{k_f \hbar^2}{(2\pi)^3} (\vec{k}_f \cdot \hat{e})^2 \left| \int e^{-i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}} \psi_S d^3x \right|^2 \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{q} \equiv \vec{k}_f - \frac{\omega \hat{n}}{c}$, and $\psi_S = (m\omega_0/\pi\hbar)^{3/4} e^{-m\omega_0 r^2/2\hbar}$ (note: $\omega \neq \omega_0$, the oscillator frequency). Energy conservation requires that

$$\hbar\omega + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0 = \frac{1}{2}k_f^2/2m. \quad (3)$$

Let us evaluate the integral in Eq.(2), i.e.

$$I = \int e^{-iq \cdot \vec{x}} \psi_S d^3x = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{3/4} I_x I_y I_z \quad (4)$$

where $I_x \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-iq_x x} e^{-m\omega_0 x^2/2\hbar} dx$, I_y , I_z are similar expressions with $x \rightarrow y, z$

and $q_x \rightarrow q_y, q_z$. By method of quadrature, we have $I_x = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-m\omega_0(x+iq_x\hbar/m\omega_0)^2/2\hbar}$.

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\hbar q_x^2/m\omega_0)} dx = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar/m\omega_0} e^{-\frac{\hbar q_x^2}{2m\omega_0}}. \text{ So}$$

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar}{m\omega_0}\right)^3 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(q_x^2+q_y^2+q_z^2)/m\omega_0} \quad (5)$$

and in terms of the angles (θ, ϕ) shown in Fig. 5.10, we have $(\vec{k}_f \cdot \hat{\epsilon})^2 = k_f^2 \sin^2 \theta \times \cos^2 \phi$, and

$$I^2 = \left(\frac{4\pi\hbar}{m\omega_0}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{\hbar}{m\omega_0}[k_f^2 - 2k_f(\omega/c)\cos\theta + (\omega/c)^2]}. \quad (6)$$

Thus from (2) we have putting everything together

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{4\alpha\hbar^2 k_f^3}{m\omega_0} \left(\frac{\pi\hbar}{m\omega_0}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{\hbar}{m\omega_0}[k_f^2 + (\omega/c)^2]} \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi e^{-\frac{2\hbar k_f \omega}{m\omega_0 c} \cos\theta} \quad (7)$$

Let's check the dimension of (7), α : dimensionless, \hbar : ML^2/T , k_f : $1/L$, ω, ω_0 : $1/T$, hence dimension of (7) is

$$\frac{M^2 L^4}{T^2} \frac{1}{L^3} \frac{T^2}{M^2} [ML^2/T/M/T]^{1/2} = L^2 \text{ (dimension of area)} \quad (8)$$

39. Via Fourier transform, we know

$$\phi(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}} \int d^3x e^{-ip \cdot \vec{x}/\hbar} \psi_{100}(\vec{r}). \quad (1)$$

Now $d^3x = r^2 dr \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$, and for ground-state of hydrogen atom we have $\psi_{100}(\vec{r}) = \psi_{100}(r) = (1/\pi a_0^3)^{1/2} e^{-r/a_0} = \gamma e^{-r/a_0}$. Then

$$\phi(\vec{p}) = \frac{-\gamma}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 dr d\phi \sin\theta e^{-(r/a_0 + ip \cdot \vec{r}/\hbar)}. \quad (2)$$

We choose the z-axis in the direction of \vec{p} , therefore $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} = p_z z = p_z = pr\cos\theta$,

and we integrate out θ, ϕ variables to get

$$\psi(\vec{p}) = \frac{4\pi\gamma\hbar}{p(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty r dr e^{-r/a_0} \sin(pr/\hbar). \quad (3)$$

The r -integration is also straightforward, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\vec{p}) = & \frac{4\pi\gamma\hbar}{p(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}} \left\{ \frac{re^{-r/a_0} \left[-\frac{1}{a_0} \sin(pr/\hbar) - \frac{p}{\hbar} \cos(pr/\hbar) \right]}{\left(\frac{1}{a_0^2} + p^2/\hbar^2 \right)} \right. \\ & \left. - e^{-r/a_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{a_0^2} - \frac{p^2}{\hbar^2} \right\} \sin(pr/\hbar) + \frac{2p}{a_0\hbar} \cos(pr/\hbar) \right\} \Big|_0^\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

at $r \rightarrow \infty$ contribution vanishes because of dominance of e^{-r/a_0} , the $r=0$ contribution gives

$$\psi(\vec{p}) = \frac{4\pi\gamma\hbar}{p(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}} \frac{(2p/a_0\hbar)}{\left[\frac{1}{a_0^2} + p^2/\hbar^2 \right]^2}. \quad (5)$$

Since $\gamma = (1/\pi a_0^3)^{1/2}$, $|\psi(\vec{p})|^2$ assumes form

$$|\psi(\vec{p})|^2 = \frac{2^3}{\pi^2} \frac{a_0^3 \hbar^5}{[\hbar^2 + a_0^2 p^2]^4}. \quad (6)$$

This problem is spontaneous emission in the dipole approximation (E1) for a hydrogen atom (or a hydrogen-like atom with only one valence electron). The complete treatment leading to $\tau(2p \rightarrow 1s) = 1.6 \times 10^{-9}$ sec. is well described on p.41 - 44 of J. J. Sakurai, Advanced Quantum Mechanics (1967).

(a) Assume each particle's motion is only due to the SHO potential, then the energy states for any one particle are $\hbar\omega, 3\hbar\omega/2, \dots, (n+\frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega, \dots$. From Fermi-Dirac statistical distribution, we have the probability for state with energy E being occupied is $p = 2/(1 + e^{(E-E_F)/kT})$ where E_F is the Fermi energy and the constant 2 is due to spin multiplicity ($2s+1$). So

$$N = \sum p_i = \sum \frac{2}{E_i 1 + \exp[(E_i - E_F)/kT]} ; E_i = (n_i + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega. \quad (1)$$

In principle, if we know ω and temperature T , solving (1) for E_F would yield the Fermi energy E_F . In practice this is far from being elementary. The ground state $E^{(0)}$ is

$$E^{(0)} = 2\{\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega + \frac{3}{2}\hbar\omega/2 + \dots + ([N/2]-1)\hbar\omega\} + ([N/2]+\frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega\delta \quad (2)$$

where $\delta = 0$ if N is even, $\delta = 1$ for N odd, and $[N/2]$ is the integer part of $\frac{N}{2}$.

$E^{(0)}$ can be rewritten as

$$E^{(0)} = [N/2]\hbar\omega + [N/2]([N/2]-1)\hbar\omega + ([N/2]+\frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega\delta. \quad (3)$$

Thence for N even and N odd, we have

$$E^{(0)} = \frac{N^2}{4}\hbar\omega \quad (\text{N even}), \quad E^{(0)} = [(N-1)^2/4 + N/2]\hbar\omega \quad (\text{N odd}). \quad (4)$$

Note for N even, we have $N/2$ energy states while for N odd we have $[N/2]+1$ states. Also for the ground state, we have from the definition of Fermi energy that

$$E_F = \begin{cases} (N-1)\hbar\omega/2 & \text{for } N \text{ even} \\ (N/2)\hbar\omega & \text{for } N \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

(b) If we assume $N \gg 1$, and no mutual interaction as in part (a), than from (4) and (5) ground state energy $E^{(0)} = \frac{N^2}{4}\hbar\omega$ while Fermi energy $E_F = (N/2)\hbar\omega$.

2. From the Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients table, the combination of two spin-1 particles lead to 9 states. These are in the $|m_1, m_2\rangle$ basis representation,

$$j=2 : |11\rangle, |-1-1\rangle, \frac{1}{6}\zeta[|1-1\rangle+2|00\rangle+|-11\rangle], \frac{1}{2}\zeta[|10\rangle+|01\rangle], \frac{1}{2}\zeta[|0-1\rangle+|-10\rangle] \quad (1a)$$

$$j=1: \quad \frac{1}{2}\zeta[|10\rangle-|01\rangle], \frac{1}{2}\zeta[|1-1\rangle-|-11\rangle], \frac{1}{2}\zeta[|0-1\rangle-|-10\rangle] \quad (1b)$$

$$j=0: \quad \frac{1}{3}\zeta[|1-1\rangle-|00\rangle+|-11\rangle]. \quad (1c)$$

For two identical particles which are bosons (with no orbital angular momentum) and both of spin 1, Bose statistics require symmetry for the states. Evidently from (1b) the $j=1$ states are anti-symmetric under $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2$ while (1a) and (1c) are acceptable, forming six symmetric states with $j=2$ and $j=0$ respectively.

If the electron were a spinless boson, then the total wave function (with now no spin part) must be symmetric, viz:-


$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{2}\zeta(\psi_\alpha(x_1)\psi_\beta(x_2) + \psi_\beta(x_1)\psi_\alpha(x_2)) \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta \\ &= \psi_\alpha(x_1)\psi_\alpha(x_2). \quad \text{if } \alpha = \beta \end{aligned}$$

We have only "singlet" parahelium. If we assume that the interaction due to spin is small, then there is no "triplet" orthohelium and the levels of parahelium remains the same.

Consider rotation operator around z-axis:

$$D(\phi) = e^{-iJ_z\phi/\hbar}. \quad (1)$$

Let $\phi = 2\pi/3, (4\pi/3, \dots)$. Note however that rotation by $2\pi/3$, is equivalent to the following sequence of operations:



The system must return to its original configuration, and from (1) we have

$$D(2\pi/3)|\alpha\rangle = \text{const.}|\alpha\rangle \quad (2)$$

where const. in (2) must be ± 1 because $P_{12}P_{32}$ gives ± 1 . Therefore $e^{-im\phi}|_{\phi=2\pi/3} = 1$, which in turn implies

$$m = 0, \pm 3, \pm 6, \pm 9, \dots \quad (3)$$

5. (a) The spin states must be totally symmetric. (i) $|+>|+>|+>$ is obviously of $S=3$ where S is total spin. (ii) $\frac{1}{3!}(|+>|+>|0> + |+>|0>|+> + |0>|+>|+>)$. This construction can be obtained by applying $S_- = S_{1-} + S_{2-} + S_{3-}$ to $|+>|+>|+>$. Since $S_{\text{tot.}}^2$ commutes with S_- , we have $S = 3$ in this case also. (iii) Simply write down all possible states with $+, -, 0$ and of equal amplitude, we have $\frac{1}{6!}[|+>|0>|-> + |+>|->|0> + |0>|->|+> + |0>|+>|-> + |->|+>|0> + |->|0>|+>]$. This cannot be a pure $S=3$ state since $S=3, m_S=0$ would contain $|0>|0>|0>$. Proof: Imagine applying $(S_-)^3$ to $|+>|+>|+>$, there would be a contribution from $S_3 S_2 S_1 |+>|+>|+> \propto |0>|0>|0>$. It cannot be a pure $S=1$ state either. Proof: The symmetric $S=1$ state would look like $\vec{a}(\vec{b}.\vec{c}) + \vec{b}(\vec{c}.\vec{a}) + \vec{c}(\vec{a}.\vec{b})$ which necessarily contains $|0>|0>|0>$. Now $S=2$ states cannot be totally symmetric. Proof: $S=2$ states with $m_S=1$ must be orthogonal to the symmetric $S=3$ state. We can construct only one totally symmetric state out of $++0$, $+0+$, and $0++$. Answer for part (iii) is some linear combination of $S=3$ and $S=1$.
- (b) This time the spin part must be totally antisymmetric by Bose statistics. Cases (i) and (ii) above are clearly impossible for total antisymmetry, e.g. for $++0$, $+0+$, $0++$ no matter how we distribute the signs we cannot arrange for an antisymmetric state of spin. For case (iii) it is possible, we have:- $\frac{1}{6!}[|+>|0>|-> - |+>|->|0> + |0>|->|+> - |0>|+>|-> + |->|+>|0> - |->|0>|+>]$. There is in fact only one totally antisymmetric spin state possible. It goes like $\vec{a}.(\vec{b}\times\vec{c})$ and is necessarily a singlet $S=0$.
6. Possible spin states for spin $3/2$ particles are $2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} + 1 = 4$. So the configuration is $(1s)^4(2s)^4(2p)^{12}$. High degeneracy is because the $2p$ orbitals can accommodate up to $4(2l+1) = 12$ electrons, typically $\binom{12}{2} = \frac{12!}{2!10!} = \frac{12 \times 11}{2} = 66$, i.e. 66-fold degeneracy and hence a very large number.

The ground state (lowest term) should have spin states as symmetric as possible, and space states as antisymmetric as possible [c.f. discussion of C-atom]. The only antisymmetric space states are P-wave, i.e. $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 1$, $\ell_{\text{tot.}} = 1$. With

$S_{\text{tot.}} = 3/2 + 3/2 = 3$, we have a spin 7-plet. For the total angular momentum,

L and S should be as "antiparallel" as possible, this implies that $J_{\text{tot.}} = 2$.

Hence ground state is 7P_2 .

7. (a) The wave function for a single particle is $\psi_n^{(1)}(x_i) = \sqrt{2/L} \sin(n\pi x_i/L)$ with energy $E_n^{(1)} = n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2 / 2mL^2$. For a two particle system the wave function is

$$\psi^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \psi_i^{(1)}(x_1) \psi_j^{(1)}(x_2) \quad (1)$$

where c_{ij} is determined by symmetry and the filling of energy levels according

to Pauli principle. For ground state of two spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions, the total wave function must be antisymmetric and the spin part is triplet (hence symmetric), therefore the space wave function (1) must be antisymmetric. Thus $\psi^{(2)} =$

$\frac{1}{2}\psi_1^{(1)}(x_1)\psi_2^{(1)}(x_2) - \psi_2^{(1)}(x_1)\psi_1^{(1)}(x_2)$ and to obtain a non-vanishing ground

state space wave function, we must choose

$$\psi^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = (2/\sqrt{2L})[\sin n\pi x_1/L \sin 2n\pi x_2/L - \sin 2n\pi x_1/L \sin n\pi x_2/L] \quad (2)$$

$$\text{and } E_{\text{tot.}} = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2} (1^2 + 2^2) = 5\pi^2 \hbar^2 / 2mL^2.$$

- (b) If spin part is a singlet state (which is antisymmetric), than space wave function must be symmetric. Hence (1) must assume for the ground state the form

$$\psi^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = (2/L)\sin n\pi x_1/L \sin n\pi x_2/L \quad (3)$$

$$\text{and } E_{\text{tot.}} = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2mL^2} (1^2 + 1^2) = \pi^2 \hbar^2 / mL^2.$$

- (c) First for triplet and singlet state the first order energy shift is

$$\Delta E = -\lambda \int dx_1 dx_2 \psi^{(2)*}(x_1, x_2) \delta(x_1 - x_2) \psi^{(2)}(x_1, x_2). \quad (4)$$

Use the explicit form (2) and integrate over δ -function, we find for triplet state $\Delta E = (2/L)^2 (-\lambda/2) \int dx_1 (\sin \pi x_1/L \sin 2\pi x_1/L - \sin 2\pi x_1/L \sin \pi x_1/L)^2 = 0$ and for singlet state $\Delta E = -\lambda (2/L)^2 \int_0^L \sin^4(\pi x_1/L) dx_1 = -3\lambda/2L$.

Chapter 7

1. (a) From (7.1.6) and (7.1.7), the Lippmann-Schwinger equation reads (in one dimension) $|\psi^{(\pm)}\rangle = |\phi\rangle + \frac{1}{E - H_0 \mp i\epsilon} V |\psi^{(\pm)}\rangle$ or $\langle x | \psi^{(\pm)} \rangle = \langle x | \phi \rangle + \int dx' \langle x |$

$\frac{1}{E - H_0 \mp i\epsilon} \langle x' | \langle x | V | \psi^{(\pm)} \rangle$ in position basis. The singular operator $\frac{1}{E - H_0}$ is handled by the $E \rightarrow E \pm i\epsilon$ prescription if we are to have a transmitted wave for $x > a$; for reflected wave in $x < -a$ we need prescription $E \rightarrow E - i\epsilon$. Hence for transmitted-reflected Green's function we have $G_{\pm}(x, x') = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \langle x | \frac{1}{E - H_0 \mp i\epsilon} | x' \rangle$. So

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\pm}(x, x') &= \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' \langle x | p' \rangle \langle p' | \frac{1}{E - H_0 \mp i\epsilon} | p'' \rangle \langle p'' | x' \rangle dp' \\ &= \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' \left(e^{ip'x/\hbar/\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \frac{1}{E - p' \mp 2m \mp i\epsilon} \left(e^{-ip'x'/\hbar/\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \\ &= (1/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq [e^{iq(x-x')}/(k^2 - q^2 \mp i\epsilon)] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where we have used the one dimensional version of (7.1.14) and (7.1.15). The poles of (1) are at $q = \pm(k^2 \mp i\epsilon)^{1/2} \equiv \pm k \pm i\epsilon$. By straightforward method of residue contour integration in q -plane, we have

$$G_+(x, x') = -\frac{i}{2k} e^{ik|x-x'|}, G_-(x, x') = -\frac{i}{2k} e^{-ik|x-x'|}. \quad (2)$$

Hence integral equation for $\langle x | \psi^{(+)} \rangle$ is

$$\langle x | \psi^{(+)} \rangle = \langle x | \phi \rangle - (i/2k) (2m/\hbar^2) \int_a^{+\infty} dx' e^{ik|x-x'|} V(x') \langle x' | \psi^{(+)} \rangle \quad (3)$$

For transmitted wave $x > a$ (hence $|x-x'| = x-x'$), we have

$$\langle x | \psi^{(+)} \rangle = e^{ikx/\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{im}{k\hbar^2} \int_a^{+\infty} e^{ik(x-x')} V(x') \langle x' | \psi^{(+)} \rangle dx'. \quad (3')$$

Similarly for a reflected wave $x < -a$, we have from (2)

$$\langle x | \psi^{(-)} \rangle = e^{ikx/\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{im}{k\hbar^2} \int_a^{+\infty} e^{-ik(x-x')} V(x') \langle x' | \psi^{(-)} \rangle dx', \quad (4)$$

where the first term on r.h.s. of (4) is really the original wave for $x < -a$.

(b) Take now $V = -(\gamma\hbar^2/2m)\delta(x)$ where $\gamma > 0$, and substitute into (3) we have

$$\langle x | \psi^{(+)} \rangle = \langle x | \phi \rangle + \frac{i\gamma}{2k} e^{ik|x|} \langle 0 | \psi^{(+)} \rangle. \quad (5)$$

Set $x=0$ (center of range $-a < x < a$ where $V(x) \neq 0$), (5) becomes

$$\langle 0 | \psi^{(+)} \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{[1 - i\gamma/2k]} . \quad (6)$$

Substitute (6) into (5), we have

$$\langle x | \psi^{(+)} \rangle = e^{ikx/\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} e^{ik|x|} [i\gamma/(2k-i\gamma)]. \quad (7)$$

Hence for $x>a$, transmission coefficient is $T = 1 + i\gamma/(2k-i\gamma)$. Similarly from (4) for $x<-a$, we have for reflection coefficient $R = i\gamma/(2k-i\gamma)$. This checks with Gottfried (1966), p.52.

(c) It is seen explicitly from our expressions for T and R , that they have poles at $k = i\gamma/2$, and $\langle x | \psi \rangle \sim e^{-\gamma|x|/2}$. From problem 22 (Chapter 2), we see that the Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} - \frac{\gamma\hbar^2}{2m} \delta(x)\psi = -|E|\psi \quad (8)$$

has solutions of form $\psi = Ae^{-kx}$ ($x>0$) and $\psi = Ae^{+kx}$ ($x<0$) with $k = ik = i(\frac{2m|E|}{\hbar^2})^{1/2}$,

and satisfy

$$\left. \frac{d\psi}{dx} \right|_{0^+} - \left. \frac{d\psi}{dx} \right|_{0^-} = -\gamma\psi(0). \quad (9)$$

Eq.(9) implies that $k = \gamma/2$ (or $k = i\gamma/2$) thus $\psi \propto e^{-\gamma|x|/2}$ in agreement with the discussion of T and R and bound state poles when k is treated as a complex variable.

2. (a) From (7.1.33) - (7.1.36), we have $\langle \vec{x} | \psi^{(+)} \rangle = (1/2\pi)^{3/2} [e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} + f(\vec{k}', \vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}' \cdot \vec{x}}]$ and the differential cross-section $d\sigma/d\Omega = |f(\vec{k}, \vec{k}')|^2$ where $f(\vec{k}, \vec{k}')$ in the first Born approximation is given by (7.2.2)

$$f^{(1)}(\vec{k}', \vec{k}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} (2m/\hbar^2) \int d^3x' e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{x}'} V(\vec{x}'). \quad (1)$$

Hence

$$d\sigma/d\Omega = (\pi^2/4\pi^2\hbar^4) \int dx' dx'' e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{x}'} V(\vec{x}') e^{-i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{x}''} V(\vec{x}'') \quad (2)$$

and

$$\sigma = (\pi^2/4\pi^2\hbar^4) \int d\Omega_k e^{-i\vec{k}' \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')} \int dx' dx'' e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')} V(\vec{x}') V(\vec{x}''). \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Now } \int d\Omega_k e^{-ik' \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')} = 2\pi \int_{-1}^{+1} e^{ik|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''| \cos\theta} d(\cos\theta) = 4\pi \sin k|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''| / k|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|,$$

where θ is angle between \vec{k}' and $\vec{x}'' - \vec{x}'$, and $|k'| = |\vec{k}'| = k$.

We now average over all incident beam direction \vec{k} (assuming that V is spherically symmetric), than

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma} &= \frac{m^2}{\pi k^4} \int d\vec{x}' d\vec{x}'' \frac{\sin k|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|}{k|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|} V(|\vec{x}'|) V(|\vec{x}''|) \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega_k e^{ik \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')} \\ &= \frac{m^2}{\pi k^4} \int d\vec{x}' d\vec{x}'' V(r') V(r'') \sin^2 k|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''| / k^2 |\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(b) Let us now apply the optical theorem for $\vec{k} = \vec{k}'$ (forward scattering) given by (7.3.9) in the second-order Born approximation (7.2.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{tot.}} &= \frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im} \{ f_B^{(2)}(\vec{k} = \vec{k}') \} \\ &= \text{Im} \left(\frac{4\pi}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{2m}{k^2} \right)^2 \int d^3x' \int d^3x'' e^{-ik \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')} e^{ik|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|} V(\vec{x}') V(\vec{x}'') / |\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since (5) is dependent on the angle between \vec{k} and $(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')$, we need to take an average over all \vec{k} direction, i.e. $\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega_k e^{-ik \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')}$ must be computed. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}_{\text{tot.}} &= \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{m^2}{\pi k^4} \int d^3x' \int d^3x'' \frac{\sin k|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|}{k|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|} \frac{e^{ik|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|}}{|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|} V(r') V(r'') \right\} \\ &= \frac{m^2}{\pi k^4} \int d^3x' \int d^3x'' V(r') V(r'') \sin^2 k|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''| / k^2 |\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This is the same as (4) and again V is assumed to be spherically symmetric.

From (7.6.35) and (7.6.34), and the method of partial waves (7.6.50), we have

$$\beta_L = \left(\frac{rdA_L}{A_L dr} \right)_{r=R}, \tan \delta_L = (krj'_L(kR) - \beta_L j_L(kR)) / (krn'_L(kR) - \beta_L n_L(kR)) \text{ and } \sigma_{\text{tot.}} =$$

$$\frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sum_{L=0}^{L=kR} (2L+1) \sin^2 \delta_L.$$

Again from (7.6.36) - (7.6.38), we have for the radial wave function $A_L = u_L/r$, $u_L'' + [k'^2 - L(L+1)/r^2]u_L = 0$ where $k'^2 = 2m(E-V_0)/\hbar^2$, and $u_L = 0$ at $r=0$.

So our solution is $u_L(k'r) = rj_L(k'r)$ or $A_L(k'r) = j_L(k'r)$, hence $\beta_L = k'rj'_L(k'R) / j_L(k'R)$. Since $kR \ll 1$, and $|V_0| \ll E = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$, therefore $k'R \ll 1$.

But from the general recursion for $j_L(kR)$, we have $j'_L(k'R) = Lj_L(k'R) / k'R -$

$j_{l+1}(k'R)$. Hence

$$\beta_L = l - (k'R) j_{l+1}(k'R) / j_l(k'R) = l - (k'R)^2 / (2l+3). \quad (1)$$

Our expression for $\tan\delta_L$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tan\delta_L &= \frac{(k'R)^2 j_l(kR) - (2l+3)(kR) j_{l+1}(kR)}{(k'R)^2 n_l(kR) - (2l+3)(kR) n_{l+1}(kR)} \\ &\approx \frac{(k'^2 - k^2) R^2 l! (kR)^{l+1} (l+1)!}{(2l+1)! (2l+3)! (kR)^{-l-1}} \\ &= \frac{-2mV_0 R^2}{\hbar^2 (2l+3)} [2l!/(2l+1)!]^2 (kR)^{2l+1} = \sin\delta_L \approx \delta_L. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Clearly only S-wave ($l=0$) will have significant contribution to total scattering, hence

$$\sigma_{\text{tot.}} \approx \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sin^2 \delta_0 = \frac{16\pi}{9\hbar^4} m^2 V_0^2 R^6. \quad (3)$$

If the energy is raised slightly, we must take into account δ_1 contribution.

From (2) above we have $\delta_1 = -2mV_0 R^2 (kR)^3 / 45\hbar^2$. Now from (7.6.17) we have

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{k} (e^{i\delta_0} \sin\delta_0 + 3e^{i\delta_1} \sin\delta_1 P_1(\cos\theta) + \dots), \quad (4)$$

hence $|f(\theta)|^2 = (1/k^2) \sin^2 \delta_0 + \frac{3}{k^2} (e^{i(\delta_1 - \delta_0)} + e^{-i(\delta_0 - \delta_1)}) \sin\delta_1 \sin\delta_0 \cos\theta + \dots$ or

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(\theta)|^2 = A + B \cos\theta \approx \sin^2 \delta_0 / k^2 + 6 \cos(\delta_1 - \delta_0) \sin\delta_1 \sin\delta_0 \cos\theta / k^2.$$

We see therefore that $B/A = 6 \sin\delta_1 \sin\delta_0 \cos(\delta_1 - \delta_0) / \sin^2 \delta_0 \approx 6 \sin\delta_1 / \sin\delta_0$ and using (2) $B/A = \frac{2}{5} (kR)^2$ where we have set $\cos(\delta_1 - \delta_0) = 1$.

(a) Let's take

$$f_k^{(1)}(\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2l+1)}{k} e^{i\delta_l} \sin\delta_l P_l(\cos\theta) = - \frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu} \frac{1}{2k^2 [(1+\mu^2/2k^2) - \cos\theta]}$$

and denote $\xi = \cos\theta$, $\zeta = 1+\mu^2/2k^2 > 1$ (for $\mu > 0$). Then we know how to expand

$$1/(\zeta - \xi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l P_l(\xi) \text{ in the domain } -1 \leq \xi \leq 1 \text{ where } a_l = \frac{(2l+1)!}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{P_l(\xi) d\xi}{\zeta - \xi} =$$

$(2l+1)Q_l(\zeta)$ (and we have in mind the orthogonality of the Legendre Polynomials
 $\int_{-1}^{+1} d\xi P_l(\xi) P_m(\xi) = \frac{2}{(2l+1)} \delta_{lm}$).

Again let us rewrite $f_k^{(1)}(\theta)$ as

$$f_k^{(1)}(\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2l+1)}{2ik} (e^{2i\delta_{l-1}}) P_l(\xi) \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2l+1)}{k} \left[\frac{-mV_0 Q_l(\zeta)}{\hbar^2 \mu k} \right] P_l(\xi).$$

Hence compare coefficient of $P_l(\xi)$ on both sides, we have

$$(e^{2i\delta_{l-1}})/2i = \frac{-mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu k} Q_l(\zeta).$$

Assume $|\delta_l| \ll 1$ than $e^{2i\delta_{l-1}} \approx 2i\delta_l$, and we find

$$\delta_l \approx -\frac{mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu k} Q_l(\zeta) = -\frac{mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu k} \sqrt{2(\zeta-1)} Q_l(\zeta).$$

(b) (i) Obviously from above we can write $\delta_l = -V_0 K_l(\zeta)$ with

$$K_l(\zeta) = \frac{m}{\hbar^2 \mu^2} \sqrt{2(\zeta-1)} Q_l(\zeta) > 0, \quad (\zeta > 1).$$

This is evident from the explicit expansion form for $Q_l(\zeta)$ (or at least $K_l(\zeta) > 0$ for $k \rightarrow 0$). Hence we see for repulsive potential $V_0 > 0$: $\delta_l = -V_0 K_l(\zeta) < 0$, and for attractive potential $V_0 < 0$: $\delta_l = -V_0 K_l(\zeta) > 0$.

(ii) Now the deBroglie wave length $\lambda = h/p = 2\pi\hbar/p = 2\pi/k$ (or $\tilde{x} = 1/k$), while the range of the potential $R = 1/\mu$. Hence $\tilde{x}/R \gg 1$ implies $\eta = \mu/k \gg 1$. Hence $1/\zeta = 1/[1 + \zeta(\mu/k)^2] \approx 2(k/\mu)^2 = 2\eta^{-2}$ and therefore the polynomial $K_l(\zeta)$ will be reduced to $K_l(\eta)$ as follows

$$K_l(\zeta) \approx \frac{m}{\hbar^2 \mu^2} \eta \frac{l!}{(2l+1)!!} [(2\eta^{-2})^{l+1} + \dots].$$

This gives the approximate form for δ_l in terms of η as follows:

$$\delta_l \approx -\frac{mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu^2} \cdot \frac{2^{l+1} l!}{(2l+1)!!} \eta^{-2l-1} = -\frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu^2} \cdot \frac{(2l)!!}{(2l+1)!!} \eta^{2l+1}. \quad (1)$$

According to Gottfried (1966) [p.124, Eq. (17)] for small δ_l

$$\delta_l^{(k)} \underset{l \gg k}{\approx} \tan \delta_l = -\frac{k^{2l+1}}{[(2l+1)!!]^2} \int_0^\infty r^{2l+2} U(r) dr \quad (2)$$

where $U(r) = (2m/\hbar^2)V(r) = (2mV_0/\hbar^2)e^{-\mu r}/\mu r$. Since $\int_0^\infty p^{2l+1}e^{-p}dp = (2l+1)!$ (remember $\int_0^\infty x^n e^{-x}dx = n!$), we have

$$\delta_l \approx -\frac{k^{2l+1} \cdot 2^{2l} \cdot (l!)^2}{[(2l+1)!]^2} \left(\frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu^{2l+3}} \int_0^\infty e^{-p} p^{2l+1} dp \right)_{p=\mu r}.$$

We notice that $1/(2l+1)! = 2^l l!/(2l+1)!$, hence

$$\delta_l \approx -\frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu^{2l+3}} \cdot \frac{(2^l l!)^2}{(2l+1)!} k^{2l+1} = -\frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2 \mu^{2l+3}} \frac{(2l)!!}{(2l+1)!!} k^{2l+1}, \quad (3)$$

and (3) is the same as (1) above obtained using the $Q_l(\zeta)$ expansion formula.

The ground state wave function for the hard sphere can be written as $\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = Y_{00}(\theta, \phi)R(r) \equiv (1/4\pi)^{1/2}x(r)/r$, where $x(r)$ obeys the equation $(-\hbar^2/2m)d^2x/dr^2 = E_0 x$ ($r < a$) and $x(r) = 0$ for $r > a$. Thus $x(r) = Asinar + Bcosar$ for $r < a$, with $a = [\hbar^2/(2mE_0)]^{1/2}$. The requirement that $R(r)$ be finite at $r=0$ demands that $B = 0$. At the boundary $r=a$, $x(a)=0$. Thus we impose $aa = \pi$ or $E_0 = \hbar^2(\pi/a)^2$. The normalization constant A is fixed by $\int \psi^* \psi r^2 d\phi d\cos\theta dr = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty 4\pi x^2(r) ar = 1$, or $A^2 \int_0^a \sin^2 ar dr = 1$. This in turn implies $A = \sqrt{2a/\pi} = [\hbar^2/\pi^2 a^2]^{1/2} = \sqrt{2/a}$.

Now we check explicitly the uncertainty relation in $x - p_x$, $(\Delta x)^2 = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2$ but $\langle x^2 \rangle = \int r^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi |\psi|^2 r^2 d\phi d\cos\theta dr = \frac{1}{2a\pi} \cdot \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_0^a r^2 \sin^2 ar dr = \frac{1}{3a^2} [\pi^2/3 - \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\langle x \rangle = 0$. On the other hand $\langle p_x^2 \rangle = -\hbar^2 \int \psi^* \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi dr$, now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{1/2} \sqrt{2/a}} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (\sin ar/r) = \sqrt{1/2a\pi} \left\{ \frac{d}{dx} \left[-\frac{\sin ar}{r^2} + \frac{a \cos ar}{r} \right] \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} \right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2a\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left[\frac{2 \sin ar}{r^3} - \frac{2a \cos ar}{r^2} - \frac{a^2 \sin ar}{r} \right] \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2a\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left[-\frac{\sin ar}{r^2} + \frac{a \cos ar}{r} \right] \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial x^2} \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_x^2 \rangle &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2a\pi} \int \left[\frac{\sin^2 ar}{r^4} (2-a^2 r^2) - \frac{2a \sin ar \cos ar}{r^3} \right] (\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi) r^2 d\phi d\cos\theta dr \\ &\quad - \frac{\hbar^2}{2a\pi} \int \left[-\frac{\sin^2 ar}{r^3} + \frac{a \sin ar \cos ar}{r^2} \right] \frac{1}{r} (1 - \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi) r^2 d\phi d\cos\theta dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2a\pi} \cdot \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_0^a \left[\frac{\sin^2 ar}{r^2} (2-a^2 r^2) - \frac{2a \sin ar \cos ar}{r} \right] dr \\
 &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2a\pi} \cdot \frac{8\pi}{3} \int_0^a \left[-\frac{\sin^2 ar}{r^2} + \frac{a \sin ar \cos ar}{r} \right] dr = +\frac{2\hbar^2 a^2}{3a} \int_0^a \sin^2 ar dr = \frac{a^2}{3} \hbar^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

It can be readily seen that $\langle p_x \rangle = 0$, thus we have

$$(\Delta x)^2 (\Delta p_x)^2 = \frac{1}{9} [\pi^2/3 - \frac{1}{3}] \hbar^2 \approx \hbar^2/4,$$

which is consistent with the Heisenberg uncertainty relation.

6. (a) The full wave function for $r>a$ can be written in partial wave analysis as

$$\langle \vec{x} | \psi^{(+)} \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \frac{3}{2} \sum_l l (2l+1) A_l(r) P_l(\cos\theta)$$

with $A_l = c_l^{(1)} h_l^{(1)}(kr) + c_l^{(2)} h_l^{(2)}(kr)$ where $h_l^{(1)}$ and $h_l^{(2)}$ are the Hankel functions of the first and second kind, respectively. When we consider large r behavior, we have (c.f. (7.6.33)):

$$A_l(r) = e^{i\delta_l} [j_l(kr) \cos \delta_l - n_l(kr) \sin \delta_l].$$

Asymptotically $h_l^{(1)} \rightarrow e^{i(kr-i\pi/2)}/ikr$, $h_l^{(2)} \rightarrow e^{-i(kr-i\pi/2)}/ikr$, while the large r behavior of $\langle \vec{x} | \psi^{(+)} \rangle$ is (from (7.6.8) and (7.6.16))

$$\langle \vec{x} | \psi^{(+)} \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \frac{3}{2} \sum_l l (2l+1) [e^{2i\delta_l} e^{ikr}/2ikr - e^{-i(kr-i\pi)/2ikr} P_l(\cos\theta)].$$

So clearly $c_l^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} e^{2i\delta_l}$ and $c_l^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus

$$A_l(r) = e^{i\delta_l} [\cos \delta_l j_l(kr) - \sin \delta_l n_l(kr)].$$

For hard sphere, the boundary condition at $r=a$ is $A_l(r)|_{r=a} = 0$ because the sphere is impenetrable. This means $j_l(ka) \cos \delta_l - n_l(ka) \sin \delta_l = 0$ or $\tan \delta_l = j_l(ka)/n_l(ka)$. For $l=0$ $\tan \delta_0 = \frac{\sin(ka)/ka}{-\cos(ka)/ka} = -\tan(ka)$ or $\delta_0 = -ka$.

(b) We have $f(\theta) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) e^{i\delta_l} \sin \delta_l P_l(\cos\theta)$ and in the limit when $k \rightarrow 0$, the $l=0$ partial wave dominates the scattering. Thus $f(\theta) = \frac{1}{k} e^{-ika} \sin(ka)$, and knowing that $d\sigma/d\Omega = |f(\theta)|^2$ we have for the total cross section $\sigma = \int \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right) d\Omega = \int |f(\theta)|^2 d\Omega = \int \frac{1}{k^2} \sin^2(ka) d\Omega = 4\pi \sin^2(ka)/k^2 \approx 4\pi a^2$.

Classically, the "geometric cross section" is πa^2 . By "geometric cross sec-

"section" we mean the area of the disc of radius a that blocks the propagation of the plane wave (and has the same cross section area as that of a hard sphere). Low energy scattering of course means a very large wave length scattering and we do not necessarily expect a classically reasonable result.

7. (a) For the Gaussian potential (c.f. (7.4.14)), we have $\Delta_G(b) \equiv \frac{-m}{2k\hbar^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(\sqrt{b^2+z^2}) dz$

where $V(r) = V_0 e^{-r^2/a^2}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_G(b) &= \frac{-mV_0}{2k\hbar^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(b^2+z^2)/a^2} dz \\ &= \frac{-mV_0}{2k\hbar^2} e^{-(b/a)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(z/a)^2} dz = \frac{-\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{mV_0 a}{k\hbar^2} e^{-(b/a)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since we are given that $\delta_{\ell}^G = \Delta(b)|_{b=\ell/k}$, hence

$$\delta_{\ell}^G = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{mV_0 a}{k\hbar^2} e^{-(\ell/ka)^2}$$

- (b) For the Yukawa potential $V(r) = V_0 e^{-\mu r}/\mu r$, we have

$$\Delta_Y(b) = -\frac{mV_0}{2k\hbar^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu r} e^{-\mu r} \Big|_{r=\sqrt{b^2+z^2}} dz = -\frac{mV_0}{2k\hbar^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu\sqrt{b^2+z^2}}}{\mu(b^2+z^2)^{1/2}} dz.$$

The integral (remembering $r^2 = b^2 + z^2$)

$$\begin{aligned}I &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu(b^2+z^2)^{1/2}}}{(b^2+z^2)^{1/2}} dz = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu(b^2+z^2)^{1/2}}}{(b^2+z^2)^{1/2}} dz = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu r} r dr}{r (r^2 - b^2)^{1/2}} \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu r} dr}{(r^2 - b^2)^{1/2}} = 2K_0(\mu b)\end{aligned}$$

where K_0 is the modified Bessel function. Thus

$$\Delta_Y(b) = -\frac{mV_0}{2k\hbar^2} \frac{2K_0(\mu b)}{\mu} = -\frac{mV_0}{\mu k\hbar^2} K_0(\mu b)$$

hence $\delta_{\ell}^Y = \Delta_Y(b)|_{b=\ell/k}$ assumes value

$$\delta_{\ell}^Y = -\frac{mV_0}{\mu k\hbar^2} K_0(\mu \ell/k).$$

In case of Gaussian potential $\delta_{\ell}^G \propto e^{-(\ell/ka)^2}$, and as ℓ increases $\delta_{\ell}^G \rightarrow 0$ very

rapidly as $e^{-\ell^2/k^2 a^2}$. In the case of the Yukawa potential $\delta_\ell^Y \propto K_0(\mu\ell/k)$, for $\ell \gg k/\mu$ ($R \sim 1/\mu$) we have $K_0(\mu\ell/k) \sim \sqrt{\pi/2} (k/\mu\ell)^{1/2} e^{-\mu\ell/k}$ thus δ_ℓ^Y also goes to zero very rapidly as ℓ increases.

8. (a) From (7.1.11) and (7.1.12), we have

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \langle \vec{x} | \frac{1}{E - E_0 + i\epsilon} | \vec{x}' \rangle = G_+(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{+ik|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|}}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}. \quad (1)$$

This Green's function turns out to be the out-going wave solution to the Helmholtz equation:

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) G_+(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'). \quad (2)$$

To solve this equation, first notice that the δ -function in spherical coordinates can be represented as

$$\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') = \frac{1}{r^2} \delta(r - r') \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}^*(\theta', \phi') Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi). \quad (3)$$

Expanding the Green's function in spherical harmonics, we have

$$G_+(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') = - \sum_{\ell, m} g_\ell(r, r') Y_{\ell m}^*(\theta', \phi') Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi). \quad (4)$$

Substitute (3) and (4) into (2), we are led to an equation for $g_\ell(r, r')$

$$[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} + k^2 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}] g_\ell = -\frac{1}{r^2} \delta(r - r'). \quad (5)$$

The boundary conditions are that g_ℓ be finite at the origin and infinity. This in turn requires that

$$g_\ell(r, r') = A j_\ell(kr_<) h_\ell^{(1)}(kr_>). \quad (6)$$

When we match the discontinuity in slope (at $r=r'$), we find

$$A = +ik. \quad (7)$$

Thus the expansion of the Green's function is

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \langle \vec{x} | \frac{1}{E - E_0 + i\epsilon} | \vec{x}' \rangle = -ik \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} j_\ell(kr_<) h_\ell^{(1)}(kr_>) \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}^*(\theta', \phi') Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) \quad (8)$$

(b) In \vec{x} - representation

$$\langle \vec{x} | E_{lm}(+) \rangle = \langle \vec{x} | E_{lm} \rangle + \int d^3x' d^3x'' \langle \vec{x}' | \frac{1}{E - H_0 + i\epsilon} | \vec{x}' \rangle \langle \vec{x}' | V | \vec{x}'' \rangle \langle \vec{x}'' | E_{lm}(+) \rangle \quad (9)$$

where $\langle \vec{x} | \frac{1}{E - H_0 + i\epsilon} | \vec{x}' \rangle$ can be evaluated from (8), and (c.f. (7.5.21b)) $\langle \vec{x} | E_{lm} \rangle =$

$\frac{i^l}{\hbar} \sqrt{2mk/\pi} j_l(kr) Y_l^m(\hat{r})$ while we write $\langle \vec{x} | E_{lm}(+) \rangle \equiv \frac{i^l}{\hbar} \sqrt{2mk/\pi} A_l(k;r) Y_l^m(\hat{r})$. Assume

that the potential is local, i.e. $\langle \vec{x}' | V | \vec{x}'' \rangle = V(\vec{x}') \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')$, then (9) can be rewritten as

$$A_l(k;r) Y_l^m(\hat{r}) = j_l(kr) Y_l^m(\hat{r}) - \frac{2mik}{\hbar^2} \int d^3x' d^3x'' \langle \vec{x}' | \sum_m Y_l^m(\hat{r}) Y_l^{m*}(\hat{r}') Y_l^m(\hat{r}'') \times j_l(kr') h_l^{(1)}(kr') V(\vec{x}') \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'') A_l(k;r') \rangle. \quad (10)$$

The second term on r.h.s. of (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2mik}{\hbar^2} \int d^3x' \sum_m Y_l^m(\hat{r}) Y_l^{m*}(\hat{r}') Y_l^m(\hat{r}'') j_l(kr') h_l^{(1)}(kr') V(\vec{x}') A_l(k;r') \\ &= \frac{2mik}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty r'^2 dr' \sum_m Y_l^m(\hat{r}) \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} j_l(kr') h_l^{(1)}(kr') V(\vec{x}') A_l(k;r') \\ &= \frac{2mik}{\hbar^2} Y_l^m(\hat{r}) \int_0^\infty r'^2 dr' j_l(kr') h_l^{(1)}(kr') V(r') A_l(k;r'). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Thus

$$A_l(k;r) = j_l(kr) - \frac{2mik}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty j_l(kr') h_l^{(1)}(kr') V(r') A_l(k;r') r'^2 dr'. \quad (12)$$

As $r \rightarrow \infty$, it is clear that we should identify r' as r , and $r' = r'$. But from (7.6.7) and (A.5.19), we have

$$j_l(kr) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{e^{i(kr-l\pi/2)} - e^{-i(kr-l\pi/2)}}{2ikr}, \quad h_l^{(1)}(kr) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{e^{i(kr-(l+1)\pi/2)}}{kr}. \quad (13)$$

So from (12)

$$A_l(k;r) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{i^{-l}}{2ik} \left[1 - \frac{4mik}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty j_l(kr') A_l(k;r') V(r') r'^2 dr' \right] \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} - \frac{e^{-i(kr-l\pi)}}{r}. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, for sufficiently large r , there are only the plane incoming wave and the spherical outgoing wave, with scattering amplitude $f(\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) f_l(k) P_l(\cos\theta)$. The l^{th} partial wave $f_l(k)$ contributes to $A_l(k;r)$

[c.f. (7.6.8)] as

$$A_\ell(k; r) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{i^{-\ell}}{2ik} \left\{ [1 + 2ikf_\ell(k)] \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} - \frac{e^{-i(kr-\ell\pi)}}{r} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

Comparing (14) and (15) and noting (c.f. (7.6.14) and (7.6.15)) that $S_\ell \equiv e^{2i\delta_\ell}$
 $= 1 + 2ikf_\ell(k)$, we have

$$f_\ell(k) = \frac{e^{i\delta_\ell} \sin \delta_\ell}{k} = -(2m/\hbar^2) \int_0^\infty j_\ell(kr) A_\ell(k; r) V(r) r^2 dr. \quad (16)$$

9. (a) From (7.6.29), the scattering wave is $\langle \vec{x} | \psi(+) \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\ell} (2\ell+1) A_\ell(r) \times P_\ell(\cos\theta)$ and $A_\ell(r)$ satisfies (c.f. (7.6.36)) $u''_\ell + (k^2 - \frac{2mV(r)}{\hbar^2} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}) u_\ell(r) = 0$ with $u_\ell(r) = r A_\ell$. For S-wave and $(2m/\hbar^2)V(r) = \gamma \delta(r-R)$, we consider $\ell=0$ only. Hence $u''_0 + (k^2 - \gamma \delta(r-R)) u_0 = 0$ and for $r < R$ the solution can be written as $u_0(r) = B_0 r s \text{inkr}/kr$ while for $r > R$ (using (7.6.33) and (7.6.45)) we have $u_0(r) = r e^{i\delta_0} \sin(kr + \delta_0)/kr$. These two solutions must match at $r=R$, i.e. $u_0|_{r=R+} = u_0|_{r=R-} = u_0(R)$ while $u'_0|_{r=R+} - u'_0|_{r=R-} = \gamma u_0(R)$. Therefore

$$\frac{r e^{i\delta_0} \sin(kr + \delta_0)}{kr} = \frac{B_0 R \sin(kR)}{kr}$$

$$e^{i\delta_0} \cos(kR + \delta_0) - B_0 \cos(kR) = \frac{\gamma B_0 \sin(kR)}{k}. \quad (1)$$

Solving (1) for $\tan \delta_0$, we have

$$\tan \delta_0 = \frac{(-\gamma/k) \sin^2(kR)}{1 + (\gamma/k) \sin(kR) \cos(kR)}. \quad (2)$$

(b) Assume $\gamma \gg 1/R$, k , from (1) we obtain

$$\tan(kR + \delta_0) = \frac{\sin(kR)}{\cos(kR) + (\gamma/k) \sin(kR)} \approx \frac{\tan(kR)}{(\gamma/k) \tan(kR)} = \frac{k}{\gamma} \ll 1, \quad (3)$$

thus $-kR \approx \delta_0$, and this resembles the hard sphere scattering (7.6.44). Again from (2) above we have

$$\cot \delta_0 = 0 \text{ when } 1 + (\gamma/k) \sin(kR) \cos(kR) = 0 \quad (4)$$

i.e. $\sin(2kR) = -2k/\gamma \approx 0$. Ostensibly we have solutions $(kR)_r \approx n\pi$, $(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi$, but $(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi$ is eliminated since $\cot\delta_0$ then goes through zero from below (negative side). So we write $k_r R \approx n\pi$ (where $d\cot\delta_0/dk < 0$ as k increases) and $k_r R = n\pi - \epsilon$, $\epsilon \ll 1$. Hence $\sin(2k_r R) = -\sin(2\epsilon) = -2k/\gamma$, and $\epsilon \approx k/\gamma$ to first order, and $k_r R = n\pi - k/\gamma$ as the resonance condition. The resonance energy is

$$E_r = \frac{\hbar^2 k_r^2}{2m} \approx \frac{\hbar^2 n^2 \pi^2}{2m R^2} (1 - 2/R\gamma). \quad (5)$$

For a particle confined inside potential $V = 0$, $r < R$, and $V = \infty$ for $r > R$ and in S-wave, we have $u'' + k^2 u = 0$ where $u(0) = 0$ and $u(R) = 0$. Solution is $u(r) = A\sin(kr)$ ($0 \leq r \leq R$) and from boundary condition $kR = n\pi$, bound state energies are $E_b = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} = \frac{\hbar^2 n^2 \pi^2}{2m R^2}$. Hence from (5), we have

$$E_r \approx E_b (1 - 2/R\gamma). \quad (6)$$

Finally from (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\cot\delta_0)/dE &= (d(\cot\delta_0)/dk)(dk/dE) \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma \sin^4(kR)} [R\cos(kR)(k + \gamma \sin(2kR)/2)\sin(kR) - (1 + \gamma R\cos(kR))\sin^2(kR)] \frac{m}{\hbar^2 k}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

At $E = E_r$, since $k_r R \approx n\pi(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma R})$, $\sin^2(k_r R) \approx (n\pi/\gamma R)^2$ and $\cos(2k_r R) \approx 1$, we have from (7)

$$\Gamma = -2/[d(\cot\delta_0)/dE] \Big|_{E=E_r} \approx \frac{2\hbar^2 (n\pi)^3}{m \gamma^2 R^4}. \quad (8)$$

Notice that because of the $1/\gamma^2$ dependence in (8), $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ as γ becomes large, thus the resonances become extremely sharp.

Assume that initially ($t=0$) the particle is in an eigenstate $|i\rangle$. The potential $V(\vec{r}, t) = V(\vec{r})\cos\omega t$ is turned on at $t=0$. Take the perturbation expansion of the state amplitudes $c_n(t)$ up to first order $c_n(t) = c_n^{(0)}(t) + c_n^{(1)}(t) + \dots$. Then obviously $c_n^{(0)}(t) = \delta_{ni}$. Let the final state be $|f\rangle$, then

$$c_f^{(1)}(t) = (-i/\hbar) \int_0^t V_{fi}(\vec{r}) \cos\omega t' e^{i\omega f t'} dt', \quad (1)$$

where $V_{fi}(\vec{r}) \equiv \langle f | V(\vec{r}) | i \rangle$ and $\omega_{fi} = (E_f - E_i)/\hbar$. Integrate (1) gives

$$c_f^{(1)}(t) = \frac{V_{fi}}{2\hbar} \left[\frac{1-e^{i(\omega+\omega_{fi})t}}{(\omega+\omega_{fi})} + \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{fi}-\omega)t}}{(-\omega+\omega_{fi})} \right]. \quad (2)$$

Obviously, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $|c_f^{(1)}|^2$ is appreciable only if

$$(i) \omega_{fi} + \omega \approx 0 \text{ or } E_f \approx E_i - \hbar\omega$$

$$(ii) \omega_{fi} - \omega \approx 0 \text{ or } E_f \approx E_i + \hbar\omega. \quad (3)$$

The transition rate is then

$$w_{i \rightarrow f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |V_{fi}|^2 \{\rho(E_f)|_{E_f=E_i-\hbar\omega} + \rho(E_f)|_{E_f=E_i+\hbar\omega}\}. \quad (4)$$

Using box normalization (c.f. (7.11.23)), we have

$$\rho(E_f) = n^2 dn/dE_f = (L/2\pi)^3 k_f^3/\hbar^2, \quad (5)$$

where k_f is the momentum of the final state. On the other hand, the incident flux \vec{j} (c.f. (7.11.26)) is $|\vec{j}| = \hbar k_i/mL^3$. From (7.11.25) we know that the transition rate $w_{i \rightarrow f} = (\text{incident flux}) \times (d\sigma/d\Omega) d\Omega$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} &= \left(\frac{mL^3}{\hbar k_i}\right) \left(\frac{2\pi}{\hbar}\right) \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^3 \frac{m}{\hbar^2} |\langle f | V(\vec{r}) | i \rangle|^2 \{k_f|_{E_f=E_i-\hbar\omega} + k_f|_{E_f=E_i+\hbar\omega}\} \\ &= \frac{m^2}{4\pi^2 \hbar^4} \int d\Omega \int d\vec{r} V(\vec{r}) e^{i(\vec{k}_f - \vec{k}_i) \cdot \vec{r}} |^2 \times \frac{1}{k_i} \{(k_i^2 - 2m\omega/\hbar)^{1/2} + (k_i^2 + 2m\omega/\hbar)^{1/2}\} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where initial and final states are assumed to be plane waves and momentum of the two final states are related by $E_f = \hbar^2 k_f^2 / 2m = E_i \pm \hbar\omega = \hbar^2 k_i^2 / 2m \pm \hbar\omega$.

Since

$$c_f^{(2)} = (-i/\hbar)^2 \sum_m \int_0^t dt' e^{i\omega_{fm} t'} V_{fm}(t') \int_0^{t'} dt'' e^{i\omega_{mi} t''} V_{mi}(t''), \quad (7)$$

similar to (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_f^{(2)}(t) &= \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^2 \sum_m \int_0^t dt' e^{i\omega_{fm} t'} V_{fm} \cos \omega t' \cdot V_{mi} \left[\frac{1-e^{i(\omega+\omega_{mi})t'}}{\omega+\omega_{mi}} + \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{mi}-\omega)t'}}{-\omega+\omega_{mi}} \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^2 \sum_m V_{fm} V_{mi} \left(\frac{1}{\omega+\omega_{mi}} + \frac{1}{-\omega+\omega_{mi}} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{1-e^{i(\omega+\omega_{fm})t}}{\omega+\omega_{fm}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{fm}-\omega)t}}{-\omega + \omega_{fm}}] + \frac{(-1)}{\omega + \omega_{mi}} \cdot \left[\frac{1-e^{i(2\omega + \omega_{mi} + \omega_{fm})t}}{2\omega + \omega_{mi} + \omega_{fm}} + \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{mi} + \omega_{fm})t}}{\omega_{mi} + \omega_{fm}} \right] \\
 & + \frac{-1}{-\omega + \omega_{mi}} \cdot \left[\frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{fm} + \omega_{mi} + \omega)t}}{\omega + \omega_{fm} + \omega_{mi}} + \frac{1-e^{i(\omega_{fm} + \omega_{mi} - \omega)t}}{-\omega + \omega_{fm} + \omega_{mi}} \right] \}. \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the square brackets of (8) we see that these terms contribute only if the denominators are close to zero, which means

$$\omega_f \approx \omega_m \pm \omega, \quad \omega_f \approx \omega_i - 2\omega, \quad \omega_f \approx \omega_i, \quad \omega_f \approx \omega_i \pm \omega. \tag{9}$$

The $\omega_f \approx \omega_i \pm \omega$ last condition of (9) is the same as in the first order transition (3) whereas the other three conditions are new. In particular, there can be a "second harmonic" generation where $\omega_i - \omega_f \approx 2\omega$.

Furthermore, the first condition ($\omega_f \approx \omega_m \pm \omega$) of (9) implies that the intermediate states that are $\pm \omega$ away from the final state $|f\rangle$ will contribute most among all intermediate states.

These observations can be generalized to even higher order perturbations. For example, in 3rd order perturbation we expect to see a "third harmonic" transition with $\omega_f \approx \omega_i \pm 3\omega$.

11. The potential for the elastic scattering of a fast electron by the ground state of the hydrogen atom is

$$V = -e^2/r + e^2/|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|, \quad r = |\vec{x}|. \tag{1}$$

So the matrix element for elastic scattering is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \vec{k}'0 | V | \vec{k}0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{L} \int d^3x e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}} \langle 0 | \frac{-e^2}{r} + \frac{e^2}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} | 0 \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{L} \int d^3x e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}} \int d^3x' \psi_0^*(\vec{x}') \left[\frac{-e^2}{r} + \frac{e^2}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} \right] \psi_0(\vec{x}') \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{q} = \vec{k}' - \vec{k}$.

As explained in section 7.12, the first term in V does not contribute to the $\int d^3x'$ integration, and from Eq. (7.12.10)

$$\int d^3\vec{x} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} / r = 4\pi/q^2. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, after shifting the coordinate variable $\vec{x} + \vec{x}' + \vec{x}''$, we have

$$\int d^3\vec{x} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}} / |\vec{x}-\vec{x}'| = \frac{4\pi}{q^2} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}'} \quad (4)$$

so

$$\langle \vec{k}' | v | \vec{k} \rangle = \frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2} [-\langle 0 | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}'} | 0 \rangle] L^{-3}. \quad (5)$$

Notice that $\langle 0 | 0 \rangle = 1$, and $\langle \vec{x} | 0 \rangle = (1/a_0)^{3/2} 2e^{-r/a_0} Y_{\infty} = \frac{2}{(4\pi)} \frac{1}{a_0}^{3/2} e^{-r/a_0}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}'} | 0 \rangle &= \left(\frac{1}{a_0}\right)^3 \int_0^{+\infty} 2r^2 dr' e^{-2r'/a_0} e^{iqr' \cos\theta} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{a_0}\right)^3 \frac{4}{q} \int_0^{\infty} r' e^{-2r'/a_0} \sin qr' dr', \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\langle 0 | e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}'} | 0 \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{a_0}\right)^3 \frac{4}{q} \frac{4q/a_0}{(4/a_0^2 + q^2)^2} = \frac{16}{[4 + (qa_0)^2]^2}. \quad (6)$$

Thus

$$\langle \vec{k}' | v | \vec{k} \rangle = -\frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{16}{[4 + (qa_0)^2]^2} \right\} L^{-3}. \quad (7)$$

For the differential cross section (c.f. (7.12.6)) with $k' = k$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = L^6 \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \langle \vec{k}' | v | \vec{k} \rangle \right|^2 = \frac{4m^2 e^4}{\hbar^4 q^4} \left\{ 1 - \frac{16}{[4 + (qa_0)^2]^2} \right\}^2 \quad (8)$$

12. (See Finkelstein: Non Relativistic Mechanics (1973), p.292 for background material). Energy E is

$$E = E(J_1, J_2, J_3) \quad (1)$$

In the case of a central potential, it turns out that

$$E = E(J_r, J_\phi + J_\theta), \quad (2)$$

where

$$J_\phi = \oint P_\phi d\phi = \oint \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi} d\phi = 2\pi a_\phi$$

$$J_\theta = \oint P_\theta d\theta = \oint \frac{\partial W}{\partial \theta} d\theta = [a_\theta^2 / \sin^2 \theta]^{1/2} d\theta = 2\pi (a_\theta - a_\phi)$$

$$J_r = \int p_r dr = \int \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} dr = \int [2\mu a_1 - 2\mu V + \sigma_\theta^2/r^2]^{1/2} dr \quad (3)$$

and the function W and constants a_ϕ , a_θ , and a_1 are defined by the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$H(\partial W/\partial q_1, q_1) = a_1 = E. \quad (4)$$

Equation (2) arises because (3) gives

$$J_r = \int [2\mu E - 2\mu V(r) - (J_\theta + J_\phi)^2/4\pi^2 r^2]^{1/2} dr. \quad (5)$$

When $V(r)$ is the Coulomb potential, $V(r) = -e^2/r$, we have

$$J_r = \int [2\mu E + 2\mu e^2/r - (J_\theta + J_\phi)^2/4\pi^2 r^2]^{1/2} dr$$

and with some algebra, this integration gives (c.f. Goldstein, Classical Mechanics (1980), p.475)

$$E = -\frac{2\pi^2 \mu e^4}{(J_r + J_\theta + J_\phi)^2} = E(J_r + J_\theta + J_\phi). \quad (6)$$

Compare (2) and (3), we see that for a central potential in general, J_ϕ and J_θ always appear in the combination $(J_\theta + J_\phi)$, hence there is at least 'singly' degeneracy. On the otherhand, in the Coulomb case J_r , J_ϕ , J_θ appear always in combination $(J_r + J_\theta + J_\phi)$, hence there is at least a double degeneracy.

In the case of Coulomb potential, there is, in addition to the angular momentum \vec{L} , yet another invariance of the action \hat{A} :

$$\hat{A} = \vec{L} \cdot \vec{p} + e^2 \mu \vec{r} \quad (7)$$

which determines the direction of the major axis and the eccentricity of the conic.

If one writes for the general central potential

$$V(r) = -e^2/r + \phi(r) \quad (8)$$

then

$$\frac{d\hat{A}}{dt} = \left(\frac{-d\phi}{rdx} \right) (\vec{L} \cdot \vec{r}). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, \vec{A} precesses in general according to this equation. Consequently, the general case of motion in a central potential may be pictured in terms of a precessing conic, that also has a changing eccentricity. In terms of action and angle variables, this means that the Coulombian motion is distinguished by a single period whereas the motion of a central field problem is generally characterized by two periods which are not commensurable.

The explicit expression of \vec{A}^2 from (7) (for our classical system) is

$$\vec{A}^2 = \frac{p^2 + 2}{r} - \frac{2e^2 \mu \dot{\theta}^2}{r} + \mu^2 e^4 = 2\mu \dot{\theta}^2 \left(\frac{p^2}{2\mu} - \frac{e^2}{r} \right) + \mu^2 e^4. \quad (10)$$

Other than the last term $\mu^2 e^4$ in \vec{A}^2 of (10), the first two terms are proportional to the kinetic and potential energies of the Coulomb problem. It is thus clear that the Hamiltonian $H = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} + V(r) + F(\vec{A}^2)$ is a polynomial in $(\frac{p^2}{2\mu} - \frac{e^2}{r})$ plus the extra term $\phi(r)$ in (8). It follows that all the algebra of the Poisson brackets remain the same (as that without $F(\vec{A}^2)$), and the previous statements are still valid.

To describe quantum systems, we modify the Poisson brackets into commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_i, L_j] &= i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} L_k \\ [L_i, M_j] &= i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} M_k \\ [M_i, M_j] &= i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} L_k \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $M_1 \equiv \sqrt{1/2\mu H} A_1$ and $L_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}(L_j p_k + p_k L_j)$ such that L_1 is Hermitian. It follows that (for Hamiltonian H)

$$\vec{A}^2 = (\mu e^2)^2 + (2\mu H)(\vec{L}^2 + \vec{M}^2), \quad \vec{M}^2 = \frac{\mu^2 e^4}{-2\mu H} - \vec{L}^2 - \vec{M}^2. \quad (12)$$

These lead to

$$H = \frac{\mu e^2}{\vec{L}^2 + \vec{M}^2 + \vec{M}^2}. \quad (13)$$

Let

Modern Quantum Mechanics - Solutions

$$\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{M} + \vec{L}), \vec{K} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{M} - \vec{L}) \quad (14)$$

then one has

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}J_k, [K_i, K_j] = -i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}K_k, [K_i, J_j] = 0 \quad (15)$$

with the constraint $\vec{J}^2 = \vec{K}^2$ (c.f. also discussion in Schiff, Quantum Mechanics (1968), p.236-239). Thus $H = -\frac{\mu e^4}{(4\vec{J}^2 + \hbar^2)}$ and the possible values of \vec{J}^2 are

$j(j+1)\hbar^2$. The complete set of commuting observables can thus be chosen as \vec{J}^2 , J_z and K_z , with

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{J}^2 D_{mn}^k(\alpha\beta\gamma) &= k(k+1)\hbar^2 D_{mn}^k(\alpha\beta\gamma) \\ J_z D_{mn}^k(\alpha\beta\gamma) &= m\hbar D_{mn}^k(\alpha\beta\gamma) \\ K_z D_{mn}^k(\alpha\beta\gamma) &= n\hbar D_{mn}^k(\alpha\beta\gamma) \\ H D_{mn}^k(\alpha\beta\gamma) &= E(k) D_{mn}^k(\alpha\beta\gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\text{where } E(k) = -\frac{\mu e^4}{2\hbar^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2}.$$

In terms of the usual quantum numbers (n, l, m) we have

$$H = -\frac{\mu e^4}{2\hbar^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(2l+1)^2} \equiv -\frac{\mu e^4}{2\hbar^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}. \quad (17)$$

Thus the eigenvalues depend only on the principal quantum number n , and the number of degeneracy is

$$n^2 = (2l+1)^2 \quad (18)$$

for the Coulomb problem. The degeneracy for the central potential problem, on the other hand, is most easily seen by the (k, m, n) representation defined by Eqs. (14) - (16). While in the Coulomb problem the two commuting conserved vectors \vec{J} and \vec{K} are derived from the conserved vectors \vec{A} and \vec{L} , in the central potential problem \vec{A} is no longer a conserved vector (in general). Thus the two commuting sets of observables reduce to one, and the degeneracy reduces to

$$k = (2m+1) \quad (19)$$

Since the Schrödinger equation in x -space governing the wave function D_{mn}^k separates the same way as the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, namely, in spherical (and parabolic) coordinates, we get Laguerre functions for the spherical case.