

### **Epic: Replace Legacy Approval Workflow Engine**

# Objective

Migrate from Windows Workflow Foundation (WF) to a **home-grown, maintainable, and scalable** workflow engine that integrates cleanly with existing APIs, databases, and UIs while preserving business logic and approval processes.

## **Acceptance Criteria**

- Functional Parity: Must support existing operations:
- Submission
- · Approval/Rejection
- Withdrawal
- Approver Changes
- Heartbeat/Status
- · State Management:
- Preserve auditable transitions: Pending, Approved, Rejected, Withdrawn, Completed, Error
- API Compatibility:
- · Maintain existing API endpoints with minimal or no change
- Database Integration:
- Persist state/history in the existing schema or clearly documented schema
- Notifications:
- Maintain existing email/SMS/etc. notifications for approvers, submitters, admins
- · Monitoring:
- · Health check and workflow visibility via scheduled job/endpoint
- Extensibility:
- Easily add/modify workflow states and steps

#### Authorization:

Role-based access control to restrict actions (e.g., submitters, approvers, admins)

#### Documentation:

• Provide state diagrams, API contracts, migration steps

#### Testing:

- Unit/integration tests for all workflow scenarios and edge cases
- Include contract tests and rollback/recovery scenarios

## **Design Details**

#### 1. Workflow Engine Implementation

- 2. Build a custom home-grown workflow engine from scratch
- 3. Written in Node.js using the most modern and stable LTS version
- 4. Follow industry best practices for structure, modularity, and error handling
- 5. Stateless architecture: workflow state changes are performed via consumer-facing APIs

#### 6. Workflow Definition

- 7. Define states/transitions explicitly using code (JSON or configuration-driven)
- 8. Implement deterministic state machine logic for all paths
- 9. Support metadata (e.g., rejection reasons, comments) per transition

#### 10. API Layer

11. Expose RESTful endpoints:

```
o /workflow/submit
/workflow/respond
o /workflow/withdraw
o /workflow/status/:id
```

- /workflow/heartbeat
- 12. Validate payloads and enforce preconditions through middleware

## 13. Persistence

- 14. Store workflow instance, current state, transition history, and metadata
- 15. Ensure atomicity and auditability
- 16. Track | workflowDefinitionVersion | to support future migrations

#### 17. Notifications

- 18. Trigger notifications (email/SMS) on state transitions
- 19. Log delivery outcomes for traceability

#### 20. Monitoring

- 21. Scheduled job or REST endpoint to report health/status
- 22. Log errors, failed transitions, and exception traces

#### 23. Migration

- 24. Allow in-flight workflows to complete in WF or migrate
- 25. Avoid data loss and minimize service interruption

#### 26. Documentation & Training

- 27. Document engine architecture, state models, API contracts, and procedures
- 28. Train developers and support staff on usage

#### 29. Operational Metrics

- 30. Total workflows by status
- 31. Time spent in each state
- 32. Failed transitions
- 33. Notification delivery success/failure

#### 34. Pre-Approved Paths (Optional)

35. Define auto-transitions based on business rules (e.g., low-risk auto-approval)

# **Spikes**

- Spike 1: Define architecture and state engine interfaces
- Document lifecycle, extensibility strategy, and transition validation model
- Spike 2: Prototype stateless Node.js state machine
- Implement transition APIs that write to the persistence layer
- Persistence to MongoDB or PostgreSQL

- Spike 3: API compatibility validation
- Mock legacy endpoints and test against new engine behavior

#### **User Stories**

• **Submitter**: Can submit an assessment ightarrow goes to "Pending"

• **Approver**: Can approve/reject → changes state and notifies

• **Submitter**: Can withdraw → moves to "Withdrawn"

• **Admin**: Can monitor status → via health/status endpoint

• **Developer**: Can extend workflow  $\rightarrow$  modular, testable logic

# **Design Document**

#### **Architecture Overview**

• Frontend: Angular (unchanged)

• Backend: Node.js/Express REST API (stateless)

• Workflow Engine: Custom-built, stateless Node.js service

Database: MongoDB or PostgreSQLNotifications: NodeMailer or equivalent

#### **Workflow Model**

State	Trigger/Event	Next State
Pending	Approver approves	Approved
Pending	Approver rejects	Rejected
Pending	Submitter withdraws	Withdrawn
Approved	-	Completed
Rejected	-	Completed
Withdrawn	-	Completed
Any	Error	Error

# **API Endpoints**

Endpoint 	Method	Description
/workflow/submit	POST	Submit new assessment

Endpoint	Method	Description
/workflow/respond	POST	Approver responds
/workflow/withdraw	POST	Withdraw assessment
/workflow/status/:id	GET	Get status/history
/workflow/heartbeat	GET	Monitor workflow health

# **MongoDB Schema Example**

```
{
  "assessmentId": "string",
  "currentState": "string",
  "history": [
    {
      "state": "string",
      "timestamp": "date",
      "triggeredBy": "string",
      "metadata": {
        "reason": "string",
        "comments": "string"
      }
    }
  ],
  "workflowDefinitionVersion": "string",
  "createdAt": "date",
  "updatedAt": "date"
}
```

## **Key Design Decisions**

- Stateless architecture; all state changes are driven by API calls
- Use a code-based, deterministic state machine
- Persist state and history for traceability
- Maintain legacy-compatible API contract
- Decouple notifications to improve maintainability
- Design engine for modularity and extension
- Include versioning support and validation hooks

## **Implementation Phases**

### **Phase 1: Foundation & Planning**

- · Finalize architecture and tech stack
- Complete Spike 1 (architecture/interfaces)
- Establish version control, CI/CD pipelines, coding standards
- Define initial workflows and transitions
- Draft API contracts

#### **Phase 2: Core Engine & Persistence**

- Build core stateless engine logic
- Implement state transition logic
- Complete Spike 2 (Node.js prototype with persistence)
- Set up MongoDB/PostgreSQL schema
- · Implement transition metadata handling
- Unit test basic transitions

#### **Phase 3: API Layer & Integration**

- Implement full API layer for transitions and status
- Complete Spike 3 (API compatibility)
- · Add middleware for validation and RBAC
- · Begin front-end API integration and adapter layer
- Support legacy API behavior where required

#### **Phase 4: Notifications & Monitoring**

- Add notification service (email/SMS)
- Implement monitoring endpoints and logs
- Add operational metrics: throughput, failure rates, etc.

#### **Phase 5: Migration Path**

- · Implement dual-write or shadow mode
- Route new submissions to new engine
- Monitor in-flight workflows and plan legacy shutdown
- Document versioning/migration support

#### Phase 6: Harden & Extend

- Finalize automated tests (integration, rollback, contract)
- Train devs/support; prepare rollout plan
- Add support for optional auto-approvals
- · Optimize performance and error handling

## **Copilot Enablement & Prompting Guidelines**

To improve consistency and development velocity using GitHub Copilot:

#### **Setup Requirements**

- Use latest Node.js LTS version
- Include ESLint/Prettier configs
- JSDoc for all major functions and modules

#### **Prompting Practices**

```
// Transition a workflow from Pending to Approved
async function approveWorkflow(workflowId: string, approverId: string) { ... }
// Log a transition with metadata to MongoDB
```

## **Bootstrapping Suggestions**

Add a header in main engine files:

```
/**
 * Workflow Engine
 * Stateless engine for handling assessment transitions:
 * States: Pending, Approved, Rejected, Withdrawn, Completed, Error
 * API-driven transition logic with audit trail and metadata support.
 */
```

#### **Documentation & Onboarding Notes**

- Add standard imports in README
- Document naming conventions for services and transitions
- Include prompt templates for Copilot to follow during development

## **⅍ Migration Plan**

- 1. Deploy new engine in parallel with legacy WF
- 2. Route new submissions to custom engine
- 3. Allow legacy workflows to complete; decommission WF gradually

# ⚠ Risks & Mitigations

Risk	Mitigation	
Data loss Dual-write strategy or audit-logged cutover		
API incompatibility	Contract testing and backward compatibility	
Missed business logic	Comprehensive test coverage and stakeholder review	