

The base model

The first iteration of the model consists of

- A large set of (apparently semantically inert), sentence-like strings of sounds, *_sentences*, $s_1..s_k$.
- A large set of speakers or *_agents* $a_1..a_n$.
- For each *_agent* a_i , a *_value* function,

$$V_i: s, t, \mathbf{x} \rightarrow v$$

where s is a *_sentence*, t is a time, \mathbf{x} is a point in 3-dimensional space representing a_i 's position at t , and v is a number between 0 and 1 with 0 representing maximum *_disvalue*, 1 maximum *_value*, and 0.5 indifference. A *_sentence* token is a complex of the form $\langle s, t, \mathbf{x} \rangle$; a *_sentence* type is just s .

_Pleasure

A distinction is made between *_value*, which is meant to correlate to a feeling of something's being the case, even if trivial or banal, and a provisional, model-specific feeling associated with novel, *_valuable* *_sentences*, *_pleasure*. *_Pleasure* will ultimately be discarded from the model, but until it is, it will serve as the only motive for exchanging *_sentences* – for *_conversing*. The sole goal of talk in the model is to maximize *_pleasure*.

The model, first refinement – focus of attention

To reflect the fact that our reaction to a sentence may depend on where our attention is focused – for example, where we are looking– a second, 3-dimensional position argument, ξ , is added to represent this for a_i :

$$V_i: s, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi \rightarrow v.$$

A *_sentence* token is now a complex of the form $\langle s, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi \rangle$. One utterance corresponds to arbitrarily many token *_sentences*, insofar as it can be evaluated at arbitrarily many values of ξ .

The model, second refinement – simultaneously *_valued* *_sentences*

The next parameter to add is a set, B , of token *_sentences*, representing the token *_sentences* *_valued* by a_i at t , excluding potentially s itself:

$$V_i: s, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, B \rightarrow v.$$

Note that B makes the *_value* function impredicative, as plausibly it should be.

Note also that at a time, B may contain token *_sentences* from other times and places, just as I may now believe a thing you said yesterday.

Belief

agent a_i *believes* a token sentence $\langle s, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi \rangle$ iff $V_i(s, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, B) > 0.5$, where B is the set of token sentences believed by a_i at t excluding s .

The model, last refinement – context

The final parameter to add is a set, C , of token sentences representing the sentences heard at recent times $t' < t$ by a_i at t , excluding s itself – the context of s :

$$V_i: s, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, B, C \rightarrow v$$

A token sentence is now $\langle s, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle$ – change the context, and the token sentence is changed.

Observation and theory sentences

A token sentence s is an *observation sentence* for a_i iff the value of s is independent of B and varies with t, \mathbf{x}, ξ and C . A token sentence which is not an *observation sentence* is a *theory sentence*.

Aggregate value

The aggregate value A_i for a_i of a set of token sentences B is,

$$A_i(B) = \sum_{j=0}^n V_i(s_j, B \setminus \{s_j\})$$

where s_j are the elements of B .

Maximal belief set for a

B is the (or a) maximal belief set for agent a iff the aggregate value of B for a is greater than or equal to the aggregate value for a of any other set, B' .

True-for- a

A sentence s is *true for a* iff s is an element of the (or a) maximal belief set for a . The parenthetic “or a ” is included because nothing in the model precludes the existence of more than one maximal set.

Combined aggregate value

The *combined aggregate value* for the speakers of a language of a set of beliefs B is,

$$C(B) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i(B)$$

where i ranges over all agents who speak the language.

Maximal _belief set (punkt)

B is the (or a) *maximal _belief set* iff the combined aggregate _value of *B* is greater than (or equal to) the combined aggregate _value of any other set, *B'*.

_True (punkt)

_sentence *s* is *_true* iff *B* is the maximal _belief set (or a maximal _belief set) and $s \in B$ and $C(B) > C(B) \setminus \{s\}$.

Words

Logic

Atomic _sentence

A _sentence *s* is *atomic* iff *s* contains no _logical connective or _quantifier.

_Moment

A _moment is a complex of the form $\langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle$.

_Truth set of a _phrase at a _moment

A set *M* of token _sentences is the *_truth set* at a _moment *m* of a _phrase *p* iff *M* is the set of all and only the _true atomic _sentences containing *p* at *m*.

_Observation and theory _truth sets of a _phrase

A _truth set *M* at a _moment *m* of a _phrase *p* is an *_observation _truth set* iff the _truth set of *p* at *m* contains _observation _sentences. A _truth set of a _phrase which is not an _observation _truth set is a *_theory _truth set*.

Tensed _sentence

Explanatory note:

The concept of a _sentence type is extended to include a parameter doing the work of simple verb tense. A _sentence type may thus now be denoted by an ordered pair, $\langle s, q \rangle$, where *q* may have the values *present*, *past* or *future*. The value of *q* is understood to be conveyed by the speaker – for example by her uttering it along with a _sentence: “Bob is black-haired (*past*)”, “Bob is grey-haired (*present*)”, “Bob is bald (*future*)”. For notational simplicity, $\langle s, \textit{present} \rangle$ can still be written as just ‘*s*’.

The model is constrained so that if u is the $_truth$ set of a $_phrase$ at $\langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle$ then for every element of u of the form $\langle \langle s, past \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$ it happens that there exists one or more moments $\langle t', \mathbf{x}', \xi', C \rangle$ such that $t' < t$ and $\langle \langle s, present \rangle, \langle t', \mathbf{x}', \xi', C \rangle \rangle$ is $_true$. The correlate point applies for $\langle \langle s, future \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$ and $t' > t$. (If 'Bob was black-haired.' is true now, then there are arbitrarily many points in the past when 'Bob is black-haired.' was true.)

Extending this treatment of tense, let the model also permit a $_sentence$ type to be represented as an ordered pair $\langle s, \tau \rangle$, where τ is a time.

If $\tau = t$, then $\langle \langle s, \tau \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle s, present \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$ - ie, the $_sentence$ is present-tensed.

If $\tau < t$, then if $\langle \langle s, \tau \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$ is $_true$ then so too will be $\langle \langle s, past \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$. And again the correlate point applies for $\langle \langle s, future \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$ and $\tau > t$. Furthermore, if $\langle \langle s, past \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$ is $_true$ then there will be at least one time $\tau < t$ such that $\langle \langle s, \tau \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$ is $_true$, and similarly for future tense statements and $\tau > t$.

Call $\langle \langle s, \tau \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$ the *de-indexicalized* correlate of $\langle \langle s, q \rangle, \langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle \rangle$

Notes: context remains the same. Elements of context may have $t > t'$, noting that there are assumed to be no spatial indexicals (*here, there, etc.*) in the model.

$_Truth$ set correlates

$_Truth$ sets w_1 and w_2 are correlates *iff* their de-indexicalized correlates are identical.

$_Moment$ line of a $_phrase$

A set l of $_moments$ is a *$_moment$ line* of a $_phrase$ p *iff* the $_truth$ sets of p at every $_moment$ m in l are correlates, each is a non-empty $_observation$ $_truth$ set, and the components $\langle t, \xi \rangle$ of the $_moments$ of l jointly constitute a smooth space-time curve.

Concrete singular $_term$

A $_phrase$ p belonging to a $_true$ atomic token $_sentence$ $\langle \langle s, q \rangle, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle$ is a concrete singular $_term$ *iff* there exists a $_moment$ line l of p and either

- 1) $\langle \langle s, q \rangle, t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle$ is an observation $_sentence$ and $\langle t, \xi \rangle$ is on l
- or
- 2) there is a $_truth$ set correlate at some $_moment$ $\langle t', \mathbf{x}', \xi', C' \rangle$ of the $_truth$ set of p at $\langle t, \mathbf{x}, \xi, C \rangle$ which is a non-empty $_observation$ $_truth$ $_set$ and $\langle t', \xi' \rangle$ is on l .

Sameness of concrete singular $_term$ $_reference$

Two concrete singular $_terms$ c_1 and c_2 have the same $_reference$ *iff* c_1 and c_2 have the same $_moment$ line.

$_Sentential$ complement of a $_phrase$

A *_phrase* q is the *_sentential complement* of a *_phrase* p in an atomic *_sentence* s *iff* q is the *_phrase* remaining when p is removed from s .

_Predicate

A token *_phrase* p at a *_moment* m is a *_predicate* *iff* the *_sentential complements* of p of the elements of the *_truth set* of p at m all are concrete singular *_terms*. //what about 'colour'? "Red is a colour"

_Complement set of a _predicate

A set E of *_concrete singular _terms* is the *_complement set* of a token *_predicate* p at a *_moment* m *iff* E is the set of the *_sentential complements* of p of the elements of the *_truth set* of p at m .

Sameness of _predicate _reference

Two token *_predicates* p_1 and p_2 have the same reference *iff* p_1 and p_2 have the same *_complement set*.