Day 3

Stochastic Discount Factors I

Kerry Back BUSI 521–ECON 505 Rice University Spring 2022

Main Concepts

1. Day I

- Stochastic discount factors (SDFs)
- Risk premia and risk adjustments
- Risk-neutral probabilities

2. Day II

- Complete markets
- Finite state model
- Arbitrage pricing
- Projections and the Hansen-Jagannathan bound

Discounting Future Cash Flows

The value of an asset depends on future cash flows. We discount future cash flows because of the time value of money and because of risk.

If investors were risk neutral, an asset price would be $p = E[\tilde{x}]/R_f$ (discounting at the risk-free rate). If investors were risk neutral, all expected returns would be the same. Proof:

$$\widetilde{R} = \widetilde{x} \frac{1}{p} = \widetilde{x} \cdot \frac{R_f}{\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{x}]},$$

SO

$$\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{R}] = R_f$$

Why? If an asset had a higher (lower) expected return than the risk-free return then risk-neutral investors would want to go infinitely long (short) the asset, which is inconsistent with equilibrium.

Stochastic Discount Factors

Under weak assumptions that we will discuss, there exists a random variable \tilde{m} , which we call a stochastic discount factor (SDF) such that all asset prices are

$$p = E[\tilde{m}\tilde{x}]$$

With risk neutrality, $\tilde{m} = 1/R_f$.

Alternate Characterizations

Suppose \tilde{m} is an SDF, meaning

$$(\forall \text{ assets } i) \quad \mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}\tilde{x}_i] = p_i$$

If $p_i \neq 0$, then

$$\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{m}\widetilde{R}_i] = 1$$

If also $p_j \neq 0$, then

$$\mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}(\widetilde{R}_i - \widetilde{R}_j)] = 0$$

Notice that $E[\tilde{m}R_f] = 1$ implies $E[\tilde{m}] = 1/R_f$.

Marginal Utility Defines an SDF

The FOC is

$$(\forall i) \quad \mathsf{E}[u'(\tilde{w})\tilde{x}_i] = \lambda p_i$$

So, $u'(\tilde{w})/\lambda$ is an SDF.

More succinctly, $u'(\tilde{w})$ is proportional to an SDF.

Time-Additive Utility and the Euler Equation

- Assume time-additive utility $u(c_0) + \delta u(c_1)$.
- ▶ Consumption/investment problem: choose $c_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$ to

$$\max \ u(c_0) + \delta \mathsf{E}\left[u\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \widetilde{R}_i\right)\right] \quad \text{subject to} \quad c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i = w$$

FOC:

$$u'(c_0) = \lambda$$
 and $(\forall i)$ $\mathsf{E}\left[\delta u'\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \widetilde{R}_i\right) \widetilde{R}_i\right] = \lambda \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \quad (\forall i) \quad \mathsf{E} \left[\frac{\delta u' \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i} \widetilde{R}_{i} \right)}{u'(c_{0})} \widetilde{R}_{i} \right] = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widetilde{m} = \frac{\delta u'(\widetilde{c}_{1})}{u'(c_{0})}$$

Risk Premia as Covariances

Because
$$cov(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = E[\tilde{x}\tilde{y}] - E[\tilde{x}]E[\tilde{y}],$$

$$1 = E[\tilde{m}\tilde{R}] = cov(\tilde{m}, \tilde{R}) + E[\tilde{m}]E[\tilde{R}]$$

Rearrange to obtain

$$\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{R}] = \frac{1}{\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{m}]} - \frac{1}{\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{m}]} \operatorname{cov}(\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{R})$$

Thus, expected asset returns depend on covariances with an SDF.

If there is a risk-free asset, then $R_f = 1/E[\tilde{m}]$. So,

$$\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{R}] - R_f = -R_f \operatorname{cov}(\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{R})$$

Risk Adjustments

If there is a risk-free asset, then

$$p = E[\tilde{m}\tilde{x}] = E[\tilde{m}]E[\tilde{x}] + cov(\tilde{m}, \tilde{x}) = \frac{E[\tilde{x}] + R_f cov(\tilde{m}, \tilde{x})}{R_f}$$

So, we can adjust the expected payoff for risk and then discount at the risk-free rate.

Also,

$$\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{x}/p] - R_f = -R_f \operatorname{cov}(\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{R}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad p = \frac{\mathsf{E}[\widetilde{x}]}{R_f - R_f \operatorname{cov}(\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{R})}$$

So we can discount the expected payoff at a risk-adjusted rate.

Risk Neutral Probabilities

We can price with an SDF: $p = E[\tilde{m}\tilde{x}]$.

Alternatively, given an SDF, we can "change probabilities" to price as if investors were risk neutral. That is, we can price as

$$p = \frac{\mathsf{E}^*[\tilde{x}]}{R_f}$$

where E* denotes expectation with respect to the changed probabilities.

We call the new probabilities a "risk-neutral probability" or "risk-neutral probability measure."

Example

 $R_f = 1.1$. Single risky asset. Two states of the world. p = 100, x = 120 or 90 with equal probabilities.

Find the SDF.

$$1 = 1.1 \operatorname{prob}_1 m_1 + 1.1 \operatorname{prob}_2 m_2$$

$$100 = 120 \operatorname{prob}_1 m_1 + 90 \operatorname{prob}_2 m_2$$

Find the risk-neutral probability:

$$1 = prob_1^* + prob_2^*$$

$$100 = \frac{120 prob_1^* + 90 prob_2^*}{1.1}$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{prob}_1^* = 1.1 \operatorname{prob}_1 m_1$ and $\operatorname{prob}_2^* = 1.1 \operatorname{prob}_2 m_2$.

Example cont.

Let's price another asset in the example. Consider a security that pays \$1 if x = 120 and 0 otherwise.

Price using SDF: ???

Price using RNP: ???

General Definition of RNP

For an event A, $1_A = \text{indicator function}$, meaning $1_A(\omega) = 1$ if $\omega \in A$ and $1_A(\omega) = 0$ otherwise.

Given an SDF \tilde{m} , define

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) = \frac{\mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}\mathsf{1}_A]}{\mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}]} = R_f \mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}\mathsf{1}_A]$$

Then, \mathbb{Q} is a probability: $\mathbb{Q}(A) \geq 0$, $\mathbb{Q}(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mathbb{Q}(\Omega) = 1$, and \mathbb{Q} of the union of a sequence of disjoint events is the sum of \mathbb{Q} of the events.

In the example:

► For
$$A = {\tilde{x} = 120}$$
, $\mathbb{Q}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{prob}_1^* = 1.1 \text{ prob}_1 m_1$.

► For
$$A = {\tilde{x} = 90}$$
, $\mathbb{Q}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{prob}_2^* = 1.1 \text{ prob}_2 m_2$.

Risk-Neutral Expectation

Let E* denote expectation with respect to \mathbb{Q} . Note $\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}^*[1_A]$ for all events A.

So, the definition of $\mathbb Q$ implies

$$(\forall A) \quad \mathsf{E}^*[1_A] = R_f \mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}1_A]$$

This generalizes as

$$(\forall \tilde{x}) \quad \mathsf{E}^*[\tilde{x}] = R_f \mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}\tilde{x}]$$

It follows that prices are risk-neutral expected payoffs discounted at the risk-free rate, and risk-neutral expected returns equal the risk-free return:

$$p = \mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}\tilde{x}] = \frac{\mathsf{E}^*[\tilde{x}]}{R_f} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathsf{E}^*[\tilde{R}] = \frac{\mathsf{E}^*[\tilde{x}]}{p} = R_f$$

CARA Utility

Suppose there is a CARA investor with risk aversion α whose optimal portfolio has a value of \tilde{w} at date 1. Her marginal utility is

$$u'(\tilde{w}) = \alpha e^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}$$

There is an SDF proportional to her marginal utility, meaning

$$\tilde{m} = k e^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}$$

for some k > 0. Because $E[\tilde{m}] = 1/R_f$, we have

$$k\mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}] = \frac{1}{R_f} \quad \Rightarrow \quad k = \frac{1}{R_f \mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}]}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \tilde{m} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}}{R_f \mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}]}$$

Normal Distributions

Consider an asset with payoff \tilde{x} . Suppose \tilde{x} and \tilde{w} are joint normal, and the investor has CARA utility.

We want to value \tilde{x} . Possible approaches:

- 1. $p = E[\tilde{m}\tilde{x}]$
- 2. $p = (E[\tilde{x}] + R_f \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{m}, \tilde{x}))/R_f$
- 3. $p = E^*[\tilde{x}]/R_f$

From CARA utility,

$$\tilde{m} = \frac{e^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}}{R_f \mathsf{E}[e^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}]}$$

Method 1

Setting $b = cov(\tilde{x}, \tilde{w}) / var(\tilde{w})$ and $a = E[\tilde{x}] - bE[\tilde{w}]$, we have

$$\tilde{x} = a + b\tilde{w} + \tilde{e}$$

where \tilde{e} has a zero mean, and \tilde{e} and \tilde{w} are uncorrelated (easy to check from definitions) and hence independent (by normality). Thus,

$$\mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tilde{w}}\tilde{x}] = a\mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tilde{w}}] + b\mathsf{E}[\tilde{w}\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tilde{w}}]$$

We can integrate by parts (using the fact that for the standard normal density f, we have xf(x) = -f'(x)) or look up in a table of integrals that

$$\mathsf{E}[\tilde{\mathsf{w}}\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tilde{\mathsf{w}}}] = (\mu_{\mathsf{w}} - \alpha\sigma_{\mathsf{w}}^2)\mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\tilde{\mathsf{w}}}]$$

We end up with

$$p = \frac{a + b\mu_w - \alpha b\sigma_w^2}{R_t} = \frac{\mathsf{E}[\tilde{x}] - \alpha \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{w})}{R_t}$$

Method 2 via Stein's Lemma

Stein's Lemma: For any two joint normal random variables \tilde{y} and \tilde{z} and any continuously differentiable function f,

$$\operatorname{cov}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}, f(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})) = \mathsf{E}[f'(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})] \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}})$$

Intuition: suppose f is linear: f(z) = a + bz. Then f' = b and the lemma states that $\text{cov}(\tilde{y}, a + b\tilde{z}) = b \, \text{cov}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$, which we know. What the lemma says is that we can approximate f linearly by its derivative and replace f' by its mean when \tilde{y} and \tilde{z} are joint normal.

Stein's Lemma implies

$$cov(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, e^{-\alpha \tilde{\mathbf{W}}}) = -\alpha \mathsf{E}[e^{-\alpha \mathbf{W}}] cov(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{\mathbf{W}})$$

Hence,

$$p = \frac{\mathsf{E}[\tilde{x}] - \alpha \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{w})}{R_t}$$

Method 3

We can show that, under the risk-neutral probability defined from \tilde{m} , the random variable \tilde{x} is normally distributed with the same variance as under the original probability and with mean $\mathsf{E}^*[\tilde{x}] = \mathsf{E}[\tilde{x}] - \alpha \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{w})$.

We end up with

$$\rho = \frac{\mathsf{E}[\tilde{x}] - \alpha \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{w})}{R_f}$$

To verify the distribution of \tilde{x} under \mathbb{Q} , we calculate the moment-generating function

$$\mathsf{E}^*[\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tilde{x}}] = R_f \mathsf{E}[\tilde{m}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tilde{x}}] = \frac{\mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tilde{x} - \alpha \tilde{w}}]}{\mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}]}$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tilde{x} - \alpha \tilde{w}}] &= \mathrm{e}^{\lambda a} \mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{(\lambda b - \alpha)\tilde{w}}] \mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tilde{e}}] \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{\lambda a} \mathrm{e}^{(\lambda b - \alpha)\mu_w + (\lambda b - \alpha)^2 \sigma_w^2 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda^2 \sigma_e^2 / 2} \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{\lambda a + \lambda b \mu_w + \lambda^2 b^2 \sigma_w^2 / 2 - \lambda b \alpha \sigma_w^2 + \lambda^2 \sigma_e^2 / 2} \mathsf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \tilde{w}}] \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}^*[\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \tilde{x}}] &= \mathrm{e}^{\lambda a + \lambda b \mu_w + \lambda^2 b^2 \sigma_w^2 / 2 - \lambda b \alpha \sigma_w^2 + \lambda^2 \sigma_e^2 / 2} \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{\lambda (a + b \mu_w - b \alpha \sigma_w^2) + \lambda^2 (b^2 \sigma_w^2 + \sigma_e^2) / 2} \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{\lambda \left(\mu_x - \alpha \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{w}) \right) + \lambda^2 \sigma_x^2 / 2} \end{split}$$

Therefore, \tilde{x} is normal with mean $\mathsf{E}[\tilde{x}] - \alpha \operatorname{cov}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{w})$ and standard deviation σ_x under the RNP.

Temporary page!

document.

If you rerun the document (without altering it) this surplus page wil away, because LATEX now knows how many pages to expect for this

LATEX was unable to guess the total number of pages correctly. As there was some unprocessed data that should have been added to the final page this extra page has been added to receive it.