

imply

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T W_T^\dagger \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} \right] &= \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} \mathbb{E}_s \left[ M_T W_T^\dagger \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_t [M_s C_s] .\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T W_T^\dagger \int_0^T \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds \right] &= \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds \times \mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T W_T^\dagger \right] + \mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T W_T^\dagger \int_t^T \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds \right] \\ &= M_t W_t^\dagger \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds + \int_t^T \mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T W_T^\dagger \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} \right] ds \\ &= M_t W_t^\dagger \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds + \int_t^T \mathbb{E}_t [M_s C_s] ds \\ &= M_t W_t^\dagger \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds + \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s ds \right] .\end{aligned}$$

- (d) Let  $M$  be an SDF process and assume  $MW^\dagger$  is a martingale. Use the results of the previous two parts to show that (13.39) is a martingale.

**Solution:** Let

$$X_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T W_T^\dagger \int_0^T \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds \right] .$$

This is a martingale. Using Parts (c) and (b) successively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}X_t &= M_t W_t^\dagger \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds + \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s ds \right] \\ &= M_t (W_t^\dagger - W_t) + \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s ds \right] .\end{aligned}$$

From the assumption that  $MW^\dagger$  is a martingale, it follows that

$$M_t W_t - \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s ds \right]$$

is a martingale. The second term in this expression is zero at  $t = T$ . Therefore,

$$M_t W_t - \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s ds \right] = \mathbb{E}_t [M_T W_T] .$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} M_t W_t + \int_0^t M_s C_s \, ds &= \int_0^t M_s C_s \, ds + \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s \, ds \right] + \mathbb{E}_t[M_T W_T] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T W_T + \int_0^T M_s C_s \, ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**13.6.** Suppose  $W$ ,  $C$  and  $\pi$  satisfy the intertemporal budget constraint (13.38) Define

$$W_t^\dagger = W_t + R_t \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{R_s} \, ds.$$

Note: This means consumption is reinvested in the money market account rather than in the portfolio generating the wealth process as in (13.43).

(a) Show that  $W^\dagger$  satisfies the intertemporal budget constraint (13.12).

**Solution:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} dW^\dagger &= dW + C \, dt + \left( \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{R_s} \, ds \right) dR \\ &= dW + C \, dt + (W^\dagger - W) \frac{dR}{R} \\ &= rW \, dt + \phi'(\mu - r\iota) \, dt + \phi' \sigma \, dB + (W^\dagger - W)r \, dt \\ &= rW^\dagger \, dt + \phi'(\mu - r\iota) \, dt + \phi' \sigma \, dB. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let  $M$  be an SDF process. Assume  $MR$  is a martingale and  $MW^\dagger$  is a martingale. Deduce that (13.39) is a martingale.

**Solution:** From the definition of  $W^\dagger$ , we have

$$\int_0^t M_s C_s \, ds + M_t W_t = \int_0^t M_s C_s \, ds + M_t W_t^\dagger - M_t R_t \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{R_s} \, ds.$$

Given the assumption that  $MW^\dagger$  is a martingale, it suffices to show that

$$\int_0^t M_s C_s \, ds - M_t R_t \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{R_s} \, ds$$

is a martingale. By iterated expectations and the assumption that  $MR$  is a martingale, we obtain, using the same reasoning as in the previous exercise,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T R_T \int_0^T \frac{C_s}{R_s} ds \right] &= \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{R_s} ds \times \mathbb{E}_t[M_T R_T] + \mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T R_T \int_t^T \frac{C_s}{R_s} ds \right] \\ &= M_t R_t \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{R_s} ds + \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s ds \right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_0^T M_s C_s ds - M_T R_T \int_0^T \frac{C_s}{R_s} ds \right] &= \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_0^T M_s C_s ds \right] - M_t R_t \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{R_s} ds - \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s ds \right] \\ &= \int_0^t M_s C_s ds - M_t R_t \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{R_s} ds\end{aligned}$$



## Chapter 14

# Continuous-Time Portfolio Choice and Pricing

**14.1.** Assume the continuous-time CAPM holds:

$$(\mu_i - r) dt = \rho \left( \frac{dS_i}{S_i} \right) \left( \frac{dW_m}{W_m} \right)$$

for each asset  $i$ , where  $W_m$  denotes the value of the market portfolio,  $\rho = \alpha W_m$ , and  $\alpha$  denotes the aggregate absolute risk aversion. Define  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{e_i' \Sigma e_i}$  to be the volatility of asset  $i$ , as described in Section 13.1, so we have

$$\frac{dS_i}{S_i} = \mu_i dt + \sigma_i dZ_i$$

for a Brownian motion  $Z_i$ . Likewise, the return on the market portfolio is

$$\frac{dW_m}{W_m} = \mu_m dt + \sigma_m dZ_m$$

for some  $\mu_m$ ,  $\sigma_m$  and Brownian motion  $Z_m$ . Let  $\phi_{im}$  denote the correlation process of the Brownian motions  $Z_i$  and  $Z_m$ .

- (a) Using the fact that the market return must also satisfy the continuous-time CAPM, show that the continuous-time CAPM can be written as

$$\mu_i - r = \frac{\sigma_i \sigma_m \phi_{im}}{\sigma_m^2} (\mu_m - r).$$

**Solution:** We have

$$(\mu_m - r) dt = \rho \left( \frac{dW_m}{W_m} \right)^2 = \rho \sigma_m^2 dt,$$

so  $\rho = (\mu_m - r)/\sigma_m^2$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_i - r) dt &= \frac{\mu_m - r}{\sigma_m^2} \left( \frac{dS_i}{S_i} \right) \left( \frac{dW_m}{W_m} \right) \\ &= \frac{\mu_m - r}{\sigma_m^2} (\sigma_i \sigma_m \phi_{im}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Suppose  $r$ ,  $\mu_i$ ,  $\mu_m$ ,  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\sigma_m$  and  $\rho_i$  are constant over a time interval  $\Delta t$ , so both  $S_i$  and  $W_m$  are geometric Brownian motions over the time interval. Define the annualized continuously compounded rates of return over the time interval:

$$r_i = \frac{\Delta \log S_i}{\Delta t} \quad \text{and} \quad r_m = \frac{\Delta \log W_m}{\Delta t}.$$

Let  $\bar{r}_i$  and  $\bar{r}_m$  denote the expected values of  $r_i$  and  $r_m$ . Show that the continuous-time CAPM implies

$$\bar{r}_i - r = \frac{\text{cov}(r_i, r_m)}{\text{var}(r_m)} (\bar{r}_m - r) + \frac{1}{2} [\text{cov}(r_i, r_m) - \text{var}(r_i)] \Delta t.$$

**Solution:** We have  $E[\Delta \log S_i] = (\mu_i - \sigma_i^2/2)\Delta t$  and  $E[\Delta \log S_m] = (\mu_m - \sigma_m^2/2)\Delta t$ , so

$$\bar{r}_i = \mu_i - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{r}_m = \mu_m - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_m^2.$$

From Part (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{r}_i - r &= \mu_i - r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 \\
 &= \frac{\sigma_i\sigma_m\phi_{im}}{\sigma_m^2}(\mu_m - r) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 \\
 &= \frac{\sigma_i\sigma_m\phi_{im}}{\sigma_m^2}\left(\bar{r}_m + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_m^2 - r\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 \\
 &= \frac{\sigma_i\sigma_m\phi_{im}}{\sigma_m^2}(\bar{r}_m - r) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i\sigma_m\phi_{im} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{var}(r_i) &= \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\Delta t}, \\
 \text{var}(r_m) &= \frac{\sigma_m^2}{\Delta t}, \\
 \text{cov}(r_i, r_m) &= \frac{\sigma_i\sigma_m\phi_{im}}{\Delta t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Making these substitutions yields the result.

**14.2.** For each investor  $h = 1, \dots, H$ , let  $\pi_h$  denote the optimal portfolio presented in (14.24).

Using the notation of Section 14.6, set  $\tau_h = 1/\alpha_h$  for each investor  $h$ . Then, (14.24) implies

$$W_h\pi_h = \tau_h\Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_h \frac{\eta_{hj}}{X_j} \Sigma^{-1}\sigma\nu_j.$$

**The formula given in the exercise is inconsistent with the notation in the chapter.  $\eta_{hj}$  should be divided by  $X_j$  as here.**

(a) Deduce that

$$\mu - r\iota = \alpha W\Sigma\pi + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{\eta_j}{X_j} \sigma\nu_j, \quad (14.33)$$

**(this formula is also incorrectly stated in the exercise.  $\eta_j$  should be divided by  $X_j$  as here)** where  $\pi$  denotes the market portfolio:

$$\pi = \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{W_h}{W} \pi_h.$$

**Solution:** We have

$$\tau_h(\mu - r\iota) = W_h \Sigma \pi_h + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_h \frac{\eta_{hj}}{X_j} \sigma \nu_j.$$

Summing over  $h$  yields

$$\tau(\mu - r\iota) = \Sigma \sum_{h=1}^H W_h \pi_h + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left( \sum_{h=1}^H \tau_h \frac{\eta_{hj}}{X_j} \right) \sigma \nu_j,$$

which implies

$$\mu - r\iota = \alpha W \Sigma \pi + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{X_j} \left( \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{\tau_h \eta_{hj}}{\tau} \right) \sigma \nu_j.$$

The result follows from the definition

$$\eta_j = \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{\tau_h \eta_{hj}}{\tau}.$$

(b) Explain why (14.33) is the same as the ICAPM (14.31).

**Solution:** Stacking the equations (14.31a) for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu - r\iota) dt &= \rho(\sigma dB) \left( \frac{dW}{W} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \eta_j (\sigma dB) \left( \frac{dX_j}{X_j} \right) \\ &= \alpha W (\sigma dB)(dB') \sigma' \pi + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{\eta_j}{X_j} (\sigma dB)(dB') \nu_j \\ &= \alpha W \Sigma \pi dt + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{\eta_j}{X_j} \sigma \nu_j dt. \end{aligned}$$

**14.3.** Consider an investor with initial wealth  $W_0 > 0$  who seeks to maximize  $\mathbb{E}[\log W_T]$ . Assume

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |r_t| dt \right] < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \kappa_t^2 dt \right] < \infty,$$

where  $\kappa$  denotes the maximum Sharpe ratio. Assume portfolio processes are constrained to satisfy

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \pi_t' \Sigma_t \pi_t dt \right] < \infty.$$



Recall that this constraint implies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \pi'_s \sigma_s dB_s \right] = 0.$$

(a) Using the formula (13.15) for  $W_t$  show that the optimal portfolio process is

$$\pi = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota).$$

Hint: the objective function obtained by substituting the formula (13.15) for  $W_t$  can be maximized in  $\pi$  separately at each date and in each state of the world.

**Solution:** From (13.15), the realized utility is

$$\log W_0 + \int_0^T \left( r_s + \pi'_s(\mu_s - r_s\iota) - \frac{1}{2} \pi'_s \Sigma_s \pi_s \right) ds + \int_0^T \pi'_s \sigma_s dB_s.$$

The assumption

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \pi'_s \Sigma_s \pi_s ds \right] < \infty,$$

implies

$$\int_0^t \pi'_s \sigma_s dB_s$$

is a martingale. Thus, the expected utility is

$$\log W_0 + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \left( r_s + \pi'_s(\mu_s - r_s\iota) - \frac{1}{2} \pi'_s \Sigma_s \pi_s \right) ds \right].$$

This is maximized by maximizing

$$\pi'_s(\mu_s - r_s\iota) - \frac{1}{2} \pi'_s \Sigma_s \pi_s$$

for each  $s$ , implying

$$\pi_s = \Sigma_s^{-1}(\mu_s - r_s\iota).$$

- (b) Assume the market is Markovian. Show that the investor's value function is  $V(t, w, x) = \log w + f(t, x)$ , where

$$f(t, x) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T \left( r_s + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_s^2 \right) ds \mid X_t = x \right].$$

**Solution:** Repeating the above argument starting at date  $t$  instead of date 0 shows that the expected utility at  $t$  is

$$\log W_t + \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T \left( r_s + \pi'_s(\mu_s - r_s \iota) - \frac{1}{2} \pi'_s \Sigma_s \pi_s \right) ds \right].$$

Substituting the optimum  $\pi_s = \Sigma_s^{-1}(\mu_s - r_s \iota)$  and recalling that  $\kappa^2 = (\mu - r\iota)' \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota)$  yields the result.

**14.4.** Consider an investor with log utility and an infinite horizon. Assume the capital market line is constant, so we can write  $J(w)$  instead of  $J(x, w)$  for the value function.

- (a) Show that

$$J(w) = \frac{\log w}{\delta} + K$$

solves the HJB equation (14.25), where

$$K = \frac{\log \delta}{\delta} + \frac{r - \delta + \kappa^2/2}{\delta^2}.$$

Show that  $c = \delta w$  and  $\pi = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota)$  achieve the maximum in the HJB equation.

**Solution:** Substituting  $J = K + \log w/\delta$ ,  $J_w = 1/(\delta w)$  and  $J_{ww} = -1/(\delta w^2)$ , the HJB equation (14.25) is

$$0 = \max_{c, \pi} \left\{ \log c - K\delta - \log w + \frac{1}{\delta} \left[ r + \pi'(\mu - r\iota) - \frac{c}{w} \right] - \frac{1}{2\delta} \pi' \Sigma \pi \right\}.$$

The maximum is achieved at  $c = \delta w$  and  $\pi = \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota)$ . Substituting these into the HJB equation, it reduces to the formula given for  $K$ .

(b) Show that the transversality condition

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-\delta T} J(W_T^*) \right] = 0$$

holds, where  $W^*$  denotes the wealth process generated by the consumption and portfolio processes in part (a).

**Solution:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW^*}{W^*} &= \left( r + \pi'(\mu - r) - \frac{C^*}{W^*} \right) dt + \pi' \sigma dB \\ &= (r + \kappa^2 - \delta) dt + (\mu - r)' \Sigma^{-1} \sigma dB. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$d \log W^* = \left( r - \delta + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \right) dt + (\mu - r)' \Sigma^{-1} \sigma dB.$$

This implies that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-\delta t} \log W_T^* \right] = e^{-\delta T} \log W_0 + e^{-\delta T} \left( r - \delta + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \right) T \rightarrow 0$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

**14.5.** Consider an investor with power utility and an infinite horizon. Assume the capital market line is constant, so we can write  $J(w)$  instead of  $J(x, w)$  for the value function.

(a) Define

$$\xi = \frac{\delta - (1 - \rho)r}{\rho} - \frac{(1 - \rho)\kappa^2}{2\rho^2}.$$

Assume (14.26) holds, so  $\xi > 0$ . Show that

$$J(w) = \xi^{-\rho} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \rho} w^{1-\rho} \right)$$

solves the HJB equation (14.25). Show that  $c = \xi w$  and  $\pi = (1/\rho)\Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota)$  achieve the maximum in the HJB equation.

**Solution:** Substituting  $J = \xi^{-\rho} w^{1-\rho} / (1 - \rho)$ ,  $wJ_w = \xi^{-\rho} w^{1-\rho}$  and  $w^2 J_{ww} = -\rho \xi^{-\rho} w^{1-\rho}$ , the HJB equation (14.25) is

$$0 = \max_{c, \pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \rho} c^{1-\rho} - \frac{\delta}{1 - \rho} \xi^{-\rho} w^{1-\rho} + \left[ r + \pi'(\mu - r\iota) - \frac{c}{w} \right] \xi^{-\rho} w^{1-\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \rho \xi^{-\rho} w^{1-\rho} \pi' \Sigma \pi \right\}.$$

The maximum is achieved at  $c = \xi w$  and  $\pi = (1/\rho) \Sigma^{-1}(\mu - r\iota)$ . Substituting these into the HJB equation, it reduces to the formula given for  $\xi$ .

(b) Show that, under the assumption  $\xi > 0$ , the transversality condition

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-\delta T} J(W_T^*) \right] = 0$$

holds, where  $W^*$  denotes the wealth process generated by the consumption and portfolio processes in part (a).

**Solution:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW^*}{W^*} &= \left( r + \pi'(\mu - r) - \frac{C^*}{W^*} \right) dt + \pi' \sigma dB \\ &= \left( r + \frac{\kappa^2}{\rho} - \xi \right) dt + \frac{1}{\rho} (\mu - r)' \Sigma^{-1} \sigma dB. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$W_T^* = W_0 \exp \left( \left( r - \xi + \frac{\kappa^2}{\rho} - \frac{\kappa^2}{2\rho^2} \right) T + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^T (\mu - r)' \Sigma^{-1} \sigma dB_t \right).$$

This implies

$$(W_T^*)^{1-\rho} = W_0^{1-\rho} \exp \left( (1 - \rho) \left( r - \xi + \frac{\kappa^2}{\rho} - \frac{\kappa^2}{2\rho^2} \right) T + \frac{1 - \rho}{\rho} \int_0^T (\mu - r)' \Sigma^{-1} \sigma dB_t \right).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-\delta T} (W_T^*)^{1-\rho} \right] &= W_0^{1-\rho} \exp \left( \left\{ -\delta + (1 - \rho) \left( r - \xi + \frac{\kappa^2}{\rho} - \frac{\kappa^2}{2\rho^2} \right) + \frac{(1 - \rho)^2 \kappa^2}{2\rho^2} \right\} T \right) \\ &= W_0^{1-\rho} \exp \left( \left\{ -\delta + (1 - \rho)(r - \xi) + \frac{(1 - \rho)\kappa^2}{2\rho} \right\} T \right). \end{aligned}$$

The transversality condition holds if and only if

$$-\delta + (1 - \rho)(r - \xi) + \frac{(1 - \rho)\kappa^2}{2\rho} < 0.$$

Substituting the formula for  $\xi$  into this and rearranging shows that it is equivalent to

$$\frac{\delta - (1 - \rho)r}{\rho} - \frac{(1 - \rho)\kappa^2}{2\rho^2} > 0.$$

**14.6.** Consider an investor with power utility and a finite horizon. Assume the capital market line is constant and the investor is constrained to always have nonnegative wealth. Let  $M = M_p$ . Calculate the optimal portfolio as follows.

(a) Using (14.12), show that, for  $s > t$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_s^{1-1/\rho} \right] = M_t^{1-1/\rho} e^{\alpha(s-t)},$$

for a constant  $\alpha$ .

**Solution:** For  $s \geq t$ , we have

$$M_s = M_t \exp \left( -r(s-t) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(s-t) - \kappa(Z_s - Z_t) \right).$$

Therefore,

$$M_s^{1-1/\rho} = M_t^{1-1/\rho} \exp \left( -r(s-t)(\rho-1)/\rho - \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(s-t)(\rho-1)/\rho - \kappa(Z_s - Z_t)(\rho-1)/\rho \right).$$

The exponential is of a normally distributed variable, so

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_s^{1-1/\rho} \right] = M_t^{1-1/\rho} e^{\alpha(s-t)},$$

where

$$\alpha = -r(\rho-1)/\rho - \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(\rho-1)/\rho + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2(\rho-1)^2/\rho^2.$$

(b) Define  $C_t$  and  $W_T$  from the first-order conditions (14.7) and set

$$W_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T \frac{M_s}{M_t} C_s \, ds + \frac{M_T}{M_t} W_T \right].$$

Show that

$$W_t = g(t) M_t^{-1/\rho}$$

for some deterministic function  $g$  (which you could calculate).

**Solution:** The first order conditions are

$$e^{-\delta t} C_t^{-\rho} = \gamma M_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_t = \left( e^{\delta t} \gamma M_t \right)^{-1/\rho},$$

$$\beta W_T^{-\rho} = \gamma M_T \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_T = (\gamma M_T / \beta)^{-1/\rho}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} W_t &= \frac{1}{M_t} \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T M_s C_s \, ds + M_T W_T \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{M_t} \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_t^T \left( \gamma e^{\delta s} \right)^{-1/\rho} M_s^{1-1/\rho} \, ds + (\gamma/\beta)^{-1/\rho} M_T^{1-1/\rho} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{M_t} \int_t^T \left( \gamma e^{\delta s} \right)^{-1/\rho} \mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_s^{1-1/\rho} \right] \, ds + \frac{1}{M_t} (\gamma/\beta)^{-1/\rho} \mathbb{E}_t \left[ M_T^{1-1/\rho} \right] \\ &= M_t^{-1/\rho} \int_t^T \left( \gamma e^{\delta s} \right)^{-1/\rho} e^{\alpha(s-t)} \, ds + (\gamma/\beta)^{-1/\rho} M_t^{-1/\rho} e^{\alpha(T-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$W_t = M_t^{-1/\rho} g(t),$$

where

$$g(t) = \int_t^T \left( \gamma e^{\delta s} \right)^{-1/\rho} e^{\alpha(s-t)} \, ds + (\gamma/\beta)^{-1/\rho} e^{\alpha(T-t)}.$$

(c) By applying Itô's formula to  $W$  in Part (b), show that the optimal portfolio is

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \Sigma^{-1} (\mu - r \iota).$$