Chapter 8: Dynamic Securities Markets

Kerry Back BUSI 521/ECON 505 Spring 2024 Rice University

Assets and Returns

- Dates $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ No tildes anymore for random things. Information grows over time as random variables are observed.
- $D_{it} = \text{dividend of asset } i \text{ at date } t$. Ex-dividend price $P_{it} > 0$.
- Return from t to t+1 is

$$R_{i,t+1} := \frac{P_{i,t+1} + D_{i,t+1}}{P_{it}}$$

• Risk-free return from t to t+1 is $R_{f,t+1}$. Known at t (so risk-free from t to t+1) but maybe not known until t (randomly evolving interest rates).

SDFs

One-Period SDFs

• SDF at t for pricing at t+1 is a r.v. Z_{t+1} depending on date t+1 information such that

$$\mathsf{E}_t[Z_{t+1}R_{i,t+1}] = 1$$

for all assets i.

• Equivalently, price at t of any portfolio payoff X_{t+1} at t+1 is

$$\mathsf{E}_t[Z_{t+1}X_{t+1}]$$

• With no uncertainty or with risk neutrality,

$$Z_{t+1} = \frac{1}{R_{f,t+1}} := \frac{1}{1 + r_{f,t+1}}$$

Two-Period SDFs

• How to price at t-1 a payoff at t+1?

Two-Period SDFs

- How to price at t-1 a payoff at t+1?
- Same as price at t-1 of enough dollars at t to buy the payoff. So, is price at t-1 of

$$\mathsf{E}_t[Z_{t+1}X_{t+1}]$$

Two-Period SDFs

- How to price at t-1 a payoff at t+1?
- Same as price at t-1 of enough dollars at t to buy the payoff. So, is price at t-1 of

$$\mathsf{E}_t[Z_{t+1}X_{t+1}]$$

• So price at t-1 is

$$\mathsf{E}_{t-1}\bigg[Z_t\mathsf{E}_t[Z_{t+1}X_{t+1}]\bigg] = \mathsf{E}_{t-1}\bigg[\mathsf{E}_t[Z_tZ_{t+1}X_{t+1}]\bigg] = \mathsf{E}_{t-1}\bigg[Z_tZ_{t+1}X_{t+1}\bigg]$$

- We're compounding discount factors.
- With no uncertainty, price is

$$\frac{X_{t+1}}{(1+r_{f,t})(1+r_{f,t+1})}$$

SDF Process

• Define *M* by compounding discount factorrs:

$$M_t := Z_1 \times Z_2 \times \cdots \times Z_t$$

- Set $M_0 = 1$.
- Price at date 0 of a payoff X_t at date t is $E[M_tX_t]$.

SDF Process

• Define *M* by compounding discount factorrs:

$$M_t := Z_1 \times Z_2 \times \cdots \times Z_t$$

- Set $M_0 = 1$.
- Price at date 0 of a payoff X_t at date t is $E[M_tX_t]$.
- Price at date s < t of payoff X_t at date t is

$$\mathsf{E}_{s}[Z_{s+1}\cdots Z_{t}X_{t}] = \mathsf{E}_{s}\left[\frac{Z_{1}\cdots Z_{t}}{Z_{1}\cdots Z_{s}}X_{t}\right] = \mathsf{E}_{s}\left[\frac{M_{t}}{M_{s}}X_{t}\right]$$

Factor Model

Dynamic Factor Model

From

$$1 = \mathsf{E}_t \left[\frac{M_{t+1}}{M_t} R_{i,t+1} \right]$$

we get

$$1 = \frac{\mathsf{E}_t[R_{i,t+1}]}{R_{f,t+1}} + \mathsf{cov}_t\left(\frac{M_{t+1}}{M_t}, R_{i,t+1}\right)$$

So

$$\mathsf{E}_{t}[R_{i,t+1}] - R_{f,t+1} = -R_{f,t+1} \operatorname{cov}_{t} \left(\frac{M_{t+1}}{M_{t}}, R_{i,t+1} \right)$$

Portfolio Choice

Portfolio Choice

- Stack returns into an *n*-vector R_{t+1} . One may be risk-free (return $= R_{f,t+1}$).
- Investor chooses consumption C_t and a portfolio $\pi_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. $\iota' \pi_t = 1$. Labor income Y_t .
- Suppose investor seeks to maximize

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t u(C_t)$$

Wealth (actually financial wealth) W satisfies the intertemporal budget constraint

$$W_{t+1} = (W_t - C_t)\pi_t'R_{t+1} + Y_{t+1}$$

Euler Equation

 A necessary condition for consumption/investment optimality is that, for all dates t and assets i,

$$\mathsf{E}_t \left[\frac{\delta u'(\mathsf{C}_{t+1})}{u'(\mathsf{C}_t)} \mathsf{R}_{i,t+1} \right] = 1$$

- This is called the Euler equation. It is derived by the same logic as in a single-period model.
- The Euler equation is equivalent to:

$$M_t := \frac{\delta^t u'(C_t)}{u'(C_0)}$$

is an SDF process.

The one-period SDFs are one-period marginal rates of substitution:

$$\frac{M_{t+1}}{M_t} = \frac{\delta u'(C_{t+1})}{u'(C_t)}$$

Equity Premium Puzzle

Representative Investor and SDF Process

- Let C denote aggregate consumption.
- Assume there is a representative investor with CRRA utility and risk aversion ρ .
- Then, the one-period SDF is

$$\frac{M_{t+1}}{M_t} = \delta \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t}\right)^{-\rho}$$

• The SDF process is

$$M_t = \delta^t \left(\frac{C_t}{C_0}\right)^{-\rho}$$

Market Price-Dividend Ratio

- Define the market portfolio as the claim to future consumption.
- Consumption is then the dividend of the market portfolio. Assume consumption growth C_{t+1}/C_t is iid lognormal.
- The ex-dividend date—t price of the market portfolio is

$$P_t := \mathsf{E}_t \sum_{u=t+1}^\infty \frac{M_u}{M_t} C_u = \mathsf{E}_t \sum_{u=t+1}^\infty \delta^{u-t} \left(\frac{C_u}{C_t}\right)^{-\rho} C_u$$

So, the price-dividend ratio is

$$\frac{P_t}{C_t} = \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{u=t+1}^{\infty} \delta^{u-t} \left(\frac{C_u}{C_t}\right)^{1-\rho}$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \delta^u \left(\frac{C_u}{C_0}\right)^{1-\rho}$$

- Assume $\log C_{t+1} = \log C_t + \mu + \sigma \varepsilon_{t+1}$ for iid standard normals ε .

$$\log \mathit{C}_{\mathit{u}} = \log \mathit{C}_{0} + \mathit{u} \mu + \sigma \sum_{\mathit{n}=1} \varepsilon_{\mathit{n}}$$

 • Hence,

 $\mathsf{E}\left[\left(\frac{C_u}{C_0}\right)^{1-\rho}\right] = \mathsf{E}\left[\exp\left((1-\rho)\left\{u\mu + \sigma\sum_{i=1}^{u}\varepsilon_n\right\}\right)\right]$

 $=\exp\left((1ho)u\mu+rac{1}{2}(1ho)^2u\sigma^2
ight)$ $= \left(e^{(1-\rho)\mu + (1-\rho)^2 \sigma^2/2} \right)^u$

• So, the price-dividend ratio is

$$\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \left(\delta e^{(1-\rho)\mu + (1-\rho)^2 \sigma^2 / 2} \right)^u = \frac{\nu_1}{1-\nu_1}$$

where

$$\nu_1 = \delta \mathsf{E} \left[\left(\frac{C_1}{C_0} \right)^{1-\rho} \right] = \delta \mathrm{e}^{(1-\rho)\mu + (1-\rho)^2 \sigma^2/2}$$

provided $\nu_1 < 1$.

- This is the same ν_1 we saw in Chapter 7.
- Everything else—risk-free return, expected market return, log equity premium, equity premium puzzle—is exactly the same as in Chapter 7.

Risk-Neutral Probability

Risk-Neutral Probability

- Consider an arbitrary finite (possibly large) horizon T.
- Consider an event A that can be distinguished by date T (at date T, you know whether A happened or not).
- Define

$$Q(A) = \mathsf{E}[R_{f1} \cdots R_{fT} M_T 1_A]$$

- Then Q is a probability measure.
- Define E* as expectation with respect to Q. Then for all assets i
 and dates t,

$$\mathsf{E}_{t}^{*}[R_{i,t+1}] = R_{f,t+1}$$

• And, the price at t of a payoff X_{t+1} at date t+1 is

$$\frac{\mathsf{E}_{t}^{*}[X_{t+1}]}{1 + r_{f,t+1}}$$

Martingales

Martingales

- A martingale is a sequence of random variables Y such that $Y_s = \mathsf{E}_s[Y_t]$ for all s < t.
- Equivalently, $E_s[Y_t Y_s] = 0$.
- ullet Consider any payoff at date u with value V_t at date t. Then
 - 1. The sequence $M_t V_t$ is a martingale (up to u).
 - 2. The sequence

$$\frac{V_t}{(1+r_{f1})\cdots(1+r_{ft})}$$

is a Q-martingale.

Testing

Testing Conditional Models

Suppose we have a model for an SDF. Call the model value \hat{M} . We want to test whether

$$(\forall t, i) \qquad \mathsf{E}_t[\hat{M}_{t+1}R_{i,t+1}] = 1 \tag{\star}$$

Let I_t be any variable observed at t. Multiply both sides by I_t and rearrange as:

$$(\forall t, i)$$
 $\mathsf{E}_{t}[I_{t}\hat{M}_{t+1}R_{i,t+1}] - I_{t} = 0$

Take the expectation and use the law of iterated expectations to obtain

$$(\forall t, i)$$
 $E[I_t(\hat{M}_{t+1}R_{i,t+1} - 1)] = 0$ $(\star\star)$

The conditional model (\star) implies the unconditional moment condition $(\star\star)$ for every instrument I. If we reject the unconditional moment conditions, then we reject the model.