

(a) Show that the conditional variance formula (12.28) is equivalent to

$$M_{it}^2 - \int_0^t (dM_{is})^2 \quad (12.1)$$

being a martingale.

Solution: Drop the i subscript. For $u > s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}_s(M_u - M_s) &= \mathbb{E}_s [(M_u - M_s)^2] - \mathbb{E}_s [M_u - M_s]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s [(M_u - M_s)^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s [M_u^2 - 2M_s M_u + M_s^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s [M_u^2] - 2M_s \mathbb{E}_s [M_u] + M_s^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s [M_u^2] - M_s^2, \end{aligned}$$

using the martingale property $\mathbb{E}_s [M_u] = M_s$. For

$$M_{it}^2 - \int_0^t (dM_{is})^2$$

to be a martingale means that, for $u > s$,

$$\mathbb{E}_s \left[M_u^2 - \int_0^u (dM_t)^2 \right] = M_s^2 - \int_0^s (dM_t)^2.$$

By the calculation above, this is equivalent to

$$\text{var}_s(M_u - M_s) = \mathbb{E}_s \left[\int_s^u (dM_t)^2 \right],$$

which is (12.28).

(b) Show that the conditional covariance formula (12.30) is equivalent to

$$M_{1t}M_{2t} - \int_0^t (dM_{1s})(dM_{2s}) \quad (12.2)$$

being a martingale. Note: A more general fact, which does not require the finite variance assumption, and which can be used as the definition of $(dM_i)^2$ and $(dM_i)(dM_j)$, is that $\int_0^t (dM_{is})^2$ is the finite-variation process such that (12.32) is a local martingale, and $\int_0^t (dM_{1s})(dM_{2s})$ is the finite-variation process such that (12.33) is a local martingale.

Solution: We have, for $u > s$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{cov}_s(M_{1u} - M_{1s}, M_{2u} - M_{2s}) &= \mathbb{E}_s[(M_{1u} - M_{1s})(M_{2u} - M_{2s})] - \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1u} - M_{1s}]\mathbb{E}_s[M_{2u} - M_{2s}] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_s[(M_{1u} - M_{1s})(M_{2u} - M_{2s})] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1u}M_{2u} - M_{1u}M_{2s} - M_{1s}M_{2u} + M_{1s}M_{2s}] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1u}M_{2u}] - M_{2s}\mathbb{E}_s[M_{1u}] - M_{1s}\mathbb{E}_s[M_{2u}] + M_{1s}M_{2s} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1u}M_{2u}] - M_{1s}M_{2s},
 \end{aligned}$$

using the martingale property $\mathbb{E}_s[M_{iu}] = M_{is}$. For

$$M_{1t}M_{2t} - \int_0^t (dM_{1s})(dM_{2s})$$

to be a martingale means that, for $u > s$,

$$\mathbb{E}_s \left[M_{1u}M_{2u} - \int_0^u (dM_{1t})(dM_{2t}) \right] = M_{1s}M_{2s} - \int_0^s (dM_{1t})(dM_{2t}).$$

By the calculation above, this is equivalent to

$$\text{cov}_s(M_{1u} - M_{1s}, M_{2u} - M_{2s}) = \mathbb{E}_s \left[\int_s^u (dM_{1t})(dM_{2t}) \right],$$

which is (12.30).

12.13. Let $dM_i = \theta_i dB_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and Brownian motions B_1 and B_2 . Suppose θ_1 and θ_2 satisfy condition (12.5), so M_1 and M_2 are finite-variance martingales. Consider discrete dates $s = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = u$ for some $s < u$. Show that

$$\text{cov}_s(M_{1u} - M_{1s}, M_{2u} - M_{2s}) = \mathbb{E}_s \left[\sum_{j=1}^N (M_{1t_j} - M_{1t_{j-1}})(M_{2t_j} - M_{2t_{j-1}}) \right].$$

Hint: This is true of discrete-time finite-variance martingales, and the assumption that the M_i are stochastic integrals is neither necessary nor helpful in this exercise. However, it is interesting to compare this to (12.30).

Solution: As calculated in Exercise 12.12,

$$\text{cov}_s(M_{1u} - M_{1s}, M_{2u} - M_{2s}) = \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1u}M_{2u}] - M_{1s}M_{2s} .$$

Also, the calculation in Exercise 12.12 shows that

$$\mathbb{E}_{t_{j-1}}[(M_{1t_j} - M_{1t_{j-1}})(M_{2t_j} - M_{2t_{j-1}})] = \mathbb{E}_{t_{j-1}}[M_{1t_j}M_{2t_j}] - M_{1t_{j-1}}M_{2t_{j-1}} .$$

Therefore, by iterated expectations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_s \left[\sum_{j=1}^N (M_{1t_j} - M_{1t_{j-1}})(M_{2t_j} - M_{2t_{j-1}}) \right] &= \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}_s[\mathbb{E}_{t_{j-1}}[(M_{1t_j} - M_{1t_{j-1}})(M_{2t_j} - M_{2t_{j-1}})]] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}_s[\mathbb{E}_{t_{j-1}}[M_{1t_j}M_{2t_j}]] - \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1t_{j-1}}M_{2t_{j-1}}] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1t_j}M_{2t_j}] - \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1t_{j-1}}M_{2t_{j-1}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1t_N}M_{2t_N}] - \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1t_0}M_{2t_0}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_s[M_{1u}M_{2u}] - M_{1s}M_{2s} . \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 13

Continuous-Time Markets

13.1. For constants $\delta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$, assume

$$M_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-\delta t} \left(\frac{C_t}{C_0} \right)^{-\rho} \quad (13.55)$$

is an SDF process, where C denotes aggregate consumption. Assume that

$$\frac{dC}{C} = \alpha dt + \theta' dB \quad (13.56)$$

for stochastic processes α and θ .

(a) Apply Itô's formula to calculate dM/M .

Solution: Using Exercise 12.2(a) and 12.1(c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM}{M} &= -\delta dt - \rho \frac{dC}{C} + \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{2} \left(\frac{dC}{C} \right)^2 \\ &= - \left(\delta - \rho\alpha - \frac{\rho(1+\rho)\theta'\theta}{2} \right) dt - \rho\theta' dB. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Explain why the result of Part (a) implies that the instantaneous risk-free rate is

$$r = \delta + \rho\alpha - \frac{\rho(\rho+1)}{2}\theta'\theta \quad (13.57)$$

and the price of risk process is $\lambda = \rho\theta$.

Solution: The drift of dM/M is $-r dt$, so the result of part (a) shows that r is as stated.

The stochastic part of dM/M is $-\lambda' dB$, so the result of part (a) shows that λ is as stated.

(c) Explain why the risk premium of any asset with price S is

$$\rho \left(\frac{dS}{S} \right) \left(\frac{dC}{C} \right).$$

Note: This is a preview of the CCAPM (Section 14.6), which holds under more general assumptions.

Solution: The risk premium of an asset is

$$-\left(\frac{dS}{S} \right) \left(\frac{dM}{M} \right) = \rho \left(\frac{dS}{S} \right) \left(\frac{dC}{C} \right).$$

13.2. Consider an asset paying dividends D over an infinite horizon. Assume D is a geometric Brownian motion:

$$\frac{dD}{D} = \mu dt + \sigma dB$$

for constants μ and σ and a Brownian motion B . Assume the instantaneous risk-free rate r is constant, and assume there is an SDF process M such that

$$\left(\frac{dD}{D} \right) \left(\frac{dM}{M} \right) = -\sigma\lambda dt \tag{13.58}$$

for a constant λ . Assume $\mu - \sigma\lambda < r$, and assume there are no bubbles in the price of the asset.

This exercise is simpler if it is assumed that B is the only Brownian motion in the economy. In this case (13.58) is equivalent to

$$\frac{dM}{M} = -r dt - \lambda dB.$$

If there are other Brownian motions, then some regularity condition is needed to ensure a local martingale is a martingale. See Exercise 15.2 for such a result.

(a) Show that the asset price is

$$P_t = \frac{D_t}{r + \sigma\lambda - \mu}.$$

Show that the Sharpe ratio of the asset is λ . Note: This is a continuous-time version of the Gordon growth model (Section 10.4). This exercise is continued in Exercise 15.2.

Solution: The asset price is

$$\begin{aligned} P_t &= \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^\infty \frac{M_u}{M_t} D_u \, du \\ &= D_t \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^\infty \frac{M_u D_u}{M_t D_t} \, du \\ &= D_t \int_t^\infty \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{M_u D_u}{M_t D_t} \right] \, du. \end{aligned}$$

We want to show that

$$\mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{M_u D_u}{M_t D_t} \right] = e^{(\mu - r - \sigma\lambda)(u-t)}. \quad (*)$$

The result will then follow. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(DM)}{DM} &= \frac{dD}{D} + \frac{dM}{M} + \left(\frac{dD}{D} \right) \left(\frac{dM}{M} \right) \\ &= (\mu - r - \sigma\lambda) \, dt + (\sigma - \lambda) \, dB. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, MD is a geometric Brownian motion with drift $\mu - r - \sigma\lambda$. This implies $(*)$.

(b) Assume (13.55) is an SDF for constants $\delta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$, where $C = D$. Show that (13.58) holds. What is λ ? Referencing Exercise 12.4, calibrate to the following statistics reported by Mehra and Prescott (1985): $r = \log 1.008$, $\mathbb{E}_t[C_{t+1}/C_t] = 1.018$, $\text{stdev}_t(C_{t+1}/C_t) = 0.036$, $\mathbb{E}_t[(P_{t+1} + C_{t+1})/P_t] = 1.0698$, and $\text{stdev}_t(P_{t+1} + C_{t+1})/P_t = 0.1654$. Calculate ρ and δ .

Solution: Substituting $C = D$, using the given dynamics for D , we have $\mathbb{E}[C_{t+1}/C_t] = e^\mu$.

So, $\mu = \log 1.018$. Also, from Exercise 12.4, $\text{var}(C_{t+1}/C_t) = e^{2\mu}(e^{\sigma^2} - 1)$. So,

$$\sigma^2 = \log \left(\frac{0.036^2}{1.018^2} + 1 \right) = 0.00125.$$

Using Exercise 13.1, we have

$$\frac{dM}{M} = -r dt - \lambda dB$$

where $\lambda = \rho\sigma$. Note that the return on the market portfolio is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP + D dt}{P} &= \frac{dD}{D} + \frac{D}{P} dt \\ &= \mu dt + \sigma dB + (r + \sigma\lambda - \mu) dt \\ &= (r + \sigma\lambda) dt + \sigma dB \\ &= (r + \rho\sigma^2) dt + \sigma dB. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the expected market return over the course of a year is $e^{r+\rho\sigma^2}$. Matching this to the average market return gives $r + \rho\sigma^2 = \log 1.0698$, so

$$\rho = \frac{\log 1.0698 - \log 1.008}{\sigma^2} = 47.6.$$

Finally, from (13.57), we have

$$r = \delta + \rho\mu - \frac{\rho(1+\rho)\sigma^2}{2},$$

so

$$\delta = r - \rho\mu + \frac{\rho(1+\rho)\sigma^2}{2} = 0.60.$$

This implies that the one-year discount factor is $e^{-\delta} = 0.55$, as stated on p. 179.

13.3. Let r^d denote the instantaneous risk-free rate in the domestic currency, and let R^d denote the domestic currency price of the domestic money market account:

$$R_t^d = \exp\left(\int_0^t r_s^d ds\right).$$

As in Section 8.6, let X denote the price of a unit of a foreign currency in units of the domestic currency. Let r^f denote the instantaneous risk-free rate in the foreign currency, and let R^f denote

the foreign currency price of the foreign money market account:

$$R_t^f = \exp \left(\int_0^t r_s^f ds \right).$$

Suppose M^d is an SDF process for the domestic currency, so $M^f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M^d X / X_0$ is an SDF process for the foreign currency. Assume

$$\frac{dX}{X} = \mu_x dt + \sigma_x dB$$

for a Brownian motion B .

(a) Show that

$$\frac{dM^f}{M^f} = -r^f dt + dZ$$

for some local martingale Z .

Solution: Set $Y = M^f R^f$. Then Y is a local martingale, and

$$\frac{dY}{Y} = \frac{dM^f}{M^f} + r^f dt,$$

so

$$\frac{dM^f}{M^f} = -r^f dt + dZ,$$

where Z is a local martingale defined by $dZ = dY/Y$.

(b) Deduce from the previous result and Itô's formula that

$$\mu_x dt = (r^d - r^f) dt - \left(\frac{dX}{X} \right) \left(\frac{dM^d}{M^d} \right).$$

Note: This exercise is continued in Exercise 15.6.

Solution: From the previous part, the formula $M^f = M^d X / X_0$, and (13.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -r^f dt + dZ &= \frac{dM^f}{M^f} \\ &= \frac{dM^d}{M^d} + \frac{dX}{X} + \left(\frac{dX}{X} \right) \left(\frac{dM^d}{M^d} \right) \\ &= -r^d dt - \lambda' dB + \mu_x dt + \sigma_x dB_x + \left(\frac{dX}{X} \right) \left(\frac{dM^d}{M^d} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For this to be true, the dt terms on each side must match, implying

$$\mu_x dt = (r^d - r^f) dt - \left(\frac{dX}{X} \right) \left(\frac{dM^d}{M^d} \right).$$

13.4. For a local martingale Y satisfying $dY/Y = \theta' dB$ for some stochastic process θ , Novikov's condition is that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \theta' \theta dt \right) \right] < \infty.$$

Under this condition, Y is a martingale on $[0, T]$. Consider $Y = MW$, where M is an SDF process and W is a self-financing wealth process.

(a) Show that $dY/Y = \theta' dB$, where $\theta = \sigma' \pi - \lambda_p - \zeta$ and $\sigma \zeta = 0$.

Solution: For $Y = MW$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dY}{Y} &= \frac{dM}{M} + \frac{dW}{W} + \left(\frac{dM}{M} \right) \left(\frac{dW}{W} \right) \\ &= -r dt - \lambda' dB + r dt + \pi'(\mu - r\iota) dt + \pi' \sigma dB - \pi' \sigma \lambda dt \\ &= (\sigma' \pi - \lambda)' dB \\ &= (\sigma' \pi - \lambda_p - \zeta)' dB, \end{aligned}$$

using $\sigma \lambda = \mu - r\iota$ and $\lambda = \lambda_p + \zeta$.

(b) Deduce that Novikov's condition is equivalent to (13.41).

Solution: Setting $\theta = \sigma' \pi - \lambda_p - \zeta$, we have

$$\theta' \theta = \pi' \Sigma \pi + \lambda_p' \lambda_p + \zeta' \zeta - 2\pi' \sigma \lambda_p - 2\pi' \sigma \zeta + 2\lambda_p' \zeta.$$

Substituting $\sigma \lambda_p = \mu - r\iota$, $\sigma \zeta = 0$ and

$$\lambda_p' \zeta = (\mu - r\iota)' \Sigma^{-1} \sigma \zeta = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\theta' \theta = \pi' \Sigma \pi + \lambda_p' \lambda_p + \zeta' \zeta - 2\pi'(\mu - r\iota).$$

(c) By specializing (13.41), state sufficient conditions for MS_i to be a martingale for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Solution: To apply (13.41) to $W = S_i$, take the portfolio process π to be the i -th basis vector e_i . Condition (13.41) in this case is

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \lambda_p' \lambda_p + \zeta' \zeta + e_i' \Sigma e_i - 2(\mu_i - r) dt \right\} \right] < \infty.$$

Note that $e_i' \Sigma e_i$ is the squared volatility of the asset return, i.e., the i -th diagonal element of Σ .

13.5. Suppose $W > 0$, C and π satisfy the intertemporal budget constraint (13.38). Define the consumption-reinvested wealth process W^\dagger by (13.43).

(a) Show that W^\dagger satisfies the intertemporal budget constraint (13.44).

Solution: From (13.43) and (13.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW^\dagger}{W^\dagger} &= \frac{dW}{W} + \frac{C}{W} dt \\ &= r dt + \pi'(\mu - r\iota) dt + \pi' \sigma dB. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Show that

$$W_t^\dagger - W_t = W_t^\dagger \int_0^t \frac{C_s}{W_s^\dagger} ds$$

for each t .

Hint: Define $Y = W/W^\dagger$ and use Itô's formula to show that

$$dY = -\frac{C}{W^\dagger} dt.$$