ML - OVERFITTING, SHRINKAGE, AND LINEAR MODELS

MGMT 675 Al-Assisted Financial Analysis Kerry Back



MACHINE LEARNING IN FINANCE

- Fraud detection
- Credit risk analysis
- Return prediction
- Valuation
- Text analysis
- Time series forecasting

REGRESSION VS CLASSIFICATION

- Regression means to predict a continuous variable (not necessarily linear regression).
- Classification is to predict a categorical variable. Binary or multiclass.

OVERFITTING AND SHRINKAGE

UNDERFITTING AND OVERFITTING

- Fitting or training a model means estimating its parameters (like linear regression).
- A model underfits the data on which it was trained if it makes poor predictions on that data.
- A model overfits the data on which it was trained if it makes good predictions on that data but performs poorly on new data.
- Overfitting means that the chosen parameters reflect chance relationships in the training data.

MODEL COMPLEXITY AND SHRINKAGE

- We can create more complex models by increasing the number of parameters (like adding variables in a linear regression).
- We can reduce complexity by reducing the number of parameters. Or by choosing less influential parameter values (like regression coefficients closer to zero).
- A more complex model is less likely to underfit but more likely to overfit.

TRAIN AND TEST

- To check that we have not overfit our model, we train and test.
- Split data randomly into train and test samples. Train (fit) on the training data. Test on test data.
 - Test data is also called holdout data.
 - Also called out-of-sample testing.
- Performance on the test data is the performance that we can expect on new data.

TEST CRITERIA

- How do we decide if performance is good or bad?
- For continuous variables,
 - usually want to achieve a low sum of squared errors
 - equivalently, achieve a high R^2 .

$$R^2 = 1 - rac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum (y_i - ar{y})^2}$$

For categorical, can use % accurately classified.

VALIDATION

- We could consider different models for example, models of different complexity and train them all, test them all on the test data, and then choose the model that performs best on the test data.
- But then we run a risk of overfitting our test data. The performance may not generalize to new data.
- So, we can hold out some data within the training data (called validation data) for doing model comparison.

LASSO AND RIDGE EXAMPLES

DATA

- Download ml1.xlsx from the course website
- Upload it to Julius and ask Julius to read it and describe it.
- The data was created by generating 51 sets of 100 standard normals.
 - The first 50 sets are labeled x1, ..., x50.
 - The last set was used as the noise to generate y1 as x1 + noise.
 - So, x2, ..., x50 are irrelevant for y1, but they may be correlated with y1 by chance.

LINEAR REGRESSION

- Ask Julius to do a train-test split of the data with 20% of the data in the test set.
- Ask Julius to train a linear regressor on the training data with x1, ..., x50 as the features and y1 as the target.
- Ask Julius to report the coefficient estimates.
- Ask Julius to compute the R-squared on the test data.

SHRINKAGE

- To induce selection of parameter values that are less influential, we can penalize large values.
- Usually in linear regression, we minimize SSE (sum of squared errors).
- LASSO: minimize SSE + penalty \times sum of $|\beta_i|$.
- Ridge: minimize SSE + penalty \times sum of β_i^2 .

TRAIN AND TEST

- Ask Julius to train a ridge regressor on the training data, report the parameter estimates, and compute the R-squared on the test data.
- Ask Julius to traing a lasso regressor on the training data, report the parameter estimates, and compute the R-squared on the test data.
- The penalty in lasso and ridge is called alpha in scikitlearn. You can specify it.

OPTIMIZING THE PENALTY

- The penalty is called a hyperparameter, because it is not fit by training but instead is specified in advance.
- The penalty controls the degree of complexity. Larger penalty = less complex.
- To optimize the penalty, we can cross validate.

CROSS VALIDATION

- Split the training data into random subsets, say, A, B, C, D, and E.
- Use $A \cup B \cup C \cup D$ as training data and test on E.
- Then use $B \cup C \cup D \cup E$ as training data and test on A.
- Then, ..., until we have trained and tested 5 times.

- Average the 5 test scores.
- Repeat for each model configuration (each hyperparameter value).
- Choose the hyperparameter value with the highest average score.
- Then proceed to testing on the test data.

CROSS VALIDATE LASSO AND RIDGE

- Ask Julius to run GridSearchCV on lasso regression.
- You can specify a set of alpha values to try.
- Ask Julius what the optimal alpha (penalty) is.
- Ask Julius what the coefficient estimates are.
- Ask Julius what the score is on the test data.
- Repeat for ridge regression.