	<pre># the goal in our actor critic as well) # Note, we change this from (1, 1) because the original maze # does not contain the outer walls goal = (2, 2) goal_state = goal[0] * maze.shape[1] + goal[1] goal_value = 10 def plot_maze(maze, start = None, end = None, ax = None, point_size = 100): """ Plots the maze :param maze: 2D numpy array with maze structure (1 for wall, 0 for path) :param start: starting position in the maze</pre>
	<pre>:param end: goal position in the maze :param ax: matplotlib axis plot_ground = ax if ax is not None else plt plot_ground.imshow(maze, cmap = "binary") for i in range(maze.shape[0]): plot_ground.plot([-0.5, maze.shape[1] - 0.5], [i - 0.5, i - 0.5], c = "gray", lw = 0.5) for i in range(maze.shape[1]): plot_ground.plot([i - 0.5, i - 0.5], [-0.5, maze.shape[0] - 0.5], c = "gray", lw = 0.5) if start is not None: plot_ground.scatter(start[1], start[0], marker = "*", color = "blue", s = point_size) if end is not None: plot_ground.scatter(end[1], end[0], marker = "s", color = "green", s = point_size)</pre>
	<pre>if ax is not None: plot_ground.set_xticks([]) plot_ground.set_yticks([]) else: plot_ground.xticks([]) plot_ground.yticks([]) def plot_path(maze, path, start = None, end = None, ax = None, point_size = 100): """ Plots the maze and a path in it :param maze: 2D numpy array with maze structure (1 for wall, 0 for path) :param path: 2D numpy array specifying the path trajectory, shape (n_steps, 2) :param start: starting position in the maze :param end: goal position in the maze :param ax: matplotlib axis</pre>
	<pre>:param point_size: size of the start and end points """ plot_maze(maze, start = start, end = end, ax = ax, point_size = point_size) path = np.array(path) plot_ground = ax if ax is not None else plt plot_ground.plot(path[:, 1], path[:, 0], c = "red", lw = 3) plot_ground.scatter(path[0, 1], path[0, 0], marker = "*", color = "blue", s = 100) plot_ground.scatter(path[-1, 1], path[-1, 0], marker = "*", color = "green", s = 100) plot_maze(maze, start, goal)</pre>
In [4]:	<pre>def compute_transition_matrix(maze): """" For a given maze, compute the transition matrix from any state to any other state under a random walk policy :param maze: 2D numpy array with maze structure (1 for wall, 0 for path) :return: """ # Create a matrix over all state pairs transitions = np.zeros((maze.size, maze.size)) # Iterate over all states, filling in the transition probabilities to all # other states on the next step (only one step into the future)</pre>
	<pre>for i in range(maze.shape[0]): for j in range(maze.shape[1]): # Check if state is valid if maze[i, j] == 0: # Iterate over all possible moves for move in [(0, 1), (0, -1), (1, 0), (-1, 0)]: new_i, new_j = i + move[0], j + move[1] # Check if new state is valid # noinspection PyChainedComparisons if new_i >= 0 and new_i < maze.shape[0] and \</pre>
	<pre>transitions[np.isnan(transitions)] = 0 return transitions def analytical_sr(transitions: np.ndarray, gamma: float) -> np.ndarray: """ Calculate the closed form solution for successor representation. Equal to the infinite product. :param transitions: transition matrix from state to state (np.ndarray) :param gamma: discount factor (float) :return: updated transition matrix (np.ndarray) """ return np.linalg.inv(np.eye(transitions.shape[0]) - gamma * transitions.T) def learn_from_traj(succ_repr, trajectory, gamma = 0.98, alpha = 0.05): """ Update a given successor representation (for the state at which the trajectory starts) using discount factor gamma and learning rate alpha :param succ_repr: current successor representation (np.ndarray) :param gamma: discount factor (float) :param alpha: learning rate (float)</pre>
	<pre>:return: updated successor representation (np.ndarray) """ observed = np.zeros_like(succ_repr) for i, state in enumerate(trajectory): observed[state] += gamma ** i succ_repr += alpha * (observed - succ_repr) return succ_repr Part 1 Fill in the actor-critic function in the provided template. We will learn through a number of episodes of interacting with the representation and a reset the representation (np.ndarray) """ observed = np.zeros_like(succ_repr) for i, state in enumerate(trajectory): observed[state] += gamma ** i succ_repr += alpha * (observed - succ_repr) return succ_repr</pre>
	with the maze environment, an episode ends once the reward located at is reached. Besides the framework for this, you will have to derive the update equation for the action propensities M (does require some steps, similar to what we once did in the tutorial) and the update equation for the w s parameterizing the value function (very straightforward). For our state representation X , we will simply use a one-hot encoding of the current state (though you should write your actor-critic in such a way as to accommodate different representations, as we will use a different one in the next exercise). Let the actor-critic run for 1000 episodes, keeping track of how much summed, discounted reward it received during each episode. Plot this evolution of reward acquisition.
	<pre>Returns: int: state index int: state = state2int(np.array(start)) return state def int2state(state_integer: np.ndarray) -> np.ndarray: Convert an array of integer into an array of 2D coordinates Args: state_integer (np.ndarray): integer array (n_samples,) Returns: np.ndarray: coordinate array (n_samples, 2) """</pre>
	<pre>row = state_integer // maze.shape[1] column = state_integer - (maze.shape[1] * row) coords = np.stack([row, column]).T return coords def state2int(state: np.ndarray) -> np.ndarray: """ Convert 2D coordinates to integer array Args: state (np.ndarray): (2, n_samples) Returns: np.ndarray: (n_samples,) """ state = state[0] * maze.shape[1] + state[1]</pre>
	<pre>return state def get_valid_action_mask(state: np.ndarray) -> np.ndarray:</pre>
	<pre># remove those moves which run into a barrier move_options = 1 - maze[*move_options.T] return move_options def actor_critic(state_representation, n_steps, alpha, gamma, n_episodes, update_sr = False, start_func = normal_start, v_init = 0, quiet: bool = False, seed: int = 42):</pre>
	ciparam state_representation: (np.ndarray) 2D state representation array of shape (n_states, refives the representation for each, which is either a 1-hot vector (so e.g. state_representation a vector of size n_states which is 0 everywhere, except 1 at index 15), or the SR for each interpretation in parameters; number of actions in each episode before it gets cut off, an episode also end the agent reaches the goal. Interpretation in each episode before it gets cut off, an episode also end the agent reaches the goal. Interpretation in each episode before it gets cut off, an episode also end the agent reaches the goal. Interpretation in each episode also end the agent eparameter graph gr
	<pre>final state representation, and a list of starting locations np.random.seed(seed) state_representation = state_representation.copy() n_states = len(state_representation) # Initialize the M-values M = np.ones((n_states, 4), dtype = float) / 4 # Initialize state-value function V_weights = v_init * np.ones(n_states) earned_rewards = np.zeros(n_episodes) starting_pos = np.zeros((n_episodes, 2), dtype = int) # Define possible moves</pre>
	<pre>possible_moves = np.array([[-1, 0], [1, 0], [0, -1], [0, 1]], dtype = int) trajectories = [] iterator = range(n_episodes) if not quiet: iterator = tqdm(iterator) for episode_idx in iterator: # Reset trajectory and place in starting position trajectory = np.zeros(n_steps, dtype = int) state = start_func() starting_pos[episode_idx] = int2state(state) discount = 1 for t in range(n_steps): # Save state in trajectory trajectory[t] = state</pre>
	<pre># Get possible actions, randomly select one of them and perform step state_coords = int2state(state) action_mask = get_valid_action_mask(state_coords) action_logits = M[state] action_logits[action_mask == 0] = -np.inf # after softmax() -> 0 action_distr = softmax(action_logits) action_idx = np.random.choice(4, p = action_distr) next_state_coords = state_coords + possible_moves[action_idx] next_state = state2int(next_state_coords) # Calculate the value and reward of current and next state reward = 0 current_value = state_representation[state] @ V_weights next_value = state_representation[next_state] @ V_weights</pre>
	<pre>if next_state == goal_state: reward = goal_value earned_rewards[episode_idx] += discount * reward next_value = 0 # Calculate TD error td_error = reward + gamma * next_value - current_value # Update weights V_weights += alpha * td_error * state_representation[state] # Update M-values log_grad = np.zeros(4) log_grad[action_idx] += 1 M[state] += alpha * gamma ** t * td_error * log_grad</pre>
	<pre># Update SR if update_sr: state_repr_td_error = gamma * state_representation[next_state] - state_representation[state] += alpha * state_repr_td_error # Check if goal is reached if next_state == goal_state: # Truncate to include only the visited positions trajectory = trajectory[: t + 1] break state = next_state discount *= gamma # Always include the goal state (for plotting purposes) if t != n_steps - 1: trajectory = np.array([*trajectory.tolist(), goal_state])</pre>
	<pre>trajectories.append(trajectory) return M, V_weights, earned_rewards, trajectories, state_representation, starting_pos transitions = compute_transition_matrix(maze) state_representation = np.eye(len(transitions)) _, V, earned_rewards, traj, _, _ = actor_critic(state_representation, n_steps = 300, alpha = 0.05, gamma = 0.99, n_episodes = 1000) /var/folders/qt/hgl1dlf168d7h94jf7mz7b3c0000gn/T/ipykernel_80641/237609977.py:30: RuntimeWarning: nvalid value encountered in divide</pre>
	transitions /= transitions.sum(axis = 1, keepdims = True) 100% 1000/1000 [00:02<00:00, 357.87it/s]
	- 6 - 4 - 2
n [11]:	The plot above visualizes the value function in the maze and overlays the trajectory of the agent (red line) navigating from the starting position (blue star) to the goal (green square). The red trajectory shows that the agent is following a learned policy and prefers actions that lead it through higher-value states. The value function is well-learned and reflects the agent's ability to maximize rewards. plt.plot(running_mean(earned_rewards, 10)) plt.ylim([-0.5, 10.5]) plt.xlabel("episode") plt.ylabel("reward") plt.title("Running Mean of Earned rewards") plt.show() Running Mean of Earned rewards
	8 - Power 4 - 2 -
	The plot above shows the earned rewards across a number of learning episodes (running mean, smoothed over 10 points). Initially, the rewards start slow and fluctuate significantly, indicating that the agent is in its exploration stage, trying to learn about the environment and potentially taking suboptimal actions. After around 200 learning episodes, the rewards gradually increase (however, they still fluctuate). Now, the agent is learning a better policy, improving its ability to maximize the rewards. Fluctuations suggest that the agent still actively explores the environment, tries riskier actions and refines the policy. Finally, after around 600 episodes the rewards plateau at a high value (~8-9). At this point, the agent has converged to a near-optimal or optimal policy. The reduced fluctuations indicate that the agent is now performing consistently well and exploiting the learnt policy.
n [12]:	Now, we will use the successor representation (SR) to improve learning speed. Imagine the agent had ample exposure to the environment under a random policy, and acquired an accurate SR for each state. We thus create a value function as such: $V \ s \ SR \ s \ w$. Use the provided function to compute the SR for all states, and use this as your state representation. This might require you to make some parts of your code more general, but the same code can run both versions of actor-critic in principle. Compare the evolution of reward acquisition for this new actor-critic. What do you see and how do you explain this? Plot the learned value function overlaid onto the maze. # Compute the SR for all states, based on the transition matrix # Note that we use a lower discounting here, to keep the SR more local analytical_state_repr = analytical_sr(transitions, 0.6) _, V, earned_rewards, traj, _, _ = actor_critic(analytical_state_repr, n_steps = 300, alpha = 0.05,
n [13]:	<pre>gamma = 0.99, n_episodes = 1000, update_sr = False) 100%</pre>
	-6-4-2
n [14]:	<pre>plt.ylim([-0.5, 10.5]) plt.xlabel("episode")</pre>
	plt.ylabel("reward") plt.title("Running Mean of Earned rewards") plt.show() Running Mean of Earned rewards 10 - 8 - 6 -
	2 d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d
	short as the agent is already familiar with the environment. Now, the policy converges after around 200 episodes (as compared to 600 in the case of one-hot encoding). Part 3 Next, we study the effects of re-learning the SR while learning the policy. Make two extensions to your code: Rather
n [15]:	than always starting an episode at the start location, use a function which returns a random (valid) location of the maze to start at. Additionally, also update the SR while interacting with the environment. You can do this with the provided function <code>learn_from_traj</code> after each episode, or during each episode if you programmed a temporal difference SR algorithm during exercise 3 (or want to do so now). If you use <code>learn_from_traj</code> , note that it only updates the SR of the first state of the trajectory, so you have to write some extra code to also update the SR of the states along the trajectory. Plot the SR for a couple of states of your choice which showcase what it learned while specialising to the learned policy (and, as always, elaborate a bit on what you observe). <code> def random_start(): </code>
n [16]:	maze to start at. Additionally, also update the SR while interacting with the environment. You can do this with the provided function <code>learn_from_traj</code> after each episode, or during each episode if you programmed a temporal difference SR algorithm during exercise 3 (or want to do so now). If you use <code>learn_from_traj</code> , note that it only updates the SR of the first state of the trajectory, so you have to write some extra code to also update the SR of the states along the trajectory. Plot the SR for a couple of states of your choice which showcase what it learned while specialising to the learned policy (and, as always, elaborate a bit on what you observe). <code> def random_start(): """" Defines a random stating (non-wall) starting state to pass into the actor_critic function.</code>
n [16]:	maze to start at. Additionally, also update the SR while interacting with the environment. You can do this with the provided function learn_from_traj after each episode, or during each episode if you programmed a temporal difference SR algorithm during exercise 3 (or want to do so now). If you use learn_from_traj, note that it only updates the SR of the first state of the trajectory, so you have to write some extra code to also update the SR of the states along the trajectory. Plot the SR for a couple of states of your choice which showcase what it learned while specialising to the learned policy (and, as always, elaborate a bit on what you observe). def random_start(): """ Defines a random stating (non-wall) starting state to pass into the actor_critic function. :return: random starting state """ mask = transitions.sum(axis=1) mask[mask == 0] = -np.inf p = softmax(mask) return np.random.choice(len(p), p=p) M, V, earned_rewards, traj, learned_state_repr, starting_pos = actor_critic(analytical_state_repr, n_steps = 300, alpha = 0.05, gamma = 0.99, n_episodes = 1000, update_sr = True, start_func = random_start } 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1218.35it/s]
n [16]:	maze to start at. Additionally, also update the SR while interacting with the environment. You can do this with the provided function 'Learn_from_traj after each episode, or during each episode if you programmed a temporal difference SR algorithm during exercise 3 (or want to do so now). If you use [Learn_from_traj], note that it only updates the SR of the first state of the trajectory, to you have to write some extra code to also update the SR of the states along the Trajectory (and, as always, elaborate a bit on what you observe). def random_start(): """ Defines a random stating (non-wall) starting state to pass into the actor_critic function. 'return: random starting state """ mask = transitions.sum(axis=1) mask(mask = 0 = -np.inf p = softmax(mask) return np.random.choice(len(p), p=p) M, V, earned_revards, traj, learned_state_repr, starting_pos = actor_critic(
n [16]:	more to start at. Additionally, also update the SR while interacting with the evolutionment. You can do this with the provided lunction. Dearn _from_train_all derive enterposition. If the repeated it you congrammed a hompout difference SR algorithm during exercise 3 for want to do so now, if you use I learn_from_train_it enter the SR of the first state of the trajectory, so you have to write some extra conds to also update the SR of
n [16]:	morn to stand at Additional, yeak outside the SR while interacting with the environment. You can do this with the provided function learning from Ling in direct and highest, and undergoal difference SY algorithm cluring exercise SY (event to especially and the standard of the first state of the relations, so you have to write one exercise from Lings (in the state along the trajectory, 90 the SR for a couple of states of your choice which showcase what it learned while specially got to the learned policy (and, as sheyys, plaborate a bit on what you observe). def grandom_start(1):
n [16]:	interest to that all additionally acts update the sit while interesting with the environment two can do this six hits process interform. First, including a removal content of the process interform. First, including a service 30 or cent to do a more, if you are learning from Irist, including the six of the date of the respective, you greatly one to expert on the services of the six of the store and the six of the s
n [16]:	in the mare wild for observe a clear value function for the sit while the relationship to the sit of the sit o
n [16]:	increase out at Additionally also proces a 68 while in equation with the environment through of all of the provision shadows processing the control process and on the programment common difference Stationary of the control process and the control
	moves can all actionary as appeted in a filt of this recombined from a warrand action that a proposed strong in the part of th

In [1]: import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import matplotlib as mpl
from itertools import product

from tqdm import tqdm

*equal contribution

Learning to act

Robin Uhrich* and Ieva Kerseviciute*

We will program an actor-critic to learn a policy on the maze world we used for exercise 3 (see section 13.5 in Sutton & Barto for a full treatment of actor-critic). Our actor will be a direct actor, learning a table M of action propensities,

	<pre>start_func = normal_start, seed = np.random.randint(1, max_int)) stats["rewards"][1].append(earned_rewards_relearned) 100% </pre>
	1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1262.61it/s]
	1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 597.35it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 843.22it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 613.13it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1247.96it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 567.77it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1190.11it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 625.78it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1153.68it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 509.90it/s]
	1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 509.90it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1107.00it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 571.54it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 947.83it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 550.73it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1241.93it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 587.98it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1053.00it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 573.41it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1060.69it/s]
	1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 594.84it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1008.87it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 575.56it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1045.34it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 596.85it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1196.69it/s] 1000/1000 [00:01<00:00, 563.86it/s] 1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1258.96it/s]
	1000/1000 [00:00<00:00, 1258.96it/s]
.21]:	<pre>fig, ax = plt.subplots(ncols = 2, figsize = (12, 6)) plot_path(maze, int2state(traj_clamped[-1]), start = start, end = goal, ax = ax[0]) im0 = ax[0].imshow((analytical_state_repr @ V_clamped).reshape(maze.shape), cmap = "hot", alpha = 0.8, vmin = 0, vmax = 10)</pre>
	<pre>) ax[0].set_title("clamped") fig.colorbar(im0, shrink = 0.6) plot_path(maze, int2state(traj_relearned[-1]), start = start, end = goal, ax = ax[1]) im1 = ax[1].imshow((learned_state_repr_relearned @ V_relearned).reshape(maze.shape), cmap = "hot", alpha = 0.8,</pre>
	<pre>vmin = 0, vmax = 10) ax[1].set_title("relearned") fig.colorbar(im1, shrink = 0.6) plt.show()</pre> clamped relearned
	clamped -8 -6 -4
	In the 1st case (using the original SR from the random walk policy), the agent does not know where the reward is
	located and proceeds to explore the environment and locate the reward position as usual. In the 2nd case (using the re-learned SR that is tuned towards finding the reward at a case), the agent first tries to search for the reward at its usual location, and then proceeds to explore the environment from there when the reward is not found. So, in the 2nd case, we see the agent exploring the environment where the reward used to be (indicated by the bright colors the upper left side of the maze). The final trajectory in this case is not optimal (as the exploration of the optimal pairs not encouraged), and it branches off the path to the previous goal location.
n [22]:	<pre>reward_stats = np.stack(stats["rewards"]) mean_base = reward_stats.mean(axis = 1) mean = np.stack([running_mean(mean_base[0], 10), running_mean(mean_base[1], 10),])</pre>
	<pre>std_base = reward_stats.std(axis = 1) std = np.stack([running_mean(std_base[0], 10), running_mean(std_base[1], 10),]) tab10 = mpl.colormaps["tab10"]</pre>
	<pre>plt.plot(mean[0], label = "clamped") plt.fill_between(np.arange(len(mean[0])), mean[0] - std[0], mean[0] + std[0], color = tab10(0), alpha = 0.2,</pre>
	<pre>plt.plot(mean[1], label = "relearned") plt.fill_between(np.arange(len(mean[1])), mean[1] - std[1], mean[1] + std[1], color = tab10(1), alpha = 0.2,)</pre>
	<pre>plt.xlabel("episodes") plt.ylabel("earned rewards") plt.legend(loc = "center left", bbox_to_anchor = (1, 0.5)) plt.show()</pre>
	8 - Spinson 6 - Clamped
	Clamped — relearned
	O 200 400 600 800 1000 episodes As expected, we notice that the earned rewards grow slower and plateau at a lower value in the case of the relearn
	SR. The reasons for this difference are explained above (the agent tries to explore the location of the previous reward first, and later chooses a non-optimal path to the reward). In the beginning, the average reward collected in both cases seems to match. This could be due to the random walk in the first episodes when searching for the reward.
	Part 5 Lastly, we will study how value initialization can aid in the learning of a policy. The reward location is back at we always start at the original starting position, and use the SR from a random-walk policy as our representation. Star, we have initialized our weights w with . Experiment with different initializations along with both the 1-hot representation and the SR. Try a couple of representative points (like 4-5 different values) from 0 to 90 as your
	<pre>initialization. What do you observe, why do you think some values help while others hurt? # Reset the goal location (again, adjusted by our inclusion of outer walls in the maze) goal = (2, 2) goal_state = state2int(goal) # Define parameters to run multiple models</pre>
	<pre>N_BOOT = 4 N_EPISODES = 1000 N_STEPS = 300 ALPHA = 0.05 GAMMA = 0.99 # Generate initial weight values in logspace N_PARAMS = 10 v_inits = np.logspace(0, np.log10(90 + 1), num = N_PARAMS, base = 10)</pre>
	<pre>v_inits = np.logspace(0, np.log10(90 + 1), num = N_PARAMS, base = 10) v_inits -= 1 # Adjust for log np.random.seed(42) max_int = np.iinfo(np.int32).max boot_stats = {"reward_one_hot": np.zeros((N_BOOT, N_PARAMS, N_EPISODES)), "reward_sr": np.zeros</pre>
. [26]:	<pre># Run multiple fits for one-hot state representation for v_init_idx, boot_idx in tqdm(product(range(N_PARAMS), range(N_B00T))): M_one_hot, V_one_hot, earned_rewards_one_hot, traj_one_hot, _, _ = actor_critic(</pre>
	<pre>v_init = v_inits[v_init_idx], start_func = normal_start, quiet=True, seed = np.random.randint(1, max_int)) boot_stats["reward_one_hot"][boot_idx, v_init_idx] = earned_rewards_one_hot ### Oit [02:41, 4.03s/it]</pre>
n [27]:	<pre># Run multiple fits for random-walk policy state representation for v_init_idx, boot_idx in tqdm(product(range(N_PARAMS), range(N_B00T))): M_sr, V_sr, earned_rewards_sr, traj_sr, _, _ = actor_critic(</pre>
	<pre>n_episodes = N_EPISODES, v_init = v_inits[v_init_idx], start_func = normal_start, quiet=True, seed = np.random.randint(1, max_int)) boot_stats["reward_sr"][boot_idx, v_init_idx] = earned_rewards_sr #### IDI:43, 2.60s/it]</pre>
	<pre>fig, ax = plt.subplots(ncols = 2, figsize = (12, 6)) color_map = mpl.colormaps["viridis"] reward_one_hot = np.stack(boot_stats["reward_one_hot"]) reward_one_hot = reward_one_hot.mean(axis = 0) reward_one_hot_std = reward_one_hot.std(axis = 0) for param_idx, param in enumerate(v_inits):</pre>
	<pre>ax[0].plot(running_mean(reward_one_hot[param_idx], 10), label = f"{round(param, 2)}", color = color_map((param_idx + 1) / N_PARAMS),) ax[0].fill_between(np.arange(len(reward_one_hot[param_idx]) - 10 + 1), running_mean(reward_one_hot[param_idx] - reward_one_hot_std[param_idx], 10),</pre>
	<pre>running_mean(reward_one_hot[param_idx] + reward_one_hot_std[param_idx], 10),</pre>
	<pre>ax[0].set_ylabel("earned reward") reward_sr = np.stack(boot_stats["reward_sr"]) reward_sr = reward_sr.mean(axis = 0) reward_sr_std = reward_sr.std(axis = 0) for param_idx, param in enumerate(v_inits): ax[1].plot(</pre>
	<pre>running_mean(reward_sr[param_idx], 10), label = f"{round(param, 2)}", color = color_map((param_idx + 0) / N_PARAMS),) ax[1].fill_between(np.arange(len(reward_sr[param_idx]) - 10 + 1), running_mean(reward_sr[param_idx] - reward_sr_std[param_idx], 10), running_mean(reward_sr[param_idx] + reward_sr_std[param_idx], 10), color = color_map(param_idx / N_PARAMS),</pre>
	<pre>ax[1].set_ylim(-1, 10) ax[1].set_title("Random-walk policy state representation") ax[1].set_xlabel("epochs") ax[1].set_ylabel("earned reward")</pre>
	<pre>plt.legend(loc = "center left", bbox_to_anchor = (1, 0.5), title = r"\$V_{init}\$") plt.show()</pre> One-hot state representation Random-walk policy state representation 8-
	6 - Puse de la company de la c
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state before any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored inivalues. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with moiterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the random-walk policy state representation (the reasons for this were explained previously).
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
n [28]:	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th
	The most noticeable difference between the different initial weight values is the speed of the convergence. The initial weights act as the agent's prior knowledge. They define the starting estimate of the value of each state befor any learning occurs. If these estimates are far from the true values, they can hinder learning. High initial values introduce a bias that overestimates the agent's future rewards, and the agent may start prioritizing states based o inflated values rather than actual rewards. In general, when the initial values are too high, the agent wastes many episodes reducing these inflated estimates, delaying convergence. Moreover, it is more difficult to differentiate between the good and the bad states, which can hinder exploration, as the agent might stick to suboptimal paths due to misleading initial values. Smaller or zero initial values are better, providing a neutral or slightly optimistic starting point that aligns with typical rewards. In the case of one-hot encoding state representation, the algorithm does not converge for the highest explored ini values. This is the case for the 1000 episodes used for this analysis; that is, the algorithm would converge with more iterations. As in the previous cases, there is a noticeable convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of the convergence delay between the one-hot state representation and the case of th

In [20]: stats = { "rewards": [[], []] }

np.random.seed(42)

for i in range(20):

max_int = np.iinfo(np.int32).max

alpha = 0.05, gamma = 0.99,

analytical_state_repr,
n_steps = 300,

Note that the reward position is adjusted -- we added walls to the

M_clamped, V_clamped, earned_rewards_clamped, traj_clamped, _, _ = actor_critic(

outer edges of the maze!
goal = (6, 6)
goal_state = goal[0] * maze.shape[1] + goal[1]

Using original SR from the random walk policy

n_episodes = 1000,
update_sr = False,
start_func = normal_start,
seed = np.random.randint(1, max_int)