Sprint Review Checklist

Sprint No.	1
Project Name	MaroonPrint
Reviewer's Name	Hannah Mae Magno
Review Date	February 6, 2019

This checklist is to be used to assess if sprint goals have been achieved during the sprint. Particularly, if the target features of the software have been built based on user acceptance criteria.

Functional Goals:

User Acceptance Criteria		oliance	Remarks			
	Yes	No				
UC2-S1: Blueprint exists. Blueprint can be viewed	1		This use case is partially implemented only for the DCS building.			
UC2-S2: Blueprint does not exist			This use case is implemented to all engineering buildings of UP Diliman that does not yet exist.			
TOTAL	/					

Tester's Comments:

In UC2-S1, the blueprints can be viewed well if the blueprint exists for the building. However, the blueprint images were not uniform and some pictures are blurry. I suggest to have a cleaner and more uniform version of the images.

In UC2-S2, the error message if the blueprint does not exist was implemented well. The go back button is also appropriate for this error handling.

resolamo: 2nd AY 2017-2018

Usability Goals:

If interfaces will be delivered at the end of the sprint, evaluate the system according to Nielsen's Usability Heuristics. For items that are not applicable, check **NA**.

Nielsen's Usability Heuristics	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	NA		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Simple and Natural Dialogue				✓		
Speaks the Users' Language				✓		
Minimize User Memory Load				√		
Consistency		1				
Feedback			√			
Clearly Marked Exits				1		
Shortcuts						√
Good Error Messages				✓		
Prevent Errors				1		
Help and Documentation				√		

Reviewer's Comments:

In terms of simplicity and natural dialogue, I find the design simple and how to use the web application is clearly evident.

In terms of the user's language, the language is simple and straightforward.

In terms of consistency, the font styles and blueprint images should be consistent. The font styles of the titles are not uniform. Also, the blueprint images are not consistent with size and quality.

There are good error messages and marked exits for the use case especially if the blueprint for the buildings does not yet exist.

The shortcuts are not applicable for this web application.

Overall, I think the 2 use cases were implemented well. I personally suggest to work more on the user interface design of the web application because it seems to be not catchy and clean enough for target users. Edit the quality of the images and make it consistent.

I appreciate all the work for this Sprint 1. Good job everyone!

resolamo: 2nd AY 2017-2018