## SCIENCE CHINA Mathematics

#### • ARTICLES •

September 2014 Vol. 57 No. 9: 1875–1882 doi: 10.1007/s11425-014-4796-0

# Neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings via the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

DING LaiHao<sup>1</sup>, WANG GuangHui<sup>1,\*</sup> & YAN GuiYing<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China;
<sup>2</sup>Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10080, China
Email: dinglaihao@yeah.net, qhwang@sdu.edu.cn, yangy@amss.ac.cn

Received August 2, 2013; accepted October 23, 2013; published online March 5, 2014

Abstract Let G=(V,E) be a graph and  $\phi$  be a total coloring of G by using the color set  $\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$ . Let f(v) denote the sum of the color of the vertex v and the colors of all incident edges of v. We say that  $\phi$  is neighbor sum distinguishing if for each edge  $uv \in E(G)$ ,  $f(u) \neq f(v)$ . The smallest number k is called the neighbor sum distinguishing total chromatic number, denoted by  $\chi''_{\rm nsd}(G)$ . Pilśniak and Woźniak conjectured that for any graph G with at least two vertices,  $\chi''_{\rm nsd}(G) \leqslant \Delta(G) + 3$ . In this paper, by using the famous Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, we show that  $\chi''_{\rm nsd}(G) \leqslant 2\Delta(G) + {\rm col}(G) - 1$ , where  ${\rm col}(G)$  is the coloring number of G. Moreover, we prove this assertion in its list version.

**Keywords** neighbor sum distinguishing total coloring, coloring number, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, list total coloring

MSC(2010) 05C15

Citation: Ding L H, Wang G H, Yan G Y. Neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings via the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. Sci China Math, 2014, 57: 1875–1882, doi: 10.1007/s11425-014-4796-0

#### 1 Introduction

The terminology and notation used but undefined in this paper can be found in [2]. Let G = (V, E) be a graph and denote the maximum degree of G by  $\Delta(G)$ . Let  $d_G(v)$  or simply d(v) denote the degree of a vertex v in G.

Given a graph G = (V, E) and a positive integer k, a total k-coloring of G is a mapping  $\phi : V \cup E \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  such that

- (a)  $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$  for every pair u, v of adjacent vertices;
- (b)  $\phi(v) \neq \phi(e)$  for every vertex v and every edge e incident with v;
- (c)  $\phi(e) \neq \phi(e')$  for every pair e, e' of adjacent edges.

Given a total k-coloring  $\phi$  of G, let  $C_{\phi}(v)$  denote the set of colors of the edges incident with v and the color of v. A total k-coloring is called neighbor set distinguishing or adjacent vertex distinguishing if for each edge uv,  $C_{\phi}(u)$  is different from  $C_{\phi}(v)$ . The smallest k is called the neighbor set distinguishing total chromatic number or adjacent vertex distinguishing total chromatic number, denoted by  $\chi''_{\rm nd}(G)$ . Zhang [25] proposed that the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (See [25]). For any graph G with at least two vertices,  $\chi''_{nd}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 3$ .

© Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

Conjecture 1 has been confirmed for subcubic graphs,  $K_4$ -minor free graphs and planar graphs with large maximum degree, see [3, 6, 20–22].

Colorings and labellings related to sums of colors have been studied widely. The family of such problems includes e.g., vertex-coloring k-edge-weightings [8], total weight choosability [16,23], magic and antimagic labellings [7,24] and the irregularity strength [13,14]. Among them there are the 1-2-3 Conjecture due to Karoński et al. [9] and 1-2 Conjecture due to Przybyło and Woźniak [17]. For more information, see the survey paper [19]. In a total k-coloring of G, let f(v) denote the total sum of colors of the edges incident with v and the color of v. If for each edge uv,  $f(u) \neq f(v)$ , we call such total k-coloring a total k-neighbor sum distinguishing coloring. The smallest number k is called the neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings, we have the following conjecture due to Pilśniak and Woźniak [12].

Conjecture 2 (See [12]). For any graph G with at least two vertices,  $\chi''_{nsd}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 3$ .

Clearly Conjecture 2 implies Conjecture 1 since it is easy to check that  $\chi''_{nd}(G) \leqslant \chi''_{nsd}(G)$ . Pilśniak and Woźniak [12] proved that Conjecture 2 holds for complete graphs, cycles, bipartite graphs and subcubic graphs. Dong and Wang [5] showed that Conjecture 2 holds for sparse graphs. Li et al. [11] proved that Conjecture 2 holds for  $K_4$ -minor free graphs. Later, Li et al. [10] proved that Conjecture 2 holds for planar graphs with maximum degree at least 13. Cheng et al. [4] proved that  $\chi''_{nsd}(G) \leqslant \Delta(G) + 2$  for planar graphs with maximum degree at least 14.

The coloring number of a graph G,  $\operatorname{col}(G)$ , is defined as the least integer k such that G has a vertex enumeration in which each vertex is preceded by fewer than k of its neighbors, hence  $\operatorname{col}(G) - 1 \leq \Delta(G)$ . Sometimes,  $\operatorname{col}(G)$  may be much smaller than  $\Delta(G)$ . For example, it is obvious that  $\operatorname{col}(G) \leq 6$  for any planar graph G. For a given graph G, let  $L_w(w \in V \cup E)$  be a set of lists of real numbers, each of size k. The smallest k for which for any specified collection of such lists there exists a neighbor sum (set) distinguishing total coloring using colors from  $L_w$  for each  $w \in V \cup E$  is called the neighbor sum (set) distinguishing total choosability of G, and denoted by  $\operatorname{ch}''_{\operatorname{nsd}}(G)(\operatorname{ch}''_{\operatorname{nd}}(G))$ . In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** If G is a graph with at least 2 vertices, then  $ch''_{nsd}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) + col(G) - 1$ . Moreover, if G does not contain a component which is a regular subgraph of degree  $\Delta(G)$ , then  $ch''_{nsd}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) + col(G) - 2$ .

Clearly,  $ch''_{nd}(G) \leqslant ch''_{nsd}(G)$  and  $\chi''_{nsd}(G) \leqslant ch''_{nsd}(G)$ , so the result is also true for  $ch''_{nd}(G)$  and  $\chi''_{nsd}(G)$ .

## 2 Sketch of proof

Our proof is based on iterative applications of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, which was inspired by [15,24]. The following two theorems are the main tools we shall use to prove our result.

**Theorem 2.1** (See [1], Combinatorial Nullstellensatz). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an arbitrary field, and let  $P = P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Suppose the degree  $\deg(P)$  of P equals  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ , where each  $k_i$  is a non-negative integer, and suppose the coefficient of  $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$  in P is non-zero. Then if  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $|S_i| > k_i$ , there are  $s_1 \in S_1, \ldots, s_n \in S_n$  so that  $P(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (See [18]). If  $P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$  is of degree  $\leq s_1 + s_2 + ... + s_n$ , where  $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n$  are non-negative integers, then

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{s_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{s_2} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{s_n} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \sum_{x_1=0}^{s_1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=0}^{s_n} (-1)^{s_1+x_1} \binom{s_1}{x_1} \cdots (-1)^{s_n+x_n} \binom{s_n}{x_n} P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is inductive. Firstly, we choose a vertex v with  $d_G(v) < \operatorname{col}(G)$ . Assume that we have been able to provide a satisfactory coloring for each of the components of G - v, and we

want to extend this partial coloring to whole graph G. Let  $e_1 = vv_1, \ldots, e_{k-1} = vv_{k-1}$  be the remaining uncolored edges of G. Undoubtedly, some of the colors cannot be used to paint these edges and vertex v. It is easy to know that the number of colors forbidden for each of these edges is at most  $2\Delta - 1$  and the number of colors forbidden for the vertex v is k-1 (since the coloring is supposed to be proper and the sum at  $v_i$  is supposed to be distinct from the sum of any its neighbors in  $V \setminus \{v, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\}$ ). Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$  be the lists of the remaining colors available for  $e_1, \ldots, e_{k-1}, v$ , respectively. All we need to do now is to choose colors from these lists so that they are pairwise distinct, the obtained final sums of all neighbors of v are also pairwise distinct (some of these may be joined by edges in G), and distinct from the obtained sum at v. We associate with each  $e_i$  a variable  $x_i$  and v a variable  $x_k$ . Then it is sufficient to find a color for each  $x_i$  from  $S_i$  respectively to make the following polynomial non-zero:

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le k} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le k-1} (x_i + w_i - x_j - w_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( \left( \sum_{t=1}^k x_t \right) - x_j - w_j \right),$$

where  $w_1, \ldots, w_{k-1}$  are partial sums of the vertices, resp.,  $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}$  provided by our initial coloring of the graph G - v.

To prove the first part of our theorem, we consider the monomial  $x_1^{k-1}\cdots x_k^{k-1}$  in P. We can prove the coefficient of this monomial  $x_1^{k-1}\cdots x_k^{k-1}$  in P is non-zero and  $|S_i|>k-1$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,k$ . To prove the second part of our theorem, we consider the monomial  $x_1^{k-2}\cdots x_{k-1}^{k-2}x_k^{2k-2}$  in P. We will prove its coefficient is non-zero. We know that  $|S_i|\geqslant \operatorname{col}(G)-1$   $(i=1,2,\ldots,k-1)$  and  $|S_k|\geqslant 2\Delta-1$ . It is easily verified that if G does not contain a component which is a regular subgraph of degree  $\Delta(G)$  then  $\operatorname{col}(G)\leqslant \Delta(G)$ . Hence,  $|S_i|>k-2$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,k-1$  and  $|S_k|>2k-2$ . Here, we give the following key lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let

$$Q_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n-1} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (x_j - x_n) \left( \left( \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \right) - x_j \right)$$

be a polynomial in n variables,  $n \ge 2$ , and let a and b be the coefficients of the monomial  $x_1^{n-1} \cdots x_n^{n-1}$  and  $x_1^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{n-2} x_n^{2n-2}$  in  $Q_n$ , respectively. Then  $a = (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}} (n-1)!$  and  $b = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (n-1)!$ . Consequently,  $a \ne 0$  and  $b \ne 0$ .

### 3 The proof of Lemma 2.3

Firstly, we prove  $a \neq 0$ . Note that the degree of  $Q_n$  equals n(n-1). By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$[(n-1)!]^n a = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{n-1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{n-1} Q_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \sum_{x_1=0}^{n-1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n(n-1)+x_1+\dots+x_n} \binom{n-1}{x_1} \cdots \binom{n-1}{x_n} Q_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma(1)+\dots+\sigma(n)} \binom{n-1}{\sigma(1)} \cdots \binom{n-1}{\sigma(n)} Q_n(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)),$$

where the summation runs through all n-permutations of the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ , i.e., all injective mapping  $\sigma: \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \to \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$  (for non-injective ones, the value of  $Q_n$  is by its definition equal to 0). Denote the set of all such n-permutations by A, and let  $A_i = \{\sigma \in A : \sigma(n) = i\}$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ . Let  $p = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . Note that  $|A_i| = (n-1)!$ . For a given  $\sigma \in A_i$ , we have

$$(-1)^{\sigma(1)+\dots+\sigma(n)} = (-1)^p,$$

$$\binom{n-1}{\sigma(1)} \dots \binom{n-1}{\sigma(n)} = \frac{[(n-1)!]^{n-2}}{[1! \dots (n-2)!]^2},$$

$$\begin{split} & \prod_{1 \leqslant t < j \leqslant n-1} (\sigma(t) - \sigma(j))^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\sigma(j) - \sigma(n)) = (-1)^i \frac{[1! \cdots (n-1)!]^2}{i! (n-1-i)!}, \\ & \binom{n-1}{\sigma(1)} \cdots \binom{n-1}{\sigma(n)} \prod_{1 \leqslant t < j \leqslant n-1} (\sigma(t) - \sigma(j))^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\sigma(j) - \sigma(n)) = (-1)^i [(n-1)!]^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i}, \\ & \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \left( \sum_{t=1}^n \sigma(t) \right) - \sigma(j) \right) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (p-j)}{p-i}. \end{split}$$

For a given real number x, denote  $x^{\underline{0}} = 1$ , and let  $x^{\underline{j}} = x(x-1)^{\underline{j-1}}$  for j = 1, 2, ... We may then write

$$[(n-1)!]^n a = [(n-1)!]^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{p+i} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{p^n}{p-i},$$

i.e.,

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{p+i} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{p^{\underline{n}}}{p-i}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** For all integers n, t with  $0 \le t \le n-1$ , and for each real number x,

$$\sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\frac{t}{n}} \binom{n-1}{j} x^{\frac{n-1-t}{n}} = 0.$$

*Proof.* For t = 0, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-1}{j} x^{n-1} = x^{n-1} (-1+1)^{n-1} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\underline{t}} \binom{n-1}{j} x^{\underline{n-1-t}} = \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^t (-1)^{j-t} (n-1)^{\underline{t}} \binom{n-1-t}{j-t} x^{\underline{n-1-t}}$$
$$= (-1)^t (n-1)^{\underline{t}} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1-t} (-1)^r \binom{n-1-t}{r} x^{\underline{n-1-t}}$$
$$= 0.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** For all integers n, p, t with  $0 \le t \le n-1$ ,

$$\sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\underline{t}} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{(p-t)^{\underline{n-t}}}{p-j} = \sum_{j=t+1}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\underline{t+1}} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{[p-(t+1)]^{\underline{n-(t+1)}}}{p-j}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\underline{t}} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{(p-t)^{\underline{n-t}}}{p-j} &= \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\underline{t}} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{(p-t)(p-t-1)^{\underline{n-t-1}}}{p-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\underline{t}} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{(p-j+j-t)(p-t-1)^{\underline{n-t-1}}}{p-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\underline{t}} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{(p-j)(p-t-1)^{\underline{n-t-1}}}{p-j} \\ &+ \sum_{j=t}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\underline{t}} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{(j-t)(p-t-1)^{\underline{n-t-1}}}{p-j} \end{split}$$

$$= \sum_{j=t+1}^{n-1} (-1)^j j^{\frac{t+1}{2}} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{[p-(t+1)]^{\frac{n-(t+1)}{2}}}{p-j},$$

where the last equality follows by the above lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** For all integers n, p with  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{p^n}{p-j} = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1)!.$$

The proof of this lemma follows directly from n-1 repeated applications of the above lemma.

Hence, we have  $a = (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}} (n-1)!$  and  $a \neq 0$ .

Now we will prove that  $b \neq 0$ . For n = 2, it is easily verified that b = -1. Now we assume  $n \geq 3$ , by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$(2n-2)![(n-2)!]^{n-1}b$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{n-2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{n-2} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}}\right)^{n-2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{2n-2} Q_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \sum_{x_1=0}^{n-2} \cdots \sum_{x_{n-1}=0}^{n-2} \sum_{x_n=0}^{2n-2} (-1)^{n(n-1)+x_1+\dots+x_n} \binom{n-2}{x_1} \cdots \binom{n-2}{x_{n-1}} \binom{2n-2}{x_n} Q_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \sum_{x_n=n-1}^{2n-2} (-1)^{x_n} \binom{2n-2}{x_n} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma(i)} \binom{n-2}{\sigma(1)} \cdots \binom{n-2}{\sigma(n-1)} Q_n(\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n-1), x_n),$$

where the second summation runs through all (n-1)-permutations of the set  $\{0,1,\ldots,n-2\}$ , i.e., all injective mapping  $\sigma:\{1,2,\ldots,n-1\}\to\{0,1,\ldots,n-2\}$  (for non-injective ones, the value of  $Q_n$  is by its definition equal to 0). Since the second summation runs through all (n-1)-permutations of the set  $\{0,1,\ldots,n-2\}$ , the first summation can only runs from  $x_n=n-1$  to  $x_n=2n-2$  (for  $x_n\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-2\}$ , the value of  $Q_n$  is by its definition equal to 0). For each  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\binom{n-2}{\sigma(1)} \cdots \binom{n-2}{\sigma(n-1)} = \binom{n-2}{0} \cdots \binom{n-2}{n-2} = \frac{[(n-2)!]^{n-3}}{[1!2! \cdots (n-3)!]^2},$$

$$Q_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [1! \cdots (n-2)!]^2 \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j-x_n) \left( \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} i \right) + x_n - j \right),$$

$$(-1)^{\sigma(1)+\dots+\sigma(n-1)} = (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}}.$$

Let  $d = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ . Hence,

$$(2n-2)![(n-2)!]^{n-1}b = (n-1)![(n-2)!]^{n-1} \sum_{x_n=n-1}^{2n-2} (-1)^{x_n+n-1+d} {2n-2 \choose x_n} x_n \frac{n-1}{2n-2} (d+x_n) \frac{n-1}{2n-2}.$$

Let q = d + n - 1. Then we have

$$(-1)^{q}(2n-2)!b = (n-1)! \sum_{x_{n}=n-1}^{2n-2} (-1)^{x_{n}} {2n-2 \choose x_{n}} x_{n}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (d+x_{n})^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

It can be verified easily that the following equality holds:

$$(n-1)! \binom{2n-2}{x_n} x_n \frac{n-1}{n-1} = (2n-2)! \binom{n-1}{x_n-n+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$(-1)^q b = \sum_{x_n=n-1}^{2n-2} (-1)^{x_n} \binom{n-1}{x_n-n+1} (d+x_n)^{\underline{n-1}}.$$

Let  $j = x_n - n + 1$ . We have

$$(-1)^{q+n-1}b = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-1}{j} (q+j)^{\underline{n-1}}.$$

Let j + i = n - 1. It follows that

$$(-1)^q b = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \binom{n-1}{i} (q+n-1-i)^{\underline{n-1}} = (n-1)!.$$

The above equality follows by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4** (See [23]). For any integers n, t with  $n \ge t \ge 0$ , for any real number x

$$\sum_{j=t}^{n} (-1)^{j} j^{\underline{t}} \binom{n}{j} (x-j)^{\underline{n-t}} = (-1)^{t} n!.$$

Hence, we have  $b = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(n-1)!$  and  $b \neq 0$ . This completes the whole proof of Lemma 2.3.

## 4 The proof of Theorem 1.1

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. We prove our assertions by induction with respect to m = |E|, the size of G. For the first assertion, we may assume that G is a connected graph. The result is obvious for m = 1. We assume that  $m \ge 2$  and that first assertion holds for graphs with smaller sizes.

Let  $L_w$  ( $w \in (E \cup V)$ ) be any given set of lists of reals, each of size  $2\Delta(G) + \operatorname{col}(G) - 1$ . Among the enumerations  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  of the vertices of V in which every vertex is preceded by fewer than  $\operatorname{col}(G)$  neighbors, choose one that maximizes  $d_G(u_n)$ . Denote  $v = u_n$  and  $d_G(v) = k - 1$ , where  $2 \leq k \leq \operatorname{col}(G)$ .

Denote the edges incident with v by  $e_1 = vv_1, e_2 = vv_2, \dots, e_{k-1} = vv_{k-1}$ . Let  $H_1, \dots, H_l$  be the components of G-v. By induction, for each of these components which are of size at least 1 we can fix a neighbor sum distinguishing total coloring from the given lists. For components consisting of a single vertex, we choose any numbers from the appropriate lists. We shall now extend the obtained coloring to G. For this purpose, associate to each edges  $e_i$  incident with v a variable  $x_i$  and the vertex va variable  $x_k$ . We shall choose a value for  $x_i$  (i = 1, ..., k - 1) and  $x_k$  from  $L_{e_i}$  (i = 1, ..., k - 1)and  $L_v$ , respectively, so that the obtained coloring is neighbor sum total distinguishing. To guarantee the coloring is proper, we cannot use the colors of other edges incident with  $v_i$  for  $e_i$ . For the same reason, we cannot use the color of  $v_i$  for  $e_i$  and v. Moreover, the sum obtained at  $v_i$  must be distinct from the other already fixed sums of the neighbors of  $v_i$ . Hence, we may have to forbid  $2\Delta - 1$  colors in  $L_{e_i}$  and col(G)-1 colors in  $L_v$ . Let  $S_i\subseteq L_{e_i}$  and  $S_k\subseteq L_v$  be the set of the remaining colors from  $L_{e_i}$  and  $L_v$ , respectively. Then  $|S_i| \ge (2\Delta(G) + \operatorname{col}(G) - 1) - (2\Delta(G) - 1) = \operatorname{col}(G) \ge k$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ and  $|S_k| \ge (2\Delta(G) + \operatorname{col}(G) - 1) - (\operatorname{col}(G) - 1) = 2\Delta(G) \ge 2(k-1)$ . All we have to do now is to choose distinct values of the variables  $x_i$   $(i = 1, ..., k), x_i \in S_i$ , so that the sums at  $v_i$ 's are pairwise distinct (in case of adjacency of some of these vertices), and distinct from the sum at v. Let  $w_i$  denote the contemporary sum at  $v_i$  (not counting the color of  $e_i$ ) for i = 1, ..., k-1. Then it is enough to find appropriate substitutions for the variables  $x_i$  so that the value of the following polynomial is non-zero:

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1} (x_i + w_i - x_j - w_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( \left( \sum_{t=1}^k x_t \right) - x_j - w_j \right).$$

By Theorem 2.1, it is enough to show that the coefficient of the monomial  $x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_k^{k-1}$  in Q is non-zero. However, this coefficient is the same as the coefficient of the same monomial in

$$Q_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le k-1} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (x_j - x_k) \left( \left( \sum_{t=1}^k x_t \right) - x_j \right),$$

hence the first assertion follows by Lemma 2.3.

For the second assertion, we may fix a neighbor sum distinguishing coloring from the given lists for each of the regular components by the first assertion (since the maximum degree of each of these components is smaller than  $\Delta(G)$ ). So we may assume that G is a connected non-regular graph. The result is obvious for m=2. Then we assume that  $m \ge 3$ , and that our assertion holds for non-regular graphs with smaller sizes.

Let  $G_1, \ldots, G_r$  be the components of G - v. For each of the non-regular components, we may fix by induction a neighbor sum distinguishing total coloring from the given lists. For each of the regular components which are of size at least 1, each of their maximum degrees is smaller than  $\Delta(G)$ . Then we may fix a neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings for each of them from the given lists. We shall choose any number from the given lists for components consisting of a single vertex.

Since G is a non-regular graph,  $col(G) \leq \Delta(G)$ . So we have that

$$|S_i| \ge (2\Delta(G) + \operatorname{col}(G) - 2) - (2\Delta(G) - 1) = \operatorname{col}(G) - 1 \ge k - 1,$$
  
 $|S_k| \ge (2\Delta(G) + \operatorname{col}(G) - 2) - (\operatorname{col}(G) - 1) = 2\Delta(G) - 1 \ge 2k - 1.$ 

Since the coefficient of the monomial  $x_1^{k-2} \cdots x_{k-1}^{k-2} x_k^{2k-2}$  in Q is the same as the coefficient of the same monomial in  $Q_k$ , the second assertion follows by Lemma 2.3. This completes the whole proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Remark 4.1.** In this paper, we give an upper bound for the neighbor sum distinguishing total chromatic number (in fact, we consider the neighbor sum distinguishing total choosability). To attack Conjecture 2, we propose the following question.

**Question.** Is there a constant C such that  $\chi''_{nsd} \leq \Delta(G) + C$ ?

It is also very interesting to consider the neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings of random graphs.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11101243 and 11371355), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (Grant No. 20100131120017) and the Scientific Research Foundation for the Excellent Middle-Aged and Youth Scientists of Shandong Province of China (Grant No. BS2012SF016). The authors would like to thank Przybyło for sending his paper [15].

#### References

- 1 Alon N. Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. Combin Probab Comput, 1999, 8: 7-29
- 2 Bondy J A, Murty U S R. Graph Theory with Applications. New York: North-Holland, 1976
- 3 Chen X E. On the adjacent vertex distinguishing total coloring numbers of graphs with  $\Delta=3$ . Discrete Math, 2008, 308: 4003–4007
- 4 Cheng X H, Wu J L, Huang D J, et al. Neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings of planar graphs with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Submitted, 2013
- 5 Dong A J, Wang G H. Neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings of graphs with bounded maximum average degree. Acta Math Sin Engl Ser, in press, 2013
- 6 Huang D J, Wang W F. Adjacent vertex distinguishing total coloring of planar graphs with large maximum degree (in Chinese). Sci Sin Math, 2012, 42: 151–164
- 7 Huang P Y, Wong T L, Zhu X D. Weighted-1-antimagic graphs of prime power order. Discrete Math, 2012, 312: 2162–2169
- 8 Kalkowski M, Karoński M, Pfender F. Vertex-coloring edge-weightings: Towards the 1-2-3-conjecture. J Combin Theory Ser B, 2010, 100: 347–349
- 9 Karoński M, Łuczak T, Thomason A. Edge weights and vertex colors. J Combin Theory Ser B, 2004, 91: 151–157
- 10 Li H L, Ding L H, Liu B Q, et al. Neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings of planar graphs. J Combin Optim, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s10878-013-9660-6
- 11 Li H L, Liu B Q, Wang G H. Neighbor sum distinguishing total colorings of  $K_4$ -minor free graphs. Front Math China, 2013, 8: 1351–1366
- 12 Pilśniak M, Woźniak M. On the adjacent-vertex-distinguishing index by sums in total proper colorings. Preprint, http://www.ii.uj.edu.pl/preMD/index.php

- 13 Przybyło J. Irregularity strength of regular graphs. Electronic J Combin, 2008, 15: #R82
- 14 Przybyło J. Linear bound on the irregularity strength and the total vertex irregularity strength of graphs. SIAM J Discrete Math, 2009, 23: 511–516
- 15 Przybyło J. Neighbour distinguishing edge colorings via the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. SIAM J Discrete Math, 2013, 27: 1313–1322
- 16 Przybyło J, Woźniak M. Total weight choosability of graphs. Electronic J Combin, 2011, 18: #P112
- 17 Przybyło J, Woźniak M. On a 1, 2 conjecture. Discrete Math Theor Comput Sci, 2010, 12: 101-108
- 18 Scheim E. The number of edge 3-coloring of a planar cubic graph as a permanent. Discrete Math, 1974, 8: 377-382
- 19 Seamone B. The 1-2-3 conjecture and related problems: A survey. ArXiv:1211.5122, 2012
- 20 Wang W F, Huang D J. The adjacent vertex distinguishing total coloring of planar graphs. J Combin Optim, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10878-012-9527-2
- 21 Wang W F, Wang P. On adjacent-vertex-distinguishing total coloring of K<sub>4</sub>-minor free graphs (in Chinese). Sci China Ser A, 2009, 39: 1462–1472
- 22 Wang Y Q, Wang W F. Adjacent vertex distinguishing total colorings of outerplanar graphs. J Combin Optim, 2010, 19: 123–133
- 23 Wong T L, Zhu X D. Total weight choosability of graphs. J Graph Theory, 2011, 66: 198–212
- 24 Wong T L, Zhu X D. Antimagic labelling of vertex weighted graphs. J Graph Theory, 2012, 3: 348–359
- 25 Zhang Z F, Chen X E, Li J W, et al. On adjacent-vertex-distinguishing total coloring of graphs. Sci China Ser A, 2005, 48: 289–299