

# CS 234: Assignment #3

## 1 Best Arm Identification in Multiarmed Bandit (35pts)

In this problem we focus on the Bandit setting with rewards bounded in  $[0, 1]$ . A Bandit problem instance is defined as an MDP with just one state and action set  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since there is only one state, a “policy” consists of the choice of a single action: there are exactly  $A = |\mathcal{A}|$  different deterministic policies. Your goal is to design a simple algorithm to identify a near-optimal arm with high probability.

We recall Hoeffding’s inequality below, where  $\bar{x}$  is the expected value of a random variable,  $\hat{x}$  is the sample mean (under the assumption that the random variables are in the interval  $[0, 1]$ )  $n$  is the number of samples and  $\delta > 0$  is a scalar:

$$\Pr \left( |\hat{x} - \bar{x}| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n}} \right) < \delta. \quad (1)$$

Assuming that the rewards are bounded in  $[0, 1]$ , we propose this simple strategy: allocate an identical number of samples  $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_A = n_{des}$  to every action and return the action with the highest average payout  $\hat{r}_a$ . The purpose of this exercise is to study the number of samples required to output an arm that is at least  $\epsilon$ -optimal with high probability. Intuitively, as  $n_{des}$  increases the empirical average of the payout  $\hat{r}_a$  converges to its expected value  $\bar{r}_a$  for every action  $a$ , and so choosing the arm with the highest empirical payout  $\hat{r}_a$  corresponds to approximately choosing the arm with the highest expected payout  $\bar{r}_a$ .

- (a) (15 pts) We start by defining a “good event”. Under this “good event” the empirical mean of each arm is not too far from its expected return. Starting from Hoeffding inequality with  $n_{des}$  samples allocated to every action show that:

$$\Pr \left( \exists a \in \mathcal{A} \quad s.t. \quad |\hat{r}_a - \bar{r}_a| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n_{des}}} \right) < A\delta. \quad (2)$$

In other words, the “bad event” is that at least one arm has an empirical mean that differs significantly from its expected value and this has probability at most  $A\delta$ .

**Solution** By union bound:

$$\Pr \left( \exists a \in \mathcal{A} \quad s.t. \quad |\hat{r}_a - \bar{r}_a| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n_{des}}} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$= \Pr \left( \left( |\hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n_{des}}} \right) \cup \dots \cup \left( |\hat{r}_A - \bar{r}_A| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n_{des}}} \right) \right) \quad (4)$$

$$\leq \Pr \left( |\hat{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n_{des}}} \right) + \dots + \Pr \left( |\hat{r}_A - \bar{r}_A| > \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n_{des}}} \right) < A\delta. \quad (5)$$

- (b) (20 pts) After pulling each arm (action)  $n_{des}$  times our algorithm returns the arm with the highest empirical mean:

$$a^\dagger = \operatorname{argmax}_a \hat{r}_a \quad (6)$$

Notice that  $a^\dagger$  is a random variable. Define as  $a^\star$  the optimal arm (that yields the highest average reward  $a^\star = \operatorname{argmax}_a \bar{x}_a$ ). Suppose that we want our algorithm to return at least an  $\epsilon$  optimal arm with probability  $1 - \delta'$ , as follows:

$$\Pr\left(\bar{r}_{a^\dagger} \geq \bar{r}_{a^\star} - \epsilon\right) \geq 1 - \delta'. \quad (7)$$

How many samples are needed to ensure this? Express your result as a function of the number of actions, the required precision  $\epsilon$  and the failure probability  $\delta'$ .

**Solution** Notice that if

$$\sqrt{\frac{\log(2A/\delta)}{2n_{des}}} < \epsilon/2 \quad (8)$$

then

$$\Pr\left(\forall a \in \mathcal{A} \quad |\hat{r}_a - \bar{r}_a| \leq \epsilon/2\right) \geq 1 - \delta' \quad (9)$$

directly from part (a). Under this “good” event, the choice  $a^\dagger = \operatorname{argmax}_a \hat{r}_a$  ensures

$$\bar{r}_{a^\dagger} \geq \hat{r}_{a^\dagger} - \epsilon/2 \geq \hat{r}_{a^\star} - \epsilon/2 \geq \bar{r}_{a^\star} - \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

Solving equation 8 provides the desired number of samples:

$$n_{des} \geq \frac{2\log(2A/\delta)}{\epsilon^2} \quad (11)$$

which yields a final sample complexity of:

$$\frac{2A\log(2A/\delta)}{\epsilon^2} \quad (12)$$