

---

Stream: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
RFC: [9157](#)  
Updates: [5155](#), [6014](#), [8624](#)  
Category: Standards Track  
Published: November 2021  
ISSN: 2070-1721  
Author: P. Hoffman  
*ICANN*

# RFC 9157

## Revised IANA Considerations for DNSSEC

---

### Abstract

This document changes the review requirements needed to get DNSSEC algorithms and resource records added to IANA registries. It updates RFC 6014 to include hash algorithms for Delegation Signer (DS) records and NextSECure version 3 (NSEC3) parameters (for Hashed Authenticated Denial of Existence). It also updates RFCs 5155 and 6014, which have requirements for DNSSEC algorithms, and updates RFC 8624 to clarify the implementation recommendation related to the algorithms described in RFCs that are not on the standards track. The rationale for these changes is to bring the requirements for DS records and hash algorithms used in NSEC3 in line with the requirements for all other DNSSEC algorithms.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9157>.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions

with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Requirements Language	2
2. Update to RFC 6014	3
3. Update to RFC 8624	3
4. IANA Considerations	3
5. Security Considerations	4
6. References	4
6.1. Normative References	4
6.2. Informative References	5
Acknowledgements	5
Author's Address	5

## 1. Introduction

DNSSEC is primarily described in [\[RFC4033\]](#), [\[RFC4034\]](#), and [\[RFC4035\]](#). DNSSEC commonly uses another resource record beyond those defined in [\[RFC4034\]](#): NSEC3 [\[RFC5155\]](#). DS resource records were originally defined in [\[RFC3658\]](#), and that definition was obsoleted by [\[RFC4034\]](#).

[\[RFC6014\]](#) updates the requirements for how DNSSEC cryptographic algorithm identifiers in the IANA registries are assigned, reducing the requirements from "Standards Action" to "RFC Required". However, the IANA registry requirements for hash algorithms for DS records [\[RFC3658\]](#) and for the hash algorithms used in NSEC3 records [\[RFC5155\]](#) are still "Standards Action". This document updates those IANA registry requirements. (For a reference on how IANA registries can be updated in general, see [\[RFC8126\]](#).)

### 1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [\[RFC2119\]](#) [\[RFC8174\]](#) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 2. Update to RFC 6014

[Section 4](#) updates [\[RFC6014\]](#) to bring the requirements for DS records and NSEC3 hash algorithms in line with the rest of the DNSSEC cryptographic algorithms by allowing any DS hash algorithms, NSEC3 hash algorithms, NSEC3 parameters, and NSEC3 flags that are fully described in an RFC to have identifiers assigned in the IANA registries. This is an addition to the IANA considerations in [\[RFC6014\]](#).

## 3. Update to RFC 8624

This document updates [\[RFC8624\]](#) for all DNSKEY and DS algorithms that are not on the standards track.

The second paragraph of [Section 1.2](#) of [\[RFC8624\]](#) currently says:

This document only provides recommendations with respect to mandatory-to-implement algorithms or algorithms so weak that they cannot be recommended. Any algorithm listed in the [DNSKEY-IANA] and [DS-IANA] registries that are not mentioned in this document **MAY** be implemented. For clarification and consistency, an algorithm will be specified as **MAY** in this document only when it has been downgraded from a **MUST** or a **RECOMMENDED** to a **MAY**.

That paragraph is now replaced with the following:

This document provides recommendations with respect to mandatory-to-implement algorithms, algorithms so weak that they cannot be recommended, and algorithms defined in RFCs that are not on the standards track. Any algorithm listed in the [DNSKEY-IANA] and [DS-IANA] registries that are not mentioned in this document **MAY** be implemented. For clarification and consistency, an algorithm will be specified as **MAY** in this document only when it has been downgraded from a **MUST** or a **RECOMMENDED** to a **MAY**.

This update is also reflected in the IANA considerations in [Section 4](#).

## 4. IANA Considerations

In the "Domain Name System Security (DNSSEC) NextSECure3 (NSEC3) Parameters" registry, the registration procedure for "DNSSEC NSEC3 Flags", "DNSSEC NSEC3 Hash Algorithms", and "DNSSEC NSEC3PARAM Flags" has been changed from "Standards Action" to "RFC Required", and this document has been added as a reference.

In the "DNSSEC Delegation Signer (DS) Resource Record (RR) Type Digest Algorithms" registry, the registration procedure for "Digest Algorithms" has been changed from "Standards Action" to "RFC Required", and this document has been added as a reference.

## 5. Security Considerations

Changing the requirements for adding security algorithms to IANA registries as described in this document will make it easier to add both good and bad algorithms to the registries. It is impossible to weigh the security impact of those two changes.

Administrators of DNSSEC-signed zones and validating resolvers may have been making security decisions based on the contents of the IANA registries. This was a bad idea in the past, and now it is an even worse idea because there will be more algorithms in those registries that may not have gone through IETF review. Security decisions about which algorithms are safe and not safe should be made by reading the security literature, not by looking in IANA registries.

## 6. References

### 6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC4033] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "DNS Security Introduction and Requirements", RFC 4033, DOI 10.17487/RFC4033, March 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4033>>.
- [RFC4034] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Resource Records for the DNS Security Extensions", RFC 4034, DOI 10.17487/RFC4034, March 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4034>>.
- [RFC4035] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security Extensions", RFC 4035, DOI 10.17487/RFC4035, March 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4035>>.
- [RFC5155] Laurie, B., Sisson, G., Arends, R., and D. Blacka, "DNS Security (DNSSEC) Hashed Authenticated Denial of Existence", RFC 5155, DOI 10.17487/RFC5155, March 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5155>>.
- [RFC6014] Hoffman, P., "Cryptographic Algorithm Identifier Allocation for DNSSEC", RFC 6014, DOI 10.17487/RFC6014, November 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6014>>.
- [RFC8126] Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 8126, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126>>.

**[RFC8174]** Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

**[RFC8624]** Wouters, P. and O. Sury, "Algorithm Implementation Requirements and Usage Guidance for DNSSEC", RFC 8624, DOI 10.17487/RFC8624, June 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8624>>.

## 6.2. Informative References

**[RFC3658]** Gudmundsson, O., "Delegation Signer (DS) Resource Record (RR)", RFC 3658, DOI 10.17487/RFC3658, December 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3658>>.

## Acknowledgements

Donald Eastlake, Murray Kucherawy, Dan Harkins, Martin Duke, and Benjamin Kaduk contributed to this document.

## Author's Address

**Paul Hoffman**

ICANN

Email: [paul.hoffman@icann.org](mailto:paul.hoffman@icann.org)